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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the following non-autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson equation with a critical nonlocal term and a critical nonlinearity: $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi|u|^{3} u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\ -\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} . \end{array}\right.
$$

First, we consider the case that the nonlinearity satisfies the Berestycki-Lions type condition with critical growth. Second, we consider the case that $\operatorname{int} V^{-1}(0)$ is contained in a spherical shell. By using variational methods, we obtain the existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions.
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## 1. Introduction

The Schrödinger-Poisson equation

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi u=f(x, u), & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta \phi=u^{2}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},\end{cases}
$$

arises in a physical context. It is introduced while describing the interaction of a charged particle with an electrostatic field. More details can be found in [3]. Also, it appears in other fields like semiconductor theory, nonlinear optics, and plasma physics. The readers may refer to [18] and the references therein for further discussion. When $V \equiv 1, \lambda=1$, and $f(x, u)=|u|^{p-2} u$, problem (1.1) has been studied sufficiently. We refer to [9] for $p \leq 2$ and $p \geq 6,[7,8,10]$ for $4 \leq p<6$, [2] for $3<p<6$, and [22] for $2<p<6$. In [31], the authors obtained an axially symmetric solution of the following

Schrödinger-Poisson equation in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\phi u=K(x) f(u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
\Delta \phi=u^{2}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $V$ and $K$ are both axially symmetric functions. In [4,5], the almost necessary and sufficient condition (Berestycki-Lions type condition) for the existence of ground state solutions of the problem

$$
-\Delta u=g(u), u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

was given by [4] when $N=2$ and [5] when $N \geq 3$. Precisely, they assumed $g$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(g_{1}\right) g(s) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and odd.
$\left(g_{2}\right)-\infty<\liminf _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{s} \leq \lim \sup _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{s}=-a<0$ for $N \geq 3$, and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(s)}{s}=-a<0$ for $N=2$.
$\left(g_{3}\right)$ When $N \geq 3$, lim $\sup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{|s| \frac{N+2}{N+2}} \leq 0$; when $N=2$, for any $\alpha>0$ there exists $C_{\alpha}>0$ such that $g(s) \leq C_{\alpha} \exp \left(\alpha s^{2}\right)$ for all $s>0$.
$\left(g_{4}\right)$ There exists $\xi_{0}>0$ such that $G\left(\xi_{0}\right)>0$, where $G\left(\xi_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\xi_{0}} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$.
When $g$ satisfies the above Berestycki-Lions type condition, the authors in [19] studied the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q \phi u=g(u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
-\Delta \phi=q u^{2}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using a truncation technique in [14], they proved that the problem admits a nontrivial positive radial solution for $q>0$ small. For the critical case, the authors in [30] studied the existence of positive radial solutions of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u+\phi u=\mu Q(x)|u|^{q-2} u+K(x) u^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
-\Delta \phi=u^{2}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q \in(2,4), \mu>0$, and $Q$ and $K$ are radial functions satisfying the following conditions:
$\left(h_{1}\right) K \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x)=K_{\infty} \in(0, \infty)$ and $K(x) \geq K_{\infty}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
$\left(h_{2}\right) Q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x)=Q_{\infty} \in(0, \infty)$ and $Q(x) \geq Q_{\infty}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
$\left(h_{3}\right)\left|K(x)-K\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=o\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}\right)$, where $1 \leq \alpha<3$ and $K\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x)$.
In [25], we studied (1.1) with $f$ satisfying the following Berestycki-Lions type condition with critical growth:
$\left(f_{1}\right) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is odd, $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u}=0$ and $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{5}}=K>0$.
( $f_{2}$ ) There exist $D>0$ and $2<q<6$ such that $f(u) \geq K u^{5}+D u^{q-1}$ for $u \geq 0$.
$\left(f_{3}\right)$ There exists $\theta>2$ such that $\frac{1}{\theta} f(u) u-F(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s$.

When $\lambda>0$ is small, we obtained positive radial solutions for $q \in(4,6)$, or $q \in(2,4]$ with $D>0$ large. In [29], the authors removed $\left(f_{3}\right)$ by using a local deformation argument in [6]. It should be pointed out that, in [25,29], the problems were considered in a radial setting.

When the nonlocal term is of critical growth, that is, $u^{2}$ is replaced by $u^{5}$, problem (1.1) is reduced to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi u=f(x, u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.2}\\
-\Delta \phi=u^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

