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#### Abstract

In this manuscript we consider a coupled, by second order terms, system of two wave equations with a past history acting on the first equation as a stabilizer. We show that the solution of this system decays exponentially by constructing an appropriate Lyapunov function.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ be a bounded open set with regular boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$. A coupled wave equation through second order terms with just one viscoelastic infinite memory term is considered:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{t t}(x, t)-a \Delta y(x, t)+c \Delta z(x, t)+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta y(x, t-s) d s=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
z_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta z(x, t)+c \Delta y(x, t)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
y=z=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), y_{t}(x, 0)=y_{1}(x), z_{t}(x, 0)=z_{1}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
y(x,-t)=f(x, t), \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $y_{0}, y_{1}, f, z_{0}$ and $z_{1}$ are known functions belonging to appropriate space, $a>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ such that $a>c^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=b+c^{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is a positive constant satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=b-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g$ verifies some assumptions that will be given in the next section.
The aforementioned model can be used to describe the motion of two elastic membranes subject to an elastic force that pulls one membrane toward the other. This model takes the memory effect into account, which may exist in some materials particularly in low temperature [12].

Note here that (1.1) is stabilized by the infinite memory term $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta y(x, t-s) d s$, which appears in only one equation. It is the concept of indirect stabilization that was first introduced by Russell [24] and later on was developed in [2]. Many researchers have been interested in this topic. We start off by reviewing some works related to wave equation with an infinite memory term. Dafermos, in his pioneer paper [9], studied the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho u_{t t}=c u_{x x}-\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-\tau) u_{x x} d \tau, x \in[0,1], t \geq 0, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $c$ are positive constants. Under the assumption that $g$ is non-negative, monotonically nonnegative and satisfies a condition likewise (1.3), the author proved that the solutions of (1.4) are asymptotically stable. In [13], Giorgi et al. analyzed the longtime behavior of solutions and proved the existence of a global attractor for solutions (in the autonomous case) in a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of the following semi-linear hyperbolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-k(0) \Delta u-\int_{0}^{\infty} k^{\prime}(s) \Delta u(s) d s+g(u)=f, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k, g$ and $f$ are assumed to satisfy certain conditions. By adding a frictional dissipation in (1.5) of the form $\alpha u_{t}$, Conti and Pata [8] proved the existence of a regular global attractor. In [6,21], the authors gave necessary and sufficient conditions (on the relaxation function) for the exponential stability of an abstract equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+A u-\int_{0}^{\infty} k(s) A u(t-s) d s=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a self-adjoint strictly positive linear operator with compact inverse. Later on, Guesmia [14] examined (1.6) by considering another self-adjoint and strictly positive operator $B$ (in the integral term) instead of $A$ and by assuming that $D(A) \subset D(B)$, such that the embedding is dense and compact. He proved the stability of the system for a wide class of the relaxation function. For more results about stability of the wave equation with past history, we refer the reader to the references $[3,4,7,11,16$, 17, 20, 22, 23]. For a coupled system with infinite memory, we mention the work of Almeida and Santos [5] in which the authors studied a coupled system of wave equations and proved a polynomial decay estimate. Guesmia [15] considered a coupled system of two linear abstract evolution equations of second-order with one infinite memory acting only on the first equation:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}+A u-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) B u(t-s) d s+\tilde{B} v=0, & \forall t>0,  \tag{1.7}\\ v_{t t}+\tilde{A} v+\tilde{B} u=0, & \forall t>0,\end{cases}
$$

where $A, \tilde{A}$ and $B$ are unbounded self-adjoint linear positive definite operators in a Hilbert space $H$, with domains $D(A) \subset D(B) \subset H$ and $D(\tilde{A}) \subset H$, such that the embeddings are dense and compact, and $\tilde{B}$ is a self-adjoint linear bounded operator in $H$. The author proved a stability result of (1.7) for a wide range of integrable kernels that can decay slower than exponential one. Later, Jin et al. [19] improved the result obtained in [15] by assuming much weaker conditions on the convolution kernel. We also cite the works $[18,25]$ in which the authors studied an abstract system like (1.7) and considered additional terms of the form $\alpha u$ and external forces. We note here that our system does not fit in the framework of the works mentioned above, and their general result does not apply because the condition on the coupling operator fails (in our case, it is an unbounded operator in the state space whereas in the other works it is bounded). We finish this part by citing the recent work of Akil and Hajjej [1] in which the authors studied a similar problem to (1.1), but with only one localized frictional damping instead of a memory term and proved the exponential stability of the system.

