



Research article

A “nonlinear duality” approach to $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions in elliptic systems related to the Keller-Segel model[†]

Lucio Boccardo*

Istituto Lombardo – Accademia di Scienze e Lettere - Palazzo di Brera, Milano, Italia

[†] **This contribution is part of the Special Issue:** Nonlinear PDEs and geometric analysis

Guest Editors: Julie Clutterbuck; Jiakun Liu

Link: www.aimspress.com/mine/article/6186/special-articles

* **Correspondence:** Email: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it.

Abstract: In this paper, we prove existence of distributional solutions of a nonlinear elliptic system, related to the Keller-Segel model. Our starting point is the boundedness theorem (for solutions of elliptic equations) proved by Guido Stampacchia and Neil Trudinger.

Keywords: duality method; nonlinear elliptic system; $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions

1. Background: results by Guido Stampacchia and Neil Trudinger

The following results about the summability of the solutions of Dirichlet problems for equations with discontinuous coefficients are nowadays classical, since the papers [19] and [20] by Guido Stampacchia and Neil Trudinger [12].

If $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $m \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$, thanks to Lax-Milgram Theorem and Sobolev embedding, there exist a weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of

$$u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} f(x) v(x), \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$; the matrix $M(x)$ is symmetric, uniformly elliptic and bounded: there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$M(x) \xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^2, \quad |M(x)| \leq \beta, \quad (1.2)$$

for every ξ in \mathbb{R}^N , and for almost every x in Ω .

Moreover:

- 1) If $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $\frac{2N}{N+2} \leq m \leq \frac{N}{2}$, the summability of u (which belongs to $L^{m^{**}}(\Omega)$, $m^{**} = \frac{mN}{N-2m}$, if $\frac{2N}{N+2} \leq m < \frac{N}{2}$ and it has exponential summability if $m = \frac{N}{2}$) was proved in [19] (see also [5, 13, 20, 21]).
- 2) If $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $m > \frac{N}{2}$, the boundedness of u , was proved in [19] (see also [13, 20]).
- 3) If $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $1 < m < \frac{2N}{N+2}$, in [8], is proved a (nonlinear) Calderon-Zygmund theory for operators with discontinuous coefficients, showing the existence of a distributional solution $u \in W_0^{1,m^*}(\Omega)$, $m^* = \frac{mN}{N-m}$. Note that, in this case $m^* \in (1^*, 2)$ and, thanks to the Sobolev embedding, $u \in L^{m^{**}}(\Omega)$ as in (1).
- 4) The existence in $W_0^{1,1^*}(\Omega)$ is proved, in [8], if $\int_{\Omega} |f| \log(1 + |f|) < \infty$.

Then a question arises: is it possible to prove (as in (3)) that

$$f \in L^m(\Omega), \frac{2N}{N+2} < m < N, \text{ implies } \nabla u \in (L^{m^*}(\Omega))^N ? \quad (1.3)$$

In [4], it is proved that the above statement is false if $\frac{N}{2} < m < N$.

The results recalled in (1), (2), (3) and (4) are crucial in the next proofs.

2. A stationary system weakly related to the Keller-Segel model

In this paper, we prove existence of distributional solutions of the following nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(M(x) \nabla u) + u = -\operatorname{div}\left(u \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi}{1+\psi}\right) + f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\operatorname{div}(M(x) \nabla \psi) + \psi = u^{\sigma-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 = \psi & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$0 \leq f(x) \in L^{\rho}(\Omega), \rho > 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Of course, $f(x)$ needs not to be identically null. On the power σ we suppose $1 < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$, but it is preferable (in the following proofs) to split the assumption as

$$\frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}, \quad (2.3)$$

or

$$\sigma = \frac{N}{N-2}, \quad (2.4)$$

or

$$1 < \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}. \quad (2.5)$$

There are many theoretical models for chemotaxis; one of the most important is the Keller-Segel one (see [14, 16, 17] and also [3, 10]). Following [15] (see also [14]), one of the possible models is the “chemical signal driven logistic model” which leads, in the stationary case, to the system above. Note that the equation for u includes a chemotaxis term with nonlinear flux limitation having a kind of logarithmic dependence: $\frac{\nabla \psi}{1+\psi} = \nabla \log(1 + \psi)$.

