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1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is a field of mathematics that expands the notion of differentiation and integration beyond integer orders. These operations are applicable to all real numbers, including non-integer values. Fractional calculus has found practical applications in a variety of physical systems, as can be seen in [2, 16, 17, 20, 30, 40, 41] and some classic books [22, 27, 29, 39].
Based on the literature, fixed point theory has been applied for many years to establish that differential equations have a solution [26, 31, 35, 46, 48, 49]. Mahwin [32] in their paper made use of the topological degree theory (TDT) to solve integral equations for the first time. Isaia [24] theoretically applied TDT to analyze some integral equations. Use of TDT can also be observed in [14, 42, 43, 47].

To date, a lot of good work with integro-differential equations has been conducted, including the studies described in [7, 34]. Zuo et al. [33] derived the following fractional integro-differential equations with impulsive and antiperiodic boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{aligned}
D^\gamma \zeta (q) + \lambda \zeta (q) &= f(q, \zeta (q), P\zeta (q), S\zeta (q)), \quad q \in J' \\
\delta \zeta (q_i) &= I_i(\zeta_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \\
\zeta (0) &= -\zeta (1)
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( J' = J \setminus \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m\}, 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \lambda > 0 \) and \( D^\gamma \) is denoted as CFD, \( 1 < \gamma \leq 2 \). Here \( f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), J = [0, 1] \) is the integro-differential function and \( P \) and \( S \) are linear operators:

\[
\begin{aligned}
(P \zeta)(q) &= \int_0^q k(q,s)\zeta(s)ds \\
(S \zeta)(q) &= \int_0^1 h(q,s)\zeta(s)ds
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( q \in J, k \in C(D, \mathbb{R}), D = \{(q, s) \in J \times J : q \geq s\} \), \( h \in C(J \times J, \mathbb{R}) \).

It is observed from the literature that for last many years, fixed point theory has been used to prove the existence of a solution to the differential equations. However, the use of fixed point theory requires strong conditions, which severely limits its applicability. Also the uniqueness is proved via the Banach contraction principle, which is applied to find a unique solution for the defined problem. It is noticed that most of the work on the topic of fractional differential equations (FDEs) involves either the RL or CFD. While these derivatives are common place in the study of FDEs, the Hadamard fractional derivative (HFD) is another kind of fractional derivatives. This kind of derivative was introduced by Hadamard [21]. This fractional derivative differs from the other ones in the sense that the kernel of the integral (in the definition of Hadamard derivative) contains the logarithmic function of an arbitrary exponent. In [25], we see the modification of the HFD into a more suitable one called the Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative (CHFD). Applications of where Hadamard derivative and the Hadamard derivative integral can be found in papers by Butzer et al. [11–13]. Other important results dealing with studies on fractional calculus using Hadamard derivatives can be seen in [4, 6, 8, 9, 18, 19, 23, 36–38, 45].

A paper by Jarad et al. [25], deals with the CHFD by modifying the to be of caputo type. This is familiar with different kinds of boundary conditions like the Neumann boundary condition and the Dirichlet boundary condition. A weighed combination of these boundary conditions is called the Robin boundary condition. It finds its applications in fields such as physics. The Caputo-Hadamard (CH) derivative type of FDEs with boundary value problems are described in [1, 3, 5, 10].
TDT-based existence results for FIDEs with CH-derivatives have the following form:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{c}{H}D^x\zeta(q) = g(q, \zeta(q), P\zeta(q), S\zeta(q)), & q \in J := [0, L] \\
a\zeta(1) + b\frac{c}{H}D^x\zeta(1) = c_i\frac{D^x(1)}{D^{x+1}(1)}, & 1 < \zeta_1 < L, v_1 > 0 \\
c\zeta(L) + d\frac{c}{H}D^x\zeta(L) = c_i\frac{D^x(L)}{D^{x+1}(L)}, & 1 < \zeta_2 < L, v_2 > 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(1.1)

where \(\frac{c}{H}D^x, \frac{c}{H}D^y\) are CH-derivatives of order \(\nu, \gamma\), respectively with \(1 < \nu \leq 2, 0 < \gamma \leq 1\), HD integral of order \(H^{1\nu}, v_1, i \in [1, 2]\) and \(f \in C(J \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})\) is the continuous function; \(P\) and \(S\) are linear operators;

\[
(P\zeta)(q) = \int_0^q k(q, s)\zeta(s)ds \\
(S\zeta)(q) = \int_0^1 h(q, s)\zeta(s)ds.
\]

