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1. Introduction

The area of mathematics which concentrates on the combinatorial features used in computation theory is generally known as “combinatorics on words”. The work of Axel Thue [1, 2] gave rise to the subject of study. Lothaire’s *combinatorics on words* [3] touched on the algebraic aspects of combinatorics extensively. Combinatorics on words, on the other hand, is intimately related to the study of formal languages because both fields are concerned with words.

Recent research has focused on many combinatorial properties of words, such as tandem repeats, having a square-free property, primitive words, complexity, morphisms on words, borderness, periodicity, and so on. For example, Kari and Mahalingam investigated involutively bordered words in [4], Czeizler et al. concentrated on the primitivity property based on the action of molecules in DNA in [5], Yu studied the borderness property of words in [6], and so on. The study has now been expanded to include two-dimensional words and their combinatorial features.

The field of computer science that deals with the generation and analysis of pictures has been referred to as “picture processing”. Rosenfeld [7] had examined the necessity for array-rewriting rules for picture languages in order to generate isometric arrays. Narasimhan [8] did groundbreaking work
by proposing and implementing a language paradigm for the resolution of nontrivial picture processing problems. A linguistic model was presented by Siromoney et al. for the formation of matrices, or rectangular arrays of terminals, through the replacement of regular language families with well-known formal language families [9]. Later, by altering the type of rewriting rules, new language families were introduced [10]. The idea of rewriting rules in string grammars has been extended by authors to array-rewriting rules for matrix grammars; thus, at present, the rules are either regular (Reg), context-free (CoFree), or context-sensitive (CoSen). However, the row and column concatenation condition limits how the production rules can be used. Applications include the production of two-dimensional crystallography [11, 12]. Two-dimensional CoFree grammars were introduced by Tomita in 1989 [13].

Amir and Benson [14] investigated periodicity in two-dimensional arrays. Various scholars explored the occurrence of palindromes in two-dimensional arrays [15–18]. Various formal models for creating or recognizing two-dimensional images have been published in the literature. These studies were driven by image processing and pattern identification problems [19, 20], but two-dimensional patterns have also emerged in studies related to numerous parallel computing models, including combinatorics.

Many methods have been developed to study these features. For examples, Knuth et al. [21] studied pattern matching in words, Cole et al. [22] provided an algorithm for pattern matching in words and arrays, and Geizhals and Sokol [23] presented an approach for finding the maximal two-dimensional palindromes.

In this study, we aimed to generate palindromic picture languages by using array grammars and prove some of their properties.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls several definitions from the literature that were required for our study. In Section 3, we define two-dimensional palindromic array languages (PALs) and discuss a few examples. In Section 4, we study some of the results from the perspective of the PALs and their closure properties. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss their application to a kolam pattern.

2. Preliminaries

Here, we recall a few definitions that were required for our study. For basic definitions, we refer the reader to [10, 15, 24].

2.1. One-dimensional words

**Definition 2.1.** ([24]) A finite and non-empty collection of symbols called letters is known as an alphabet, denoted by \( \mathcal{A} \). \( |\mathcal{A}| \) represents the total number of elements present in \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Definition 2.2.** ([24]) A finite or infinite sequence of letters from the alphabet is known as a string or word.

If \( \mathcal{A} \) is an alphabet, then \( \mathcal{A}^* \) represents the collection of strings created by joining together zero or more letters from \( \mathcal{A} \). A subset of \( \mathcal{A}^* \) is usually known as one-dimensional language.

**Definition 2.3.** ([24]) If \( s \) and \( t \) are two strings from \( \mathcal{A}^* \), then word concatenation of \( s \) and \( t \) is realized by appending letters of \( t \) to the right end of \( s \). We denote this by \( s \bullet t \), or simply, \( st \); if

\[
s = s_1s_2 \cdots s_m
\]

and

\[
t = t_1t_2 \cdots t_n,
\]
where

\[ s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n \in \mathcal{A}, \]

then

\[ st = s \cdot t = s_1s_2\cdots s_m t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n. \]

**Definition 2.4.** \((\text{[24]})\) *Length* of a string \(s\) is the total number of characters that it contains, denoted by \(|s|\). If \(|s| = 0\), we say that \(w\) is an *empty word*, usually denoted by \(\lambda\).

