

http://www.aimspress.com/medicalScience

AIMS Medical Science, 5(3): 284–302.

DOI: 10.3934/medsci.2018.3.284

Received: 21 June 2018 Accepted: 15 August 2018 Published: 24 August 2018

Review

DNA direct repair pathways in cancer

Roberto Gutierrez, Yesenia Thompson and Timothy R. O'Connor*

Department of Cancer Biology, Beckman Research Institute/City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010 USA

* Correspondence: Email: toconnor@coh.org; Tel: +6262181220.

Abstract: DNA direct repair (DR) pathways are unique in DNA repair because those mechanisms restore the genetic information without any DNA synthesis and are thus error free. We review the DR mechanisms, consequences of the absence of those systems in cells, their occurrence in cancer, regulation of their genes and proteins in cancer cells, and the potential exploitation of inhibitors to enhance chemotherapy.

Keywords: DNA direct reversal repair; MGMT; ALKBH2; ALKBH3; DNA repair inhibitors

1. Introduction

Cellular DNA is constantly under attack by endogenous and exogenous chemicals that induce a diverse array of harmful lesions, referred to as adducts. Adducts compromise the welfare of cells because they trigger mutations, and block DNA and RNA polymerases, which can result in arrest of DNA/RNA synthesis, DNA strand breaks, block gene expression, or mutations. Fortunately, mammalian cells have developed a variety of DNA damage repair mechanisms that help preserve cellular function by removing DNA lesions. Most DNA damage repair pathways remove damaged lesions by breaking the phosphodiester backbone, excising the damaged base, and resynthesizing a segment of DNA using a complementary template and error-prone DNA polymerases. However, direct repair (DR) removes DNA, and RNA damage, without excision, and without resynthesis; thereby making this repair pathway error-free.

DR maintains genomic integrity by protecting DNA mainly from endogenous and exogenous forms of alkylation damage. Endogenous forms of alkylating agents are produced as byproducts of oxidative metabolism, and from the enzymatic cofactor, S-adenosylmethionine [1,2]. Exogenous alkylating agents are commonly found in food, and in the air as contaminants from tobacco smoke and fuel combustion [3,4]. Alkylating agents react with DNA and RNA to form a diverse pattern of

simple and complex lesions. The pattern of lesions depends on numerous factors such as: The substrate damage site, the chemical nature of the alkylating agent (substitution nucleophilic unimolecular $[S_N 1]$ vs. substitution nucleophilic bimolecular $[S_N 2]$), the DNA structure (single-stranded [ss] vs. double-stranded [ds]), and the DNA sequence [5]. The most commonly occurring lesions caused by alkylating agents include N1-methylguanine (1meG), O⁶-methylguanine (O⁶meG), N7-methylguanine (7meG), N3-methylguanine (3meG), N3-methylguanine (3meC), N1-methyladenine (1meA), and N3-methyladenine (3meA) (Figure 1) [6–8]. Alkylation-induced DNA lesions pose a great threat to human health because they can compromise the genome by generating DNA strand breaks, and inducing mutations that can lead to diseases, such as cancer [9–11].

Figure 1. Major DNA lesions induced by methylating agents.

This review focuses on the pathways implicated in the direct reversal of alkylation-induced damage. Furthermore, we will highlight how DR mechanisms function in protecting cells from cancer development, and the therapeutic applications during cancer treatment.

2. Direct repair

There are two major types of proteins that conduct DR in mammalian cells, O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) [12] and the AlkB homologs (ALKBH) family of α-ketoglutarate (α-KG)-Fe(II) dependent dioxygenases [13]. MGMT repairs most exocyclic O-linked alkyl-adducts by using a unique repair mechanism which renders the enzyme inactive in the process [14]. In contrast, ALKBH2 and 3 in the ALKBH family carry out numerous repair reactions, and can catalyze the removal of N-alkyl lesions present on cytosine, adenine, thymine, and guanine residues [15]. DR proteins are of significance because they protect cells from the cytotoxic and mutagenic potential of alkylating agents. Recently, a comprehensive analysis of all DNA damage repair pathways in multiple cancer types identified that DR genes, *e.g.*, *ALKBH3* and *MGMT*, are frequently altered, predominantly by epigenetic silencing [16], but cancer-related alteration in the expression of those genes in some cancers was predicted previously [11,17–19,20]. This suggests that altered DR genes can be used as prognostic markers for enhanced cancer risk. Furthermore, loss of DR function can sensitize cancer cells to alkylating chemotherapeutic agents [17,21–24]. Therefore, it is of clinical importance to identify inhibitors of DR enzymes to enhance treatment by synthetic lethality.

2.1. MGMT repair mechanism

The MGMT protein is evolutionary conserved across prokaryotes, archaea, and eukaryotes; most notably in the active site sequence [25]. While there is no significant sequence homology in the N-terminal domain among species, the C-terminal domain is absolutely conserved. The C-terminal contains an active-site cysteine motif (PCHR), the O⁶meG binding channel, and a helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding motif [26]. The HTH motif allows MGMT to migrate along dsDNA, flipping bases into its active site until it detects weakened base pairing caused by alkyl damage [27]. The MGMT active site contains an evolutionary conserved cysteine residue (Cys145), which accepts an alkyl group from the DNA adduct, inactivating the enzyme in the process (Figure 2) [28].

Figure 2. MGMT active site repair mechanism.

MGMT is of biological importance because it removes the most mutagenic lesions caused by alkylating agents. The preferred substrate of MGMT are O⁶meG lesions, but it can also remove larger lesions such as O⁶ethylG, as well as O⁴meT, albeit at a much slower rate [29]. Loss of MGMT function makes cells susceptible to the mutagenic and cytotoxic effects of O⁶meG lesions (Figure 3).

Figure 3. (A) MGMT substrates. R indicates deoxyribose position; (B) MGMT inhibitors.

Once alkylated, MGMT is inactivated; working a single time as a suicide enzyme [30]. That inactivation by protein alkylation causes a significant conformational change. The conformation change triggers a structural destabilization that results in rapid ubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome system [31–33]. This single use mechanism means that cellular levels of MGMT are depleted as the reaction occurs, and continuous *de novo* synthesis of MGMT is required for continued repair [34]. Indeed, tissues and cells treated with alkylating agents show enhanced MGMT biosynthesis.

Regulation of MGMT expression is still under discussion. In *E. coli*, there are two O⁶meG DNA methyltransferases, the Ada and Ogt enzymes [35]. The expression of *ada* is inducible as part of the adaptive response to alkylating agents, whereas *ogt* expression is constitutive. Induction of MGMT to DNA damage exists in some mammalian cell lines [36–38], but the response is not universal. *MGMT* expression is inducible by glucocorticoids [39] that indicates more studies on factors controlling the expression of this important gene are required. Post-transcriptional regulation of

MGMT protein levels occurs through microRNA (miRNA) mediated degradation of MGMT mRNA. miRNA binding to RNA inhibits translation, and shuttles it towards degradation via the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Four miRNAs have been identified to alter MGMT protein levels: miR-181d, miR-767-3p, miR-648, and miR-370-3p [40,41]. Expression levels of miR-181d and miR-370-3p are inversely correlated with those of the *MGMT* transcript, and are associated with a favorable response to temozolomide (TMZ) in glioblastomas [42,43]. These reports indicate that the expression status of miRNAs may be implicated in the development of TMZ resistance.

