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1. Introduction

The 2019 Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) originated from a new type of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [1]. According to existing cases data, some patients may experience respiratory and digestive symptoms such as nasal congestion, runny nose, and diarrhea [2, 3]. In severe cases, they may rapidly progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, difficulty to correct metabolic acidosis, coagulation disorders, and multiple organ failure [3]. It should be noted that elderly people and those with chronic underlying diseases have a poorer preventive capacity and the symptoms of youngster cases are relatively mild [4, 5]. During the sudden outbreak of an epidemic, for avoiding cross infection of patients with multiple strains [6], it is important to practice self-protection, wash hands frequently, maintain good hygiene habits, raise health awareness, correctly wear disposable masks, avoid contact with infected individuals, and avoid going to dangerous crowded and enclosed places [7].

Media factors play a crucial role in the outbreak of an epidemic [8, 9]. They can detect and report
an epidemic early, provide a warning, and use public opinion to take effective measures to eliminate potential crises before they escalate [10, 11]. By disseminating information about the epidemic, the media can help the public understand its severity and better control its development [12]. During the initial phases of the Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS) outbreak in 2003, various speculations were circulating amongst the general public, causing widespread social unrest due to insufficient dissemination of information regarding SARS prevention and treatment [13, 14]. During COVID-19, the media disseminated information to assist medical personnel and warn the public to prioritize personal protection, contributing significantly to guiding public perception. This statement highlights the need to explore how media coverage impacts the transmission and management of infectious diseases.

Mathematical models have the ability to predict disease development trends through dynamic analysis [15–19]. Currently, numerous studies have established mathematical models to simulate the dynamics of COVID-19 [20–22]. Jia and his colleagues proposed a dynamic model of COVID-19 based on official data to analyze the impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions on transmission dynamics during the COVID-19 pandemic [20]. Huang et al. established a COVID-19 mathematical model to analyze how spontaneous social distance and public social distance can increase the outbreak threshold of asymptomatic infections [21]. Maji et al. theoretically and numerically revealed that social distance has a significant impact on reducing the spread of COVID-19 [22]. Mathematical models are powerful in simulating the impact of various factors on diseases and offer optimal control strategies for disease control.

The remaining of this article is as follows: In Section 2, a mathematical model is proposed, which includes media related factors, and the properties of the Lambert W function are used to convert the system into an explicitly defined system through an implicit function. This system is a piecewise smooth system that can analyze the dynamic of the system. In Section 3, we study the dynamics of the piecewise smooth system, as well as the existence and local stability of endemic equilibrium points. In Section 4, the impact of various control methods on infectious diseases is modeled using optimal control theory. In Section 5, the numerical simulation results verify the theoretical analysis results and uncover that media factors can affect the scale of epidemic outbreaks. The results show that implementing the dual-control strategy is the most effective way to limit the spread of diseases, which may provide clues for disease control.

2. Model description

The dynamics in susceptible population \( S(t) \), infected population \( I(t) \), and convalescent population \( R(t) \) are considered. The exponential factor of \( I(t) \) is used to express the media influence on the infectious diseases, similar to reference [12], the function is defined as \( f(I) = be^{-N(I, \frac{dI}{dt})} \), and \( N(I, \frac{dI}{dt}) \) is shown as follows:

\[
N(I, \frac{dI}{dt}) = m_1 I(t) + m_2 \frac{dI(t)}{dt}
\]

where \( m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} \), it is assumed that \( m_1 \) represents government or official media news coverage, and \( m_2 \) represents media news reports related to medical prevention. If \( m_1, m_2 < 0 \) represents false news factors misleading the public, the function \( f(I) \) is monotonically increasing. If \( m_1, m_2 \geq 0 \), which means that fake news will be perceived by the public and corrected by the government or official institutions while
real news dominates public opinion, then the function \( f(I) \) will monotonically decrease. Based on the properties of function \( f(I) \), we focus on the control effect of real news on infectious diseases and we set \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) as nonnegative parameters, which are used to represent the impact of real news factors on media coverage cases and change rates. The function \( N(t) = N(I, \frac{dI}{dt}) \) is transformed into the following form:

\[
N(I, \frac{dI}{dt}) = \max \left\{ 0, m_1 I(t) + m_2 \frac{dI(t)}{dt} \right\}.
\]