Let \(a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{R}\) such that

\[
\chi = (a - c_i(\log \zeta_1)^{\nu_1})(\log L + d(\log L)^{1-\gamma} - c_2(2(2-\gamma)) - c_1(\log \zeta_1)^{\nu_1+1}) + c_1(\log \zeta_1)^{\nu_1+1} \gamma (\log L - c_2(2(2-\gamma)) - c_2(\log \zeta_2)^{\nu_2}) \\
\neq 0.
\]

(1.2)

In this paper, we determine the existence results via TDT in Section 2. Additionally, we discuss the FIDEs existence results under boundary conditions. An appropriate illustration and conclusion are provided in Sections 4 and 5.

2. Facts

Here, we shall establish basic results and definitions for our analysis. We shall refer to the notations and results from [15]. Let the Banach space (BS) be \(X\) and \(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)\) be bounded subsets.

**Definition 2.1.** [14] Let \(\epsilon : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_+\),

\[
\zeta(B) := \inf\{d > 0 : B \text{ permits finite cover by sets of diameter } \leq d\}
\]

where, Kuratowski- measure of non compactness is \(B \in \mathcal{B}\).

Let \(B\) be a compact set and set \(B\) of a space \(X\) is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded. The value of \(\epsilon\) is the measured value, and the value of \(\epsilon(B)\) is 0. The set is compact, when the value is 0. The larger the value of \(\epsilon\), the less it is like a compact set.

**Proposition 2.2.** [14] For bounded subsets \(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2\) on a BS,

(1) \(\epsilon(\mathcal{D}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}\) is compact,

(2) \(\epsilon(\lambda \mathcal{D}) = |\lambda| \epsilon(\mathcal{D}),\) \(\lambda \in \mathcal{R},\)

(3) \(\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2) \leq \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_1) + \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_2),\) \(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathcal{B},\)

(4) \(\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_2 \Rightarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_1) \leq \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_2),\)
(5) \( \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2) = \max\{\epsilon(\mathcal{D}_1), \epsilon(\mathcal{D}_2)\} \),
(6) \( \epsilon(\text{conv } \mathcal{D}) = \epsilon(\mathcal{D}) \),
(7) \( \epsilon(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(\mathcal{F}) \).

Let \( \Psi := [\zeta : [0, T] \to \mathcal{H} : \zeta \in C(I)] \) and \( (\Psi, \| \cdot \|) \) be a BS under \( \|\zeta\| := \sup\{|\zeta(q)| : q \in [0, T]\} \).

**Definition 2.3.** [14] Suppose a continuous bounded map is \( \mathcal{F} : \sigma \to \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \sigma \subset \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \exists k \geq 0 \) such that \( \epsilon(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})) \leq ke(\mathcal{H}) \forall \mathcal{H} \subset \sigma \). If \( \mathcal{F} \) is an \( \epsilon \)-contract that implies \( k < 1 \).

**Definition 2.4.** [14, 15, 24] Let a \( C_\epsilon(\sigma) \) be a class of all \( \epsilon \)-condensing maps \( \mathcal{F} : \sigma \to X \). An \( \epsilon \)-condensing map \( \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y} \) if \( \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \epsilon(\mathcal{F}(A)) \leq \epsilon(A) \).

**Theorem 2.5.** [14] Let map \( \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y} \) be \( \epsilon \)-condensing; then,

\[ \mathcal{H} = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{Y} : \exists 1 \leq \lambda \leq \mathcal{L} \ni \zeta = \lambda T \zeta \}, \]

such that \( \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{B}_r(0) \), also \( \mathcal{H} \) is a bounded set in \( \Psi \), so \( \exists r > 0 \)

\[ D(I - \lambda T, \mathcal{B}_r(0), 0) = 1, \forall \lambda \in [1, \mathcal{L}] \].

Therefore, \( T \) has a fixed point.