2.2. MGMT protects against O-linked alkyl adducts

Although the O⁶meG adduct is generated to a lesser extent than other lesions, it has a severe biological impact by eliciting the mutagenic effects of alkylating agents. Alkylating agents that produce little O⁶meG are considered weak carcinogens [44]. O⁶meG mispairs with thymine during DNA replication by forming two hydrogen bonds (Figure 4), but the absence of MGMT can also result in cytotoxicity [45–47]. If not repaired by MGMT, O⁶meG, is also subject to translesion synthesis (TLS) by low-fidelity DNA polymerases, resulting in G→A transition mutations [48–51], but the *in vivo* role of these enzymes in bypass is still not completely understood. Therefore, in the absence of MGMT, O⁶meG lesions formed by endogenous and exogenous sources of alkylating agents contribute to mutations in the genome.

Figure 4. Mispairs formed by O⁶meG lesions.

2.3. MGMT models

Exposure of various MGMT-deficient models to alkylating agents have validated the biological importance of MGMT. Early studies found that Mgmt-deficient cells show enhanced sensitivity to alkylating agents as compared to normal cells expressing Mgmt [52]. In murine models, Mgmt-deficiency increased cell death in proliferating tissues, and increased mutation frequency after exposure to either S_N1 or S_N2 alkylating agents [47,53–58]. In Chinese hamster ovary cells with an inducible MGMT cDNA expression construct, low levels of MGMT are linked to accumulation of O^6 meG lesions and a 10-fold increase in HPRT mutation frequency, with $G \rightarrow A$ mutations dominating the mutation spectrum [59]. Induction of MGMT expression resulted in reduced mutation frequencies.

Furthermore, the cytotoxic potential of O⁶meG is observed in the absence of MGMT and the presence of an intact mismatch repair (MMR) pathway. O⁶meG:T mispairs are recognized by the MMR machinery resulting in the excision of thymine, leaving O⁶meG behind. This initiates a "futile" cycle in which MMR machinery continuously binds to O⁶meG:T mispairs through several rounds of repair, eventually resulting in the formation of double-strand breaks and cell death [60–63].

However, alkyl-induced mutation inactivation of MMR genes has been observed in recurrent GBM tumors, and contributes to resistance to alkylating agents, such as TMZ [64].

In many cells, loss of MGMT expression occurs by hypermethylation of the MGMT promoter region [65,66]. In contrast to MGMT deficiencies, an overwhelming amount of evidence suggests that overexpression of MGMT in normal cells provides enhanced protection against alkylating agents [67]. For example, mice overexpressing *Mgmt* show a significant reduction in alkylation-induced thymic lymphomas, colon carcinogenesis, and liver tumor formation [68–73]. Furthermore, *Mgmt*-overexpression in cancer-prone mouse models show reduced spontaneous formation of hepatocellular carcinoma, and alkylation-induced lymphoma [57,70,74,75]. In other work, skin keratinocyte specific expression of *MGMT* in mouse demonstrated that following N-nitroso-N-methylurea exposure, tumor initiation and progression were reduced compared to control mice not overexpressing *MGMT* [76,77]. However, higher MGMT levels did not protect against 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate-mediated tumor promotion [77]. Such findings highlight the biological significance of MGMT in normal cells.

2.4. ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 repair mechanism

The ALKBH family of α-KG-iron (II) dependent dioxygenases is composed of nine proteins in mammalian cells, ALKBH1-8 and Fat Mass and Obesity-associated gene (FTO). However, only ALKBH1-3 and FTO have been identified to possess DNA repair activity [78,79]. Since *in vivo* studies on ALKBH1 and FTO concerning their role in DNA repair are limited, we will focus attention on ALKBH2 and ALKBH3. The ALKBH2 and 3 proteins directly repair alkyl lesions by an iron and α-KG-dependent oxidative demethylation reaction to yield an undamaged base with the methyl group being released as formaldehyde (Figure 5) [80,81]. Although the ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 utilize the same repair mechanism, they exhibit different cellular localization, and are implicated in different protein complexes. For example, ALKBH2 is strictly localized in the nucleus, and mainly repairs lesions present on dsDNA by interacting with PCNA at the replication fork [80,82]. In contrast, ALKBH3 is found in both the cytoplasm and nucleus where it has a high affinity for ssDNA and RNA methylated substrates as compared to the ALKBH2 for those substrates [80,83]. In the nucleus, ALKBH3 co-localizes with the activating signaling cointegrator complex 3 (ASCC3) helicase enzyme, which unwinds dsDNA to promote lesion repair by ALKBH3; this association may expand the substrate range for ALKBH3 to include dsDNA [84,85].

Figure 5. Repair of 1meA and 3meC by ALKBH proteins.

2.5. ALKBH proteins protect against N-linked alkyl adducts

Despite their differences, the ALKBH2 and 3 proteins repair similar N-alkyl lesions. The preferred substrates of ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 are 1meA and 3meC lesions present on DNA and/or RNA, but they can also remove other lesions such as 1meG, 3meT, 1etC, as well as ethenobase adducts such as 1,N6-ethenoadenine, and 3,N4-ethenocytosine [80,86–89]. Formation of 1meA and 3meC generally occurs in ssDNA most likely due to the protection conferred by base pairing in dsDNA. These lesions are considered highly cytotoxic due to their ability to block synthesis by DNA polymerase, thereby triggering apoptosis [90–92]. However, although toxic, 1meA lesions possess a low mutagenic potential, whereas 3meC lesions can induce C \rightarrow T and C \rightarrow A mutations, possibly due to adduct bypass by TLS DNA polymerases (Figure 6) [93,94]. Therefore, based on the enzymatic specificity, the role of the ALKBH2 and 3 repair proteins is to protect cells from the highly cytotoxic and mutagenic properties of N-alkyl lesions.

Figure 6. Mispairs formed by 3meC to form mutations.

2.6. Alkbh^{-/-} models

Murine models deficient in Alkbh2 or Alkbh3 do not exhibit any overt phenotypic differences when compared to their wild-type counterparts. However, over time $Alkbh2^{-/-}$ mice show an age-related accumulation of 1meA lesions in the liver, whereas $Alkbh3^{-/-}$ do not show this phenotype, which indicates a preference of Alkbh2 for 1meA lesions [95,96]. In addition, using mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEFs) isolated from $Alkbh2^{-/-}$ and $Alkbh3^{-/-}$ mice, researchers found that both mutant MEFs were sensitive to methyl methanesulfonate treatments, but only Alkbh2-deficiency provided protection against genomic mutations. Most notably, loss of Alkbh2 in MEFs was associated with $C \rightarrow A$ and $C \rightarrow T$ mutations following methyl methanesulfonate treatments. Furthermore, Alkbh2-deficient MEFs showed an apparent increase in $T \rightarrow A$ mutations following methyl methanesulfonate exposure [97].