These kind of equations are closely related with the Choquard-Pekar equation, which was proposed in [20] to study the quantum theory of a polaron at rest. Since the critical nonlocal term may cause the loss of compactness, problem (1.2) is quite different from the standard Schrödinger-Poisson equation. In [16], the authors considered the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+b u+q \phi|u|^{3} u=f(u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.3}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b \geq 0, q \in \mathbb{R}$, and the subcritical nonlinearity $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{b u+u^{5}}=0$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{5}}=0$.
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There is a function $z \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(z)>b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} z^{2}$, where $F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$.
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ There exist $r \in(4,6), A>0, B>0$ such that $F(t) \geq A t^{r}-B t^{2}$ for $t \geq 0$.
For $q \geq 0$, they proved that there exists $q_{0}>0$ such that for $q \in\left[0, q_{0}\right)$, and problem (1.3) has at least one positive radially symmetric solution if $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold. For $q=-1$, they proved that problem (1.3) has at least one positive radially symmetric solution if $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. In [17], the authors studied the existence, nonexistence, and multiplicity of positive radially symmetric solutions of the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u+\lambda \phi|u|^{3} u=\mu|u|^{p-1} u, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.4}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \geq 0$, and $p \in[1,5]$. In [15], the author obtained positive solutions of the following equation with subcritical growth:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u-K(x) \phi|u|^{3} u=f(x, u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.5}\\
-\Delta \phi=K(x)|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V, K$, and $f$ are asymptotically periodic functions of $x$. If the nonlinearity is of critical growth, the author in [12] studied ground state solutions of the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u-\phi|u|^{3} u=f(u)+u^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.6}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V(x)=1+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$ with $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $f$ is an appropriate nonlinear function.
In this paper, we study the following Schrödinger-Poisson equation with a critical nonlocal term:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi|u|^{3} u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.7}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}$ is a critical term with $u^{+}:=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $\lambda>0$ is a parameter. When we study (1.7) for the case $\lambda<0$, the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence can be derived directly. However, for the case $\lambda>0$, the problem is quite different. Since the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x$ is homogeneous of degree 10 , the corresponding Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition on $f$ is the following:
( $f^{\prime}$ ) There exists $\theta \geq 10$ such that $t f(t)-\theta F(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Obviously, this condition is not suitable for the problem in dimension three. To solve the problem, the authors in [16] used a truncation technique in [14]. However, the argument is invalid when we study non-autonomous problems in a non-radial setting. Motivated by the above considerations, we first study the non-autonomous problem (1.7) in a non-radial setting, where the nonlinearity satisfies the Berestycki-Lions type condition with critical growth. We assume $V$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(V_{1}\right) V \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V:=V_{0}>0$.
$\left(V_{2}\right) V(x) \leq \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x):=V_{\infty}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the inequality is strict in a set of positive Lebesgue measure.
$\left(V_{3}\right)$ There exists $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(t x)-\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(x)-\frac{t^{3}-1}{6}(\nabla V(x), x) \leq \frac{\theta(t-1)^{2}(t+2)}{24|x|^{2}}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

The result is as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, problem (1.7) has a positive solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right)$. Moreover, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0,\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow(u, 0)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where $u$ is a ground state solution of the following limiting equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $V \equiv 1$, problem (1.7) is reduced to the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u+\lambda \phi|u|^{3} u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{1.9}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have the following result.
Corollary 1.1. Assume that $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, problem (1.9) has a positive solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right)$. Moreover, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0,\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow(u, 0)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times$ $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where $u$ is a ground state solution of the following limiting equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. Corollary 1.1 is still valid if we replace $\left(f_{2}\right)$ by $\left(H_{3}\right)$. So, we generalize the result in [16] to the critical case.

In the next, we consider the case that $\operatorname{int} V^{-1}(0)$ is contained in a spherical shell. We assume the following conditions.
$\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $V(x)=V(|x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
$\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right) V(x)=0$ for $x \in \wedge_{1}$ and there exists $V_{0}>0$ such that $V(x) \geq V_{0}$ for $x \notin \wedge_{2}$, where $\wedge_{1}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.$ :
$\left.r_{1}<|x|<r_{2}\right\}$ and $\wedge_{2}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: R_{1}<|x|<R_{2}\right\}$ with $0<R_{1}<r_{1}<r_{2}<R_{2}$.
$\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ There exists $\theta>2$ such that $\frac{f(u)}{u^{\theta-1}}$ is increasing for all $u>0$.
To the best of our knowledge, there are no related results even for the case $\lambda=0$. We must face several difficulties. A main difficulty is how to get the compactness. In [11], del Pino and Felmer developed a penalization approach to deal with singularly perturbed problems. Motivated by [11], instead of studying (1.7) directly, we turn to consider a modified problem. By studying the influence of the potential on the compactness and the behavior of positive solutions at infinity, we solve the problem. When $\lambda>0$, we have to prove the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence for the modified problem. This is another difficulty. Now we state the result.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$, and $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ hold. Then there exists $R^{\prime}>0$ such that for $R_{1}>R^{\prime}$, there exists $\lambda^{\prime}>0$ such that problem (1.7) has a positive solution ( $u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}$ ) for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0,\left(u_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow(u, 0)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where $u$ is a positive solution of (1.8).

## Notations.

- Denote $H^{1}:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ the Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x$.
- Denote $D^{1,2}:=D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\}$ the Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2}:=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$.
- Denote the norm $\|u\|_{s}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{s} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$, where $2 \leq s<\infty$.
- Denote $C$ a universal positive constant (possibly different).


## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Without loss of generality, we assume that $f(u)=0$ for $u \leq 0$. Define the best Sobolev constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2,2}\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lax-Milgram theorem, for any $u \in D^{1,2}$ there exists a unique $\phi_{u} \in D^{1,2}$ such that $-\Delta \phi_{u}=|u|^{5}$. The function $\phi_{u}$ has the following properties.
Lemma 2.1. ( [16])
(i) $\phi_{u} \geq 0, \phi_{t u}=|t|^{5} \phi_{u}$ and $\phi_{u(\bar{\xi})}=t^{2} \phi_{u}(\dot{\bar{t}})$ for all $t>0$.
(ii) $\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}} \leq S^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{6}^{5}$.
(iii) If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $\phi_{u_{n}} \rightharpoonup \phi_{u}$ weakly in $D^{1,2}$ up to a subsequence.
(iv) Let $J(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x$, where $u \in D^{1,2}$. If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right)-J(u)-J\left(u_{n}-u\right)=o_{n}(1) .
$$

Define $X:=\left\{u \in H^{1}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty\right\}$ as the Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|=$ $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Define the functional on $X$ by