**Definition 2.6.** [29] Let \( v > 0 \) be an Hadamard derivative integral of order \( \zeta \in L^1(J) \) is;

\[ ^H_{J^v} \zeta(q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_1^q \log(q/s)^{v-1} \zeta(s) \frac{ds}{s} \]

where

\[ \Gamma(v) = \int_0^\infty e^{-qq^{v-1}} dt, \quad v > 0. \]

Let \( \delta = q \frac{d}{dt} \quad v > 0, n = [v] + 1. \)

**Definition 2.7.** [29] Let \( v > 0 \) be the Hadamard derivative and \( \zeta \in \Psi \) is;

\[ ^H_{D^v} \zeta(q) = \delta^n(^H_{J^{v-n}} \zeta(q)). \]

**Definition 2.8.** [25, 29] Let \( v > 0 \) be the CH-derivative and \( \zeta \in \Psi \) is;

\[ ^C_{H^v} \zeta(q) = ^H_{J^{v-n}} \delta^n \zeta(q). \]

**Lemma 2.9.** [25, 29] Let \( v > 0, r > 0 \) and \( n = [v] + 1. \)

1. \( ^H_{J^v}(\log_a^r)^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(v + r)} (\log_a^r)^{-1} \).

Let \( a = 1 \) and \( r = 1 \); we get that \( ^H_{J^v}(1)(v) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(v + 1)}(\log(q))^{-1} \).

2. \( ^C_{H^v}(\log_a^r)^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(r - v)} (\log_a^r)^{-v} & , \quad r > n \\ 0 & , \quad r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \end{cases} \).
Lemma 2.10. [25, 29] Let \( n_1, n_2 > 0 \) and \( \zeta \in \Psi \); then
1. \( H \mathcal{F} \nu_1 (H \mathcal{F} \nu_2 \zeta(q)) = (H \mathcal{F} \nu_1 + \nu_2 \zeta(q)); \)
2. \( \frac{H}{C} D^\nu_1 (H \mathcal{F} \nu_2 \zeta(q)) = (H \mathcal{F} \nu_2 \zeta(q)); \)
3. \( \frac{H}{C} D^\nu_1 (H \mathcal{F} \nu_1 \zeta(q)) = \zeta(q). \)

Lemma 2.11. [25, 29] Let \( \nu > 0 \) and \( n = [\nu] + 1 \). Let \( \zeta \in \Psi \) be the CH derivative of the FDEs
\[
\frac{H}{C} D^\nu \zeta(q) = 0
\]
has a solution as
\[
\zeta(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i (\log q)^i
\]
\[
\frac{H}{C} D^\nu \zeta(q) = \zeta(q) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i (\log q)^i, \quad c_i \in \mathcal{R}, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1.
\]

3. Main results

We shall define some hypotheses:
(A1) \( \exists \) constants \( A_1, A_2 > 0 \) and \( p \in [0, 1) \) such that
\[
|g(q, \zeta_1(q), P\zeta_1(q) , S \zeta_1(q))| \leq (W_1 + W_2 \| \zeta \|^p + W_3 \| \zeta \|^p + W_4 \| \zeta \|^p), \quad \forall \zeta \in \Psi.
\]
(A2) \( \exists \) constants \( \alpha, \beta, \chi \) such that
\[
|g(q, \zeta_1(q), P\zeta_1(q) , S \zeta_1(q)) - g(q, \zeta_2(q), P\zeta_2(q), S \zeta_2(q))| \leq \alpha \| \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \| + \beta \| P\zeta_1 - P\zeta_2 \|
+ \chi \| S \zeta_1 - S \zeta_2 \|.
\]

We define \( k_{\text{max}} = \sup_{q \in J} \int_0^q |k(q, s)|ds \) and \( h_{\text{max}} = \sup_{q \in J} \int_0^1 |h(q, s)|ds \).

Thus using (A2),
\[
|g(q, \zeta_1(q), P\zeta_1(q) , S \zeta_1(q)) - g(q, \zeta_2(q), P\zeta_2(q), S \zeta_2(q))|
\leq \alpha \| \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \| + \beta \int_0^q |k(q, s)|ds \| \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \|
+ \chi \int_0^1 |h(q, s)|ds \| \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \|
\leq (\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}}) \| \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \|.
\]

Now we prove the existence result:

