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#### Abstract

We propose in this paper an efficient algorithm based on the Fourier spectral-Galerkin approximation for the fourth-order elliptic equation with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients. First, by using the Lax-Milgram theorem, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solution and its approximate solution. Then we define a high-dimensional $L^{2}$ projection operator and prove its approximation properties. Combined with Céa lemma, we further prove the error estimate of the approximate solution. In addition, from the Fourier basis function expansion and the properties of the tensor, we establish the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme. Finally, some numerical experiments are carried out to demonstrate the efficiency of the algorithm and correctness of the theoretical analysis.
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## 1. Introduction

Though periodic boundary conditions do not belong to three traditional boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann, and Robin boundary conditions), which are commonly used in mathematical physics, they still can be found in the research of scientific and engineering problems, such as the interaction between solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation [1] or KdV equation [2], isotropic uniform turbulence problem [3], and so on. In addition, under the polar, cylindrical, and spherical coordinates, we note that they are also periodic [4,5] in the $\theta$ direction. Thus, it's obvious that physical models with periodic boundary conditions also have significant application. As a model, we first consider the following two dimensional fourth-order problem with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} \psi-\nabla(\alpha \nabla \psi)+\beta \psi=f, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi\left(x_{1}+L_{x_{1}}, x_{2}\right), \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial \psi\left(x_{1}+L_{x_{1}}, x_{2}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+L_{x_{2}}\right), \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+L_{x_{2}}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a nonnegative bounded periodic function, $\beta$ is a positive bounded function, $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, $L_{x_{1}}=x_{1 R}-x_{1 L}, L_{x_{2}}=x_{2 R}-x_{2 L}, \Omega=\left(x_{1 L}, x_{1 R}\right) \times\left(x_{2 L}, x_{2 R}\right)$.

The fourth-order problems can be found in the applications to thin beams and plates [6, 7]. Besides, many complex nonlinear problems also need to solve a fourth order problem repeatedly [8-13]. In the past decades, there have been many existing results for the theoretical analysis and numerical research of the fourth-order problems, mainly including various finite element methods [14-17], spectral methods and some high-order numerical methods [18-27]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are few report on the fourth-order problems with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients [28]. As aforementioned, periodic boundary conditions have significant applications in some science and engineering [29,30]. Thus, it is meaningful to construct an efficient and high-order numerical scheme for the fourth-order problems with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients.

The aim of this paper is to propose an efficient algorithm based on the Fourier spectral-Galerkin approximation for the fourth-order elliptic equation with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients. First, by using the Lax-Milgram theorem, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solution and its approximate solution. Then we define a high-dimensional $L^{2}$ projection operator and prove its approximation properties. Combined with Céa lemma, we further prove the error estimate of the approximate solution. In addition, from the Fourier basis function expansion and the properties of the tensor, we establish the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme. Finally, some numerical experiments are carried out to demonstrate the efficiency of the algorithm and correctness of the theoretical analysis.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In next section, we derive the weak form and associated discrete scheme. We give the error estimation of approximate solutions in section 3. In section 4, we present an efficient implementation of the algorithm. In section 5, we extend the algorithm to a three-dimensional case. In section 6, we carry out some numerical experiments. Finally, we make some concluding remarks in section 7.

## 2. Weak form and discrete scheme

We shall derive the weak form and discrete scheme associated with problem (1.1)-(1.3). Denote by $H^{m}(\Omega)$ the usual m-order Sobolev space, $\|\cdot\|_{m}$ and $|\cdot|_{m}$ denote the norm and semi-norm in $H^{m}(\Omega)$, respectively. In particular, we have

$$
H^{0}(\Omega)=L^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{\psi: \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d \mathbf{x}<\infty\right\}
$$

with the following inner product and norm

$$
(\psi, \varphi)=\int_{\Omega} \psi \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x},\|\psi\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ is the complex conjugate of $\varphi$. Define

$$
H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{\psi \in H^{2}(\Omega): \psi \text { satisfies the periodic boundary conditions (1.2) and (1.3) }\right\}
$$

with the following inner product, norm and semi-norm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\psi, \varphi)_{2, \Omega} & =\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{2} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} \psi D^{\alpha} \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x} \\
\|\psi\|_{2, \Omega} & =\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{2}\left\|D^{\alpha} \psi\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
|\psi|_{2, \Omega} & =\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=2}\left\|D^{\alpha} \psi\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{|c|}}{\partial x_{1} \alpha_{1} \partial x_{2} \alpha_{2}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right),|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. We further denote by $H_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$ the subspace of $H^{m}(\Omega)$, which consists of functions with derivatives of order up to $m-1$ being $2 \pi$-periodic.