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

where $F(u):=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Obviously, the functional $I_{\lambda}$ is of class $C^{1}$ and critical points of $I_{\lambda}$ are weak solutions of (1.7). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}:=\inf \left\{I_{0}(u): u \in X \backslash\{0\}, I_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $I_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0$, by the arguments in $[16,21,24]$ we can derive the Pohozǎev type identity $J_{0}(u)=0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{0}(u)= & \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[3 V(x)+(\nabla V(x), x)]|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $V \equiv V_{\infty}$, problem (1.8) is reduced to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V_{\infty} u=f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional associated with (2.3) is

$$
I_{0}^{\infty}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{\infty}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x, u \in H^{1}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}^{\infty}:=\inf \left\{I_{0}^{\infty}(u): u \in H^{1} \backslash\{0\},\left(I_{0}^{\infty}\right)^{\prime}(u)=0\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}^{\infty}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma(t)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H^{1}\right): \gamma(0)=0, I_{0}^{\infty}(\gamma(1))<0\right\}$.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ hold. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 V_{\infty}-3 V(x)-\frac{\theta}{4|x|^{2}} \leq(\nabla V(x), x) \leq \frac{\theta}{2|x|^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
g(t):=\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(t x)-\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(x)-\frac{t^{3}-1}{6}(\nabla V(x), x)-\frac{\theta(t-1)^{2}(t+2)}{24|x|^{2}} .
$$

By $\left(V_{3}\right)$, we get $g(0) \leq 0$. Then $(\nabla V(x), x) \leq \frac{\theta}{2|x|^{2}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$. By $\left(V_{2}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$, we get $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^{3}} \leq$ 0 . Then $(\nabla V(x), x) \geq 3 V_{\infty}-3 V(x)-\frac{\theta}{4|x|^{2}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$.
Theorem 2.1. ( [13]) Let $X$ be a Banach space equipped with a norm $\|.\|_{X}$ and let $J \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an interval. We consider a family $\left(I_{\mu}\right)_{\mu \in J}$ of $C^{1}$-functionals on $X$ of the form

$$
I_{\mu}(u)=A(u)-\mu B(u), \quad \forall \mu \in J
$$

where $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in X$, and either $A(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ or $B(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$. We assume there are two points $v_{1}, v_{2}$ in $X$ such that

$$
c_{\mu}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\mu}(\gamma(t))>\max \left\{I_{\mu}\left(v_{1}\right), I_{\mu}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall \mu \in J,
$$

where $\Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) ; \gamma(0)=v_{1}, \gamma(1)=v_{2}\right\}$. Then, for almost every $\mu \in J$, there is a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $I_{\mu}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\mu}$, and $I_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $X^{-1}$. Moreover, the map $\mu \rightarrow c_{\mu}$ is continuous from the left-hand side.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold. Then $m_{0} \in\left(0, m_{0}^{\infty}\right)$ is attained by a positive function.
Proof. Let $\mu_{0} \in(0,1)$. Define the functionals on $X$ by

$$
I_{0, \mu}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\mu}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

where $\mu \in\left[\mu_{0}, 1\right]$. Similar to the argument in [27], we can use Theorem 2.1 to derive that for almost every $\mu \in\left[\mu_{0}, 1\right]$ there exists a positive function $u_{\mu} \in X$ such that $c_{\mu}=I_{0, \mu}\left(u_{\mu}\right)$ and $I_{0, \mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{\mu}\right)=0$.

Choose $\mu_{n} \uparrow 1$ such that $I_{0, \mu_{n}}\left(u_{\mu_{n}}\right)=c_{\mu_{n}}$ and $I_{0, \mu_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\mu_{n}}\right)=0$. Then $u_{\mu_{n}}$ satisfies the following Pohozǎev type identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[3 V(x)+(\nabla V(x), x)]\left|u_{\mu_{n}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =3 \mu_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F\left(u_{\mu_{n}}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{\mu_{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{\mu_{n}}\right|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.7), Lemma 2.2, and the Hardy inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mu_{n}}=\frac{1}{3}\left\|\nabla u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla V(x), x)\left|u_{\mu_{n}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{1-\theta}{3}\left\|\nabla u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[3 V(x)+(\nabla V(x), x)]\left|u_{\mu_{n}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1-\theta}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{\infty}\left|u_{\mu_{n}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.7)-(2.9) and $\left(f_{1}\right)$, we get that $\left\|u_{\mu_{n}}\right\|$ is bounded. Then $I_{0}\left(u_{\mu_{n}}\right) \rightarrow c_{1}$ and $I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\mu_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Similar to the argument in [27], we get that there exists a positive function $u_{0} \in X$ such that $u_{\mu_{n}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X$, $I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=c_{1}$, and $I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Moreover, $0<m_{0} \leq c_{1}$ is attained. By [28], we get that $m_{0}^{\infty}=c_{0}^{\infty}$ is attained by a positive function $u_{0}^{\infty}$. Then by $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{2}\right)$ and a standard argument, we have $c_{1}<c_{0}^{\infty}$.