For the \( R(t) \) population after recovery, they will no longer impose risk on susceptible individuals. In most studies, the model is established by assuming that the total population is constant or satisfies exponential growth [23, 24]. A new epidemic dynamic model is obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= aS \left(1 - \frac{S}{k}\right) - e^{-N(I, \frac{dI}{dt})} pI S, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= e^{-N(I, \frac{dI}{dt})} bI S - \alpha I - \beta I - \gamma I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I - \mu R.
\end{align*}
\] (2.1)

In model (2.1), all parameters are positive based on theoretical facts and the meaning of each parameter is as follows: \( a \) is the inherent growth rate of population and \( k \) is the population carrying capacity of a given region. We hypothesize \( b \) as the basic propagation coefficient and \( f(I) = be^{-N(I, \frac{dI}{dt})} \) is the term of contact and transmission, which measures the spread of the virus from susceptible individuals to infected individuals. \( \alpha \) is the mortality rate associate with the disease, \( \beta \) is the natural death rate, \( \gamma \) is the rate of recovery from infection, and \( \mu \) is the death caused by the sequela of disease recovery. To simplify, let \( n = \alpha + \beta + \gamma \) and \( N_1(t) = m_1 I(t) + m_2 \frac{dI}{dt} \) when \( N_1(t) > 0 \), then \( N_1(t) = N(t) \). Following from the second equation in (2.1), we can get

\[
m_2 \left( \frac{dI}{dt} + nI \right) e^{m_2(nI + \frac{dI}{dt})} = m_2 bS I e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I}.
\] (2.2)

It can be observed that the form of Eq (2.2) is quite complex, so we introduce the definition and properties of the Lambert W function, which are

**Definition 1** ([25]). The Lambert W function is the inverse of the function \( f(z) = ze^z \) and satisfies the following conditions

\[
Lambert W(z) \cdot \exp(Lambert W(z)) = z.
\]

By definition, we have

\[
Lambert W(z) = \frac{Lambert W(z)}{z + (Lambert W(z))}.
\]

Using the definition of Lambert W function, we can obtain

\[
\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{1}{m_2} W[m_2 bS I e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I}] - nI.
\] (2.3)
Therefore, \( N(t) \) reads

\[
N(t) = N_1(t) = m_1 I + m_2 \frac{dI}{dt}
\]

\[
=W[m_2 b S I e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I}] - (-m_1 I + m_2 n I).
\] (2.4)

We study that \( N_1(t) \) is greater than zero; for this, we consider \( N_1(t) \) equal to 0, then

\[
W[m_2 b S I e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I}] - (-m_1 I + m_2 n I) = 0.
\] (2.5)

We use the properties of the Lambert W function, then

\[
(-m_1 I + m_2 n I)e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I} = m_2 b S I e^{-m_1 I + m_2 n I}
\] (2.6)

and we obtain

\[
S = \frac{m_2 n - m_1}{b m_2} = S_q.
\] (2.7)

Because \( N_1(t) > 0 \) is strictly monotone for \( S \), it yields that \( N_1(t) > 0 \) is equivalent to \( S > S_q \). In order to study the properties of the epidemic model, we remove the equation of the individual \( R(t) \), and system (2.1) is transformed into system (2.8)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= a S(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - e^{-\theta N_1(t)} b I S, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= e^{-\theta N_1(t)} b IS - \alpha I - \beta I - \gamma I
\end{align*}
\] (2.8)

with

\[
\theta = \begin{cases} 
0, & S - S_q \leq 0, \\
1, & S - S_q \geq 0.
\end{cases}
\] (2.9)

Equations (2.8) and (2.9) indicate that the system has a susceptibility threshold, and there is no influence of media factors below the threshold. Above the threshold, the media has a certain role in reducing the spread of disease, so the media has a certain impact on the disease. The susceptibility threshold is analyzed in the following content.