Note that

\[ \mathcal{A}^* \setminus \{\lambda\} = \mathcal{A}^+, \]

where \(\mathcal{A}^+\) is the collection of all non-empty strings over \(\mathcal{A}\).

**Definition 2.5.** \((\text{[24]})\) If \(s\) is a string over \(\mathcal{A}\) which is given by

\[ s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n, \]

then the *reversal* of the string \(s\) is defined as a rewriting of \(s\) from right to left, denoted by \(s^R\):

\[ s^R = s_n \cdots s_2 s_1, \]

where \(s_i \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\).

**Definition 2.6.** \((\text{[24]})\) String \(s\) is said to be *palindromic*, or we say \(s\) is a *palindrome* if \(s = s^R\), i.e., if

\[ s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \]

and

\[ s_i = s_{n-(i-1)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \]

where \(s_i \in \mathcal{A}\).

**Definition 2.7.** \((\text{[24]})\) If \(s \in \mathcal{A}^*\), then \(s^k\) is obtained by appending \(s\) to the right end of \(s\) itself \(k - 1\) times, i.e.,

\[ s \cdot s \cdot s \cdots s \ (k - \text{times}), \]

for all \(k \geq 1\). If \(k = 0\), then

\[ s^0 = \lambda, \]

which is an empty string.

2.2. *Two-dimensional words*

**Definition 2.8.** \((\text{[15]})\) A rectangular array of letters over a finite alphabet \(\mathcal{A}\) is known as a *picture* or *matrix* over \(\mathcal{A}\).

A collection of pictures is denoted by \(\mathcal{A}^{**}\). A subset of \(\mathcal{A}^{**}\) is usually known as *picture language* (or *two-dimensional language*) over \(\mathcal{A}\).
Definition 2.9. ([10]) If
\[
M_A = \begin{array}{cccc}
  u_{11} & \ldots & u_{1n} & v_{11} & \ldots & v_{1n'} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  u_{m1} & \ldots & u_{mn} & v_{m'1} & \ldots & v_{m'n'}
\end{array},
\]
\[
M_B = \begin{array}{cccc}
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  v_{11} & \ldots & v_{1n'} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  v_{m'1} & \ldots & v_{m'n'} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array},
\]
then the vertical concatenation of \(M_A\) and \(M_B\) is defined as follows:
\[
M_A \oplus M_B = \begin{array}{cccc}
  u_{11} & \ldots & u_{1n} & v_{11} & \ldots & v_{1n'} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  u_{m1} & \ldots & u_{mn} & v_{m'1} & \ldots & v_{m'n'}
\end{array}, \text{ provided } m = m',
\]
and the horizontal concatenation of \(M_A\) and \(M_B\) is defined as follows:
\[
M_A \ominus M_B = \begin{array}{cccc}
  u_{11} & \ldots & u_{1n} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  u_{m1} & \ldots & u_{mn}
\end{array}, \text{ provided } n = n'.
\]
We use \(\oplus\) to denote that the concatenation is either \(\oplus\) or \(\ominus\).

Definition 2.10. ([10]) If
\[
M_A = \begin{array}{cccc}
  u_{11} & \ldots & u_{1n} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  u_{m1} & \ldots & u_{mn}
\end{array},
\]
then the half-turn of \(M_A\) (also called the reversal of \(M_A\)), denoted by \(M_A^R\), is given by
\[
M_A^R = \begin{array}{cccc}
  u_{mn} & \ldots & u_{m1} \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
  u_{1n} & \ldots & u_{11}
\end{array},
\]

Definition 2.11. ([15]) An array \(M_A\) is said to be palindromic, or, we say that \(M_A\) is a palindrome if \(M_A = M_A^R\).