The original model of chemotaxis presents a linear dependence of the gradient of the concentration of the chemical substance ψ in the equation of u in the form $-\operatorname{div}(\chi u \nabla \psi)$, for a positive given constant χ .

2.1. Approximate problems

Note that, with our assumption, we have $\sigma - 1 > 0$. We define $f_n(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}f(x)}$ and we consider the following approximate Dirichlet problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u_n \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} u_n v = \int_{\Omega} T_n(u_n) \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla v}{(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla \psi_n|)(1 + \psi_n)} + \int_{\Omega} f_n v, \\ \psi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \psi_n \varphi = \int_{\Omega} [T_{(n^3)}(u_n)]^{\sigma-1} \varphi, \end{array} \right.$$

where, $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

Here we enumerate some properties of the solutions u_n, ψ_n .

- A** The existence of (u_n, ψ_n) is a consequence of Proposition 3.1 of [11] (with minimal changes).
- B** The positivity of $f(x)$ gives, in the first equation, $u_n \geq 0$ (see [3]), which, in the second equation, implies $\psi_n \geq 0$.
- C** In the first equation, $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq n$ and the modulus of the function in the divergence term is less than n^2 , so that, with the boundedness theorem by G. Stampacchia ([19], see also [20]), we deduce $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_0 n^2$. Thus, in the second equation, we observe that $T_{(n^3)}(u_n) = u_n$ (for $n > n_0$) and we can rewrite the above system as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u_n \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} u_n v = \int_{\Omega} T_n(u_n) \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla v}{(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla \psi_n|)(1 + \psi_n)} + \int_{\Omega} f_n v; \\ 0 \leq \psi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \psi_n \varphi = \int_{\Omega} (u_n)^{\sigma-1} \varphi. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)$$

3. Nonlinear duality method

In the following lemma, in spite of the nonlinearity of the problem, we use a kind of duality, which will be advantageous to prove a priori estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *We assume (1.2), (2.2), $1 < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$. Let $a \in (0, 1)$. Then the following “nonlinear dual” inequality holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n)^\sigma}{(1 + \psi_n)} \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) (\psi_n)^a. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. In the above system, we use $\log(1 + \psi_n)$ as test function in the first equation, $\frac{-u_n}{(1 + \psi_n)}$ as test

function in the second equation and we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u_n \frac{\nabla \psi_n}{1 + \psi_n} + \int_{\Omega} [u_n - f_n] \log(1 + \psi_n) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{T_n(u_n)}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla \psi_n}{(1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla \psi_n|)} \\ - \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla u_n \frac{1}{(1 + \psi_n)} + \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi_n \nabla \psi_n \frac{u_n}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} = \int_{\Omega} [\psi_n - (u_n)^{\sigma-1}] \frac{u_n}{(1 + \psi_n)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, after simplifications (we use $0 \leq \frac{T_n(u_n)}{1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla \psi_n|} \leq u_n$), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_n)^{\sigma-1} \frac{u_n}{(1 + \psi_n)} + \int_{\Omega} u_n \left[\log(1 + \psi_n) - \frac{\psi_n}{(1 + \psi_n)} \right] \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \log(1 + \psi_n)$$

and, dropping a positive term, we prove the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n)^{\sigma}}{(1 + \psi_n)} \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \log(1 + \psi_n). \quad (3.2)$$

Now we use the inequality $0 \leq \log(1 + \psi_n) \leq \frac{1}{a}(\psi_n)^a$, $a \in (0, 1)$, and we have (3.1). \square

Lemma 3.2. *We assume (1.2), (2.2). Then the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in*

$$\begin{cases} L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega), \text{ if } \frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2} \text{ (assumption (2.3));} \\ L^r(\Omega), \text{ } r < \frac{N}{N-2}, \text{ if } \sigma = \frac{N}{N-2} \text{ (assumption (2.4));} \\ L^{\sigma}(\Omega), \text{ if } 1 < \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2} \text{ (assumption (2.5)).} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First part: $\frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$ - Let $q < \sigma$. Then (we use Hölder inequality with exponents $\frac{\sigma}{q}$ and $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-q}$) we have, using (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u_n)^q &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n)^q}{(1 + \psi_n)^{\frac{q}{\sigma}}} (1 + \psi_n)^{\frac{q}{\sigma}} \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n)^{\sigma}}{(1 + \psi_n)} \right]^{\frac{q}{\sigma}} \left[\int_{\Omega} (1 + \psi_n)^{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}} \right]^{\frac{\sigma-q}{\sigma}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{a} \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) (\psi_n)^a \right]^{\frac{q}{\sigma}} \|1 + \psi_n\|_{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}}^{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{\frac{q}{\sigma}} \left[\|\psi_n\|_{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}}^a \|f\|_{\frac{q}{q-(\sigma-q)a}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\sigma}} (C_1 + \|\psi_n\|_{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}})^{\frac{q}{\sigma}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|u_n\|_q \leq \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left[\|\psi_n\|_{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}}^a \|f\|_{\frac{q}{q-(\sigma-q)a}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} (C_1 + \|\psi_n\|_{\frac{q}{\sigma-q}})^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