We set \( P(Z) = S - S_q \) with \( X = (S, I)^T \), then

\[
P_{A_1}(Z) = (a S(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - b IS, b IS - \alpha I - \beta I - \gamma I)^T,
\]

\[
P_{A_2}(Z) = (a S(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - e^{-\theta M_1} b IS, e^{-\theta M_1} b IS - \alpha I - \beta I - \gamma I)^T.
\]

The Eqs (2.8) and (2.9) become a non-smooth system

\[
\hat{X}(t) = \begin{cases} 
P_{A_1}(X), X \in A_1, \\
P_{A_2}(X), X \in A_2
\end{cases}
\] (2.10)
Theorem 1 ([12]). If \( P_{A_1}(X^*) = 0, J(X^*) \leq 0 \) or \( P_{A_2}(Z^*) = 0, J(X^*) > 0 \), the point \( X^* \) is the regular equilibrium of system (2.10); if \( P_{A_1}(X^*) = 0, J(X^*) > 0 \) or \( P_{A_2}(X^*) = 0, J(X^*) \leq 0 \), \( X^* \) is the virtual equilibrium point.

3. The dynamics of PWS system

In this part, we analyze the different areas \( S_{A_1} \) and \( S_{A_2} \) to study the dynamics of the system (2.10).

The dynamics of \( S_{A_1} \). The system dynamics of \( S_{A_1} \) have a disease-free equilibrium point \( E_{0}^{*} \), which is \((k, 0)\), then

\[
A_1 = \{X \in R_{+}^2 : J(X) \leq 0\}, \quad A_2 = \{X \in R_{+}^2 : J(X) > 0\}
\]

and the system (2.1) invariant set is \( R_{+}^2 = \{X = (S, I), S \geq 0, I \geq 0\} \). If \(-m_1 + m_2n > 0\) holds, we have \( S_q > 0 \); if \( S_q < 0 \), the set \( A_1 \) becomes an empty set, then the non-smooth system (2.10) becomes smooth system \( \dot{X}(t) = P_{A_1}(X) \).

The switching line defined by \( \Xi \) is

\[
\Xi = \{X \in R^2 : J(X) = 0\}.
\]

Therefore, the system (2.10) is located in region \( A_1 \) or \( A_2 \) recorded as \( S_{A_1} \) or \( S_{A_2} \), respectively. We use the properties of the Lambert W function to transform the implicitly defined function system (2.1) into a piecewise smooth (PWS) system [26]. The equilibrium point of PWS (2.10) as follows:

The switching line defined by \( \Xi \) is

\[
\Xi = \{X \in R^2 : J(X) = 0\}.
\]

The dynamics of \( S_{A_2} \). For the smooth system \( S_{A_2} \), the equation is as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= aS(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - e^{-N(t)}bIS, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= e^{-N(t)}bIS - \alpha I - \beta I - \gamma I, \\
& \text{if } S > S_c.
\end{align*}
\]

Because \( N_1(t) \) contains the Lambert W function, it is difficult to study its dynamics through theoretical analysis. The disease-free equilibrium of system (3.1) is \( E_{0}^{*} = (k, 0) \), which is consistent with the corresponding of system \( S_{A_1} \).

Existence of endemic equilibrium.
Lemma 1. The interior equilibrium point $\tilde{E}_2 = (S^*, I^*)$ is located in the area of $A_2$ and it is a regular equilibrium, where

$$S^* = \frac{n}{b} e^{m_1I^*}, I^* = \frac{a}{b} e^{m_1I^*} (1 - \frac{n}{kb} e^{m_1I^*}).$$

Proof. In the function $N_1(t)$, we define

$$G_1(S, I) \triangleq m_2 b S I e^{-m_1I + m_2nI}, G_2(I) \triangleq -m_1 I + m_2 n I$$

then

$$N_1(t) = W(G_1(S, I)) - G_2(I)$$

and by using the properties of the Lambert W function, we can get

$$\exp(-N_1(t)) = \exp(-W(G_1(S, I)) + G_2(I))$$

Substituting Eq (3.2) into model (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= a S (1 - \frac{S}{k}) - \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{m_2}, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{m_2} - n I, \\
\end{aligned}$$

(3.3)