Then a weak form of problem (1.1)-(1.3) is: Find $\psi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\psi, \varphi)=F(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(\psi, \varphi) & =\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi \Delta \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla \psi \nabla \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \beta \psi \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}, \\
F(\varphi) & =\int_{\Omega} f \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define an approximation space of $H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$
X_{M}(\Omega)=\operatorname{span}\left\{e^{i 2 \pi t \frac{x_{1}-x_{L}}{L_{x_{1}}}} e^{i 2 \pi q \frac{x_{2}-x_{2 L}}{L_{x_{2}}}}:|t|=0,1, \cdots, M,|q|=0,1, \cdots, M\right\} .
$$

Then the corresponding discrete scheme of the weak form (2.1) is: Find $\psi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\psi_{M}, \varphi_{M}\right)=F\left(\varphi_{M}\right), \quad \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Error estimation of the approximation solution

In this section, we shall first prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solution and its approximate solution, and then further prove the error estimate between them.

### 3.1. Existence and uniqueness

For the sake of brevity, we denote by $a \lesssim b$ that $a \leq c b$, where $c$ is a positive constant. Without loss of generality, we shall confine our discussion to the following assumptions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{*}:=\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \alpha^{*}:=\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x})<\infty,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \beta_{*}:=\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \beta(\mathbf{x})>0, \beta^{*}:=\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} \beta(\mathbf{x})<\infty,  \tag{3.2}\\
& \Omega=(0,2 \pi) \times(0,2 \pi) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. For any $\psi, \varphi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} d \mathbf{x}, \\
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Using the integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x} & =\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0} ^{x_{1}=2 \pi} d x_{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{3} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0} ^{x_{1}=2 \pi} d x_{2}-\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{2}=0} ^{x_{2}=2 \pi} d x_{1} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.2) and (1.3), we derive that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi\right)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}\left(x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi\left(\left(x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}\right)\right.}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi\right)}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{1}=0} ^{x_{1}=2 \pi} d x_{2}=\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}}\right|_{x_{2}=0} ^{x_{2}=2 \pi} d x_{1}=0
$$

It follows from the above equality that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} .
$$

We can obtain the following equalities in the same way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. For any $\psi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \\
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We derive from integration by parts that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}}\right|_{x_{1}=2 \pi} ^{x_{1}=0} d x_{2}-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} d \mathbf{x} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}
$$

This finishes our proof.
Lemma 3. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x}) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy the assumptions (3.1) and (3.2). Then $a(\psi, \varphi)$ is a continuous and coercive bilinear form in $H_{p}^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a(\psi, \varphi)| & \leq Q^{*}\|\psi\|_{2, \Omega}\|\varphi\|_{2, \Omega}, \\
a(\psi, \psi) & \geq Q_{*}\|\psi\|_{2, \Omega}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q^{*}=\max \left\{1, \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}\right\}, Q_{*}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{1, \beta_{*}\right\}$.
Proof. Employing Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi \Delta \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we can derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a(\psi, \varphi)|= & \left|\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi \Delta \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla \psi \nabla \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \beta \psi \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}\right| \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|+2\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
& +\alpha^{*} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{1}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{2}}\right|\right) d \mathbf{x}+\beta^{*} \int_{\Omega}|\psi \varphi| d \mathbf{x} \\
\leq & \max \left\{1, \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}\right\}\|\psi\|_{2, \Omega}| | \varphi \|_{2, \Omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2, we can derive that

$$
a(\psi, \psi)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|^{2}\right) d \mathbf{x}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{2}}\right|^{2}\right) d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \beta|\psi|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|^{2}\right) d \mathbf{x}+\beta_{*} \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \\
\geq & \min \left\{1, \beta_{*}\right\} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|^{2}+2\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right|^{2}+|\psi|^{2}\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{1, \beta_{*}\right\}\|\psi\|_{2, \Omega}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes our proof.
Lemma 4. If $f(\mathbf{x}) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $F(\varphi)$ is a bounded linear functions on $H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$
|F(\varphi)| \lesssim\|\varphi\|_{2, \Omega}
$$

Proof. In light of definition of $F(\varphi)$ and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(\varphi)| & =\left|\int_{\Omega} f \bar{\varphi} d \mathbf{x}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\varphi|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|\varphi\|_{2, \Omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
From Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and Lax-Milgram theorem, we have following theorem:
Theorem 1. If $f(\mathbf{x}) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then problems (2.1) and (2.2) have unique solutions $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi_{M}(\mathbf{x})$, respectively.