The main innovation points of the paper are:
(1) Extending exponential decay outcomes, which have previously been established for the coupling of two viscoelastic wave equations through zero-order or first-order terms, to the realm of coupling by second-order terms.
(2) Removing the assumption of equal wave propagation speeds, a common feature in numerous prior studies.

It's worth noting that (1.1) carries a real-world physical interpretation. For instance, in one dimension, (1.1) describes the behavior of a piezoelectric material exhibiting magnetic effects.

The paper is subdivided as follows: In Section 2, we establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1.1) in an appropriate Hilbert space. By using the perturbed energy method, we prove the exponential decay of the energy associated with (1.1) in the last section.

## 2. Well-posedness

We use the standard Lebesgue space $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by $C_{p}$ the embedding constant of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$
\|y\| \leq C_{p}\|\nabla y\|, \quad \forall y \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

In this paper, we take into account the following conditions:
(H1): $g \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$satisfies $l_{0}=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s>0$ and $g(s)>0, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
(H2): For any $s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, g^{\prime}(s)<0$ and there exists two positive constants, $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-b_{0} g(s) \leq g^{\prime}(s) \leq-b_{1} g(s) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [9], we define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(x, s, t)=y(x, t)-y(x, t-s), \quad \forall(x, s, t) \in \Omega \times(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty),  \tag{2.2}\\
\eta_{0}(x, s)=\eta(x, s, 0)=f(x, 0)-f(x, s), \quad \forall(x, s) \in \Omega \times(0,+\infty) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that

$$
\eta_{t}(x, s, t)+\eta_{s}(x, s, t)=y_{t}(x, t), x \in \Omega, s, t>0 .
$$

Moreover, we have $\eta(x, 0, t)=0, x \in \Omega$ and $t>0$. Then, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{t t}(x, t)-l_{1} \Delta y(x, t)+c \Delta z(x, t)-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(x, s, t) d s=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
z_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta z(x, t)+c \Delta y(x, t)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\eta_{t}(x, s, t)+\eta_{s}(x, s, t)=y_{t}(x, t) \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.3}\\
y=z=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, \infty), \\
y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), y_{t}(x, 0)=y_{1}(x), z_{t}(x, 0)=z_{1}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
y(x,-t)=f(x, t), \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}=l+c^{2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the space $\Sigma$ by

$$
\Sigma=L_{g}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)=\left\{\eta: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) ; \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s)\|\nabla \eta(s)\|^{2} d s<\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the inner product

$$
\langle\eta, \tilde{\eta}\rangle_{\Sigma}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}(s) d s
$$

The state space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times \Sigma, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a Hilbert space under the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Z, \tilde{Z}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_{\Omega}(l \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}+v \tilde{v}+(c \nabla u-\nabla p) \cdot(c \nabla \tilde{u}-\nabla \tilde{p})+q \tilde{q}) d x+\langle\eta, \tilde{r}\rangle_{\Sigma}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $Z=(u, v, p, q, \eta)^{\top}$ and $\tilde{Z}=(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{\eta})^{\top}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. The norm in $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and given by

$$
\|(u, v, p, q, \eta)\|^{2} \mathcal{H}=\int_{\Omega}\left(|v|^{2}+l|\nabla u|^{2}+|q|^{2}+|c \nabla u-\nabla p|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s)\|\nabla \eta(s)\|^{2} d s .
$$

We define the unbounded operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(u, v, p, q, \eta)^{\top}=\left(v, l_{1} \Delta u-c \Delta p+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s, q, \Delta p-c \Delta u, v-\eta_{s}\right)^{\top} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with domain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D(\mathcal{A}):=\left\{Z:=(u, v, p, q, \eta)^{\top} \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times \Sigma ;\right. \\
\left.\eta_{s} \in \Sigma, \quad l_{1} \Delta u+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

If we set $Z=\left(y, y_{t}, z, z_{t}, \eta\right)^{\top}$, then (2.3) may be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=\mathcal{A} Z, \quad Z(0)=Z_{0} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, z_{0}, z_{1}, \eta_{0}\right)^{\top}$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the assumptions (H1)-(H2) hold. Then, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ generates a $C_{0}$ semigroup of contractions $e^{\mathcal{A t}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. We start by proving that $\mathcal{A}$ is dissipative; that is

$$
\langle\mathcal{A} Z, Z\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0, \forall Z=(u, v, p, q, \eta) \in D(\mathcal{A}) .
$$