Define $q = \frac{N}{N-2}$ and $p = \frac{N}{(\sigma-1)(N-2)}$; we note that $p > 1$ since $\sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$.

Then we use Calderon-Zygmund type estimates for Dirichlet problems with infinite energy solutions, proved in [8, 19] (see (1) and (3)) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_q &\leq \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left[\|\psi_n\|_{p^{**}}^a \|f\|_{\frac{q}{q-(\sigma-q)a}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} (C_1 + \|\psi_n\|_{p^{**}})^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ \|u_n\|_q^{\sigma} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) \left[C_p \|u_n^{\sigma-1}\|_p^a \|f\|_{\frac{q}{q-(\sigma-q)a}} \right] (C_1 + C_p \|u_n^{\sigma-1}\|_p) \end{aligned}$$

We note that $p(\sigma - 1) = q$ and we rewrite the last inequality as

$$\|u_n\|_q^\sigma \leq \left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \left[C_q \|u_n\|_q^{(\sigma-1)a} \|f\|_{\frac{q}{q-(\sigma-1)a}} \right] (C_1 + C_q \|u_n\|_q^{\sigma-1})$$

Thus for $a > 0$ close to zero, we have proved the following estimate, where $\rho > 1$ is close to one,

$$\|u_n\|_{\frac{N}{N-2}} \leq C_0(\|f\|_\rho) \quad (3.3)$$

Second part: $\sigma = \frac{N}{N-2}$ - There is only a slight change with respect to the previous case: $p(\sigma - 1) < q$.
Third part: $1 \leq \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}$ - Recall the following L^∞ estimate (proved in [19], see also [20]), concerning the second equation,

$$\|\psi_n\|_\infty \leq C_0 \|(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\|_p, \quad p > \frac{N}{2}.$$

Then we deduce directly from (3.2)

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \|\psi_n\|_\infty)} \int_\Omega (u_n)^\sigma \leq \log(1 + \|\psi_n\|_\infty) \int_\Omega f(x)$$

and

$$\int_\Omega (u_n)^\sigma \leq (1 + \|\psi_n\|_\infty) \frac{1}{a} \|\psi_n\|_\infty^a \|f\|_1 \leq (1 + C_0 \|(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\|_p) \frac{1}{a} [C_0 \|(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\|_p]^a \|f\|_1.$$

Let $p = \sigma'$ (which implies $\sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}$). Then

$$\int_\Omega (u_n)^\sigma \leq C(\|f\|_1).$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *We assume (1.2), (2.2). As a consequence of the previous lemma, the sequence $\{(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\}$ is bounded in*

$$\begin{cases} L^{\frac{N}{(N-2)(\sigma-1)}}(\Omega), & \text{if } \frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}; \\ L^s(\Omega), & s < \frac{N}{2}, \text{ if } \sigma = \frac{N}{N-2}; \\ L^{\sigma'}(\Omega), & \text{if } 1 < \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}. \end{cases}$$

Thus the right hand side of the second equation is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$ if $\frac{N}{(N-2)(\sigma-1)} \geq 1$; that is, if $\sigma \leq \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$.