Definition 2.12. ([15]) The order or size of an array with \(r\) rows and \(s\) columns is denoted by \(r \times s\), provided that \(r, s \geq 1\). The arrays of order \(r \times 0\) or \(0 \times s\) are undefined. An array of order \(0 \times 0\) is known as an empty array, denoted by \(\Lambda\).

Note that
\[
\mathcal{A}^{++} \setminus \{\Lambda\} = \mathcal{A}^{++},
\]
where \(\mathcal{A}^{++}\) represents the collection of all non-empty arrays over \(\mathcal{A}\).
**Definition 2.13.** ([10]) The array-rewriting grammar $G$ is defined as follows:

$$G = (Vr, I, Pr, S),$$

which denotes the array grammar, where

$$Vr = Vr_1 \cup Vr_2,$$

where $Vr_1$ is a finite collection of nonterminals, $Vr_2$ is a finite collection of intermediates, $I$ is a finite collection of terminals;

$$Pr = Pr_1 \cup Pr_2 \cup Pr_3,$$

where $Pr_1$ is a finite collection of ordered pairs $(u, v)$ (written $u \rightarrow v$), and $u$ and $v$ are contained within $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)_+$ or $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)^+$, classified as follows:

- $Pr_1$ is CoSen if there is a $(u, v)$ in $Pr_1$ such that $v = u_1\beta v_1$ and $u = u_1S_1v_1$, where $S_1 \in Vr_1$ and $u_1, v_1, \beta$ are all contained within $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)_+$ or $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)^+$.
- $Pr_1$ is CoFree, if in $Pr_1$, for all $(u, v)$ in $Pr_1$, we find that $v \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)_+$ or $v \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)^+$ and $u \in Vr_1$.
- $Pr_1$ is Reg if $v$ is of the form $U \oplus V$, given that $U \in Vr_1$ and $V \in Vr_2$ or $U \in Vr_2$ and $V \in Vr_1$ with $u \in Vr_1$.

$Pr_2$ is the finite collection of intermediate rules which are ordered pairs denoted by $(u, v)$, where $u$ and $v$ in

$$\left(Vr_2 \cup \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}\right)_+$$

or

$$\left(Vr_2 \cup \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}\right)^+: x_1, \ldots, x_p \in I^{++}$$

have the same number of columns and rows in the first and second cases, respectively, classified as Reg, CoFree, or CoSen according to the intermediate matrix languages generated.

$Pr_3$, the finite collection of terminal rules, is represented by ordered pairs denoted by $(u, v)$, where $u \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)$ and $v \in I^{++}; S \in Vr_1$ is the start symbol.

**Definition 2.14.** ([10]) An array grammar is referred to as follows:

- (Reg: Reg)AG if all intermediate languages are Reg and the nonterminal rules are Reg.
- (Reg: CoFree)AG if none of the intermediate languages is Reg and the nonterminal rules are Reg.
- (Reg: CoSen)AG if there exists an intermediate language which is not CoFree and the nonterminal rules are Reg.

3. Two-dimensional PALs

In this section, we define two-dimensional palindromic array grammars (PAGs) and the PALs that are generated by them.
Definition 3.1. The PAG $G$ is defined as follows:
\[ G = (Vr, I, Pr, S), \]
where
\[ V = Vr_1 \cup Vr_2, \]
where $Vr_1$ is a finite collection of nonterminals, $Vr_2$ is a finite collection of intermediates and $I$ is a finite collection of terminals;
\[ Pr = Pr_1 \cup Pr_2 \cup Pr_3, \]
where $Pr_1$ is a finite collection of ordered pairs denoted by $(\mu, \nu)$ (written $\mu \rightarrow \nu$), given that $\mu$ and $\nu$ are contained within $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)_+$ or $(Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)^+$, classified as follows:
- $Pr_1$ is CoFree, if for all $(\mu, \nu)$ in $Pr_1$, we find that $\mu \in Vr_1$ and $\nu$ is expressed as $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mu_k$, where $\mu_i \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)_+$ or $\mu_i \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)^+$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$.
- $Pr_1$ is Reg, if for all $(\mu, \nu)$ in $Pr_1$, we find that $\mu \in Vr_1$ and $\nu$ being expressed as $U \oplus V$, where $U \in Vr_1$ and $V \in Vr_2$ or $U \in Vr_2$ and $V \in Vr_1$.