In fact, we have for any $Z=(u, v, p, q, \eta) \in D(\mathcal{F})$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathcal{A Z}, Z\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} & =l \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(l_{1} \Delta u-c \Delta p+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) v d x+\int_{\Omega}(c \nabla v-\nabla q)(c \nabla u-\nabla p) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}(-c \Delta u+\Delta p) q d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla(v-\eta(s)) \nabla \eta(s) d x d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts, the righthand side of the last equality yields to

$$
\langle\mathcal{A} Z, Z\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g^{\prime}(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \leq 0
$$

which implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is dissipative.
Next, we shall show that $0 \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ (where $\rho(\mathcal{F})$ represents the resolvent set of $\mathcal{A}$ ). Given a vector $F=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, h, k, v\right) \in \mathcal{H}$, we look for $Z=(u, v, p, q, \eta) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, such that

$$
\mathcal{A} Z=F .
$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{A}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
v=\xi_{1},  \tag{2.9}\\
l_{1} \Delta u-c \Delta p+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s=\xi_{2},  \tag{2.10}\\
q=h,  \tag{2.11}\\
-c \Delta u+\Delta p=k,  \tag{2.12}\\
v-\eta_{s}=v . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Inserting (2.9) in (2.13) and using the fact that $\eta(x, 0, t)=0$, we have

$$
\eta=\int_{0}^{s}\left(\xi_{1}-v(r)\right) d r .
$$

It is easy to see that since $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $\eta, \eta_{s} \in \Sigma$.
Now, using (2.4) and combining (2.10) and (2.12), we infer that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u=\frac{1}{l}\left(c k+\xi_{2}-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
\Delta p=\frac{1}{l}\left(l_{1} k+c \xi_{2}-c \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Multiplying (2.14) and (2.15) by $\varphi$ and $\psi$, respectively, and then integrating by parts over $\Omega$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla p \nabla \psi d x= & -\frac{1}{l} \int_{\Omega}\left(c k+\xi_{2}-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) \varphi d x \\
& -\frac{1}{l} \int_{\Omega}\left(l_{1} k+c \xi_{2}-c \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) \psi d x \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.16) can be rewritten as:

$$
\mathbf{a}((u, p),(\varphi, \psi))=L(\varphi, \psi)
$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is the bilinear functional defined by

$$
\mathbf{a}((u, p),(\varphi, \psi))=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} \nabla p \nabla \psi d x,
$$

and $L$ is the functional defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
L(\varphi, \psi)=-\frac{1}{l} \int_{\Omega}\left(c k+\xi_{2}-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) \varphi d x-\frac{1}{l} \int_{\Omega}\left(l_{1} k+c \xi_{2}-c \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s\right) \psi d x
$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{a}$ is continuous and coercive in $\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$, and $L$ is continuous on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, it follows from the Lax-Milgram's theorem that (2.16) possesses a unique solution $(u, p) \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Beside that from (2.12), we have $p-c u \in H^{2}(\Omega)$. This fact combined with (2.10) gives us $l_{1} \Delta u+$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) d s \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and, thus, $u, p \in H^{2}(\Omega)$. It follows that $Z=(u, v, p, q, \eta) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, and consequently, $0 \in \rho(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore, the well-known Lumer-Phillips theorem ensures that operator $\mathcal{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $C_{0}$ semigroup of contractions.

## 3. Exponential stability

We begin this section by introducing and proving several lemmas by adopting the method presented in [10], which will be useful in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3.1. Let $Z=\left(y, y_{t}, z, z_{t}, \eta\right)$ be a solution of (2.3). Then, the functional

$$
\varphi_{1}(t)=\int_{\Omega} y y_{t} d x+c \int_{\Omega} y z_{t} d x,
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(1+\frac{c \delta_{1}}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{l}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{c}{2 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{l_{0}}{2 l} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\delta_{1}>0$.