Lemma 3.1. [14] Let \( h \) be a continuous function on \( J \); then, we have the following FIDE:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{C}{H} D^\nu \zeta(q) &= h(q), & q \in J := [0, L] \\
\frac{C}{H} D^\nu \zeta(1) &= c_1^H \mathcal{F} \nu_1 \zeta(\zeta_1), & 1 < \zeta_1 < L, \nu_1 > 0 \\
\frac{C}{H} D^\nu \zeta(L) &= c_2^H \mathcal{F} \nu_2 \zeta(\zeta_2), & 1 < \zeta_2 < L, \nu_2 > 0
\end{align*}
\]
have a unique solution given by

\[ \zeta(q) = H^* \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(q) + K_1(q)^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\gamma} h(\zeta_1) + K_2(q) (c_2^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\gamma} h(\zeta_2) - (c^H \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(L) + d^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu-\gamma} h(L))) \]  

(3.2)

where,

- \( K_1(q) = c_1(\chi_1 - \chi_2 q) \), \( K_2(q) = c_1 \chi_3 + \chi_4 q \)
- \( \chi_1 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( c \log L + \frac{d \log L}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} - \frac{c_2 \log \zeta_2^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(v_2 + 2)} \right) \)
- \( \chi_2 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( c \log L - \frac{c_2 \log \zeta_2^{\nu_2}}{\Gamma(v_2 + 1)} \right) \)
- \( \chi_3 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( \frac{c_1 \log \zeta_1^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 2)} \right) \)
- \( \chi_4 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( a - \frac{c_1 \log \zeta_1^{\nu_1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 1)} \right) \)

and \( \chi \) is given by (1.2).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.11, Eq (3.1) becomes,

\[ \zeta(q) = H^* \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(q) + k_0 + k_1 \log(q), \quad k_0, k_1 \in \mathcal{R}. \]

By using boundary conditions, we have

\[ H^* \mathcal{J}^\gamma \zeta(\zeta_i) = H^* \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\gamma} h(\zeta_i) + \frac{k_0 \log \zeta_i^{\nu_1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 1)} + \frac{k_1 \log \zeta_i^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 2)}, \quad i = 1, 2 \]

\[ C_H^* D^\gamma \zeta(L) = H^* \mathcal{J}^{\nu-\gamma} h(q) + \frac{k_1 \log L^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)}. \]

Solving for \( k_0, k_1 \) we get the following solutions:

\[ k_0 = c_1 \chi_1^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\gamma} \zeta(\zeta_1) + \chi_3 (c_2^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\gamma} \zeta(\zeta_2) - (c^H \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(L) + d^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu-\gamma} h(L))) \]

and,

\[ k_1 = c_1 \chi_4^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\gamma} \zeta(\zeta_2) - (c^H \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(L) + d^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu-\gamma} h(L))) - c_1 \chi_2^H \mathcal{J}^\gamma h(\zeta_1). \]

Substituting for \( k_0 \) and \( k_1 \) we get (3.2).

In view of the problem (1.1), by Lemma 3.1, we get,

\[ \zeta(q) = H^* \mathcal{J}^\gamma g_(q) + K_1(q)^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\gamma} g(\zeta_1) \]

\[ + K_2(q) (c_2^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\gamma} g(\zeta_2) - (c^H \mathcal{J}^\gamma g(L) + d^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu-\gamma} g(L))) \]

(3.3)

we denote \( g(q \zeta(q), P \zeta(q), S \zeta(q)) \) by \( g_c \); then, we have

\[ K_1(q) = c_1(\chi_1 - \chi_2 q), \quad K_2(q) = c_1 \chi_3 + \chi_4 q \]

\[ \chi_1 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( c \log L + \frac{d \log L}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} - \frac{c_2 \log \zeta_2^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(v_2 + 2)} \right), \quad \chi_2 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( c \log L - \frac{c_2 \log \zeta_2^{\nu_2}}{\Gamma(v_2 + 1)} \right), \]

\[ \chi_3 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( \frac{c_1 \log \zeta_1^{\nu+1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 2)} \right), \quad \chi_4 = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( a - \frac{c_1 \log \zeta_1^{\nu_1}}{\Gamma(v_1 + 1)} \right) \]

and \( \chi \) is given by (1.2). The next steps are as follows:
(1) Define $T_1 : \Psi \to \Psi$ as $T_1 \xi(q) = ^H \mathcal{J}^\nu g_\xi(q)$.

(2) Define $T_2 : \Psi \to \Psi$ as $T_2 \xi(q) = K_1(q)^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\nu} g_\xi(\xi_1) + K_2(q)^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\xi(\xi_2)$.