Corollary 3.4. *If, in the second equation of (2.6), we take as test function $\frac{\psi_n}{1 + \psi_n}$, (following [2, 3]), we have*

$$\alpha \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} \leq \int_\Omega (u_n)^{\sigma-1} \leq C_1. \quad (3.4)$$

Corollary 3.5. *The sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ if the right hand side of the second equation is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}(\Omega)$ that is if*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma \leq \frac{3N-2}{2(N-2)}, & \text{if } \frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}; \\ \text{always,} & \text{if } \sigma = \frac{N}{N-2}; \\ \text{always,} & \text{if } 1 < \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.6. *If in the first equation of (2.6) we take as test function $\frac{u_n}{1+u_n}$, following [2, 3], and we use Young inequality, we have*

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1+u_n)^2} \leq \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} + \int_{\Omega} f. \quad (3.5)$$

That is, the sequence $\{\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{(1+u_n)}\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$; with this boundedness, in [3], is proved that there exists a measurable function $u(x)$ such that

$$u_n(x) \text{ converges a.e. to } u(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Corollary 3.7. *If in the first equation of (2.6) we take as test function $T_k(u_n)$, following [2, 3], we deduce*

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^2 \leq k^2 \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} + k \int_{\Omega} f,$$

so that we can add to (3.6) the following weak convergence

$$T_k(u_n) \text{ converges weakly in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ to } T_k(u), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.7)$$

Corollary 3.8. *If $1 < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$, the sequence $\{(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\}$ is bounded in $L^v(\Omega)$, $v > 1$ (and more: in $L^{\sigma'}(\Omega)$ if $1 < \sigma < \frac{N}{N-2}$). Then the above a.e. convergence (3.6) and the Vitali theorem say that the sequence $\{(u_n)^{\sigma-1}\}$ converges in $L^1(\Omega)$ to $\{u^{\sigma-1}\}$.*

Then (see [7, 8]) the sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ is compact in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $q < \frac{N}{N-1}$, at least; in Corollary 3.5 is proved a stronger result for a smaller subset of exponents σ . Define ψ a cluster point of $\{\psi_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

Corollary 3.9. *A result by Leone-Porretta ([18]) states that the sequence $\{\nabla T_k(\psi_n)\}$ is L^2 compact, because the right hand side of the second equation in (2.6) is L^1 compact (Corollary 3.8).*

Lemma 3.10. *The sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|}{1+\psi_n} \right\} \text{ is } L^2 \text{ compact.} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. If in the second equation of (2.6) we take $\left[\frac{\psi_n}{1+\psi_n} - \frac{k}{1+k} \right]^+$ as test function and we use Hölder inequality, we have (recall (3.3))

$$\alpha \int_{\{k < \psi_n\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} \leq \int_{\{k < \psi_n\}} (u_n)^{\sigma-1} \leq (C_0 \|f\|_{\rho})^{\sigma-1} | \{k < \psi_n\} |^{1-\frac{(\sigma-1)(N-2)}{N}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Now we use this inequality to prove the L^1 equi-integrability of the sequence $\left\{ \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} \right\}$. Indeed, for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} &\leq \int_{\{k < \psi_n\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} + \int_{E \cap \{\psi_n \leq k\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1+\psi_n)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (C_0 \|f\|_{\rho})^{\sigma-1} | \{k < \psi_n\} |^{1-\frac{(\sigma-1)(N-2)}{N}} + \int_E |\nabla T_k(\psi_n)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now Corollary 3.9 says that, for every $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the last integral is small (uniformly with respect to n) if $|E|$ is small. Here $|E|$ denotes the measure of the subset E .

Moreover $|\{k < \psi_n\}|$ is small (uniformly with respect to n) for k large enough. Thus the last two sentences prove that

$$\text{the sequence } \left\{ \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|}{1 + \psi_n} \right\} \text{ is } L^2 \text{ equi-integrable.} \quad (3.10)$$

Furthermore a result proved in [8] implies that the sequences $\{\nabla \psi_n(x)\}$ and $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ converge almost everywhere, so that these a.e. convergences, (3.10) and Vitali theorem yield (3.8). \square

Corollary 3.11. *In the first equation of (2.6) we take as test function $\left[\frac{u_n}{1 + u_n} - \frac{k}{1 + k} \right]^+$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, (following [2, 3]) we use the Young inequality and we have*

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{[1 + u_n]^2} \leq \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha} \int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} + \int_{\{k < u_n\}} f. \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, there is a second important consequence of (3.10): the a priori estimates on the sequence $\{u_n\}$ imply that $|\{k < u_n\}|$ is small for k large (uniformly with respect to n), so that, in (3.11), the term $\int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1 + \psi_n)^2}$ is small (uniformly with respect to n) if k is large enough and then the term

$$\int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{[1 + u_n]^2} \text{ is also small (uniformly with respect to } n) \text{ if } k \text{ is large enough.} \quad (3.12)$$

3.1. Entropy solutions

Following [3] and [1] we recall the definition of entropy solution, useful in cases (as here) of very singular framework, where the definition of distributional solution is meaningless.