Proof. Multiplying (2.3) ${ }_{1}$ by $y$, using (2.3) $)_{2}$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$, we obtain
$\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y y_{t} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+l \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+c \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y z_{t} d x-c \int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla y d x d s=0 ;$ that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x-l \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+c \int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla y d x d s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Young's inequality, we find for all $\delta_{1}>0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} \leq \frac{\delta_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla y d x d s & \leq \frac{l}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 l} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{l}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{l_{0}}{2 l} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the last inequality, we have used the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d s\right) d x \\
& =l_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (3.3) and (3.4) in (3.2), we get the desired inequality (3.1).
Lemma 3.2. Let $Z=\left(y, y_{t}, z, z_{t}, \eta\right)$ be a solution of (2.3). Then, the functional

$$
\varphi_{2}(t)=\int_{\Omega} y_{t}(c y-z) d x+c \int_{\Omega} z_{t}(c y-z) d x
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(t) & \leq\left(c+c^{3}+\frac{1}{c}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{l^{2}}{2 \delta_{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x-\frac{c}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{l_{0}}{2 \delta_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\delta_{2}>0$.
Proof. Multiplying (2.3) by $c y-z$, using $(2.3)_{2}$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} y_{t t}(c y-z) d x+l \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \nabla(c y-z) d x+c \int_{\Omega} z_{t t}(c y-z) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla(c y-z) d x d s=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega} y_{t}(c y-z) d x+c \int_{\Omega} z_{t}(c y-z) d x\right)=\int_{\Omega} y_{t}(c y-z)_{t} d x+c \int_{\Omega} z_{t}(c y-z)_{t} d x-l \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \nabla(c y-z) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla(c y-z) d x d s \\
= & c \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\left(c^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x \\
& -c \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x-l \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \nabla(c y-z) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla(c y-z) d x d s
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(t)= & c \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\left(c^{2}-1\right) \int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x-c \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x-l \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \nabla(c y-z) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla(c y-z) d x d s . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Young's inequality, we can easily check that

$$
\begin{align*}
c^{2} \int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x & \leq \frac{c}{4} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x+c^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x,  \tag{3.8}\\
-\int_{\Omega} y_{t} z_{t} d x & \leq \frac{c}{4} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{c} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x,  \tag{3.9}\\
-l \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \nabla(c y-z) d x & \leq \frac{l^{2}}{2 \delta_{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{\delta_{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla(c y-z) d x d s \leq \frac{\delta_{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x+\frac{l_{0}}{2 \delta_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\delta_{2}>0$.
Reporting (3.8)-(3.11) in (3.7) and (3.6) holds true.
Lemma 3.3. Let $Z=\left(y, y_{t}, z, z_{t}, \eta\right)$ be a solution of (2.3). Then, the functional

$$
\psi_{1}(t)=\int_{\Omega} y y_{t} d x+\int_{\Omega} z z_{t} d x
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}^{\prime}(t) & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{l}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{l_{0}}{2 l} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying (2.3) by $y$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$ can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y y_{t} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+l \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+c \int_{\Omega} \nabla y(c \nabla y-\nabla z) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla y \nabla \eta(s) d x d s=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, multiplying $(2.3)_{2}$ by $z$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$ can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} z z_{t} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} \nabla z(c \nabla y-\nabla z) d x=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (3.13) and (3.14), one derives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x-l \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla y \nabla \eta(s) d x d s \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reporting (3.4) in (3.15), we obtain the desired inequality.
Lemma 3.4. Let $Z=\left(y, y_{t}, z, z_{t}, \eta\right)$ be a solution of (2.3). Then, the functional

$$
\psi_{2}(t)=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \eta(s) y_{t} d x d s
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\frac{l_{0}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{l \delta_{3}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{c \delta_{4}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \\
& +\left(l_{0}+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l l_{0}}{2 \delta_{3}}+\frac{c l_{0}}{2 \delta_{4}}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}>0$.
Proof. Multiplying (2.3) by $-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \eta(s) d s$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \eta(s) y_{t} d x d s\right) \\
& =l \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla y \nabla \eta(s) d x d s-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{t}(s) d x d s \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x+c \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s)(c \nabla y-\nabla z) d x d s \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, multiplying $(2.3)_{3}$ by $g(s) y_{t}$, and integrating by parts over $(0, \infty) \times \Omega$, we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{t}(s) d x d s & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x d s-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{s}(s) d x d s \\
& =l_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x d s-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{s}(s) d x d s \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)= & -l_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{s}(s) d x d s+l \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla y \nabla \eta(s) d x d s \\
& +c \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s)(c \nabla y-\nabla z) d x d s+\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise (3.4), we easily see that for every $\delta_{3}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) \nabla y d x d s \leq \frac{\delta_{3}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{l_{0}}{2 \delta_{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we note that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) y_{t} \eta_{s}(s) d x d s=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g^{\prime}(s) y_{t} \eta(s) d x d s
$$