Loss of both *Alkbh2* and *Alkbh3* in mice does not result in any obvious phenotypic aberrations, and the mice are both fertile and live to normal ages [95–97]. Nonetheless, *Alkbh2*-/-*Alkbh3*-/-

double-mutant mice are susceptible to alkylation-induced tumor development; suggesting that both enzymes are required for alkylation resistance. In addition, this study constructed an $Aag^{-/-}Alkbh2^{-/-}Alkbh3^{-/-}$ (Note: Aag in the NIH database is Mpg) triple knockout mouse to determine the interaction between Aag-mediated base excision repair and DR in protecting against inflammation. Using this model, researchers found an accumulation of toxic and mutagenic εA and 1,N²-εG lesion relative to Aag deficient mice, which suggests substrate redundancy between Aag and Alkbh proteins [96]. Based on these findings, monitoring the expression status of ALKBH2 and 3 in cancer patients may prove useful when alkylating agent chemotherapeutics are used, given that loss of both enzymes could enhance secondary tumor development. However, the role that ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 play in cancer etiology is unclear.

3. DNA direct reversal repair and cancer

3.1. MGMT and cancer

Reduced levels of DR proteins contribute to elevated cancer risk, progression, and are important determinants of therapeutic response [98]. MGMT, the most frequent DR protein with altered levels, is decreased in 11% of cancer types [16]. In fact, gene silencing through promoter methylation is the dominant alteration of the *MGMT* gene, consisting of 92.4% of total alteration [16]. Methylation of the CpG islands on the *MGMT* promoter shields transcription factor binding sites from transcription machinery, resulting in reduced gene expression [65,66]. However, the mechanism that controls MGMT promoter silencing remains unclear.

Low MGMT expression due to promoter silencing could also promote tumorigenesis by allowing O^omeG-induced mutagenesis in oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes. Loss of MGMT is associated with point mutations in KRAS, observed in colon cancer and gastric cancer, and in p53 of non-small cell lung cancer and astrocytic tumors [99-103]. In addition, MGMT promoter methylation is frequently observed in many cancer types such as glioma, lymphoma, breast, and retinoblastoma [104,105]. However, tumors with low MGMT activity manifest enhanced sensitivity towards chemotherapeutic alkylating agents. Therefore, detection of MGMT promoter methylation status is clinically relevant because that status can serve as a predictor for a positive therapeutic response to alkylating agents [24]. For example, patients with glioblastoma whose tumors had MGMT promoter hypermethylation showed a better response to TMZ, and improved survival as compared to patients with no MGMT promoter methylation [106]. In contrast, high MGMT activity is often associated with aggressive malignant tumors and drug resistance [107]. Breast and ovarian tumors with high MGMT activity are linked to rapid disease progression, and with high variation in MGMT activity in cancer cells [108-110]. In addition, cancer cells that express high levels of MGMT are resistant to treatment with alkylating agents. One therapeutic approach in treating MGMT-positive tumors is to deplete tumor cells of MGMT activity using inhibitors, but the value of using MGMT inhibitors therapeutically is still being evaluated.

3.2. ALKBH and cancer

The contribution that the ALKBH proteins play during the carcinogenesis is currently under debate. Given that *ALKBH2* and *ALKBH3* are often overexpressed in certain cancers, such as

non-small cell lung carcinoma and prostate adenocarcinoma [19,111], it is of clinical significance to understand the role these proteins play in cancer development and progression. ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 have been suggested to function as tumor suppressors [112]. Indeed, downregulation of *ALKBH2* contributes to the development and progression of various cancers such as gastric cancer [18]. Furthermore, a comprehensive analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas revealed that methylation-driven transcriptional silencing of the *ALKBH3* gene occurs in 8% of cancers; with promoter silencing of *ALKBH3* observed in many breast cancers [16,113]. Those results are consistent with a loss of ALKBH2 or ALKBH3 activity increasing cancer risk.

In various cancers, *ALKBH2* or *ALKBH3* are overexpressed, most notably *ALKBH3* [76,77,81–83]. For example, increased levels of ALKBH3 are often found in prostate and non-small cell lung cancers, and increased levels of ALKBH3 in pancreatic adenocarcinoma is correlated with poor prognosis and higher pathological stage [20,84,111]. However, loss of *ALKBH2* or *ALKBH3* expression in cancer cells renders them sensitive to anticancer drugs. Such is seen in urothelial carcinoma where loss of *ALKBH3* induced cell cycle arrest and reduced tumor cell survival [114]. Also, loss of *ALKBH3* in pancreatic adenocarcinoma in xenograft mouse models resulted in reduced tumor proliferation and induced apoptosis [20]. Whether overexpression of *ALKBH2* or *3* helps drive tumor development, or if it is merely a response to alkylating agents remains to be determined. These findings suggest that inhibition of ALKBH2 or ALKBH3 function can serve as a potential approach to sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs.

4. DNA direct reversal repair and therapeutic applications

Alkylating agents were the first form of chemotherapeutics developed for the treatment of leukemia and lymphomas [115]. Currently, several methylating agents are used as anticancer drugs principally based on their ability to generate large amounts of 1meA and O⁶meG lesions, or similar derivatives on genomic DNA. There are two major forms of alkylating agents used in therapy: Monofunctional and bifunctional (Figure 7). Methylating agents contain a single reactive group that interacts covalently with nucleophilic reactive centers in DNA; these chemicals are the most commonly used alkylating agents during chemotherapy [116]. Dacarbazine and procarbazine are S_N1 methylating agents currently used for the treatment Hodgkin's lymphoma and TMZ used in glioblastoma treatment. The chloroethylating nitrosoureas, nimustine, carmustine, and lomustine are used for the treatment of brain tumors [5]. Bifunctional alkylating agents contain two reactive groups that can form interstrand crosslinks. These agents include mechlorethamine, cyclophosphamide, and melphalan that are used for the treatment of leukemia, lymphoma, multiple myeloma, ovarian cancer, and solid tumors [5].

Our understanding of how cancer cells react to different chemotherapeutic agents is becoming better understood. Altered DNA damage repair pathways are often targeted with anti-cancer agents to enhance a positive tumor response through synthetic lethality. Cancer cells lacking DR pathways can be targeted with alkylating agents. However, many cancers overexpress DR enzymes rendering them resistant to alkylating agents. Therefore, using inhibitors to inactivate MGMT or ALKBH proteins in tumors is a useful strategy to increase the response to alkylating agents.

Figure 7. Selected alkylating agents used therapeutically. The species modifying DNA are indicated by red in the structures.

4.1. MGMT inhibitors

MGMT inhibitors are pseudosubstrates that mimic the structure of O⁶meG (Figure 3B), and take advantage of its "suicide" mechanism by covalently binding to the enzyme active site rendering it inactive. In cancer cells, MGMT inhibitors are used to deplete MGMT-positive cancer cells of active enzyme, which enhances the efficacy of alkylating agents. To date, numerous MGMT inhibitors have been synthesized, such as O⁶-benzylguanine (O⁶BG), O⁶-(4-bromothienyl)guanine (lomeguatrib), and O⁶-[3-(aminomethyl)benzyl]guanine, and extensively studied in clinical trials in combination with DNA alkylating agents [117,118].