If the second of the above formulas is equal to zero, then there is $W(G_1(S, I)) = m_2 n I$. Utilizing the properties of the Lambert W function, we can obtain

$$S^* = \frac{n}{b} e^{m_1I^*}. \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting (3.4) into the first formula in (3.3) and combining with $W(G_1(S, I)) = m_2 n I$, we get

$$\frac{an}{b} e^{m_1I^*} (1 - \frac{n}{kb} e^{m_1I^*}) = n I^*, \quad (3.5)$$

$$I^* = \frac{a}{b} e^{m_1I^*} (1 - \frac{n}{kb} e^{m_1I^*}). \quad (3.6)$$

We consider $I^* > 0$, and the parameters are all positive, that is,

$$\left(1 - \frac{n}{kb} e^{m_1I^*}\right) > 0 \quad (3.7)$$

which is equivalent to

$$R_0 = \frac{kb}{n} e^{m_1I^*} > 1. \quad (3.8)$$

If $R_0 > 1$, the interior equilibria $\tilde{E}_2 = (S^*, I^*)$ satisfies condition $S^* > S_q$, which means that $\tilde{E}_2$ is located in the area of $A_2$ and it is a regular equilibrium. □
Local stability of the endemic equilibrium $\tilde{E}_2$.

**Lemma 2.** If the parameters satisfy the following relationship

\[
\begin{align*}
-\frac{T_2}{m_2} + n + \frac{T_1}{m_2} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k} &> 0, \\
n\frac{T_1}{m_2} + \frac{aT_2}{m_2} - \frac{2aT_2S^*}{m_2k} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k} &> 0, \\
\left(-\frac{T_2}{m_2} + n + \frac{T_1}{m_2} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k}\right)^2 - 4\left(\frac{nT_1}{m_2} + \frac{aT_2}{m_2} - \frac{2aT_2S^*}{m_2k} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k}\right) &> 0
\end{align*}
\]

then the system (3.3) has the point $\tilde{E}_2$ as locally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** We use the Jacobian matrix to analyze the stability of equilibrium point $\tilde{E}_2$ and set $c = -m_1 + m_2n$ and

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1(S, I) &= aS(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{m_2}, \\
D_2(S, I) &= \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{m_2} - nI.
\end{align*}
\] (3.9)

The Jacobian matrix is as follows

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial S} & \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial I} \\
\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial S} & \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial I}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{m_2}T_1 + a - \frac{2aS^*}{k} & -\frac{1}{m_2}T_2 \\
-\frac{1}{m_2}T_1 & -\frac{1}{m_2}T_2 - n
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3.10)

where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are defined as

\[
T_1 = \frac{\partial W(G_1(S, I))}{\partial S} = \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{G_1(S, I)(1 + W(G_1(S, I)))} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial S},
\]

\[
T_2 = \frac{\partial W(G_1(S, I))}{\partial I} = \frac{W(G_1(S, I))}{G_1(S, I)(1 + W(G_1(S, I)))} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial I}
\]

with

\[
\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial S} = m_2bIe^{cl}, \quad \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial I} = m_2bIe^{cl}(1 + cl).
\]

In order to simplify the calculation at the equilibrium point $\tilde{E}_2$, we can get

\[
T_1 = \frac{m_2nI^*}{G_1(S^*, I^*)(1 + m_2nI^*)} \frac{m_2bIe^{cl}}{S^*(1 + m_2nI^*)},
\]

\[
T_2 = \frac{m_2nI^*}{G_1(S^*, I^*)(1 + m_2nI^*)} \frac{m_2bS^*e^{cl}(1 + cI^*)}{S^*(1 + m_2nI^*)}.
\] (3.11)