Let $S_{0}$ be the set of ground states of (1.8). By Lemma 2.3, we have $S_{0} \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold. Then $S_{0}$ is compact in $X$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.3, for any $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset S_{0}$ we have $I_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)=m_{0}, I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, and $J_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $X$. Then $I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n}-u_{0}$. By $\left(V_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)$, and the Brezis-Lieb lemma in [24], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}-I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+o_{n}(1)=I_{0}^{\infty}\left(v_{n}\right), \quad\left(I_{0}^{\infty}\right)^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $X$, by the Lions Lemma in [24], $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for any $t \in(2,6)$, or there exists $\left\{y_{n}^{1}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\left|y_{n}^{1}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $v_{n}^{1}:=v_{n}\left(.+y_{n}^{1}\right) \rightharpoonup v^{1} \neq 0$ weakly in $X$. If $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for any $t \in(2,6)$, by $\left(f_{1}\right)$ we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} F\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x=o_{n}(1)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=o_{n}(1)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}+o_{n}(1)=I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{6}^{6}, \quad\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{6}^{6}+o_{n}(1) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, we have $J_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.2 and the Hardy inequality, we get $I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq 0$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{6}^{6}=l$. If $l>0$, by (2.11) and the definition of $S$, we get $l \geq S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Then $m_{0} \geq \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$, a contradiction. So, $l=0$, from which we get $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$. If there exists $\left\{y_{n}^{1}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\left|y_{n}^{1}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $v_{n}^{1}:=v_{n}\left(.+y_{n}^{1}\right) \rightharpoonup v^{1} \neq 0$ weakly in $X$, similar to the argument of Lemma 2.6 in [27] there exist $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\},\left\{y_{n}^{i}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $v^{i} \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|y_{n}^{i}\right| \rightarrow \infty \text { and }\left|y_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{j}\right| \rightarrow \infty, \text { if } i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq k, \\
& v_{n}\left(.+y_{n}^{i}\right) \rightharpoonup v^{i} \neq 0 \text { weakly in } X \text { and }\left(I_{0}^{\infty}\right)^{\prime}\left(v^{i}\right)=0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, \\
& \left\|v_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} v^{i}\left(.-y_{n}^{i}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0, \\
& m_{0}=I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{0}^{\infty}\left(v^{i}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(I_{0}^{\infty}\right)^{\prime}\left(v^{i}\right)=0$, we have $I_{0}^{\infty}\left(v^{i}\right) \geq m_{0}^{\infty}$. If $k \geq 1$, by $I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq 0$ and (2.12) we get $m_{0} \geq m_{0}^{\infty}$, a contradiction. So, $k=0$, from which we get $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X$.
Lemma 2.5. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ hold. If $u \in S_{0}$, then $m_{0}=I_{0}(u)>I_{0}(u(\dot{( }))$ for all $t \in[0,1) \cup(1,+\infty)$. Also, there exists $t_{0}>1$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $I_{0}\left(u\left(\dot{\dot{t}_{0}}\right)\right) \leq-2$.
Proof. By $u \in S_{0}$, we have $J_{0}(u)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0}\left(u\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\right)-I_{0}(u)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(t x)-\frac{t^{3}}{2} V(x)-\frac{t^{3}-1}{6}(\nabla V(x), x)\right]|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\frac{(t-1)^{2}(t+2)}{6}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(V_{3}\right)$ and the Hardy inequality, we get $I_{0}(u)>I_{0}(u(\dot{\dot{t}}))$ for all $t \neq 1$. By Lemma 2.2 and the Hardy inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[3 V(x)+(\nabla V(x), x)]|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1-\theta}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{\infty}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $J_{0}(u)=0$, by $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and (2.14) there exists $\varrho>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \geq \varrho$. So, by ( $V_{3}$ ), the Hardy inequality, and (2.13) we get there exists $t_{0}>1$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $I_{0}\left(u\left(\dot{t_{0}}\right)\right) \leq-2$.
Lemma 2.6. Assume that $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{3}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ hold. Then there exist $\lambda_{1}, M_{0}>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left(u\left(\dot{t_{0}}\right)\right) \leq-1, \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|u\left(\dot{\dot{t_{0}}}\right)\right\| \leq M_{0}$ and $\|u\| \leq M_{0}$ for all $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right]$ and $u \in S_{0}$.

Proof. If $u \in S_{0}$, then $m_{0}=I_{0}(u)$ and $J_{0}(u)=0$. By the Hardy inequality and Lemma 2.2, we have $m_{0} \geq \frac{1-\theta}{3}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}$. Together with (2.14), $J_{0}(u)=0$, and ( $f_{1}$ ), we derive that there exists $\sigma_{1}>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leq \sigma_{1}$. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u\left(\frac{\cdot}{t t_{0}}\right)\right\|^{2}=t t_{0}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(t t_{0}\right)^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V\left(t t_{0} x\right)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with $\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leq \sigma_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\max _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V\right) \sigma_{1}^{2}, \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|u\left(\frac{\cdot}{t t_{0}}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left(t_{0}+t_{0}^{3} \max _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V\right) \sigma_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u\left(\frac{\cdot}{t t_{0}}\right)\right) & =I_{0}\left(u\left(\frac{\cdot}{t t_{0}}\right)\right)+\frac{\lambda\left(t t_{0}\right)^{5}}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq I_{0}\left(u\left(\frac{\dot{t t_{0}}}{)}\right)\right)+\frac{\lambda\left(t t_{0}\right)^{5}}{10 S^{6}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{10} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 and (2.17), we derive that there exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left(u\left(\dot{\dot{t}_{0}}\right)\right) \leq$ -1 for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $u \in S_{0}$.