The first developed, and the most extensively studied MGMT inhibitor is O⁶BG, which is 2,000-times more effective at inactivating MGMT as compared to the O⁶meG lesion [119–121]. Various in vitro and in vivo studies have established that inactivation of MGMT with O⁶BG enhances sensitivity to alkylation treatment, and inhibited tumor growth [122]. Clinical trial studies have shown that O⁶BG sensitizes gliomas, melanomas, gastric adenocarcinomas, and medulloblastomas to the cell killing effects of TMZ and carmustine [123–127]. In addition, phase I trials using lomeguatrib in combination with TMZ effectively deplete patients of MGMT activity, and increase O⁶meG adducts in various cancer forms [128,129]. However, contrasting clinical trials studies have reported that the use of MGMT inhibitors in combination with anticancer alkylating agents has no impact on clinical outcome [122,130,131]. In addition, numerous clinical trials, and animal studies have determined that O⁶BG and lomeguatrib increases toxicity associated with alkylating therapy in non-tumor cells, especially in the bone marrow, resulting in myelosuppression [132–134]. Efforts to reduce off-target effects using O⁶BG substrates conjugated to tumor cell metabolites like glucose or folate are currently being tested, but have not been used in clinical trials [135,136]. Another inhibitor of MGMT that also induces autophagy is lipoic acid [137]. It remains to be seen whether other MGMT inhibitors enhance efficacy against tumors, or merely increase non-tumor cell toxicity during treatment with alkylating agents.

In contrast to inhibiting MGMT activity, other studies have focused on reducing MGMT expression in cancer cells. Targeting pathways that are often overactive in cancer cells may restore

sensitivity towards alkylating agents. Various studies have revealed that inhibiting signaling pathways, such as Hedgehog/GLI1 and WNT/β-catenin, reduces *MGMT* expression and restores sensitivity towards alkylating agents [138,139]. In addition, another strategy to reduce *MGMT* expression is by promoting gene promoter methylation [21,140]. Efforts to reduce *MGMT* gene expression include using the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors valproic acid (VPA), and the DNA methylation inhibitors [141–145]. Other work showed that TMZ and VPA treatments of melanoma cells, overexpressing HDACs, substantially increase apoptosis and/or increase survival compared to controls [143]. VPA treatment in melanoma cells also reduces proteins involved in homologous recombination (RAD52) and the Fanconi anemia pathway (FANCD2) [143]. Although VPA reactivates capase-8 and increased caspase-3 levels, at least one report suggests little if no benefit for using radiation and TMZ along with VPA [146]. Nonetheless that assessment is still under study. Despite the numerous proposed methods to deplete *MGMT* gene expression in tumor cells, there are no viable clinical approaches developed to inhibit MGMT production. However, these methods have the potential to be used as a treatment option for MGMT-positive cancers, and help avoid the toxicities associated with O⁶meG derivatives.

4.2. ALKBH inhibitors

Similar to *MGMT*, overexpression of *ALKBH2* promotes chemoresistance to alkylating agents [147]. Unlike MGMT, the potential inhibitors remain in the developmental stages, and there are currently no inhibitors of ALKBH proteins being tested in clinical trials [147]. Recent studies have suggested indirect methods for inhibiting ALKBH protein functions, which can be used as novel chemotherapeutic approaches to cancer treatment. One study proposed deregulating protein stability by targeting the OTU/USP7/USP9X deubiquitinase pathway, which acts as a master regulator of ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 protein stabilization. The OTU/USP7/USP9X deubiquitinase complex regulates ALKBH by K48-ubiquitination, a signaling peptide that marks proteins for proteasomal degradation [148,149]. In the absence of USP7 or USP9X, cells are sensitized to alkylation-mediated damage due to ALKBH protein destabilization. This suggests that small-molecule inhibitors of USP7 and USP9X can be used to sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs [150,151].

Limiting the required metabolic α -KG in cancer cells can serve as a therapeutic approach because it reduces ALKBH2 and ALKBH3 activity levels. A recent study found that the oncometabolite p-2-hydroxyglutarate (p-2-HG), which accumulates in *IDH*-mutant cancer cell lines, is an α -KG structural analog that acts as an inhibitor of ALKBH2 and 3 by blocking its demethylation activity. Therefore, *IDH*-mutant cancer cell lines have increased sensitivity to alkylating agents [152]. Another study found that glutamine deficiency inhibits ALKBH2 and 3 from repairing DNA alkylation damage. Glutamine is a precursor of α -KG and that study indicated reduction in α -KG levels using glutaminase inhibitors, in combination with alkylating agents, can improve drug efficacy [153]. Whether these methods will elicit a positive response in clinical trials has yet to be determined.

5. Conclusions

The DR systems in mammalian cells are more limited in their capacity to repair a variety of adducts as compared to base excision and nucleotide excision repair pathways. However, DR has

major roles in the elimination of adducts that can lead to mutations or cell death. The development of inhibitors of MGMT, ALKBH2, and ALKBH3 could help augment existing chemotherapies based on small DNA alkylating agents. Coupled with new technologies for drug delivery, targeting could be more specific to tumor cells, resulting in improved patient outcomes.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from the National Institutes of Health (CA 176611, J Termini/TR O'Connor), the California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (25IP-0020 and 28IR-0050, TR O'Connor), the City of Hope Cancer Center (P30 CA 033572, S. Rosen), the Irell and Manella School of Biological Sciences, and the Beckman Research Institute/City of Hope for support. Dr. Udo Obodo is thanked for reviewing the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. De Bont R, van Larebeke N (2004) Endogenous DNA damage in humans: A review of quantitative data. *Mutagenesis* 19: 169–185.
- 2. Rydberg B, Lindahl T (1982) Nonenzymatic methylation of DNA by the intracellular methyl group donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine is a potentially mutagenic reaction. *EMBO J* 1: 211–216.
- 3. Ballschmiter K (2003) Pattern and sources of naturally produced organohalogens in the marine environment: Biogenic formation of organohalogens. *Chemosphere* 52: 313–324.
- 4. Hamilton JT, Mcroberts WC, Keppler F, et al. (2003) Chloride methylation by plant pectin: An efficient environmentally significant process. *Science* 301: 206–209.
- 5. Fu D, Calvo JA, Samson LD (2012) Balancing repair and tolerance of DNA damage caused by alkylating agents. *Nat Rev Cancer* 12: 104–120.
- 6. Singer B (1975) The chemical effects of nucleic acid alkylation and their relation to mutagenesis and carcinogenesis. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 15: 219–284.
- 7. Singer B, Grunberger D (1983) Reaction of directly acting agents with nucleic acids, In: Singer B, Grunberger D. *Molecular biology of mutagens and carcinogens*, Boston: Springer, 45–96.
- 8. Beranek DT (1990) Distribution of methyl and ethyl adducts following alkylation with monofunctional alkylating agents. *Mutat Res* 231: 11–30.
- 9. Sukumar S, Notario V, Martin-Zanca D, et al. (1983) Induction of mammary carcinomas in rats by nitroso-methylurea involves malignant activation of H-ras-1 locus by single point mutations. *Nature* 306: 658–661.
- 10. Zarbl H, Sukumar S, Arthur AV, et al. (1985) Direct mutagenesis of Ha-ras-1 oncogenes by N-nitroso-N-methylurea during initiation of mammary carcinogenesis in rats. *Nature* 315: 382–385.
- 11. Esteller M, Garcia-Foncillas J, Andion E, et al. (2000) Inactivation of the DNA-repair gene MGMT and the clinical response of gliomas to alkylating agents. *N Engl J Med* 343: 1350–1354.
- 12. Christmann M, Kaina B (2016) MGMT—a critical DNA repair gene target for chemotherapy resistance, In: Kelley MR, Fischel ML. *DNA repair in cancer therapy*, New York: Elsevier, 55–82.