(3) Define $T_3 : \Psi \to \Psi$ as $T_3 \xi(q) = K_2(q)^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_1+\nu} g_\xi(L) + d^H \mathcal{J}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\xi(L))$.

Let $T : \Psi \to \Psi$ given that $T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$. Thus the problem is reduced to finding the fixed points of the operator $T$.

**Theorem 3.2.** $T_1 : \Psi \to \Psi$ is Lipschitz-continuous with the Lipschitz constant $\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (\alpha + \beta k_{\max} + \chi h_{\max})$. It also satisfies the following growth relation:

$$
\|T_1 \xi(q)\| \leq \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (W_1 + W_2 \|\xi\|^p + W_3 \|\xi\|^p + W_4 \|\xi\|^p).
$$

**Proof.** Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Psi$; then

$$
\|T_1 \xi_1(q) - T_1 \xi_2(q)\| \\
\leq \|^H \mathcal{J}^\nu g_{\xi_1}(q) - ^H \mathcal{J}^\nu g_{\xi_2}(q)\| \\
\leq \|^H \mathcal{J}^\nu (1)(T)(\alpha + \beta k_{\max} + \chi h_{\max})\|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \\
= \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (\alpha + \beta k_{\max} + \chi h_{\max})\|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|.
$$

This is true for all $q \in J$. Thus when we take the supremum over $q \in J$,

$$
\|T_1 \xi_1(q) - T_1 \xi_2(q)\| \leq \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (\alpha + \beta k_{\max} + \chi h_{\max})\|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|.
$$

Hence, $T_1$ is a Lipschitz constant provided that

$$
\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (\alpha + \beta k_{\max} + \chi h_{\max}).
$$

For the growth relation, we have,

$$
\|T_1 \xi(q)\| \leq \|^H \mathcal{J}^\nu g_\xi(q)\| \\
= \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (W_1 + W_2 \|\xi\|^p + W_3 \|\xi\|^p + W_4 \|\xi\|^p).
$$

Since this is true $\forall q \in J$, taking the supremum over all $q$, we have

$$
\|T_1 \xi(q)\| \leq \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (W_1 + W_2 \|\xi\|^p + W_3 \|\xi\|^p + W_4 \|\xi\|^p).
$$

□

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that the operator $T_2$ is continuous and fulfills the following growth relation:

$$
\|T_2 \xi(q)\| \leq C_{T_2} (W_1 + W_2 \|\xi\|^p + W_3 \|\xi\|^p + W_4 \|\xi\|^p)
$$

where,

$$
C_{T_2} = \|c_1\| \|\chi_1\| + \|c_2\| \|\chi_2\| \left( \frac{\log \xi_1^{\nu_1 + \nu_1}}{\Gamma(\nu_1 + \nu + 1)} \right) + \left( \|c_1\| \|\chi_2\| \right) + \|c_4\| \|\chi_4\| \left( \frac{\log \xi_2^{\nu_2 + \nu_2}}{\Gamma(\nu_2 + \nu + 1)} \right).
$$
Proof. Let \( \zeta_n \) be a sequence in \( \Psi \) that converges to \( \zeta \in \Psi \). Let \( g_\zeta \) by continuous; it follows that \( g_{\zeta_n} \to g_\zeta \). So, \( T_2 \) is continuous according to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (LDCT).

\[
|T_2 \zeta(q)| = |K_1(q)^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1+\nu} g_\zeta(\zeta_1) + K_2(q)(c_2^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\zeta(\zeta_2))| \\
\leq C_{T_2}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p).
\]

Hence,

\[
\|T_2 \zeta(q)\| \leq C_{T_2}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p).
\]

\( \square \)

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that the operator \( T_3 \) is continuous and the following growth relation is satisfied:

\[
\|T_3 \zeta(q)\| \leq C_{T_3}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p),
\]

where

\[
C_{T_3} = (|c_1||\chi_3| + |\chi_4|)\left[|c_1|\left(\frac{(\log L)^r}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{|d_1|((\log L)^{r-\gamma})}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma + 1)}\right)\right].
\]

Proof. Let \( \zeta_n \) be a sequence in \( \Psi \) that converges to \( \zeta \in \Psi \). Since \( g_\zeta \) is continuous, it follows that \( g_{\zeta_n} \to g_\zeta \). Thus by the LDCT, it follows that \( T_3 \) is continuous.

\[
|T_3 \zeta(q)| = |K_2(q)(c_2^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\zeta(L))| \\
\leq C_{T_3}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p).
\]

Hence,

\[
\|T_3 \zeta(q)\| \leq C_{T_3}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p).
\]

\( \square \)

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that \( T_2 \) is a compact map implying that \( T_2 \) is Lipschitz constant zero.