$Pr_2$ is the finite collection of intermediate rules which are ordered pairs denoted by $(\mu, \nu)$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ in
\[ (Vr_2 \cup \{x_1, \cdots, x_p\})_+ \]
or
\[ (Vr_2 \cup \{x_1, \cdots, x_p\})^+: x_1, \ldots, x_p \in I^{++} \]
have the same number of columns and rows in the first and second cases, respectively, classified as Reg or CoFree according to the intermediate matrix languages generated.

$Pr_3$, the finite collection of terminal rules, are ordered pairs denoted by $(\mu, \nu)$, where $\mu \in (Vr_1 \cup Vr_2)$ and $\nu \in I^{++}$; $S \in Vr_1$ is the start symbol.

Definition 3.2. A PAG is referred to as follows:
- (Reg: Reg)PAG if all intermediate languages are Reg and the nonterminal rules are Reg.
- (Reg: CoFree)PAG if none of the intermediate languages is Reg and the nonterminal rules are Reg.
- The remaining two PAGs, i.e., (CoFree: CoFree)PAG, (CoFree: Reg)PAG, can be defined similarly.

Example 3.1. The PAL
\[ L = \{(r)^+_n\} \]
is generated by the PAG
\[ G = (Vr, I, Pr, S), \]
where
\[ Vr = Vr_1 \cup Vr_2, \quad Vr_1 = \{S\}, \quad Vr_2 = \{A_1, A_2\}, \quad I = \{r\}, \]
\[ Pr_1 = \{S \rightarrow S \oplus A_1, S \rightarrow S \oplus A_2\}, \quad Pr_3 = \{S \rightarrow r\}, \quad L_{A_1} = \{(r)^n : n \geq 1\}, \quad L_{A_2} = \{(r)_{m} : m \geq 1\}. \]
This grammar is of type (Reg: Reg)PAG.
For instance, one of the arrays generated by this grammar is as follows:

\[ S \rightarrow S \odot A_1 \rightarrow (S \odot A_2) \odot A_1 \rightarrow ((S \odot A_2) \odot A_2) \odot A_1 \rightarrow r \ r \ r \]

Example 3.2. The PAL given by

\[ L = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \\ r \end{array} \right) \right\}^+ \]

is generated by the PAG

\[ G = (V_r, I, P_r, S), \]

where

\[ V_r = V_{r1} \cup V_{r2}, \quad V_{r1} = \{S\}, \quad V_{r2} = \{A, B\}, \quad I = \{r, s\}, \]

\[ P_{r1} = \{S \rightarrow S \odot A, S \rightarrow S \odot B\}, \]

\[ P_{r3} = \left\{ S \rightarrow r \ s \ s \ r \ \right\}, \quad L_A = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \\ r \end{array} \right)^n : n \geq 1 \right\}, \]

\[ L_B = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \\ r \end{array} \right)^m : m \geq 1 \right\}. \]

This grammar is of type (Reg: Reg)PAG.