- 13. Ahmad A, Nay SL, O'Connor TR (2015) Direct Reversal Repair in Mammalian Cells, In: Chen C. *Advances in DNA Repair*: IntechOpen, 95–128.
- 14. Lindahl T, Demple B, Robins P (1982) Suicide inactivation of the E. coli O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase. *EMBO J* 1: 1359–1363.
- 15. Loenarz C, Schofield CJ (2011) Physiological and biochemical aspects of hydroxylations and demethylations catalyzed by human 2-oxoglutarate oxygenases. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36: 7–18.
- 16. Knijnenburg TA, Wang L, Zimmermann MT, et al. (2018) Genomic and Molecular Landscape of DNA Damage Repair Deficiency across The Cancer Genome Atlas. *Cell Rep* 23: 239–254.
- 17. Wiewrodt D, Nagel G, Dreimuller N, et al. (2008) MGMT in primary and recurrent human glioblastomas after radiation and chemotherapy and comparison with p53 status and clinical outcome. *Int J Cancer* 122: 1391–1399.
- 18. Gao W, Li L, Xu P, et al. (2011) Frequent down-regulation of hABH2 in gastric cancer and its involvement in growth of cancer cells. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 26: 577–584.
- 19. Tasaki M, Shimada K, Kimura H, et al. (2011) ALKBH3, a human AlkB homologue, contributes to cell survival in human non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 104: 700–706.
- 20. Yamato I, Sho M, Shimada K, et al. (2012) PCA-1/ALKBH3 contributes to pancreatic cancer by supporting apoptotic resistance and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* 72: 4829–4839.
- 21. Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, et al. (2005) MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 352: 997–1003.
- 22. Hermisson M, Klumpp A, Wick W, et al. (2006) O⁶-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase and p53 status predict temozolomide sensitivity in human malignant glioma cells. *J Neurochem* 96: 766–776.
- 23. Soll JM, Sobol RW, Mosammaparast N (2017) Regulation of DNA alkylation damage repair: Lessons and therapeutic opportunities. *Trends Biochem Sci* 42: 206–218.
- 24. Weller M, Tabatabai G, Kastner B, et al. (2015) MGMT promoter methylation is a strong prognostic biomarker for benefit from dose-intensified temozolomide rechallenge in progressive glioblastoma: The DIRECTOR Trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 21: 2057–2064.
- 25. Verbeek B, Southgate TD, Gilham DE, et al. (2008) O⁶-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase inactivation and chemotherapy. *Br Med Bull* 85: 17–33.
- 26. Tubbs JL, Pegg AE, Tainer JA (2007) DNA binding, nucleotide flipping, and the helix-turn-helix motif in base repair by O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase and its implications for cancer chemotherapy. *DNA Repair* 6: 1100–1115.
- 27. Duguid EM, Rice PA, He C (2005) The structure of the human AGT protein bound to DNA and its implications for damage detection. *J Mol Biol* 350: 657–666.
- 28. Daniels DS, Tainer JA (2000) Conserved structural motifs governing the stoichiometric repair of alkylated DNA by O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase. *Mutat Res* 460: 151–163.
- 29. Pegg AE (2000) Repair of O⁶-alkylguanine by alkyltransferases. *Mutat Res* 462: 83–100.
- 30. Demple B, Jacobsson A, Olsson M, et al. (1982) Repair of alkylated DNA in Escherichia coli. Physical properties of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase. *J Biol Chem* 257: 13776–13780.
- 31. Liu L, Xu-Welliver M, Kanugula S, et al. (2002) Inactivation and degradation of O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase after reaction with nitric oxide. *Cancer Res* 62: 3037–3043.
- 32. Xu-Welliver M, Pegg AE (2002) Degradation of the alkylated form of the DNA repair protein, O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 823–830.

- 33. Rasimas JJ, Dalessio PA, Ropson IJ, et al. (2004) Active-site alkylation destabilizes human O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase. *Protein Sci* 13: 301–305.
- 34. Oh HK, Teo AK, Ali RB, et al. (1996) Conformational change in human DNA repair enzyme O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase upon alkylation of its active site by SN1 (indirect-acting) and SN2 (direct-acting) alkylating agents: Breaking a "salt-link". *Biochemistry* 35: 12259–12266.
- 35. Lindahl T, Barnes DE (1992) Mammalian DNA ligases. Annu Rev Biochem 61: 251–281.
- 36. Lefebvre P, Laval F (1986) Enhancement of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase activity induced by various treatments in mammalian cells. *Cancer Res* 46: 5701–5705.
- 37. Frosina G, Laval F (1987) The O⁶-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase activity of rat hepatoma cells is increased after a single exposure to alkylating agents. *Carcinogenesis* 8: 91–95.
- 38. Laval F (1990) Induction of proteins involved in the repair of alkylated bases in mammalian cells by DNA-damaging agents. *Mutat Res* 233: 211–218.
- 39. Aasland D, Reich TR, Tomicic MT, et al. (2018) Repair gene O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase is controlled by SP1 and up-regulated by glucocorticoids, but not by temozolomide and radiation. *J Neurochem* 144: 139–151.
- 40. Kreth S, Limbeck E, Hinske LC, et al. (2013) In human glioblastomas transcript elongation by alternative polyadenylation and miRNA targeting is a potent mechanism of MGMT silencing. *Acta Neuropathol* 125: 671–681.
- 41. Ramakrishnan V, Kushwaha D, Koay DC, et al. (2011) Post-transcriptional regulation of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase MGMT in glioblastomas. *Cancer Biomark* 10: 185–193.
- 42. Zhang W, Zhang J, Hoadley K, et al. (2012) miR-181d: A predictive glioblastoma biomarker that downregulates MGMT expression. *Neuro Oncol* 14: 712–719.
- 43. Gao YT, Chen XB, Liu HL (2016) Up-regulation of miR-370-3p restores glioblastoma multiforme sensitivity to temozolomide by influencing MGMT expression. *Sci Rep* 6: 32972.
- 44. Swann PF, Magee PN (1969) Induction of rat kidney tumours by ethyl methanesulphonate and nervous tissue tumours by methyl methanesulphonate and ethyl methanesulphonate. *Nature* 223: 947–949.
- 45. Snow ET, Foote RS, Mitra S (1984) Base-pairing properties of O⁶-methylguanine in template DNA during *in vitro* DNA replication. *J Biol Chem* 259: 8095–8100.
- 46. Van Houten B, Sancar A (1987) Repair of N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine-induced DNA damage by ABC excinuclease. *J Bacteriol* 169: 540–545.
- 47. Glassner BJ, Weeda G, Allan JM, et al. (1999) DNA repair methyltransferase (Mgmt) knockout mice are sensitive to the lethal effects of chemotherapeutic alkylating agents. *Mutagenesis* 14: 339–347.
- 48. Toorchen D, Topal MD (1983) Mechanisms of chemical mutagenesis and carcinogenesis: Effects on DNA replication of methylation at the O⁶-guanine position of dGTP. *Carcinogenesis* 4: 1591–1597.
- 49. Choi JY, Chowdhury G, Zang H, et al. (2006) Translesion synthesis across O⁶-alkylguanine DNA adducts by recombinant human DNA polymerases. *J Biol Chem* 281: 38244–38256.
- 50. Delaney JC, Essigmann JM (2001) Effect of Sequence Context on O⁶-Methylguanine Repair and Replication *in vivo*. *Biochemistry* 40: 14968–14975.
- 51. Pence MG, Choi JY, Egli M, et al. (2010) Structural basis for proficient incorporation of dTTP opposite O⁶-methylguanine by human DNA polymerase iota. *J Biol Chem* 285: 40666–40672.