Thus, the characteristic equation at point $\tilde{E}_2$ is

\[
\lambda^2 + (-\frac{T_2}{m_2} + n + \frac{T_1}{m_2} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k})\lambda + \frac{nT_1}{m_2} + \frac{aT_2}{m_2} - \frac{2aT_2S^*}{m_2k} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k} = 0.
\] (3.12)
If the characteristic equation satisfies the following conditions
\[\frac{T_2}{m_2} + n + \frac{T_1}{m_2} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k} > 0,\]
\[\frac{nT_1}{m_2} + \frac{T_2}{m_2} - \frac{2aT_2S^*}{m_2k} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k} > 0,\]
\[(-\frac{T_2}{m_2} + n + \frac{T_1}{m_2} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k})^2 - 4(\frac{nT_1}{m_2} + \frac{T_2}{m_2} - \frac{2aT_2S^*}{m_2k} - a + \frac{2aS^*}{k}) > 0.\]
it can be obtained that the characteristic equation has two negative roots in the region \(A_2\), which means that the point \(\tilde{E}_2\) is locally asymptotically stable. \(\Box\)

4. Optimal control strategies

In this section, we investigate the dynamic behaviors of the system under control variables \(u_1(t)\) and \(u_2(t)\). The first control equation \(u_1(t)\) is to enhance prevention strategies, reduce the number of patients, vaccinate and wear masks, or increase social distance. This control can reduce the probability of illness among susceptible populations, represented by \((1 - u_1(t))\). The second control equation \(u_2(t)\) represents accelerating the recovery time of patients, enhancing medical conditions, developing specific drugs, and enhancing human immune capacity. We focus on the impact of media factor \(m_1\) on the infected individuals, while ignoring the impact of \(m_2\) on infection rates. We set \(m_1, m_2 = 0\) and the system becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= aS \left(1 - \frac{S}{k}\right) - e^{-m_1}bIS(1 - u_1(t)), \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= e^{-m_1}bIS(1 - u_1(t)) - \alpha I - \beta I - (\gamma + u_2(t))I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= (\gamma + u_2(t))I - \mu R. 
\end{align*}
\] (4.1)

When \(u_i = 1, (i = 1, 2)\) indicates complete control, and \(u_i = 0\) indicates that control is ineffective, we consider the following optimal control problem to minimize the objective functional as given by

\[
J(u_1, u_2) = \min_{0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 1} \int_0^{T_f} (\omega_1 I + \frac{1}{2}[\omega_2 u_1^2(t) + \omega_3 u_2^2(t)]) dt. \tag{4.2}
\]

The weight constant \(\omega_1\) represents the infected population, while \(\omega_2\) and \(\omega_3\) represent the weight constants of personal protection and improvement of medical conditions, respectively. The terms \(\frac{1}{2}\omega_2 u_1^2(t)\) and \(\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 u_2^2(t)\) describe the costs associated with the corresponding intervention measures over the time interval \([0, T_f]\). Assuming that the cost is proportional to the square of the corresponding control function, we have

\[
J(u_1^*, u_2^*) = \min\{J(u_1, u_2) : u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}\}
\]

where \(\mathcal{U}\) is defined by

\[\mathcal{U} = \{(u_1, u_2) \mid 0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 1\}\]
for $t \in [0, T_f]$. Therefore, in order to determine the necessary conditions that the optimal control $(u_1^*, u_2^*)$ must satisfy, Pontryagin’s maximum principle is used [29] and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ for the control problem is defined by:

$$\mathcal{H} = \omega_1 I + \frac{1}{2} [\omega_2 u_1^2(t) + \omega_3 u_2^2(t)]$$

$$+ \lambda_1 [aS(1 - \frac{S}{k}) - e^{-m_1 t} bIS (1 - u_1(t))]$$

$$+ \lambda_2 [e^{-m_1 t} bIS (1 - u_1(t)) - \alpha I - \beta I - (\gamma + u_2(t)) I]$$

$$+ \lambda_3 [(\gamma + u_2(t)) I - \mu R]$$

and the adjoint variables $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are associated with the state variables of the model (4.1). The expression satisfies the following:

$$\frac{d\lambda_1}{dt} = e^{-m_1 t} bI (1 - u_1(t)) (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) - a \lambda_1 + 2 \lambda_1 S \frac{a}{k},$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_2}{dt} = (- m_1 e^{-m_1 t} bIS + e^{-m_1 t} bS) (1 - u_1(t)) (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$$

$$+ (\alpha + \beta) \lambda_2 - (\gamma + u_2) \lambda_3 + \gamma + u_2(t) - \omega_1,$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_3}{dt} = \mu \lambda_3$$

with the terminal (transversality) conditions $\lambda_i (T_f) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$. Further, the optimal control double $(u_1^*, u_2^*)$ is given as follows:

$$u_1^* = \max [0, \min \{1, \frac{e^{-m_1 t} bIS (\lambda_2 - \lambda_2)}{\omega_2}\}],$$

$$u_2^* = \max [0, \min \{1, \frac{I(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2)}{\omega_3}\}].$$