Note that, if $N > 4$, $u \notin L^2(\Omega)$, so that the term $u \frac{\nabla \psi}{1 + \psi}$ does not belong to L^1 .

Definition 3.12. *A measurable function u is an entropy solution of the first equation of our system if*

$$\begin{cases} T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^+; \\ \int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u \nabla T_k[u - \varphi] + \int_{\Omega} u T_k[u - \varphi] \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} u \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla T_k[u - \varphi]}{1 + \psi} + \int_{\Omega} f(x) T_k[u - \varphi], \\ \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Thanks to (3.6), Corollary 3.8, (3.8), we can use the above definition for our problem, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 3.9 of [3] and we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.13. *Assume (1.2), (2.2), $1 < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$. Then there exists an entropy solution $u \geq 0$ of the first equation in the sense of Definition 3.12. Moreover there exists a weak solution $0 \leq \psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the second equation, if $\sigma \leq \frac{3(N+2)}{2(N-2)}$ and $\frac{N}{N-2} < \sigma < \frac{2N-2}{N-2}$, or a distributional solution $0 \leq \psi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, in the other range of value of σ .*

Remark 3.14. *Note that we have not proved that u , entropy solution of the first equation, belongs to some Sobolev space; we only have, from (3.5), that $\log(1 + u)$ belongs to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.*

3.2. Distributional solutions

In this subsection we study a case of distributional solutions u , that is a case of $\nabla u \in L^1$.

Observe that Lemma 3.2 says that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ if

$$\begin{cases} N \leq 4, \text{ under the assumption (2.3);} \\ N < 4, \text{ under the assumptions (2.4) and (2.5).} \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Lemma 3.15. *Assume (3.14). Then the sequence $\{\nabla u_n\}$ is equi-integrable and the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is L^1 compact.*

Proof. Here we follow an approach of [9] (see also [6]). Since we observed that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, we use the Hölder inequality, (3.11) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{k < u_n\}} |\nabla u_n| &= \int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{[1 + u_n]} [1 + u_n] \leq \left[\int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{[1 + u_n]^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} [1 + u_n]^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha} \int_{\{k < u_n\}} \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} + \int_{\{k < u_n\}} f \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} C_1 [1 + \|u_n\|_2] = \omega_k. \end{aligned}$$

In (3.12) is proved that ω_k is small (uniformly with respect to n) if k is large enough. Then, for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$, we deduce that

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \int_{\{k < u_n\}} |\nabla u_n| + \int_E |\nabla T_k(u_n)| \leq \omega_k + |E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which implies (recall Corollary 3.7)

$$\lim_{|E| \rightarrow 0} \int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \omega_k,$$

that is the equi-integrability.

The above inequalities, with $k = 0$, give the L^1 boundedness of the sequence $\{\nabla u_n\}$. Then the L^1 compactness of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a consequence of the Rellich theorem.

Thus we improved (3.6):

$$\nabla u_n \text{ converges weakly in } L^1 \text{ to } \nabla u. \quad (3.15)$$

□

Now we can state the existence of distributional solutions.

Theorem 3.16. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.13, let assume (3.14). Then there exist distributional solutions $0 \leq u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq \psi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $q < \frac{N}{N-1}$, of system (2.1); that is, we have that*

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} u v = \int_{\Omega} u \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla v}{(1 + \psi)} + \int_{\Omega} f v,$$

for every v in $C_0^1(\Omega)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \psi \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma-1} \varphi,$$

for every φ in $C_0^1(\Omega)$.

3.3. A direct approach to the boundedness of the sequence $\{\psi_n\}$

In this subsection, we assume (1.2), $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, $1 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{N}$.

Following [3], we prove the following a priori estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|. \quad (3.16)$$

Indeed, if we take $\frac{u_n}{h + |u_n|}$ as test function in the first equation, we have (thanks to the Young inequality)

$$\frac{\alpha h}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(h + |u_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{h + |u_n|} \leq \frac{h}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \beta^2 \frac{|\nabla \psi_n|^2}{(1 + \psi_n)^2} + \int_{\Omega} |f|,$$

which implies, dropping a positive term and letting $h \rightarrow 0$, the estimate (3.16).