For instance, one of the arrays generated by the above grammar is as follows:

\[ S \rightarrow S \odot A \rightarrow (S \odot B) \odot A \rightarrow r \ s \ s \ r \]

Example 3.3. The PAL given by

\[ L = \{\text{Collection of all hv-palindromes which are bordered by } x's\} \]

is generated by the PAG

\[ G = (V_r, I, P_r, S), \]

where

\[ V_r = V_{r1} \cup V_{r2}, \quad V_{r1} = \{S, S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, C, D\}, \quad V_{r2} = \{X, Y\}, \quad I = \{x, y\}. \]

\[ P_{r1} \]

has the following production rules:

\[ S \rightarrow X \odot S_1 \odot X, \quad S_1 \rightarrow S_3 \odot S_1 \odot S_3, \]

\[ S_1 \rightarrow (X \odot D \odot X) \odot S_4, \quad S_3 \rightarrow C \odot D \odot C \]

\[ C \rightarrow ((Y) \odot (X)^m \odot (Y)) : m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \quad D \rightarrow ((X) \odot (Y)^m \odot (X)) : m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots. \]
$P_{r_3}$ has the following rules:

\[ S \to (x \oplus x)^n, \quad n \geq 1; \quad S_1 \to (x \oplus x)^n, \quad n \geq 1; \quad S_1 \to (x)^n, \quad n \geq 1. \]

It also has the following intermediate languages:

\[ L_X = \{(x)^n : n \geq 1\}, \quad L_Y = \{(y)_m : m \geq 1\}. \]

This grammar is of type \((CF: CF)PAG\).

For instance, one of the arrays generated by the above grammar is as follows:

\[
S \implies X \oplus S_1 \oplus X \implies X \oplus (X \oplus D \oplus X) \oplus X \implies x \quad x \quad x
\]
\[
Y \quad x \implies x \quad y \quad x \quad x
\]

**Example 3.4.** The PAL given by

\[ L = \{\text{Collection of all hv- square palindromes of odd order}\} \]

is generated by the PAG

\[ G = (Vr, I, Pr, S), \]

where

\[ Vr = Vr_1 \cup Vr_2, \quad Vr_1 = \{S, S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n\}, \quad I = \{x, y\}, \]
\[ Vr_2 = \{S_1^i, T_1^i, U_1^i, V_1^i, W_1^i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}. \]

$P_{r_1}$ has the following production rules:

\[
S \to S_1^1 \oplus S_1 \oplus S_1^1 \quad /T_1^1 \oplus S_1 \oplus T_1^1 \quad /U_1^1 \oplus S_1 \oplus U_1^1 \quad /V_1^1 \oplus S_1 \oplus V_1^1 \quad /W_1^1 \oplus S_1 \oplus W_1^1
\]
\[
S_1 \to S_2^2 \oplus S_2 \oplus S_2^2 \quad /T_2^2 \oplus S_2 \oplus T_2^2 \quad /U_2^2 \oplus S_2 \oplus U_2^2 \quad /V_2^2 \oplus S_2 \oplus V_2^2 \quad /W_2^2 \oplus S_2 \oplus W_2^2
\]
\[ \vdots \]
\[
S_{n-1} \to S_n^* \oplus S_n \oplus S_n^* \quad /T_n^* \oplus S_n \oplus T_n^* \quad /U_n^* \oplus S_n \oplus U_n^* \quad /V_n^* \oplus S_n \oplus V_n^* \quad /W_n^* \oplus S_n \oplus W_n^*
\]
\[
S_n \to S_{n+1}^*/T_{n+1}^*/U_{n+1}^*/V_{n+1}^*/W_{n+1}^*.
\]

$P_{r_3}$ has the following rules:

\[ S \to a, \quad S \to b. \]
It also has the following intermediate languages:

\[
\begin{align*}
L_{S^*} &= \{(a)_{2n+1} : n \geq 1 \}, \\
L_{R^*} &= \{(b)_{2n+1} : n \geq 1 \}, \\
L_{U^*} &= \{(a)_{k} : k, j \geq 0, 2k + j = 2n + 1, n \geq 1 \}, \\
L_{T^*} &= \{(b)_{m} : m, p \geq 0, 2m + p = 2n + 1, n \geq 1 \}, \\
L_{V^*} &= \{(a)_{q}, (b)_{r}, (a)_{t}, (b)_{s}, (a)_{q} : q, r, s, t, \cdots \geq 0, 2q + 2r + 2s + 2t + \cdots = 2n + 1, n \geq 1 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

This grammar is of type \((CF: CF)PAG\).