5. Numerical simulations

In this section, we use numerical simulations to verify the rationality of the theory. Figures 1 and 2 display the relationship between the dynamic of the system and $R_0$. When $R_0 < 1$, the disease-free equilibrium point $(k, 0)$ of the system is located in region $A_1$ or $A_2$, depending on the values of $m_1$ and $m_2$. Figure 1(a) displays the equilibrium point as located in $A_1$. It can be observed that changing different initial values of the system will cross the line $S = S_q$ and stabilize at the equilibrium point. The equilibrium point is located at $A_2$ in Figure 1(b). It can be found that the trajectory starting from $A_2$ will stabilize at the equilibrium point, while the trajectory with an initial value at $A_1$ will directly pass through $S = S_q$ to reach the equilibrium point at $A_2$. On the other hand, when $R_0 > 1$, the system dynamics are displayed in Figure 2. Any trajectory with an initial value at $A_1$ or $A_2$ will directly pass through $S = S_q$ to stabilize at point $E_2$, which is regular and is globally asymptotically stable in $A_2$.

The system is not influenced by media factors, as described in Figure 3(a). When $R_0 > 1$, the number of diseases $I(t)$ asymptotically stabilizes at a steady-state value. When $R_0 < 1$, $I(t)$ exhibits a downward trend and achieves the desired control effect. In Figure 3(b), the media factor parameters are $m_1 = 0.2$, $m_2 = 0.8$. The situation is similar to Figure 3(a), but when $R_0 < 1$, the media related parameters $m_1$ and
have no effect on the number of diseases. This indicates that when the basic reproduction number is \( R_0 < 1 \), the influence of media factors on the system is not significant. In a word, when infectious diseases spread, media factors should be utilized at appropriate times to influence the disease.

The values of media-related parameters \( m_1 \) or \( m_2 \) are changed to explore the influence of media factors on diseases. In Figure 3(c), the peak value of \( I(t) \) has a slight backward movement at the increase of the \( m_2 \) value. Figure 3(d) indicates that the peak value decreases with the increase of \( m_1 \) and the peak appearance time is slightly earlier. It is interesting to note that media reports need to choose certain methods to ensure a positive impact on disease control. This depends on the authenticity of media reports and the popularity of media reporting platforms.

The effects of parameters \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) on the infected population is shown in Figure 4, where the color bar represents the fluctuation height. Without the influence of parameter \( m_1 \), the system fluctuation moves backward with the increase of \( m_2 \), and the phenomenon of backward fluctuation is significant at the \( m_2 \) close to 1. When \( m_1 \) is magnified to 0.2, the fluctuation moves backward slowly. Interestingly, the situation of \( m_2 = 0 \) is different from \( m_1 = 0 \). As \( m_1 \) increases, the second wave of \( I(t) \) shifts significantly forward. If \( m_2 = 0.4 \), the system fluctuations and changes are not significant.

Sensitivity analysis can analyze and understand the impact of different parameters on specific variables, which may help us control disease transmission or provide guidance. Referring to the definition in [27], the sensitivity index of \( R_0 \) for each parameter \( c \) is defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}_c^{R_0} = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial c} \times \frac{c}{R_0}.
\]

Among all positive correlation factors in Fig.5, the population carrying capacity \( k \) and basic transmission coefficient \( b \) are the highest. This indicates that the value of \( k \) or \( b \) is positively correlated to \( R_0 \) with a degree of 100%. However, among all the negative correlation factors, the rate of recovery from infection \( \gamma \) is the most sensitive parameter, and the value of \( \gamma \) is negatively correlated to \( R_0 \) with a degree of 78.95%.