### 3.2. Error estimation

Theorem 2. Let $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi_{M}(\mathbf{x})$ be the solutions of the variational form (2.1) and discrete scheme (2.2), respectively. Then it holds that

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim \inf _{\varphi \in X_{M}}\left\|\psi-\varphi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega}
$$

Proof. We obtain from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(\psi, \varphi_{M}\right) & =F\left(\varphi_{M}\right), \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega), \\
a\left(\psi_{M}, \varphi_{M}\right) & =F\left(\varphi_{M}\right), \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\psi-\psi_{M}, \varphi_{M}\right)=0, \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form Lemma 3 and (3.4), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega}^{2} & \lesssim a\left(\psi-\psi_{M}, \psi-\psi_{M}\right) \\
& =a\left(\psi-\psi_{M}, \psi-\varphi_{M}+\varphi_{M}-\psi_{M}\right) \\
& =a\left(\psi-\psi_{M}, \varphi-\varphi_{M}\right)+a\left(\psi-\psi_{M}, \varphi_{M}-\psi_{M}\right) \\
& \lesssim\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega}\left\|\psi-\varphi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim\left\|\psi-\varphi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega}, \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and the arbitrariness of $\varphi_{M}$, the desired result follows.
Let $\Pi_{M}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow X_{M}(\Omega)$ be a $L^{2}$-orthogonal projection:

$$
\left(\Pi_{M} \psi-\psi, \varphi\right)=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in X_{M}(\Omega)
$$

Theorem 3. For any $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in H_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq m$, there is a constant $C$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|\Pi_{\mu} \psi-\psi\right\|_{\mu, \Omega} \leq C M^{\mu-m}|\psi|_{m, \Omega} .
$$

Proof. We first derive that

$$
D^{\alpha}\left(\psi-\Pi_{M} \psi\right)=D^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{|||>M,|q|>M} \psi_{t q} e^{i t x_{1}+i q x_{2}}\right)=\sum_{|||>M,|q|>M}(i t)^{\alpha_{1}}(i q)^{\alpha_{2}} \psi_{t q} e^{i t x_{1}+i q x_{2}} .
$$

For any $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|: 0 \leqslant|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leqslant \mu \leq m$, taking $\alpha_{1} \leq m_{1}, \alpha_{2} \leq m-m_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D^{\alpha}\left(\psi-\Pi_{M} \psi\right)\right\|^{2} & =(2 \pi)^{2} \sum_{|||>M, q|>M} t^{2 \alpha_{1}} q^{2 \alpha_{2}}\left|\psi_{t q}\right|^{2} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{2} \sum_{|||>M, q|>M} t^{2\left(\alpha_{1}-m_{1}\right)} q^{2\left[\alpha_{2}-\left(m-m_{1}\right)\right]}\left|\psi_{t q}\right|^{2} t^{2 m_{1}} q^{2\left(m-m_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq(2 \pi)^{2} M^{2\left(\alpha_{1}-m_{1}\right)} M^{2\left[\alpha_{2}-\left(m-m_{1}\right)\right]} \sum_{|||>M,|q|>M}\left|\psi_{t q}\right|^{2} t^{2 m_{1}} q^{2\left(m-m_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq M^{2(|\alpha|-m)} \sum_{||t \geq 0, q| \geq 0}(2 \pi)^{2}\left|\psi_{t q}\right|^{2} t^{2 m_{1}} q^{2\left(m-m_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq M^{2(\mu-m)}|\psi|_{m, \Omega}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by making a summation for $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|$ from 0 to $\mu$, we can obtain the expected results.
Theorem 4. Let $\psi_{M}(\mathbf{x})$ be the approximation solution of $\psi(\mathbf{x})$. If $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in H_{p}^{m}(\Omega)$, the following inequality holds

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim M^{2-m}|\psi|_{m, \Omega} .
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2, we have

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim \inf _{\varphi \in X_{M}}\left\|\psi-\varphi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} .
$$

We derive form Theorem 3 that

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim\left\|\psi-\Pi_{M} \psi\right\|_{2, \Omega} \lesssim M^{2-m}|\psi|_{m, \Omega} .
$$

The proof is completed.