- 52. Day RS, Ziolkowski CH, Scudiero DA, et al. (1980) Defective repair of alkylated DNA by human tumour and SV40-transformed human cell strains. *Nature* 288: 724–727.
- 53. Hansen RJ, Nagasubramanian R, Delaney SM, et al. (2007) Role of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in protecting from alkylating agent-induced toxicity and mutations in mice. *Carcinogenesis* 28: 1111–1116.
- 54. Kaina B, Christmann M, Naumann S, et al. (2007) MGMT: Key node in the battle against genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and apoptosis induced by alkylating agents. *DNA Repair* 6: 1079–1099.
- 55. Sakumi K, Shiraishi A, Shimizu S, et al. (1997) Methylnitrosourea-induced tumorigenesis in MGMT gene knockout mice. *Cancer Res* 57: 2415–2418.
- 56. Tsuzuki T, Sakumi K, Shiraishi A, et al. (1996) Targeted disruption of the DNA repair methyltransferase gene renders mice hypersensitive to alkylating agent. *Carcinogenesis* 17: 1215–1220.
- 57. Reese JS, Allay E, Gerson SL (2001) Overexpression of human O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase (AGT) prevents MNU induced lymphomas in heterozygous p53 deficient mice. *Oncogene* 20: 5258–5263.
- 58. Wirtz S, Nagel G, Eshkind L, et al. (2010) Both base excision repair and O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase protect against methylation-induced colon carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 31: 2111–2117.
- 59. Psaroudi MC, Kyrtopoulos SA (2000) Toxicity, mutation frequency and mutation spectrum induced by dacarbazine in CHO cells expressing different levels of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase. *Mutat Res* 447: 257–265.
- 60. Quiros S, Roos WP, Kaina B (2010) Processing of O⁶-methylguanine into DNA double-strand breaks requires two rounds of replication whereas apoptosis is also induced in subsequent cell cycles. *Cell Cycle* 9: 168–178.
- 61. Mojas N, Lopes M, Jiricny J (2007) Mismatch repair-dependent processing of methylation damage gives rise to persistent single-stranded gaps in newly replicated DNA. *Genes Dev* 21: 3342–3355.
- 62. York SJ, Modrich P (2006) Mismatch repair-dependent iterative excision at irreparable O⁶-methylguanine lesions in human nuclear extracts. *J Biol Chem* 281: 22674–22683.
- 63. Ochs K, Kaina B (2000) Apoptosis induced by DNA damage O⁶-methylguanine is Bcl-2 and caspase-9/3 regulated and Fas/caspase-8 independent. *Cancer Res* 60: 5815–5824.
- 64. Hunter C, Smith R, Cahill DP, et al. (2006) A hypermutation phenotype and somatic MSH6 mutations in recurrent human malignant gliomas after alkylator chemotherapy. *Cancer Res* 66: 3987–3991.
- 65. Patel SA, Graunke DM, Pieper RO (1997) Aberrant silencing of the CpG island-containing human O⁶-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase gene is associated with the loss of nucleosome-like positioning. *Mol Cell Biol* 17: 5813–5822.
- 66. Watts GS, Pieper RO, Costello JF, et al. (1997) Methylation of discrete regions of the O⁶-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) CpG island is associated with heterochromatinization of the MGMT transcription start site and silencing of the gene. *Mol Cell Biol* 17: 5612–5619.
- 67. Kaina B, Fritz G, Mitra S, et al. (1991) Transfection and expression of human O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) cDNA in Chinese hamster cells: The role of MGMT in protection against the genotoxic effects of alkylating agents. *Carcinogenesis* 12: 1857–1867.

- 68. Dumenco LL, Allay E, Norton K, et al. (1993) The prevention of thymic lymphomas in transgenic mice by human O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase. *Science* 259: 219–222.
- 69. Liu L, Allay E, Dumenco LL, et al. (1994) Rapid repair of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA adducts protects transgenic mice from N-methylnitrosourea-induced thymic lymphomas. *Cancer Res* 54: 4648–4652.
- 70. Zhou ZQ, Manguino D, Kewitt K, et al. (2001) Spontaneous hepatocellular carcinoma is reduced in transgenic mice overexpressing human O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98: 12566–12571.
- 71. Zaidi NH, Pretlow TP, O'Riordan MA, et al. (1995) Transgenic expression of human MGMT protects against azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci and G to A mutations in the K-ras oncogene of mouse colon. *Carcinogenesis* 16: 451–456.
- 72. Nakatsuru Y, Matsukuma S, Nemoto N, et al. (1993) O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase protects against nitrosamine-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90: 6468–6472.
- 73. Allay E, Veigl M, Gerson SL (1999) Mice over-expressing human O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase selectively reduce O⁶-methylguanine mediated carcinogenic mutations to threshold levels after N-methyl-N-nitrosourea. *Oncogene* 18: 3783–3787.
- 74. Allay E, Reese JS, Mcguire EA, et al. (1997) Potentiation of lymphomagenesis by methylnitrosourea in mice transgenic for LMO1 is blocked by O⁶-alkylguanine DNA-alkyltransferase. *Oncogene* 15: 2127–2132.
- 75. Qin X, Zhou H, Liu L, et al. (1999) Transgenic expression of human MGMT blocks the hypersensitivity of PMS2-deficient mice to low dose MNU thymic lymphomagenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 20: 1667–1673.
- 76. Becker K, Dosch J, Gregel CM, et al. (1996) Targeted expression of human O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) in transgenic mice protects against tumor initiation in two-stage skin carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 56: 3244–3249.
- 77. Becker K, Gregel C, Fricke C, et al. (2003) DNA repair protein MGMT protects against N-methyl-N-nitrosourea-induced conversion of benign into malignant tumors. *Carcinogenesis* 24: 541–546.
- 78. Kurowski MA, Bhagwat AS, Papaj G, et al. (2003) Phylogenomic identification of five new human homologs of the DNA repair enzyme AlkB. *BMC Genomics* 4: 48.
- 79. Gerken T, Girard CA, Tung YC, et al. (2007) The obesity-associated FTO gene encodes a 2-oxoglutarate-dependent nucleic acid demethylase. *Science* 318: 1469–1472.
- 80. Duncan T, Trewick SC, Koivisto P, et al. (2002) Reversal of DNA alkylation damage by two human dioxygenases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99: 16660–16665.
- 81. Trewick SC, Henshaw TF, Hausinger RP, et al. (2002) Oxidative demethylation by Escherichia coli AlkB directly reverts DNA base damage. *Nature* 419: 174–178.
- 82. Tsujikawa K, Koike K, Kitae K, et al. (2007) Expression and sub-cellular localization of human ABH family molecules. *J Cell Mol Med* 11: 1105–1116.
- 83. Sundheim O, Talstad VA, Vagbo CB, et al. (2008) AlkB demethylases flip out in different ways. *DNA Repair* 7: 1916–1923.
- 84. Dango S, Mosammaparast N, Sowa ME, et al. (2011) DNA unwinding by ASCC3 helicase is coupled to ALKBH3-dependent DNA alkylation repair and cancer cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* 44: 373–384.