The numerical simulation of the optimal control system is implemented using MATLAB, and the equation is solved using the forward backward of the fourth order Runge-Kutta method [28]. The weight constants are chosen as \( \omega_1 = 50, \omega_2 = 100, \) and \( \omega_3 = 1 \). Figure 6(a) exhibits the time history of two controls \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) coexisting and Figure 6(d) represents the disease population quickly reaching the minimum value under dual-control. Figure 6(b,c) investigates the dynamics of the system under a single control of \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), corresponding to Figure 6(e,f). It is worth noting that under a single control, \( u_1 \) has a stronger control effect on the disease population, while \( u_2 \) can reduce the peak of the disease population.

From the perspective of epidemics, maintaining an effective social distance under the influence of control \( u_1 \) reduces the probability of illness in susceptible populations and achieves the effect of controlling the total number of infectious diseases as quickly as possible in a limited period of time. Under the influence of control \( u_2 \), the effect of controlling the number of diseases is achieved relatively slowly in a short period of time by accelerating the recovery time of patients and improving medical conditions. Under the influence of both control strategies, the effect of controlling the number of diseases is stronger and faster, which provides ideas for the prevention of epidemics.
Figure 1. The phase diagram of the SIR model under the case of $R_0 = 0.6579 < 1$. The dotted line indicates $S = S_q$, the left side of the dotted line is the $A_1$ area, and the right side is the $A_2$ area. The black point is the equilibrium point of disease elimination $E_0^*$ and the parameters are $b = 0.5, r = 1.5, \beta = 0.2, \alpha = 0.2, k = 2.5, \alpha = 0.1, \mu = 0.1$ (a) $S(0) = 4, m_1 = 0.2, m_2 = 0.8$; (b) $I(0) = 0.5, m_1 = 0.6, m_2 = 0.4$.

Figure 2. The phase diagram of the SIR model under the case of $R_0 = 1.9737 > 1$. The dotted line indicates $S = S_q$, the left side of the dotted line is the $A_1$ area, and the right side is the $A_2$ area. The black points are the local equilibrium points $E_0^*$ and $\tilde{E}_2$ and the parameters are $b = 1.5, r = 1.5, \beta = 0.2, \alpha = 0.2, k = 2.5, \alpha = 0.1, \mu = 0.1, m_1 = 0.2, m_2 = 0.8$. 
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Figure 3. The time history diagram of disease I(t) and the model select different parameters under the case of $R_0 < 1$ (red line) and $R_0 > 1$ (blue line), (a) $m_1=0, m_2=0$; (b) $m_1=0.2, m_2=0.8$; (c) In the case of $m_1 = 0.2, m_2 = 0, 0.4$ or 0.8. (d) $m_2 = 0.4, m_1 = 0, 0.4$ or 0.8.

Figure 4. The influence of parameters $m_1$ and $m_2$ on I(t). (a) The system fix $m_1 = 0$ and (b) $m_1 = 0.2$, (c) fix $m_2 = 0$ and (d) $m_1 = 0.4$. 
Figure 5. The model sensitivities to its associate parameters.

Figure 6. (a) Combined effects of optimal controls $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ on the system. (b) or (c) Control profile ($u_1(t)$ or $u_2(t)$) and its effects on the system. (d–f) Time history diagrams under different control situations of $I(t)$. 
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6. Conclusions

Inspired by COVID-19, this paper constructed an epidemic model to include media factors to explore the control effects of media factors on diseases. In the theoretical analysis, the media factor uses the Lambert W function to transform the system defined by the implicit function into the displayed PWS function. The research results indicated that the media factor has an obvious control effect on the epidemic situation under the basic reproduction number $R_0 > 1$, which verifies that the media has an inhibitory effect on disease.

Sensitivity analysis, as a method of analyzing parameters, revealed that the population carrying capacity $k$ and basic transmission coefficient $b$ are positively correlated with $R_0$, while the rate of recovery from infection $\gamma$ is negatively correlated with $R_0$. This may provide a control strategy for disease control. Finally, the optimal control strategy was designed to analyze the impact of different controls on the system. It can be concluded that dual-control has the best effect on disease control. This result may provide methods for epidemic control.
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