## 4. Efficient implementation of the algorithm

In this section, we will describe the implementation process of the algorithm in detail, and give a brief pseudo code. To solve (2.2) by Fourier spectral method, we shall look for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{M}=\sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q} e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Psi=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\psi_{-M,-} & \cdots & \psi_{-M, 0} & \cdots & \psi_{-M, M} \\
\cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
\psi_{0,-M} & \cdots & \psi_{0,0} & \cdots & \psi_{0, M} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\psi_{M,-M} & \cdots & \psi_{M, 0} & \cdots & \psi_{M, M}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We denote by $\bar{\Psi}$ a column vectors with $(2 M+1)^{2}$ elements, which consist of $2 M+1$ columns of $\Psi$. Let $\bar{\varphi}_{M}(\mathbf{x})=e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}},(|k|,|l|=0,1, \cdots, M)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_{M} \Delta \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x} \\
= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Delta\left(e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}}\right) \Delta\left(e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}}\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
= & \sum_{|r|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}\left(s_{k t} m_{l q}+o_{k t} g_{l q}+g_{k t} o_{l q}+m_{k t} s_{l q}\right) \\
= & S(k,:) U M(l,:)^{T}+O(k,:) U G(l,:)^{T}+G(k,:) U O(l,:)^{T}+M(k,:) U S(l,:)^{T} \\
= & {[M(l,:) \otimes S(k,:)+G(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)+O(l,:) \otimes G(k,:)+S(l,:) \otimes M(k,:)] \bar{\Psi}, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.s_{k t}=2 \pi k^{2} t^{2} \delta_{k t}, S=\left(s_{k t}\right)_{|k|,|t|=0}^{2 M+1}, m_{k t}=2 \pi \delta_{k t}, M=\left(m_{k t}\right)^{2 M+1}\right)_{|,|t|=0}^{2+1}, \\
& o_{k t}=2 \pi k^{2} \delta_{k t}, O=\left(o_{k t}\right)_{|k|,|t|=0}^{2 M+}, g_{k t}=2 \pi t^{2} \delta_{k t}, G=\left(d_{k t}\right)_{|k|,|t|=0}^{2 M+},
\end{aligned}
$$

$S(k,:)$ indicates the k-th row of the matrix $S, M(k,:), O(k,:)$ and $G(k,:)$ are similar to $S(k,:)$. $\otimes$ represents the tensor product of matrix, i.e. $M \otimes S=\left(m_{k S} S\right)_{|k| t|t|=0}^{2 M+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \psi_{M} \nabla \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x} & =\sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q} \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \nabla\left(e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}}\right) \nabla\left(e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}}\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}(t k+q l) \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =A((l+M+1)+(2 M+1)(k+M),:) \bar{\Psi},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \beta(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{M} \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x} & =\sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q} \int_{\Omega} \beta(\mathbf{x}) e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =B((l+M+1)+(2 M+1)(k+M),:) \bar{\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(a_{k t l q}\right)_{|k|| | l|l| l|,|q|=0}^{2 M+1}, a_{k t l q}=(t k+q l) \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}, \\
& B=\left(\left.b_{k t l q}\right|_{|k|| | t|l| l|,|q|=0} ^{2 M+1}, b_{k t l q}=\int_{\Omega} \beta(\mathbf{x}) e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} d \mathbf{x} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme (2.2) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \otimes S+G \otimes O+O \otimes G+S \otimes M+A+B) \bar{\Psi}=F \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F=\left(f_{k l}\right)_{|k| l|l|=0}^{2 M+1}, f_{k l}=\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} d \mathbf{x}
$$

Note that when $\alpha, \beta$ are constants, we know from the orthogonal property of Fourier basis functions that the stiffness matrix and mass matrix in (4.2) are all sparse, so we can solve (4.2) efficiently. However, for general variable coefficients $\alpha, \beta$, the stiffness matrix and mass matrix are usually full. In that case, we can use the preconditioned iteration method or Schur-complement approach, i.e., block Gaussian elimination to solve (4.2).

## 5. Extension to three-dimensional case

In this section, we shall extend our algorithm to three-dimensional case. As a model, we consider the following three dimensional fourth-order problem with periodic boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} \psi-\nabla(\alpha \nabla \psi)+\beta \psi=f, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,  \tag{5.1}\\
& \psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi\left(x_{1}+L_{x_{1}}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial \psi\left(x_{1}+L_{x_{1}}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)}{\partial x_{1}},  \tag{5.2}\\
& \psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+L_{x_{2}}, x_{3}\right), \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+L_{x_{2}}, x_{3}\right)}{\partial x_{2}},  \tag{5.3}\\
& \psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}+L_{x_{3}}\right), \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}+L_{x_{3}}\right)}{\partial x_{3}}, \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constant coefficients, $L_{x_{1}}=x_{1 R}-x_{1 L}, L_{x_{2}}=x_{2 R}-x_{2 L}, L_{x_{3}}=x_{3 R}-x_{3 L}, \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, $\Omega=\left(x_{1 L}, x_{1 R}\right) \times\left(x_{2 L}, x_{2 R}\right) \times\left(x_{3 L}, x_{3 R}\right)$.