Choose $U_{0} \in S_{0}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\lambda}:=\inf _{g \in G_{0}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(g(t)), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{0}:=\left\{g \in C([0,1], X): g(0)=0, g(1)=U_{0}\left(\dot{t_{0}}\right)\right\}$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}:=\max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}\left(U_{0}\left(\frac{\cdot}{t t_{0}}\right)\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.7. $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} b_{\lambda}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} B_{\lambda}=m_{0}$.
Proof. By (2.17) and Lemmas 2.5-2.6, we get

$$
b_{\lambda} \leq B_{\lambda} \leq m_{0}+\frac{\lambda\left(t t_{0}\right)^{5} M_{0}^{10}}{10 S^{6}}
$$

Then $\lim \sup _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} b_{\lambda} \leq \lim \sup _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} B_{\lambda} \leq m_{0}$. On the other hand, for any $g \in G_{0}$,

$$
\max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(g(t)) \geq \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{0}(g(t)) \geq b_{0}
$$

where $b_{0}:=\inf _{g \in G_{0}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{0}(g(t))$. Then $b_{\lambda} \geq b_{0}$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists $\mu_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $I_{0, \mu}(g(1)) \leq-\frac{1}{2}$ for $\mu \in\left(\mu_{0}, 1\right)$. Define

$$
c_{\mu}:=\inf _{g \in G_{0}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{0, \mu}(g(t))
$$

By repeating the proof of Lemma 2.3, we get that $c_{\mu}$ is a critical value. Moreover, we can prove that $b_{0}$ is a critical value. Then $b_{0} \geq m_{0}$. So, $\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} b_{\lambda} \geq m_{0}$.

For $\eta, d>0$, define $I_{\lambda}^{\eta}:=\left\{u \in X: I_{\lambda}(u) \leq \eta\right\}$ and $S_{0}^{d}:=\left\{u \in X: \inf _{v \in S_{0}}\|u-v\| \leq d\right\}$.
Lemma 2.8. Let $\left\{u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\} \subset S_{0}^{d}$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i}=0$ be such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda_{i} i}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right) \leq m_{0}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda_{i}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=0$. Then for $d>0$ small, there exists $u_{0} \in S_{0}$ such that $u_{\lambda_{i}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X$ up to a subsequence.
Proof. By the proof of Lemma 2.5, there exists $\varrho>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $\|u\|^{2} \geq \varrho$ for $u \in S_{0}$. Since $\left\{u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\} \subset S_{0}^{d}$, by choosing $d>0$ small we get $\left\|u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\varrho}{2}$. By Lemma 2.4, we have that $\left\|u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\|$ is bounded. Then $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} I_{0}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right) \leq m_{0}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=0$. By the argument of Lemma 2.4, there exists $u_{0} \in X$ such that $u_{\lambda_{i}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X$ up to a subsequence. So, $\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\varrho}{2}, I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \leq m_{0}$ and $I_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, which implies that $u_{0} \in S_{0}$.
Lemma 2.9. Let $d>0$. Then there exists $\eta>0$ such that for small $\lambda>0, I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)) \geq b_{\lambda}-\eta$ implies that $\gamma(t) \in S_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}}$, where $\gamma(0)=0$ and $\gamma(t)=U_{0}\left(\frac{\dot{\bar{t}}}{}\right)$ for $t \in(0,1]$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.5, if $\gamma(t) \notin S_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left|t t_{0}-1\right| \geq \delta$. Moreover, there exists $\eta^{\prime}>0$ such that $I_{0}(\gamma(t)) \leq m_{0}-\eta^{\prime}$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6-2.7, there exists $\eta>0$ such that for small $\lambda>0$, it holds that $I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))<b_{\lambda}-\eta$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that if $u \in S_{0}$, then there exists $\varrho>0$ independent of $u \in S_{0}$ such that $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \geq \varrho$. So, we can choose $d>0$ small such that $\|u\|^{2} \geq \frac{\varrho}{2}$ for any $u \in S_{0}^{d}$. We use the idea in $[6,29]$ to claim that for small $\lambda>0$, there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset S_{0}^{d} \cap I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}}$ such that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise, there exists $a(\lambda)>0$ such that $\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\| \geq a(\lambda)$ for $u \in S_{0}^{d} \cap I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}}$. By Lemmas 2.7-2.8, there exists $\rho_{0}>0$ independent of $\lambda>0$ small such that $\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\| \geq \rho_{0}$ for $u \in I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}} \cap\left(S_{0}^{d} \backslash S_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}}\right)$. We note that there exists a pseudo-gradient vector field $Q_{\lambda}$ on a neighborhood $Z_{\lambda}$ of $S_{0}^{d} \cap I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}}$ for $I_{\lambda}$. Let $\eta_{\lambda}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function on $X$ such that $\eta_{\lambda}=1$ on $S_{0}^{d} \cap I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}}, \eta_{\lambda}=0$ on $\mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash Z_{\lambda}$, and $0 \leq \eta_{\lambda} \leq 1$ on $\mathrm{R}^{3}$. Let $\xi_{\lambda}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function such that $\xi_{\lambda}(t)=1$ for $\left|t-b_{\lambda}\right| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \xi_{\lambda}(t)=0$ for $\left|t-b_{\lambda}\right| \geq \eta$, and $0 \leq \xi_{\lambda} \leq 1$ for $t \in \mathrm{R}^{+}$. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \psi_{\lambda}(u, t)}{d t}=-\eta_{\lambda}\left(\psi_{\lambda}(u, t)\right) \xi_{\lambda}\left(I_{\lambda}\left(\psi_{\lambda}(u, t)\right)\right) Q_{\lambda}\left(\psi_{\lambda}(u, t)\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
\psi_{\lambda}(u, 0)=u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then (2.20) has a unique global solution $\psi_{\lambda}(u, t)$. Recall that $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} b_{\lambda}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} B_{\lambda}=m_{0}$. Also, we have Lemma 2.9. By a standard argument, for any $t \in[0,1]$ there exists $s(t) \geq 0$ such that $\psi_{\lambda}(\gamma(t), s(t))$ is continuous in $t \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}\left(\psi_{\lambda}(\gamma(t), s(t))\right) \leq b_{\lambda}-\frac{\eta}{4},
$$