- 85. Brickner JR, Soll JM, Lombardi PM, et al. (2017) A ubiquitin-dependent signalling axis specific for ALKBH-mediated DNA dealkylation repair. *Nature* 551: 389–393.
- 86. Lee DH, Jin SG, Cai S, et al. (2005) Repair of methylation damage in DNA and RNA by mammalian AlkB homologues. *J Biol Chem* 280: 39448–39459.
- 87. Kataoka H, Yamamoto Y, Sekiguchi M (1983) A new gene (alkB) of Escherichia coli that controls sensitivity to methyl methane sulfonate. *J Bacteriol* 153: 1301–1307.
- 88. Falnes PO, Johansen RF, Seeberg E (2002) AlkB-mediated oxidative demethylation reverses DNA damage in Escherichia coli. *Nature* 419: 178–182.
- 89. Frick LE, Delaney JC, Wong C, et al. (2007) Alleviation of 1,N6-ethanoadenine genotoxicity by the Escherichia coli adaptive response protein AlkB. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 755–760.
- 90. Johnson RE, Yu SL, Prakash S, et al. (2007) A Role for Yeast and Human Translesion Synthesis DNA Polymerases in Promoting Replication through 3-Methyl Adenine. *Mol Cell Biol* 27: 7198–7205.
- 91. Engelward BP, Allan JM, Dreslin AJ, et al. (1998) A Chemical and Genetic Approach Together Define the Biological Consequences of 3-Methyladenine Lesions in the Mammalian Genome. *J Biol Chem* 273: 5412–5418.
- 92. Sedgwick B (2004) Repairing DNA-methylation damage. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 148–157.
- 93. Shrivastav N, Li D, Essigmann JM (2010) Chemical biology of mutagenesis and DNA repair: Cellular responses to DNA alkylation. *Carcinogenesis* 31: 59–70.
- 94. Furrer A, van Loon B (2014) Handling the 3-methylcytosine lesion by six human DNA polymerases members of the B-, X- and Y-families. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42: 553–566.
- 95. Ringvoll J, Nordstrand LM, Vagbo CB, et al. (2006) Repair deficient mice reveal mABH2 as the primary oxidative demethylase for repairing 1meA and 3meC lesions in DNA. *EMBO J* 25: 2189–2198.
- 96. Calvo JA, Meira LB, Lee CYI, et al. (2012) DNA repair is indispensable for survival after acute inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 122: 2680–2689.
- 97. Nay SL, Lee DH, Bates SE, et al. (2012) Alkbh2 protects against lethality and mutation in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts. *DNA Repair* 11: 502–510.
- 98. Jeggo PA, Pearl LH, Carr AM (2016) DNA repair, genome stability and cancer: A historical perspective. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16: 35–42.
- 99. Park TJ, Han SU, Cho YK, et al. (2001) Methylation of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene is associated significantly with K-ras mutation, lymph node invasion, tumor staging, and disease free survival in patients with gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 92: 2760–2768.
- 100. Esteller M, Toyota M, Sanchez-Cespedes M, et al. (2000) Inactivation of the DNA repair gene O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase by promoter hypermethylation is associated with G to A mutations in K-ras in colorectal tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* 60: 2368–2371.
- 101. Wolf P, Hu YC, Doffek K, et al. (2001) O⁶-Methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter hypermethylation shifts the p53 mutational spectrum in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 61: 8113–8117.
- 102. Nakamura M, Watanabe T, Yonekawa Y, et al. (2001) Promoter methylation of the DNA repair gene MGMT in astrocytomas is frequently associated with G:C → A:T mutations of the TP53 tumor suppressor gene. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 1715–1719.
- 103. Esteller M, Hamilton SR, Burger PC, et al. (1999) Inactivation of the DNA repair gene O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase by promoter hypermethylation is a common event in primary human neoplasia. *Cancer Res* 59: 793–797.

- 104. Sharma S, Salehi F, Scheithauer BW, et al. (2009) Role of MGMT in tumor development, progression, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis. *Anticancer Res* 29: 3759–3768.
- 105. Soejima H, Zhao W, Mukai T (2005) Epigenetic silencing of the MGMT gene in cancer. *Biochem Cell Biol* 83: 429–437.
- 106. Lee SY (2016) Temozolomide resistance in glioblastoma multiforme. Genes Dis 3: 198–210.
- 107. Christmann M, Nagel G, Horn S, et al. (2010) MGMT activity, promoter methylation and immunohistochemistry of pretreatment and recurrent malignant gliomas: A comparative study on astrocytoma and glioblastoma. *Int J Cancer* 127: 2106–2118.
- 108. Citron M, Schoenhaus M, Rothenberg H, et al. (1994) O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in normal and malignant tissue of the breast. *Cancer Invest* 12: 605–610.
- 109. Musarrat J, Wilson JA, Abou-Issa H, et al. (1995) O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase activity levels in normal, benign and malignant human female breast. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 208: 688–696.
- 110. Hengstler JG, Tanner B, Moller L, et al. (1999) Activity of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in relation to p53 status and therapeutic response in ovarian cancer. *Int J Cancer* 84: 388–395.
- 111. Konishi N, Nakamura M, Ishida E, et al. (2005) High expression of a new marker PCA-1 in human prostate carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 5090–5097.
- 112. Fedeles BI, Singh V, Delaney JC, et al. (2015) The AlkB Family of Fe(II)/alpha-Ketoglutarate-dependent Dioxygenases: Repairing Nucleic Acid Alkylation Damage and Beyond. *J Biol Chem* 290: 20734–20742.
- 113. Stefansson OA, Hermanowicz S, van der HJ, et al. (2017) CpG promoter methylation of the ALKBH3 alkylation repair gene in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 17: 469.
- 114. Shimada K, Fujii T, Tsujikawa K, et al. (2012) ALKBH3 contributes to survival and angiogenesis of human urothelial carcinoma cells through NADPH oxidase and tweak/Fn14/VEGF signals. *Clin Cancer Res* 18: 5247–5255.
- 115. Krumbhaar EB, Krumbhaar HD (1919) The Blood and Bone Marrow in Yelloe Cross Gas (Mustard Gas) Poisoning: Changes produced in the Bone Marrow of Fatal Cases. *J Med Res* 40: 497–508.
- 116. Ahmad A, Nay SL, O'Connor TR, (2015) Direct Reversal Repair in Mammalian Cells, In: Chen CC, editor. *Advances in DNA Repair*, Rijeka: InTech, Ch. 04.
- 117. Sabharwal A, Middleton MR (2006) Exploiting the role of O⁶-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) in cancer therapy. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 6: 355–363.
- 118. Middleton MR, Margison GP (2003) Improvement of chemotherapy efficacy by inactivation of a DNA-repair pathway. *Lancet Oncol* 4: 37–44.
- 119. Dolan ME, Moschel RC, Pegg AE (1990) Depletion of mammalian O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase activity by O⁶-benzylguanine provides a means to evaluate the role of this protein in protection against carcinogenic and therapeutic alkylating agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 87: 5368–5372.
- 120. Pegg AE, Boosalis M, Samson L, et al. (1993) Mechanism of inactivation of human O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase by O⁶-benzylguanine. *Biochemistry* 32: 11998–12006.
- 121. Rabik CA, Njoku MC, Dolan ME (2006) Inactivation of O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase as a means to enhance chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 32: 261–276.