Similar to two-dimensional case, we can derive the weak form and discrete scheme for the threedimensional case. Define a Sobolev space:

$$
H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{\psi \in H^{2}(\Omega): \psi \text { satisfies the periodic boundary conditions }(5.2)-(5.4)\right\} .
$$

Then a weak form of (5.1)-(5.4) is to find $\psi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\psi, \varphi)=F(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{p}^{2}(\Omega) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define an approximation space:

$$
X_{M}(\Omega)=\operatorname{span}\left\{e^{i 2 \pi \frac{x_{1}-x_{1} L}{L_{x_{1}}}} e^{i 2 \pi q \frac{x_{2}-x_{2 L}}{L_{x_{2}}}} e^{i 2 \pi j \frac{x_{3}-x_{3 L}}{L_{x_{3}}}}:|t|,|q|,|j|=0,1, \cdots, M\right\} .
$$

Then the corresponding discrete scheme for the weak form (5.5) is to find $\psi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\psi_{M}, \varphi_{M}\right)=F\left(\varphi_{M}\right), \quad \forall \varphi_{M} \in X_{M}(\Omega) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall derive the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme (5.6). Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{M}=\sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{1 q}^{j} e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{i j x_{3}},  \tag{5.7}\\
\Psi^{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\psi_{-M, M}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{-M, 0}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{-M, M}^{j} \\
\cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
\psi_{0,-M}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{0,0}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{0, M}^{j} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\psi_{M,-M}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{M, 0}^{j} & \cdots & \psi_{M, M}^{j}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

We denote by $\tilde{\Psi}^{j}$ a column vectors with $(2 M+1)^{2}$ elements consisting of $2 M+1$ columns of $\Psi^{j}$. Let $\Psi=\left(\tilde{\Psi}^{-M}, \tilde{\Psi}^{-M+1}, \cdots, \tilde{\Psi}^{M}\right)$, and denote by $\tilde{\Psi}$ a column vectors with $(2 M+1)^{3}$ elements consisting of $2 M+1$ columns of $\Psi$. Taking $\bar{\varphi}_{M}(\mathbf{x})=e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} e^{-i p x_{3}},(|k|,|l|,|p|=0,1, \cdots, M)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta \psi_{M} \Delta \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x}= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}^{j} \int_{\Omega} \Delta\left(e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{i j x_{3}}\right) \Delta\left(e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} e^{-i p x_{3}}\right) d \mathbf{x} \\
= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{l q}^{j}\left(s_{k t} m_{l q} m_{p j}+o_{k t} o_{l q} m_{p j}+o_{k t} m_{l q} o_{p j}+o_{k t} o_{l q} m_{p j}\right. \\
& +m_{k t} s_{l q} m_{p j}+m_{k t} o_{l q} o_{p j}+o_{k t} m_{l q} o_{p j}+m_{k t} o_{l q} o_{p j} \\
& \left.\quad+m_{k t} m_{l q} s_{p j}\right) \\
= & {[M(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes S(k,:)+M(p,:) \otimes O(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)} \\
& +O(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)+M(p,:) \otimes O(l,:) \otimes O(k,:) \\
& +M(p,:) \otimes S(l,:) \otimes M(k,:)+O(p,:) \otimes O(l,:) \otimes M(k,:) \\
& +O(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)+O(p,:) \otimes O(l,:) \otimes M(k,:) \\
& +S(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes M(k,:)] \tilde{\Psi}, \\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi_{M} \nabla \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x}= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}^{j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{i j x_{3}}\right) \nabla\left(e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} e^{-i p x_{3}}\right) d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}^{j}\left(o_{k i} m_{l q} m_{p j}+o_{k i} m_{l q} o_{p j}+m_{k i} m_{l q} o_{p j}\right) \\
= & {[M(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)+O(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)} \\
& +M(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes O(k,:)] \tilde{\Psi}, \\
\int_{\Omega} \psi_{M} \bar{\varphi}_{M} d \mathbf{x}= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{||j|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}^{j} \int_{\Omega} e^{i t x_{1}} e^{i q x_{2}} e^{i j x_{3}} e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} e^{-i p x_{3}} d \mathbf{x} \\
= & \sum_{|t|=0}^{M} \sum_{|q|=0}^{M} \sum_{|j|=0}^{M} \psi_{t q}^{j} m_{k l \mid} m_{l q} m_{p j} \\
= & {[M(p,:) \otimes M(l,:) \otimes M(k,:)] \tilde{\Psi} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme (5.6) is as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}+C) \tilde{\Psi}=\mathcal{F},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}=M \otimes M \otimes S+M \otimes O \otimes O+O \otimes M \otimes O+M \otimes O \otimes O \\
& +M \otimes S \otimes M+O \otimes O \otimes M+O \otimes M \otimes O+O \otimes O \otimes M+S \otimes M \otimes M, \\
& \mathcal{B}=M \otimes M \otimes O+O \otimes M \otimes O+M \otimes M \otimes O, C=M \otimes M \otimes M, \\
& \mathcal{F}=\left(f_{k l p}\right)_{|k| l|l|, \mid p l=0}^{2 M+1}, f_{k l p}=\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i k x_{1}} e^{-i l x_{2}} e^{-i p x_{3}} d \mathbf{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Numerical experiments

In this section, we shall perform some numerical experiments to confirm the correctness of theoretical analysis and the effectiveness of our algorithm. The programs are compiled and operated in MATLAB 2018b.