4. Results on PAGs

In this section, we study some of the results on PAGs.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(\{M_n : n \geq 1\}\) be a sequence of palindromic matrices such that \(M_n\) has any one of the following forms:

\[
M_n = (A \ominus M_{n-1}) \oplus B \text{ or } B \ominus (M_{n-1} \ominus A) \text{ or } (M_{n-1} \ominus A) \oplus B \text{ or } B \ominus (A \ominus M_{n-1}),
\]

where \(A\) and \(B\) are taken from intermediate matrix language \(L_A\) and \(L_B\) for \(n \geq 1\). Then, the sequence \(\{M_n\}\) is generated by regular nonterminal rules. Further, if the recursive way of defining \(M_n\) is unique, then \(\{M_n\}\) is a \((\text{Reg: Reg})PAL\) or \((\text{Reg: CoFree})PAL\).

**Proof.** If we use the nonterminal rules that are given in the form of \(S \rightarrow (X \ominus S) \oplus Y\), terminal rules that are given in the form of \(S \rightarrow M_1\) and the intermediate rules to generate \(L_X\) and \(L_Y\) will yield the following language: \((\text{Reg: Reg})PAL\). We can similarly prove the other cases. \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(\{M_n : n \geq 1\}\) be a sequence of palindromic matrices such that \(M_n\) has any one of the following forms:

\[
M_n = X_1 \oplus (Y_1 \ominus M_{n-1} \ominus Y_2) \oplus X_2 \text{ or } M_n = X_1 \ominus (Y_1 \ominus M_{n-1} \ominus Y_2) \ominus X_2,
\]
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where \(X_1, X_2, Y_1,\) and \(Y_2\) are taken from intermediate matrix languages \(L_{X_1}, L_{X_2}, L_{Y_1},\) and \(L_{Y_2}\) for \(n \geq 1\) such that at least \(Y_1\) and \(Y_2\) or \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are non-empty. Then, the sequence \(\{M_n\}\) is generated by CoFree nonterminal rules. Further, if the recursive way of defining \(M_n\) is unique, then \(\{M_n\}\) is a \((\text{CoFree}: \text{Reg})\) PAL or \((\text{CoFree}: \text{CoFree})\) PAL.

**Proof.** We find that, in the grammars which generate the above-mentioned type of palindromic arrays, there exists at least one self-embedding nonterminal rule. Since the self-embedding nonterminals cannot generate \(\text{Reg}\) PALs, we say that the nonterminal rules are CoFree. Thus, the array language will be either \((\text{CoFree}: \text{Reg})\) PAL or \((\text{CoFree}: \text{CoFree})\) PAL. \(\square\)

Next, we shall discuss the closure properties satisfied by PAGs.

**Theorem 4.3.** All families of palindromic matrix languages are closed under union, homomorphic, and reflect about the base.