Using (3.32), Young's inequality and Poincare's inequality, one derives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g^{\prime}(s) y_{t} \eta(s) d x d s \leq \frac{l_{0}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& =l_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s) \nabla \eta(s)(c \nabla y-\nabla z) d x d s \\
& \leq \frac{c \delta_{4}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x+\frac{c}{2 \delta_{4}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \eta(s) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{c \delta_{4}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x+\frac{c l_{0}}{2 \delta_{4}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\delta_{4}>0$.
Reporting (3.20)-(3.23) in (3.19), we find the desired inequality.
Now, we define the energy of solutions of (2.3) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|y_{t}\right|^{2}+l|\nabla y|^{2}+\left|z_{t}\right|^{2}+|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the following dissipation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g^{\prime}(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \leq 0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that our system (2.3) is dissipative and so $E(t) \leq E(0)$.
Next, we define the functional $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$
\mathcal{L}(t)=N_{1} E(t)+N_{2} \varphi_{1}(t)+N_{3} \varphi_{2}(t)+N_{4} \psi_{1}+N_{5} \psi_{2}
$$

where $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ and $N_{5}$ are positive constants that will be chosen later.
It is easy to check, for $N_{1}$ sufficiently large, that $E(t) \sim \mathcal{L}(t)$ i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq \alpha_{2} E(t), \forall t \geq 0, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$.

Lemma 3.5. Assume (H1)-(H2). We have, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta E(t), \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $\beta$.
Proof. From (3.1), (3.6), (3.12), (3.16), (3.25) and (3.32), we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left\{\frac{N_{1} b_{1}}{2}-\frac{N_{2} l_{0}}{2 l}-\frac{N_{3} l_{0}}{2 \delta_{2}}-\frac{N_{4} l_{0}}{2 l}-N_{5}\left(l_{0}+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l l_{0}}{2 \delta_{3}}+\frac{c l_{0}}{2 \delta_{4}}\right)\right\} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{5} l_{0}}{2}-N_{2}\left(1+\frac{c \delta_{1}}{2}\right)-N_{3}\left(c+c^{3}+\frac{1}{c}\right)-N_{4}\right\} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{3} c}{2}-\frac{N_{2} c}{2 \delta_{1}}-N_{4}\right\} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{2} l}{2}+\frac{N_{4} l}{2}-\frac{N_{5} \delta_{3} l}{2}-\frac{N_{3} l^{2}}{2 \delta_{2}}\right\} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x \\
& -\left\{N_{4}-N_{3} \delta_{2}-\frac{c N_{5} \delta_{4}}{2}\right\} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

By choosing $\delta_{1}=\frac{2 N_{2}}{N_{3}}, \delta_{2}=\frac{N_{4}}{4 N_{3}}, \delta_{3}=\frac{N_{2}}{2 N_{5}}$ and $\delta_{4}=\frac{N_{4}}{2 c N_{5}}$, (3.28) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left\{\frac{N_{1} b_{1}}{2}-\frac{N_{2} l_{0}}{2 l}-\frac{2 N_{3}^{2} l_{0}}{N_{4}}-\frac{N_{4} l_{0}}{2 l}-N_{5}\left(l_{0}+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l l_{0} N_{5}}{N_{2}}+\frac{c^{2} N_{5} l_{0}}{N_{4}}\right)\right\} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(s)|\nabla \eta(s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{5} l_{0}}{2}-N_{2}\left(1+\frac{c N_{2}}{N_{3}}\right)-N_{3}\left(c+c^{3}+\frac{1}{c}\right)-N_{4}\right\} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{3} c}{4}-N_{4}\right\} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left\{\frac{N_{2} l}{4}+\frac{N_{4} l}{2}-\frac{2 N_{3}^{2} l^{2}}{N_{4}}\right\} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{N_{4}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point, we pick up $N_{3}, N_{2}$ and $N_{5}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{3}>\frac{4 N_{4}}{c}, \\
N_{2}>\frac{8 N_{3}^{2} l}{N_{4}}, \\
N_{5}>\frac{2}{l_{0}}\left\{N_{2}\left(1+\frac{c N_{2}}{N_{3}}\right)+N_{3}\left(c+c^{3}+\frac{1}{c}\right)+N_{4}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After this, choosing $N_{1}$ sufficiently large so that (3.26) holds true and

$$
N_{1}>\frac{2}{b_{1}}\left\{\frac{N_{2} l_{0}}{2 l}-\frac{2 N_{3}^{2} l_{0}}{N_{4}}-\frac{N_{4} l_{0}}{2 l}-N_{5}\left(l_{0}+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l l_{0} N_{5}}{N_{2}}+\frac{c^{2} N_{5} l_{0}}{N_{4}}\right)\right\} .
$$