### 6.1. Two dimensional case

Example 1. We take $\alpha=1, \beta=10$ and choose the exact solution $\psi=\sin 4 x_{1} \sin 8 x_{2}$. Then $f$ can be obtained by plugging $\psi$ into the Eq (1.1). We shall solve (1.1)-(1.3) by using the algorithm proposed in section 4. We list in Table 1 the errors between the exact solution and the approximate solution under $H^{2}$ norm, $H^{2}$ seminorm and $L^{2}$ norm respectively for different $M$. In addition, we also present their comparison figures and absolute error figures for different $M$ in Figures 1 and 2.

We observe from Table 1 that the approximate solution $\psi_{M}(\mathbf{x})$ reaches about $10^{-12}$ accuracy when $M \geq 8$. Besides, we also see from Figures 1 and 2 that the approximation solution converges to the exact solution.

Remark 1. Though the proof of well-posedness of weak solution requires $\alpha$ to be a nonnegative bounded periodic function and $\beta$ to be a positive bounded function, our algorithm is still valid for some large and negative $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and the corresponding numerical results are listed in Table 2.

Table 1. The error between exact solution and approximation solution.

| $M$ | $M=4$ | $M=6$ | $M=8$ | $M=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|_{2}$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $8.8628 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $8.9344 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| $\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2}$ | 150.7964 | 150.7964 | $8.6829 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $8.7548 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|$ | 3.1416 | 3.1416 | $12.9165 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $2.9192 \mathrm{e}-14$ |



Figure 1. Comparison figures between exact solution (left) and approximation solution (right) with $M=10$.


Figure 2. Error figures between exact solution and approximation solution with $M=10$ (left) and $M=15$ (right).

Table 2. The error $\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2}$ between exact solution and approximation solution for different $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

| $\alpha, \beta$ | $M=4$ | $M=6$ | $M=8$ | $M=10$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha=1, \beta=-10$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $1.2239 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $1.2306 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $\alpha=1, \beta=-100$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $1.7578 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $1.7625 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $\alpha=1, \beta=-1000$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $6.2198 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $6.2216 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $\alpha=-10, \beta=1$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $2.7402 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $2.7431 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $\alpha=-100, \beta=1$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $6.1361 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $6.1371 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| $\alpha=-1000, \beta=1$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $9.3114 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $9.6030 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| $\alpha=-10, \beta=-10$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $2.7778 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $2.7800 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| $\alpha=-100, \beta=-100$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $6.7819 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $1.4656 \mathrm{e}-11$ |
| $\alpha=-1000, \beta=-1000$ | 153.4243 | 153.4243 | $3.3564 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $4.1513 \mathrm{e}-13$ |

Example 2. We take $\alpha=\sin \left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+2, \beta=e^{\cos \left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)}$, and choose the exact solution $\psi=e^{\sin \left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)}$. We list in Table 3 the errors between the exact solution and the approximate solution under $H^{2}$ norm, $H^{2}$ seminorm and $L^{2}$ norm respectively for different $M$. Similarly, we also present their comparison figures and absolute error figures for different $M$ in Figures 3 and 4. In order to further show the spectral accuracy of our algorithm, we present in Figure 5 error figures between exact solution and approximation solutions under $L^{2}$ and $H^{2}$ norms for different $M$.

We observe from Table 3 that the approximate solution $\psi_{M}(\mathbf{x})$ reach about $10^{-11}$ accuracy when $M \geq 12$. We see from Figures 3-5 that the approximation solution exponentially converges to the exact solution.

Table 3. The error between exact solution and approximation solution.

| $M$ | $M=8$ | $M=10$ | $M=12$ | $M=14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|_{2}$ | $6.9210 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.3352 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $5.2053 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $3.7072 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| $\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2}$ | $6.8925 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.3288 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $5.1950 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $3.1910 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|$ | $4.9097 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $1.1108 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.7815 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $9.4959 \mathrm{e}-15$ |



Figure 3. Comparison figures between exact solution (left) and approximation solution (right) with $M=14$.


Figure 4. The error figures between exact solution and approximation solution with $M=12$ (left) and $M=14$ (right).