Proof. Let \( \zeta \in B(r) \) be a bounded set. In order to prove that \( T_2 \) is a compact map. By Theorem 3.3, for \( \zeta \in \zeta \),

\[
\|T_2 \zeta(q)\| \leq C_{T_2}(W_1 + W_2 r^p + W_3 r^p + W_4 r^p).
\]

Hence \( T_2(\zeta) \) is uniformly bounded.

Now, for any \( \zeta \in \Psi \),

\[
|T_2 \zeta(q)| = |K_1(q)^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1+\nu} g_\zeta(q_1) + K_2(q)(c_2^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\zeta(q_2))| \\
\leq |K_1(q)^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_1+\nu} g_\zeta(q_1)| + |K_2(q)(c_2^H \mathcal{S}^{\nu_2+\nu} g_\zeta(q_2))| \\
\leq \frac{(\log \zeta_1)^{\nu_1+\nu_1}}{\Gamma(\nu_1 + \nu_1 + 1)} + \frac{(\log \zeta_2)^{\nu_2+\nu_2}}{\Gamma(\nu_2 + \nu_2 + 1)}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p).
\]

Now, for \( q_1, q_2 \in J \),

\[
|T_2 \zeta(q_2) - T_2 \zeta(q_1)| \leq \int_{q_1}^{q_2} |T_2 \zeta(q)| dq \leq \frac{(\log \zeta_1)^{\nu_1+\nu_1}}{\Gamma(\nu_1 + \nu_1 + 1)} + \frac{(\log \zeta_2)^{\nu_2+\nu_2}}{\Gamma(\nu_2 + \nu_2 + 1)}(W_1 + W_2|\zeta|^p + W_3|\zeta|^p + W_4|\zeta|^p)(q_2 - q_1).
\]

Thus, because \( q_2 \to q_1 \), \( |T_2 \zeta(q_2) - T_2 \zeta(q_1)| \to 0 \) which implies that \( T_2 \) is equicontinuous. \( T_2 \) is compact according to the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Hence \( T_2 \) is LC zero. \( \square \)
Theorem 3.6. If $T_3$ is a compact then $T_3$ is Lipschitz constant zero.

Proof. Assume that $\zeta \subset B(r)$ is a bounded set. In order to prove that $T_3$ is a compact map. From Theorem 3.4, for $\zeta \in \zeta$,

$$||T_3(\zeta)|| \leq C_{T_3}(W_1 + W_2 r^p + W_3 r^p + W_4 r^p).$$

Hence $T_3(\zeta)$ is uniformly bounded.

Now, for any $q_1, q_2 \in J$, we have,

$$|T_3(\zeta(q_2)) - T_3(\zeta(q_1))| \leq \int_{q_1}^{q_2} |T_3'(\zeta(q))|dt \leq C_{T_3}(W_1 + W_2 ||\zeta||^p + W_3 ||\zeta||^p + W_4 ||\zeta||^p) (q_2 - q_1).$$

Thus, because $q_2 \to q_1$, $|T_3(\zeta(q_2)) - T_3(\zeta(q_1))| \to 0 \Rightarrow T_3$ is equicontinuous. $T_3$ is compact according to the Arzelå-Ascoli theorem. Hence $T_3$ is LC zero. \hfill \Box

Since $T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$ and $T_1$ is LC $(\log L)^v(\alpha + \beta k_{max} + \chi h_{max})$ and $T_2, T_3$ are LC 0, it follows that $T$ is LC $(\log L)^v(\alpha + \beta k_{max} + \chi h_{max}).$

If we assume that $(\log L)^v(\alpha + \beta k_{max} + \chi h_{max}) < 1$, then by Definition 2.4, $T$ is $\epsilon$-condensing.