where $\gamma$ is given in Lemma 2.9. Let $\gamma_{0}()=.\psi_{\lambda}(\gamma(),. s()$.$) . Then \gamma_{0} \in G_{0}$, from which we get

$$
\max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}\left(\psi_{\lambda}(\gamma(t), s(t))\right) \geq b_{\lambda},
$$

a contradiction. Since for $\lambda>0$ small there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset I_{\lambda}^{B_{\lambda}} \cap S_{0}^{d}$ such that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.4 we get that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda}$ weakly in $X$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$. Let $u_{n}=v_{n}+w_{n}$, where $v_{n} \in S_{0}$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\| \leq d$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $v_{\lambda} \in S_{0}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Then $\left\|w_{\lambda}\right\| \leq d$. So, $u_{\lambda} \in S_{0}^{d}$. Moreover, $u_{\lambda}$ is positive. Together with Lemma 2.8, we get the result.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define $X_{r}:=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty\right\}$ as the Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|=$ $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By $\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, we derive that for all $u \in X_{r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leq & \int_{\Lambda_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{V(x)}{V_{0}} u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \int_{\Lambda_{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\int_{\Lambda_{2}}|u|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}|\wedge|^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& +\max \left\{1, \frac{1}{V_{0}}\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \max \left\{1+\frac{\left|\Lambda_{2}\right|^{\frac{2}{3}}}{S}, \frac{1}{V_{0}}\right\}\|u\|^{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the imbedding $X_{r} \hookrightarrow H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is continuous. Define $g(u)=0$ for $u \leq 0$ and $g(u)=$ $\min \left\{f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}, \frac{V_{0} u}{\kappa}\right\}$ for $u>0$, where $\kappa>2$. Let $\chi$ be the characteristic function such that $\chi(x)=1$ for $x \in \wedge_{2}$ and $\chi(x)=0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}$. Consider the truncated problem of (1.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u=h(x, u) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x, u)=\chi(x)\left[f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}\right]+(1-\chi(x)) g(u)$. The functional associated with (3.2) is

$$
\hat{I}_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad u \in X_{r},
$$

where $H(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} h(x, s) \mathrm{d} s=\chi(x)\left[F(u)+\frac{1}{6}\left(u^{+}\right)^{6}\right]+(1-\chi(x)) G(u)$ with $G(u)=\int_{0}^{u} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$. In what follows, we look for critical points of $\hat{I}_{0}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}_{0}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \hat{I}_{0}(\gamma(t)), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], X_{r}\right): \gamma(0)=0, \hat{I}_{0}(\gamma(1))<0\right\}$.
Lemma 3.1. There exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X_{r}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{c}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. By $\left(f_{1}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{|h(x, u) u|,|H(x, u)|\} \leq \varepsilon|u|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{6}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist $\rho, \varrho>0$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}(u) \geq \varrho$ for $\|u\|=\rho$, in view of the definition of $S$. Also, $\hat{I}_{0}(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \hat{I}_{0}(t \varphi)=-\infty$ for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\wedge_{2}\right) \backslash\{0\}$. By the mountain pass theorem in [1], there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X_{r}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{c}_{0} \geq \varrho$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, we get $\frac{1}{\theta} f(u) u-F(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\hat{c}_{0}+o_{n}(1)+o_{n}(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|=\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\theta} g\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-G\left(u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

So, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is bounded. By [24], the function $U(x):=\frac{3^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is a minimizer for $S$. Define $U_{\varepsilon}(x):=$ $\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Let $x_{0} \in \wedge_{1}$. Choose $r>0$ such that $B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \wedge_{1}$. Define $u_{\varepsilon}(x):=\psi(x) U_{\varepsilon}(x)$, where $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $\psi(x)=1$ for $x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right), \psi(x)=0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right), 0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$, and $|\nabla \psi(x)| \leq C$. By the definition of $\hat{c}_{0}$, we get $\hat{c}_{0} \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} \hat{I}_{0}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1 in [28], we get $\hat{c}_{0}<\frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$.
Lemma 3.2. $\hat{I}_{0}$ admits a positive critical point $u_{0}$ with $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=\hat{c}_{0}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X_{r}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{c}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $X_{r}$. Then $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. For $R>R_{2}$, define $\psi_{R} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\psi_{R}(x)=0$ for $|x| \leq R, \psi_{R}(x)=1$ for $|x| \geq 2 R$, and $0 \leq \psi_{R} \leq 1$ and $\left|\nabla \psi_{R}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \psi_{R} u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \psi_{R}+V(x) u_{n}^{2} \psi_{R}\right) \mathrm{d} x+o_{n}(1) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} g\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \psi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\left\|\nabla \psi_{R}\right\| u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V(x) u_{n}^{2} \psi_{R} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{C}{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for any $\delta>0$, there exists $R_{\delta}>0$ such that for $R>R_{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{|x| \geq 2 R}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{n}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \delta \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h(x, u) u \leq \frac{V_{0}}{\kappa} u^{2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{B_{2 R} \backslash \Lambda_{2}} h\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{B_{2 R} \backslash \Lambda_{2}} h\left(x, u_{0}\right) u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the argument of Lemma 2.1 in [26], we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Lambda_{2}} h\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Lambda_{2}} h\left(x, u_{0}\right) u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.6)-(3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h\left(x, u_{0}\right) u_{0} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v_{n}=u_{n}-u_{0}$. Then

$$
o_{n}(1)=\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)-\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right)=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+o_{n}(1),
$$

from which we derive that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X_{r}, \hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=\hat{c}_{0}$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}^{-}\right)=0$, we get $u_{0} \geq 0$. The maximum principle implies that $u_{0}$ is positive.