**Proof.**
- If \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) are two palindromic matrix languages generated by the following \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAG:
  \[
  H_1 = (V_{r_1}, I, \text{Pr}_1, S_1) \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = (V_{r_2}, I, \text{Pr}_2, S_2),
  \]
  then
  \[
  L = L_1 \cup L_2
  \]
  generated by the grammar
  \[
  H = (V_{r}, I, \text{Pr}, S),
  \]
  where
  \[
  V = V_{r_1} \cup V_{r_2}, \quad P = \text{Pr}_1 \cup \text{Pr}_2, \quad S = S_1 \cup S_2
  \]
  will also be \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAL because of the restrictions of the production rule, it is similar for the other families of PALs.
- Let \(H\) be the PAG that generates the PAL of type \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAL. Let \(H'\) be a PAG obtained by retaining the nonterminal rules of \(H\), putting \(h(a)\) in the place of \(a\) in the terminal rules and in the intermediate language of \(H\), where \(a \in I\) and \(h\) is a homomorphism. Then, we observe that \(H'\) itself is a \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAG. We can similarly prove this for the other families of PALs.
- Let \(H\) be the PAG \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAG and \(M = M(H)\). Let \(H'\) be the grammar which generates the languages of arrays that are obtained by reflecting the language generated by \(H\) about the base. Then, \(H'\) can be derived as follows: all nonterminal rules in \(H\) that involve \(\odot\) only are to be retained in \(H'\). Corresponding to the nonterminal rule \(S_1 \to D \odot E\) in \(H\), we have that \(S_1 \to E \odot D\) in \(H'\). If \(S_1 \to X\) is a terminal rule in \(H\), then \(S_1 \to X^E\) is a terminal rule in \(H'\), where \(X^E\) is the reflection of \(X\) about its base. If \(L_D\) is an intermediate language generated by \(D\) in \(H\), then \(L_D^E\) is the intermediate language generated by \(D\) in \(H'\). Then, we find \(H'\) to be a \((\text{Reg}: \text{Reg})\) PAG. We can similarly prove this for the other families of PALs. \(\square\)

5. Palindromic kolams—an overview

Every morning, South Indian villagers draw kolams on the courtyard in front of each house. Young women use specks of rice flour to artistically decorate the floor with kolam. Celebrations require more
intricate kolam patterns. A small design can be enlarged immediately to cover a wide floor space, but this is rarely done. Different designs have names, and larger variants of the same kolam use more sophisticated designs. For each kolam pattern, a grammar can build a two-dimensional language.

In this section, we will discuss a palindromic kolam pattern existing in the literature and generate it by using PAGs.

**Vilvathalam kolam (see Figure 1):**

![Vilvathalam kolam](image)

**Figure 1. Vilvathalam kolam.**

The kolam in Figure 1 can be generated by the grammar introduced in [25], which is a \((\text{CoFree: Reg})AG\) given by

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{P} & \bar{Q} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
L_Q = \{TT \ (\uparrow \downarrow T \downarrow C)^n \} \cup \{TT \ (\uparrow \downarrow T \downarrow \uparrow T \downarrow T \downarrow T \downarrow T : n \geq 1 \},
\]

\[
L_R = \{\begin{array}{c}
T \downarrow \\
\uparrow T \\
\uparrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\end{array} \} \Theta \{\begin{array}{c}
T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\end{array} : n \geq 1 \},
\]

and \(P\) is generated by the \((\text{Reg: Reg})AG\) labeled as \(G\), which is defined as follows:

\[
G = (Vr, I, Pr, S),
\]

where

\[
V = Vr_1 \cup Vr_2, \ \ Vr_1 = \{S\}, \ \ Vr_2 = \{A, B\}, \ \ I = \{\uparrow, \downarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow\}, \ \ Pr_1 = \{S \rightarrow (B \oplus S) \Theta A\},
\]

\[
L_A = \left\{\begin{array}{c}
T \\
\uparrow T \\
\uparrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \\
\end{array} \right\} \left\{\begin{array}{c}
T \\
\downarrow T \uparrow T \downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \downarrow T \uparrow T \downarrow T \\
\downarrow T \downarrow T \downarrow T \downarrow T : n \geq 0 \right\}
\]
Clearly, the picture derived from $M$ is palindromic and the production rules adhere to the nature of PALs. Hence, we found that $G$ was a $(\text{Reg} : \text{Reg})\text{PAG}$ and $M$ was generated by $(\text{CoFree} : \text{Reg})\text{PAG}$.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have defined PAGs as an extension of array-rewriting grammars and evaluate a few examples for various PAG. Further, we studied a few results on PAG and explored how they can facilitate the generation of kolam patterns. Future works will be focused on proving/disproving the other combinatorial properties of PAG and the study of their other applications.
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