By taking

$$
\beta=\min \left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}\right\},
$$

we get the desired inequality (3.27) with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{1}=\frac{N_{1} b_{1}}{2}-\frac{N_{2} l_{0}}{2 l}-\frac{2 N_{3}^{2} l_{0}}{N_{4}}-\frac{N_{4} l_{0}}{2 l}-N_{5}\left(l_{0}+\frac{b_{0}^{2} C_{p}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l l_{0} N_{5}}{N_{2}}+\frac{c^{2} N_{5} l_{0}}{N_{4}}\right), \\
\beta_{2}=2\left\{\frac{N_{5} l_{0}}{2}-N_{2}\left(1+\frac{c N_{2}}{N_{3}}\right)-N_{3}\left(c+c^{3}+\frac{1}{c}\right)-N_{4}\right\}, \\
\beta_{3}=2\left\{\frac{N_{3} c}{4}-N_{4}\right\}, \\
\beta_{4}=2\left\{\frac{N_{2} l}{4}+\frac{N_{4} l}{2}-\frac{2 N_{3}^{2} l^{2}}{N_{4}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{5}=N_{4} .
$$

The main result of this paper reads as follows.
Theorem 3.6. Assume (H1)-(H2). Then, the energy of solutions of (2.3) decays exponentially, i.e., there exist positive constants $d$ and $\gamma$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq d E(0) e^{-\gamma t}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using (3.26) and (3.27), one finds that

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta E(t) \leq-\frac{\beta}{\alpha_{2}} \mathcal{L}(t) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-\frac{\beta t}{\alpha_{2}}} .
$$

Using again (3.26), we obtain

$$
E(t) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-\frac{\beta t}{\alpha_{2}}} \leq \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} E(0) e^{-\frac{\beta t}{\alpha_{2}}} .
$$

Hence, (3.30) holds true with $d=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}$ and $\gamma=\frac{\beta}{\alpha_{2}}$.
Remark 3.7. By replacing in (1.1) the past history by a finite memory term of the form $\int_{0}^{t} g(t-$ s) $\Delta y(s) d s$, (1.1) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{t t}-a \Delta y+c \Delta z+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta y(s) d s=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{3.31}\\
z_{t t}-\Delta z+c \Delta y=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
y=z=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, \infty) \\
y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), z(x, 0)=z_{0}(x), y_{t}(x, 0)=y_{1}(x), z_{t}(x, 0)=z_{1}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The energy of solutions of (3.31) are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(t) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(b-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla y)(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|z_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|c \nabla y-\nabla z|^{2} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
(g \circ y)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\|y(t)-y(s)\|^{2} d s
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{G}(t)=M \mathcal{E}(t)+M_{1} \psi_{1}(t)+M_{2} \varphi_{1}(t)+M_{3} D(t)
$$

where

$$
D(t)=-\int_{\Omega} y_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(y(t)-y(s)) d s d x
$$

Now, if we suppose, for example, that $g$ satisfies (H1) and
(H3): There exists a non-increasing continuous function $\xi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By proceeding as in the last section, we can prove for suitable choices of $M, M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ that

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(t) \leq-C_{2} \mathcal{E}(t)+C_{3}(g \circ \nabla y)(t), \forall t \geq 0
$$

for some positive constants $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$. Therefore, we get the following result:
Theorem 3.8. Let $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right),\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$. Assume that $(\mathbf{H} 1)$ and $(\mathbf{H} 3)$ hold true. Then, for any $t_{1}>0$, there exist positive constants $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, such that the energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \beta_{2} e^{-\beta_{1}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \xi(s) d s
$$

## 4. Conclusions

We focus on the existence and exponential stability of solutions for a coupled, by second order terms, system of two wave equations with a past history acting only on the first equation. Each one of these two equations describes the motion of two elastic membranes. The exponential decay result still valid if we replace the past history by a memory term. As future work, we will study the exponential stability in the case where we replace one (that contains the damping term) of these two equations by a quasi-linear one.
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