- 122. Kaina B, Margison GP, Christmann M (2010) Targeting O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase with specific inhibitors as a strategy in cancer therapy. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 67: 3663–3681.
- 123. Friedman HS, Dolan ME, Moschel RC, et al. (1992) Enhancement of nitrosourea activity in medulloblastoma and glioblastoma multiforme. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 84: 1926–1931.
- 124. Wedge SR, Porteous JK, Newlands ES (1997) Effect of single and multiple administration of an O⁶-benzylguanine/temozolomide combination: An evaluation in a human melanoma xenograft model. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 40: 266–272.
- 125. Wan Y, Wu D, Gao H, et al. (2000) Potentiation of BCNU anticancer activity by O⁶-benzylguanine: A study *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol* 19: 69–75.
- 126. Marathi UK, Dolan ME, Erickson LC (1994) Anti-neoplastic activity of sequenced administration of O⁶-benzylguanine, streptozotocin, and 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Biochem Pharmacol* 48: 2127–2134.
- 127. Kreklau EL, Kurpad C, Williams DA, et al. (1999) Prolonged inhibition of O⁶-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase in human tumor cells by O⁶-benzylguanine *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 291: 1269–1275.
- 128. Ranson M, Middleton MR, Bridgewater J, et al. (2006) Lomeguatrib, a potent inhibitor of O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase: Phase I safety, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacokinetic trial and evaluation in combination with temozolomide in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 12: 1577–1584.
- 129. Watson AJ, Middleton MR, Mcgown G, et al. (2009) O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase depletion and DNA damage in patients with melanoma treated with temozolomide alone or with lomeguatrib. *Br J Cancer* 100: 1250–1256.
- 130. Gajewski TF, Sosman J, Gerson SL, et al. (2005) Phase II trial of the O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase inhibitor O⁶-benzylguanine and 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea in advanced melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 7861–7865.
- 131. Blumenthal DT, Rankin C, Stelzer KJ, et al. (2015) A Phase III study of radiation therapy (RT) and O⁶-benzylguanine + BCNU versus RT and BCNU alone and methylation status in newly diagnosed glioblastoma and gliosarcoma: Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) study S0001. *Int J Clin Oncol* 20: 650–658.
- 132. Quinn JA, Jiang SX, Reardon DA, et al. (2009) Phase II trial of temozolomide plus O⁶-benzylguanine in adults with recurrent, temozolomide-resistant malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 1262–1267.
- 133. Friedman HS, Pluda J, Quinn JA, et al. (2000) Phase I trial of carmustine plus O⁶-benzylguanine for patients with recurrent or progressive malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol* 18: 3522–3528.
- 134. Khan O, Middleton MR (2007) The therapeutic potential of O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase inhibitors. *Expert Opin Invest Drugs* 16: 1573–1584.
- 135. Tomaszowski KH, Hellmann N, Ponath V, et al. (2017) Uptake of glucose-conjugated MGMT inhibitors in cancer cells: Role of flippases and type IV P-type ATPases. *Sci Rep* 7: 13925.
- 136. Javanmard S, Loktionova NA, Fang Q, et al. (2007) Inactivation of O⁶-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase by folate esters of O⁶-benzyl-2'-deoxyguanosine and of O⁶-[4-(hydroxymethyl)benzyl]guanine. *J Med Chem* 50: 5193–5201.
- 137. Goder A, Nagel G, Kraus A, et al. (2015) Lipoic acid inhibits the DNA repair protein O 6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) and triggers its depletion in colorectal cancer cells with concomitant autophagy induction. *Carcinogenesis* 36: 817–831.

- 138. Wang K, Chen D, Qian Z, et al. (2017) Hedgehog/Gli1 signaling pathway regulates MGMT expression and chemoresistance to temozolomide in human glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell Int* 17: 117.
- 139. Wickstrom M, Dyberg C, Milosevic J, et al. (2015) Wnt/beta-catenin pathway regulates MGMT gene expression in cancer and inhibition of Wnt signalling prevents chemoresistance. *Nat Commun* 6: 8904.
- 140. Esteller M, Herman JG (2004) Generating mutations but providing chemosensitivity: The role of O⁶-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase in human cancer. *Oncogene* 23: 1–8.
- 141. Nakada M, Furuta T, Hayashi Y, et al. (2012) The strategy for enhancing temozolomide against malignant glioma. *Front Oncol* 2: 98.
- 142. Moen EL, Stark AL, Zhang W, et al. (2014) The role of gene body cytosine modifications in MGMT expression and sensitivity to temozolomide. *Mol Cancer Ther* 13: 1334–1344.
- 143. Krumm A, Barckhausen C, Kucuk P, et al. (2016) Enhanced histone deacetylase activity in malignant melanoma provokes RAD51 and FANCD2-triggered drug resistance. *Cancer Res* 76: 3067–3077.
- 144. Ryu CH, Yoon WS, Park KY, et al. (2012) Valproic acid downregulates the expression of MGMT and sensitizes temozolomide-resistant glioma cells. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2012: 987495.
- 145. Li Z, Xia Y, Bu X, et al. (2018) Effects of valproic acid on the susceptibility of human glioma stem cells for TMZ and ACNU. *Oncol Lett* 15: 9877–9883.
- 146. Eckert M, Klumpp L, Huber SM (2017) Cellular Effects of the Antiepileptic Drug Valproic Acid in Glioblastoma. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 44: 1591–1605.
- 147. Johannessen TC, Prestegarden L, Grudic A, et al. (2013) The DNA repair protein ALKBH2 mediates temozolomide resistance in human glioblastoma cells. *Neuro Oncol* 15: 269–278.
- 148. Komander D, Rape M (2012) The ubiquitin code. Annu Rev Biochem 81: 203–229.
- 149. Zhao Y, Majid MC, Soll JM, et al. (2015) Noncanonical regulation of alkylation damage resistance by the OTUD4 deubiquitinase. *EMBO J* 34: 1687–1703.
- 150. Chauhan D, Tian Z, Nicholson B, et al. (2012) A small molecule inhibitor of ubiquitin-specific protease-7 induces apoptosis in multiple myeloma cells and overcomes bortezomib resistance. *Cancer Cell* 22: 345–358.
- 151. Kapuria V, Peterson LF, Fang D, et al. (2010) Deubiquitinase inhibition by small-molecule WP1130 triggers aggresome formation and tumor cell apoptosis. *Cancer Res* 70: 9265–9276.
- 152. Wang P, Wu J, Shenghong M, et al. (2015) Oncometabolite D-2-hydroxyglutarate inhibits ALKBH DNA repair enzymes and sensitizes IDH-mutant cells to alkylating agents. *Cell Rep* 13: 2353–2361.
- 153. Tran TQ, Ishak Gabra MB, Lowman XH, et al. (2017) Glutamine deficiency induces DNA alkylation damage and sensitizes cancer cells to alkylating agents through inhibition of ALKBH enzymes. *PLOS Biol* 15: e2002810.



© 2018 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)