Theorem 3.7 (Existence). Let FODE (1.1) have at least one solution if

$$(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(v + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}) < 1.$$

Proof. Consider the set

$${\mathcal H} = \{ \zeta \in \Psi : \exists \lambda \in [0, 1] \exists \lambda T\zeta = \zeta \}.$$

Let $\zeta \in {\mathcal H}$ such that $\lambda \zeta = \zeta$; then,

$$\zeta = \lambda(T_1(\zeta) + T_2(\zeta) + T_3(\zeta)).$$

Taking $|| \cdot ||$ on both sides,

$$||\zeta|| \leq \lambda ||T_1(\zeta)|| + ||T_2(\zeta)|| + ||T_3(\zeta)||$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(v + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}\right)\left(W_1 + W_2 ||\zeta||^p + W_3 ||\zeta||^p + W_4 ||\zeta||^p\right).$$

Letting $||\zeta|| \to \infty$, and by using $p \in [0, 1)$ by Theorem 2.5, (1.1) has a solution. \hfill \Box
Theorem 3.8 (Uniqueness). The FODE has a unique solution if 

\[
\left(\frac{(\log L)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_{2}} + C_{T_{3}}\right)(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}}) < 1.
\]

Proof. Let \(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} \in \Psi\) be arbitrary and \(q \in J\); then

\[
|T\zeta_{1}(q) - T\zeta_{2}(q)| = |(T\zeta_{1}(q) - T\zeta_{2}(q)) + (T_{2}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{2}\zeta_{2}(q)) + (T_{3}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{3}\zeta_{2}(q))| \\
\leq |(T\zeta_{1}(q) - T\zeta_{2}(q))| + |(T_{2}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{2}\zeta_{2}(q))| \\
+ |(T_{3}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{3}\zeta_{2}(q))| \\
\leq |(T\zeta_{1} - T\zeta_{2})| + |(T_{2}\zeta_{1} - T_{2}\zeta_{2})| + |(T_{3}\zeta_{1} - T_{3}\zeta_{2})|.
\]

Taking the supremum over all \(q \in J\), we have

\[
\|T\zeta_{1} - T\zeta_{2}\| \leq \|T\zeta_{1} - T\zeta_{2}\| + \|T_{2}\zeta_{1} - T_{2}\zeta_{2}\| + \|T_{3}\zeta_{1} - T_{3}\zeta_{2}\|.
\]

From Theorems 3.1–3.3,

\[
\|T\zeta_{1}(q) - T\zeta_{2}(q)\| \leq \frac{(\log L)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

We have the following from the definition of \(T_{2}\):

\[
|T_{2}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{2}\zeta_{2}(q)| \leq |K_{1}(q)|H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}[g_{\zeta_{1}}(\zeta_{1}) - g_{\zeta_{2}}(\zeta_{2})] \\
+ |K_{2}(q)||c_{2}|^{H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}[g_{\zeta_{1}}(\zeta_{2}) - g_{\zeta_{2}}(\zeta_{2})] \\
\leq \left(|K_{1}(q)|H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}(1)(\nu_{1} + \nu) + |K_{2}(q)||c_{2}|^{H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}(1)(\nu_{2} + \nu)}\right) \\
\times (\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}|| \\
= C_{T_{2}}(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

Thus,

\[
\|T_{2}\zeta_{1} - T_{2}\zeta_{2}\| \leq C_{T_{2}}(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

We have the following from the definition of \(T_{3}\):

\[
|T_{3}\zeta_{1}(q) - T_{3}\zeta_{2}(q)| \leq |K_{2}(q)|\left(|c_{1}|^{H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}(1)(\nu) + |d|^{H, \mathcal{F}^{\nu,\nu}(1)(\nu - \gamma)}\right) \\
\times (\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}|| \\
= C_{T_{3}}(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

Thus,

\[
\|T_{3}\zeta_{1} - T_{3}\zeta_{2}\| \leq C_{T_{3}}(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

Hence, we have,

\[
\|T\zeta_{1} - T\zeta_{2}\| \leq \|(T_{1}\zeta_{1} - T_{1}\zeta_{2})| + |(T_{2}\zeta_{1} - T_{2}\zeta_{2})| + |(T_{3}\zeta_{1} - T_{3}\zeta_{2})| \\
\leq \left(\frac{(\log L)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_{2}} + C_{T_{3}}\right)(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}})||\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}||.
\]