Thus, for the right hand side of the second equation we have the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_n^{\sigma-1})^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|$$

and, if $\frac{1}{\sigma-1} > \frac{N}{2}$ (that is $\sigma - 1 < \frac{2}{N}$), the right hand side of the second equation is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$, $s > \frac{N}{2}$, which implies that the sequence of the solutions $\{\psi_n\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$; if $\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$ (that is $\sigma - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N}$), the right hand side of the second equation is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}(\Omega)$, which implies that the sequence of the solutions $\{\psi_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Summarizing, with this approach,

$$\sigma - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \text{ yields the boundedness of the sequence } \{\psi_n\} \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (3.17)$$

with the use of the estimate (3.16).

3.4. General nonlinearities

It is possible to adapt our approach (nonlinear duality) to the case of the system

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Omega} u v = \int_{\Omega} u \frac{M(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla v}{(1 + \psi)^\gamma} + \int_{\Omega} f v, \quad \forall v \in C_0^1(\Omega),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x) \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \psi \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u^{\sigma-1} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega),$$

with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A possible approach (which we only sketch here) is

- define an approximate system (as in (2.6));
- use as test functions $(g(\psi_n), \frac{u_n}{h(\psi_n)})$

with

$$\begin{cases} g(t) = \int_0^t e^{\frac{(1+s)^{1-\gamma}}{\gamma-1}} ds \\ h(t) = e^{-\frac{(1+t)^{1-\gamma}}{\gamma-1}}. \end{cases}$$

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J. L. Vazquez, An L^1 theory of existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **22** (1995), 241–273.
2. L. Boccardo, Some developments on Dirichlet problems with discontinuous coefficients, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, **2** (2009), 285–297.
3. L. Boccardo, Dirichlet problems with singular convection term and applications, *J. Differ. Equations*, **258** (2015), 2290–2314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.12.009>
4. L. Boccardo, A failing in the Calderon-Zygmund theory of Dirichlet problems for linear equations with discontinuous coefficients, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **26** (2015), 215–221. <https://doi.org/10.4171/RLM/703>
5. L. Boccardo, G. Croce, *Elliptic partial differential equations. Existence and regularity of distributional weak solutions*, Berlin: De Gruyter, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110315424>
6. L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina, Nonlinear degenerate elliptic problems with $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions, *Manuscripta Math.*, **137** (2012), 419–439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-011-0473-6>
7. L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **87** (1989), 149–169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(89\)90005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(89)90005-0)
8. L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, Nonlinear elliptic equations with right hand side measures, *Commun. Part. Diff. Eq.*, **17** (1992), 641–655.
9. L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions in some borderline cases of Calderon–Zygmund theory, *J. Differ. Equations*, **253** (2012), 2698–2714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.07.003>
10. L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, Sublinear elliptic systems with a convection term, *Commun. Part. Diff. Eq.*, **45** (2020), 690–713. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2020.1712417>
11. L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, Existence of weak solutions for some elliptic systems, *Pure Appl. Anal.*, **4** (2022), 517–534. <https://doi.org/10.2140/paa.2022.4.517>
12. D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61798-0>
13. P. Hartman, G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential–functional equations, *Acta Math.*, **115** (1966), 271–310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392210>
14. D. Horstmann, From 1970 until present: the Keller-Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences. I, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.*, **105** (2003), 103–165.
15. T. Hillen, K. J. Painter, A user’s guide to PDE models for chemotaxis, *J. Math. Biol.*, **58** (2009), 183–217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-008-0201-3>
16. E. F. Keller, L. A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **26** (1970), 399–415. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193\(70\)90092-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(70)90092-5)

17. E. F. Keller, L. A. Segel, Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **30** (1971), 225–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193\(71\)90050-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(71)90050-6)
18. C. Leone, A. Porretta, Entropy solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations in L^1 , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **32** (1998), 325–334. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(96\)00323-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(96)00323-9)
19. G. Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, **15** (1965), 189–258. <https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.204>
20. N. S. Trudinger, Linear elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **27** (1973), 265–308.
21. N. S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **17** (1967), 473–483.



AIMS Press

©2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)