Figure 5. The error figures between exact solution and approximation solutions under $L^{2}$ (left) and $H^{2}$ (right) norms for different $M$.

### 6.2. Three dimensional case

Example 3. We take $\alpha=1, \beta=1$ and choose the exact solution $\psi=\cos 3 x_{1} \cos 4 x_{2} \cos 5 x_{3}$. We list in Table 4 the errors between the exact solution and the approximate solution under $H^{2}$ norm, $H^{2}$ seminorm and $L^{2}$ norm respectively for different $M$.

We observe from Table 4 that the approximate solution reach about $10^{-13}$ accuracy when $M \geq 8$. That is to say, even in the three-dimensional case, our algorithm still has spectral accuracy.

Table 4. The error between exact solution and approximation solution.

| $M$ | $M=4$ | $M=6$ | $M=8$ | $M=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|_{2}$ | 281.2419 | $7.5429 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $7.8632 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $7.9945 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| $\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2}$ | 278.4164 | $7.4226 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $7.7431 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $7.8757 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|$ | 0.0512 | $2.2170 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $2.2428 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $2.2440 \mathrm{e}-16$ |

Example 4. We take $\alpha=1, \beta=1$ and choose the exact solution $\psi=e^{\cos x_{1}+\cos x_{2}+\cos x_{3}}$. We list in Table 5 the errors between the exact solution and the approximate solution under $H^{2}$ norm, $H^{2}$ seminorm and $L^{2}$ norm respectively for different $M$.

We observe from Table 5 that the approximate solution reach about $10^{-10}$ accuracy when $M \geq 12$. Again, our algorithm has spectral accuracy.

Table 5. The error between exact solution and approximation solution.

| $M$ | $M=6$ | $M=8$ | $M=10$ | $M=12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|_{2}$ | 0.0071 | $3.9971 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.3439 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $2.9897 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| $\left\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\|_{2}$ | 0.0070 | $3.9726 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.3384 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $2.9809 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| $\left\\|\psi-\psi_{M}\right\\|$ | $1.2946 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $4.4641 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.0100 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $1.6372 \mathrm{e}-14$ |

## 7. Conclusions

We have developed an efficient Fourier spectral-Galerkin method to solve the fourth-order elliptic equation with periodic boundary conditions and variable coefficients. Firstly, we prove the error estimations between the weak solutions and approximation solutions. Then we derive the equivalent matrix form based on tensor product for the discrete scheme. Numerical experiments validate the theoretical analysis and algorithm. Besides, the method proposed in this paper can be extended to some more complex linear and nonlinear equations, such as fourth-order parabolic equation [31], Cahn-Hiliard equation, Gross Pitaevskii equation, which is our future research goal.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which led to considerable improvement of the article. The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12061023), Guizhou Normal University Academic Young Talent Foundation(Qian Teacher Young Talent [2021] A04), and Research Foundation for Scientific Scholars of Moutai Institute (Grant number [2022] 140).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