Since \(\left(\frac{(\log L)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_{2}} + C_{T_{3}}\right)(\alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}}) < 1\), the FODE (1.1), has a unique solution. \(\square\)
4. Example

The FIDEs with boundary value conditions are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \zeta(q) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \sin(2\pi \zeta) + \frac{1}{30} \zeta + q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds, \quad q \in [0, 1] \\
&\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\zeta(0) + 3(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta})^2 \zeta(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{30} \zeta + q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds, \\
\zeta(1) + 2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta})^2 \zeta(1) = 3 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{30} \zeta + q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds,
\end{array} \right. \\
\end{align*}
$$

where \( g(\zeta) = \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds \). Here \( \nu = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma = 13, a = 1, c = 1, b = 3, d = 2, c_1 = 3, v_1 = \frac{1}{4}, v_2 = \frac{3}{4}, \xi_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \xi_2 = \frac{3}{4}, L = e \) and

\[
C_{T_2} = |c_1|\chi_1 + |c_2|\chi_2 L \left( \frac{\log \zeta_1}{v_1 + v + 1} \right) + (|c_2|\chi_3 + |\chi_4|L) \left( \frac{\log \zeta_2}{v_2 + v + 1} \right),
\]

\[
C_{T_3} = (|c_2|\chi_3 + |\chi_4|) \left\{ \frac{|c|\log L}{\Gamma(v + 1)} + \frac{|d|\log L}{\Gamma(v - \gamma + 1)} \right\}. 
\]

By the above parameters in \( C_{T_2} \) and \( C_{T_3} \), we get

$$
\left( \frac{\log L}{\Gamma(v + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3} \right) = 3.2498. 
$$

To prove Theorem 3.6, we take

\[
f(q, \zeta, g(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \sin(2\pi \zeta) + \frac{1}{30} \zeta + q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds
\]

in (1.1) and then

\[
|f(q, \zeta_1, g(\zeta_1)) - f(q, \zeta_2, g(\zeta_2))| = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left| \sin(2\pi \zeta_1) - \sin(2\pi \zeta_2) \right| + \frac{1}{30} |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| + 0.054 |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|
\]

\[
= 0.087 |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|. 
\]

Hence the condition (A1) holds with \( P = 0.087 \), where \( P = \alpha + \beta k_{\text{max}} + \chi h_{\text{max}} \). We use the following equation to calculate \( q_f \) from the given data is;

\[
q_f = \frac{P(\log L)^\nu}{\Gamma(v + 1)} = 0.0655. 
\]

Let \( q \in J, \zeta \in \mathcal{B} \) and

\[
|f(q, \zeta, g(\zeta))| = \left| \frac{1}{12\pi} \sin(2\pi \zeta) + \frac{1}{30} \zeta + q^2 + 2 - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 e^{2\nu^2 - 2} \zeta(s) ds \right|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{30} |\zeta| + 3 + 0.054 |\zeta|. 
\]

Hence the condition (A2) holds with \( \mathcal{W}_1 = 3, \mathcal{W}_2 = \frac{1}{30}, \mathcal{W}_3 = 0.054 \) and \( \mathcal{W}_4 = 0. \)

By Theorem 3.6,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \{ \zeta \in \Psi : \exists 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \ni \lambda T \zeta = \zeta \}
\]
has the following solution set;

\[
\|\zeta\| = \|\lambda(T_1(\zeta) + T_2(\zeta) + T_3(\zeta))\|
\leq \left(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}\right)\left(W_1 + W_2\|\zeta\|^{p} + W_3\|\zeta\|^{p} + W_4\|\zeta\|^{p}\right).
\]

Thus,

\[
\|\zeta\| \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}\right)A_1}{1 - \left(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}\right)(A_2 + A_3 + A_4)} = 13.6109.
\]

Hence, \(\left(\frac{\log L}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + C_{T_2} + C_{T_3}\right)^{P} = 0.2827 < 1.\)

5. Conclusions

This work was performed to investigate the existence and uniqueness of FIDEs with CH derivatives of fractional order by using the Robin boundary condition, TDT and fixed point theorem have been used to accomplish the analysis. The fundamental idea is shown with an efficient example.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2023R157), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. This study was also supported by funding from Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University project number (PSAU/2023/R/1444).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)