Let $\hat{m}_{0}:=\inf \left\{\hat{I}_{0}(u): u \in X_{r}, \hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$.
Lemma 3.3. $\hat{m}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ is attained.
Proof. By Lemmas 3.1-3.2, we get $\hat{m}_{0} \leq \hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)=\hat{c}_{0}<\frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. By the definition of $\hat{m}_{0}$, there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X_{r}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \hat{m}_{0}$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)=0$, (3.4), and the definition of $S$, there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq C_{1} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Similar to (3.5), we get $\hat{m}_{0}>0$. Also, there exists $C_{2}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $X_{r}$. Then $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Similar to the argument of Lemma 3.2, we get $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X_{r}$. So $\hat{m}_{0}=\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, that is, $\hat{m}_{0}$ is attained.

Define by $\hat{S}_{0}$ the set of ground states of (3.2). By Lemma 3.3, we get $\hat{S}_{0} \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 3.4. $\hat{S}_{0}$ is compact and there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that $C_{1} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\|u\|^{2} \leq C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for all $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$. Proof. Similar to the argument of Lemma 3.3, we get $C_{1} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\|u\|^{2} \leq C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for all $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$. For any $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \hat{S}_{0}$, since $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$, we assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_{r}$. By Lemma 3.3, we get $\hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{n}\right)=\hat{m}_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3} S^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$. Similar to the argument of Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X_{r}$. So, $\hat{S}_{0}$ is compact.
Lemma 3.5. ([23]) There exists a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that for all $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}\right)$, there holds $|u(x)| \leq$ $\frac{C_{0}}{\left.|x|\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|u\|_{H^{1}}$ for any $x \neq 0$.

By $\left(f_{1}\right)$, there exists $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(u)+\left(u^{+}\right)^{5}\right| \leq \frac{V_{0}}{2 \kappa}|u|+C^{\prime}|u|^{5}, \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{R} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $R^{\prime}>0$ such that for $R_{1}>R^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 C_{2} C_{0}^{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R_{1}} \max \left\{1+\frac{\left|\wedge_{2}\right|^{\frac{2}{3}}}{S}, \frac{1}{V_{0}}\right\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{V_{0}}{2 \kappa C^{\prime}}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.6. If $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$, then $\hat{m}_{0}=\hat{I}_{0}(u)>\hat{I}_{0}(t u)$ for all $t \neq 1$. Also, there exists $t_{0}>1$ independent of $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(t_{0} u\right) \leq-2$.
Proof. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{supp} u \cap\left\{x \in \mathrm{R}^{3}: \chi(x)>0\right\}\right|>0, \quad \forall u \in \hat{S}_{0} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, there exists $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{supp} u \cap\left\{x \in \mathrm{R}^{3}: \chi(x)>0\right\}\right|=0$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right)=0$,

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\left\{x \in \mathrm{R}^{3}: \chi(x)=0\right\}} g(u) u \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{V_{0}}{\kappa} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathrm{R}^{3}: \chi(x)=0\right\}} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{3}} V(x) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

a contradiction. Let $l(t)=\hat{I}_{0}(t u)$, where $t \geq 0$ and $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$. Then $l^{\prime}(t)=t y(t)$, where

$$
y(t)=\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\mathrm{R}^{3}} \frac{(1-\chi(x)) g(t u) u}{t} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathrm{R}^{3}} \chi(x)\left(\frac{f(t u) u}{t}+t^{4}|u|^{6}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Since $l^{\prime}(1)=0$, we have $y(1)=0$. By $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, we get that $y(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $t>0$. Then $l^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(0,1)$ and $l^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>1$, from which we get $\hat{I}_{0}(u)>\hat{I}_{0}(t u)$ for all $t \neq 1$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right)=0$, (3.4), and the definition of $S$, there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ independent of $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi(x)|u|^{6} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \delta_{0}$. Together with Lemma 3.4, we derive that there exists $t_{0}>1$ independent of $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}\left(t_{0} u\right) \leq-2$.

We consider the following truncated problem of (1.7):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+\lambda \phi|u|^{3} u=h(x, u), \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{3.13}\\
-\Delta \phi=|u|^{5}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The functional associated with (3.13) is as follows:

$$
\hat{I}_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} H(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, u \in X_{r} .
$$

Lemma 3.7. There exists $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}>0$ independent of $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(t_{0} u\right) \leq-1$ for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(t_{0} u\right)=\hat{I}_{0}\left(t_{0} u\right)+\frac{\lambda t_{0}^{10}}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}|u|^{5} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \hat{I}_{0}\left(t_{0} u\right)+\frac{\lambda t_{0}^{10}}{10 S^{6}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{10} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.6, and (3.14), we derive that there exists $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}>0$ independent of $u \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $\hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(t_{0} u\right) \leq-1$.