1. D. F. Griffiths, A. R. Mitchell, J. L. Morris, A numerical study of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Comput. Method. Appl. Mech. Eng., 45 (1984), 177-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7825(84)90156-7
2. S. Momani, An explicit and numerical solutions of the fractional KdV equation, Math. Comput. Simul., 70 (2005), 110-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2005.05.001
3. M. Boivin, O. Simonin, K. D. Squires, Direct numerical simulation of turbulence modulation by particles in isotropic turbulence, J. Fluid Mech., 375 (1998), 235-263. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022112098002821
4. J. Shen, Efficient spectral-Galerkin methods III: Polar and cylindrical geometries, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 18 (1997), 1583-1604. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1064827595295301
5. J. Shen, Efficient spectral-Galerkin methods IV. Spherical geometries, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 20 (1999), 1438-1455. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1064827597317028
6. G. Engel, K. Garikipati, T. J. R. Hughes, M. G. Larson, L. Mazzei, R. L. Taylor, Continuous/discontinuous finite element approximations of fourth-order elliptic problems in structural and continuum mechanics with applications to thin beams and plates, and strain gradient elasticity, Comput. Method. Appl. Mech. Eng., 191 (2002), 3669-3750. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(02)00286-4
7. B. Li, G. Fairweather, B. Bialecki, Discrete-time orthogonal spline collocation methods for vibration problems, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 39 (2002), 2045-2065. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142900348729
8. J. Shen, J. Xu, J. Yang, The scalar auxiliary variable (SAV) approach for gradient flows, J. Comput. Phys., 353 (2018), 407-416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2017.10.021
9. Y. F. Wei, Q. L. Song, Z. B. Bai, Existence and iterative method for some fourth order nonlinear boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Lett., 87 (2019), 101-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2018.07.032
10. J. Shen, J. Xu, J. Yang, A new class of efficient and robust energy stable schemes for gradient flows, SIAM Rev., 61 (2019), 474-506. https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1150153
11. Z. H. Huo, Y. L. Jia, The Cauchy problem for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation related to the vortex filament, J. Differ. Equations, 214 (2005), 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2004.09.005
12. S. Ren, T. Tan, J. An, An efficient spectral-Galerkin approximation based on dimension reduction scheme for transmission eigenvalues in polar geometries, Comput. Math. Appl., 80 (2020), 940955. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2020.05.018
13. T. Tan, W. Cao, J. An, Spectral approximation based on a mixed scheme and its error estimates for transmission eigenvalue problems, Comput. Math. Appl., 111 (2022), 20-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAMWA.2022.02.009
14. N. Peng, C. Wang, J. An, An efficient finite-element method and error analysis for the fourthorder elliptic equation in a circular domain, Int. J. Comput. Math., 99 (2022), 1785-1802. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2021.2007240
15. N. Peng, J. Han, J. An, An efficient finite element method and error analysis for fourth order problems in a spherical domain, Discrete Cont. Dyn. B, 27 (2022), 6807-6821. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb. 2022021
16. L. Ge, H. F. Niu, J. W. Zhou, Convergence analysis and error estimate for distributed optimal control problems. governed by Stokes equations with velocity-constraint, Adv. Appl. Math. Mech., 14 (2022), 33-55. https://doi.org/10.4208/aamm.OA-2020-0302
17. H. F. Niu, D. P. Yang, J. W. Zhou, Numerical analysis of an optimal control problem governed by the stationary Navier-Stokes equations with global velocity-constrained, Commun. Comput. Phys., 24 (2018), 1477-1502. https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.oa-2017-0045
18. Y. P. Chen, J. W. Zhou, Error estimates of spectral Legendre-Galerkin methods for the fourth-order equation in one dimension, Comput. Math. Appl., 268 (2015), 1217-1226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.06.082
19. J. W. Zhou, J. Zhang, X. Q. Xing, Galerkin spectral approximations for optimal control problems governed by the fourth order equation with an integral constraint on state, Comput. Math. Appl., 72 (2016), 2549-2561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2016.08.009
20. B. Bialecki, A. Karageorghis, A Legendre spectral Galerkin method for the biharmonic Dirichlet problem, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 22 (2001), 1549-1569. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1064827598342407
21. P. E. BjØrstad, B. P. TjØstheim, Efficient algorithms for solving a fourth-order equation with spectral-Galerkin method, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 18 (1997), 621-632. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1064827596298233
22. E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, Efficient spectral-Galerkin algorithms for direct solution of fourthorder differential equations using Jacobi polynomials, Appl. Numer. Math., 58 (2008), 1224-1244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2007.07.001
23. J. T. Jiang, J. An, J. W. Zhou, A novel numerical method based on a high order polynomial approximation of the fourth order Steklov equation and its eigenvalue problems, Discrete Cont. Dyn. B, 28 (2023), 50-69. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb. 2022066
24. D. Q. Jiang, H. Z. Liu, L. L. Zhang, Optimal existence theory for single and multiple positive solutions to fourth-order periodic boundary value problems, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 7 (2006), 841-852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2005.05.003
25. J. Fialho, F. Minhós, Fourth order impulsive periodic boundary value problems, Differ. Equat. Dyn. Sys., 23 (2015), 117-127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12591-013-0186-2
26. E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, Efficient spectral-Galerkin algorithms for direct solution of fourthorder differential equations using Jacobi polynomials, Appl. Numer. Math., 58 (2008), 1224-1244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2007.07.001
27. Y. X. Li, Positive solutions of fourth-order boundary value problems with two parameters, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 281 (2003), 477-484. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(03)00131-8
28. A. R. Abdullaev, E. A. Skachkova, Periodic boundary-value problem for a fourth-order differential equation, Russ. Math., 57 (2013), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.3103/S1066369X13120013
29. S. B. Kuang, K. Li, R. P. Zou, R. H. Pan, A. B. Yu, Application of periodic boundary conditions to CFD-DEM simulation of gas-solid flow in pneumatic conveying, Chem. Eng. Sci., 93 (2013), 214-228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2013.01.055
30. M. Jbeili, J. F. Zhang, The generalized periodic boundary condition for microscopic simulations of heat transfer in heterogeneous materials, Int. J. Heat Mass Tran., 173 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHEATMASSTRANSFER.2021.121200
31. Y. Liu, Z. Fang, H. Li, S. He, W. Gao, A coupling method based on new MFE and FE for fourth-order parabolic equation, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 43 (2013), 249-269. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-013-0662-4

## AIMS Press

© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