Choose $V_{0} \in \hat{S}_{0}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\lambda}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \hat{I}_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], X_{r}\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=t_{0} V_{0}\right\}$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}:=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \hat{I}_{\lambda}\left(t t_{0} V_{0}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.8. $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} d_{\lambda}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} D_{\lambda}=\hat{m}_{0}$.
Proof. By (3.14), Lemma 3.4, and 3.6, we get

$$
d_{\lambda} \leq D_{\lambda} \leq \hat{m}_{0}+\frac{\lambda t_{0}^{10}}{10 S^{6}}\left(C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{5}
$$

Then limsup $\operatorname{sum}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} d_{\lambda} \leq \lim \sup _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} D_{\lambda} \leq \hat{m}_{0}$. By Lemma 3.6, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$
\max _{t \in[0,1]} \hat{I}_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)) \geq \max _{t \in[0,1]} \hat{I}_{0}(\gamma(t)) \geq \hat{c}_{0}
$$

from which we get $d_{\lambda} \geq \hat{c}_{0}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\hat{c}_{0} \geq \hat{m}_{0}$, which implies that $\liminf _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} d_{\lambda} \geq$ $\hat{m}_{0}$.

For $\eta, d>0$, define $\hat{I}_{\lambda}^{\eta}:=\left\{u \in X_{r}: \hat{I}_{\lambda}(u) \leq \eta\right\}$ and $\hat{S}_{0}^{d}:=\left\{u \in X_{r}: \inf _{v \in S_{0}}\|u-v\| \leq d\right\}$. By Lemma 3.4, we can choose $d>0$ small such that $\frac{C_{1}}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\|u\|^{2} \leq 2 C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for all $u \in \hat{S}_{0}^{d}$.
Lemma 3.9. Let $\left\{u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\} \subset \hat{S}_{0}^{d}$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{i}=0$ be such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{I}_{\lambda_{i}}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right) \leq \hat{m}_{0}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{I}_{\lambda_{i}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=0$. Then, for $d>0$ small, there exists $u_{0} \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $u_{\lambda_{i}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X_{r}$ up to a subsequence.
Proof. Since $\left\{u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\} \subset \hat{S}_{0}^{d}$, we have $\frac{C_{1}}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\left\|u_{\lambda_{i}}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Moreover, $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right) \leq \hat{m}_{0}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=0$. Similar to the argument of Lemma 3.2, we derive that there exists $u_{0} \in X_{r}$ such that $u_{\lambda_{i}} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X_{r}$. So, $\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{c_{1}}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}, \hat{I}_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \leq \hat{m}_{0}$, and $\hat{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, from which we get $u_{0} \in \hat{S}_{0}$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $d>0$. Then there exists $\eta>0$ such that for small $\lambda>0, \hat{I}_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)) \geq d_{\lambda}-\eta$ implies that $\gamma(t) \in \hat{S}_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}}$, where $\gamma(t)=t t_{0} V_{0}$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.6, if $\gamma(t) \notin \hat{S}_{0}^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left|t t_{0}-1\right| \geq \delta$. Moreover, there exists $\eta^{\prime}>0$ such that $\hat{I}_{0}(\gamma(t)) \leq m_{0}-\eta^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.4, and Lemma 3.8, there exists $\eta>0$ such that for small $\lambda>0$, it holds that $\hat{I}_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))<d_{\lambda}-\eta$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can use Lemmas 3.8-3.10 to derive that, for small $\lambda>0$, there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \hat{S}_{0}^{d} \cap \hat{I}_{\lambda}^{D_{\lambda}}$ such that $\hat{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\frac{C_{1}}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda}$ weakly in $X_{r}$. Then $\hat{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$. Let $u_{n}=v_{n}+w_{n}$, where $v_{n} \in \hat{S}_{0}$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\| \leq d$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists $v_{\lambda} \in \hat{S}_{0}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $X_{r}$. Assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w_{\lambda}$ in $X_{r}$. Then $\left\|w_{\lambda}\right\| \leq d$. So, $u_{\lambda} \in \hat{S}_{0}^{d}$. Moreover, $\frac{C_{1}}{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 C_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Together with (3.1) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\lambda}(x)\right|^{2} \leq 2 C_{2} C_{0}^{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}} \max \left\{1+\frac{\left|\wedge_{2}\right|^{\frac{2}{3}}}{S}, \frac{1}{V_{0}}\right\} \frac{1}{|x|}, \quad \forall x \neq 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11), we get $\max _{x \in \overline{\Lambda_{2}}} u_{\lambda}(x) \leq \sqrt[4]{\frac{V_{0}}{2 \kappa C^{\prime}}}$. Let $\varphi=\left(u_{\lambda}-\sigma\right)^{+}$, where $\sigma=\sqrt[4]{\frac{V_{0}}{2 \kappa C^{\prime}}}$. By $\left(\hat{I}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), \varphi\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left(\mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda_{2}\right) \cap\left\{x \in \mathrm{R}^{3}: u_{\lambda}(x)>\sigma\right\}}\left|\nabla u_{\lambda}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda_{2}} V(x) u_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}-\sigma\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}} g\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\left(u_{\lambda}-\sigma\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \Lambda_{2}} V(x) u_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}-\sigma\right)^{+} \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $V(x) \geq V_{0}$ for $x \in \mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}$, by (3.18), we get $u_{\lambda}(x) \leq \sigma$ for $x \in \mathrm{R}^{3} \backslash \wedge_{2}$. Then $h\left(x, u_{\lambda}\right)=f\left(u_{\lambda}\right)+u_{\lambda}^{5}$, from which we get $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$. Together with Lemma 3.9, we get the result.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of a nonautonomous Schrodinger-Poisson equation with critical growth. First, we consider the case that the nonlinearity satisfies the Berestycki-Lions type condition with critical growth. To the best of our knowledge, existing results on Schrodinger-Poisson equations are about radial solutions. However, the problem is quite different when we consider the problem in a non-radial setting. Second, we consider the case that the zero set of the potential is contained in a spherical shell. To the best of our knowledge, there are no results on this question. By developing some techniques in variational methods, we solve the problem successfully.
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