



Research article

Energy conservation for the compressible ideal Hall-MHD equations

Yanping Zhou¹, Xuemei Deng¹, Qunyi Bie¹ and Lingping Kang^{2,*}

¹ College of Science & Three Gorges Mathematical Research Center, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, China

² College of Science, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: klp2332891948@163.com.

Abstract: In this paper, we study the regularity and energy conservation of the weak solutions for compressible ideal Hall-magnetohydrodynamic (Hall-MHD) system, where $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d (d \geq 1)$. By exploring the special structure of the nonlinear terms in the model, we obtain the sufficient conditions for the regularity of the weak solutions for energy conservation. Our main strategy relies on commutator estimates.

Keywords: compressible ideal Hall-MHD system; regularity; energy conservation

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B65, 35D30, 35Q35, 76W05

1. Introduction

In this work, we consider the energy conservation for weak solutions of the compressible ideal Hall-magnetohydrodynamic (Hall-MHD) equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p - j \times b = 0, \\ \partial_t b + d_l \nabla \times \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right) - \nabla \times (u \times b) = 0, \\ \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} b = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho > 0$ is the fluid density, u is the velocity field, p is the pressure and b is the magnetic field. ρ and p are scalars, d_l represents the Hall coefficient and $j = \nabla \times b$.

The energy conservation of weak solutions of the Euler equations and the MHD equations is a hot topic in recent decades. For the 3D Euler equations, Onsager [22] put forward famous Onsager's conjecture in 1949, that is, the weak solution with Hölder continuity of exponent $\delta > \frac{1}{3}$ can guarantee

the conservation of energy, but the weak solution of $\delta \leq \frac{1}{3}$ is not necessary. In 1994, Eyink [12] proved the first part of this conjecture by means of Fourier series expansion. Constantin et al. [7] considered the conservation of energy when $u \in B_{3,\infty}^\alpha, \alpha > \frac{1}{3}$ in the periodic domain. In 2008, Cheskidov et al. [6] improved the previous results by using Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Concerning the second part of conjecture, the first proof of the existence of a square summable weak solution that does not preserve the energy is due to Scheffer in his pioneering paper [24]. A different proof was later given by Shnirelman in [23]. Recently, non-conservation solutions for the 3D incompressible Euler equations have been constructed up to the critical $\frac{1}{3}$ regularity in [5, 17]. For the compressible and incompressible Euler equations and Navier-Stokes equations, we can refer to Feireisl [14], Chen and Yu [9] and Akramov et al. [1] etc. The energy conservation for the incompressible Euler equations in bounded domains can be referred to [2, 3, 11, 21].

Concerning the energy conservation for the MHD equations, there have been a few results. Recently, Gao et al. [16] considered the local energy equation of weak solutions for the incompressible MHD equations. Guo and Tan [15] studied the energy conservation equation of the 3D incompressible MHD equations from the longitudinal and transverse terms on the basis of the energy dissipation method. Wu and Tan [27] showed that the regularity of weak solutions of the nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations in Besov space is sufficient to ensure the total energy conservation. Wang and Liu [25] obtained the energy conservation for weak solutions of the compressible non-resistive magnetohydrodynamic flows in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. For the ideal MHD equations, Caflisch et al. [8] proved the energy conservation in a periodic domain with no boundary effect. Kang and Lee [18] obtained the energy and cross-helicity conservation to the ideal MHD equations in the whole space. Later on, Yu [28] improved the previous results by using the special structure of the nonlinear terms in the ideal MHD equations. Wang and Zuo [26] and Zhang [29] proved the energy conservation of weak solutions to the 3D case in a bounded domain. Bie et al. [4] studied the energy conservation of the compressible ideal MHD equations in periodic domain by using commutator estimation.

The energy conservation for the Hall-MHD equations has also attracted the attention of many researchers. Dumas and Sueur [10] studied the energy identity and magneto-helicity identity for the incompressible Hall-MHD equations in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Kang et al. [19] obtained the energy conservation for the nonhomogeneous incompressible ideal Hall-MHD equations in the periodic domain $\mathbb{T}^d$. Recently, Kang et al. [20] further considered the energy conservation of incompressible Hall-MHD equations in a bounded domain.

A natural question then is when the energy conservation holds true for the compressible ideal Hall-MHD Eq (1.1). Compared with the compressible Euler equations, the ideal Hall-MHD equations have higher nonlinearity in view of the coupling of the velocity field u and the magnetic field b . Moreover, the handling of the Hall term $d_I \nabla \times \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)$ brings us some difficulties. Specifically, we need to deal with the following item

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi(u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt$$

(see (3.8) below for details). In this paper, by using the commutator estimation similar to that used in [7] and the regularization method used in [14], we obtain sufficient conditions for energy conservation of system (1.1). Our result establishes local energy conservation for weak solutions to system (1.1) under

the additional assumption that the velocity field u satisfies the condition $\operatorname{div} u \in L^1$.

Before giving the result of this paper, we define the pressure potential as

$$P(\rho) = \rho \int_1^\rho \frac{p(r)}{r^2} dr. \quad (1.2)$$

The main result of this paper is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let the space dimension $d \geq 1$ and (ρ, u, p, b) be a solution of system (1.1) in the sense of distributions. Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} u, b &\in B_3^{\alpha, \infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d), \quad \rho, \rho u, j \in B_3^{\beta, \infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d), \\ 0 < \underline{\rho} \leq \rho \leq \bar{\rho} \quad &\text{a.e. in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}$ such that

$$\beta > \max \left\{ 1 - 2\alpha, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha) \right\}.$$

Assume further that

$$\operatorname{div} u \in L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d), \quad p \in C[\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]. \quad (1.3)$$

Then the energy is locally conserved, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b|^2 + P(\rho) \right) + \operatorname{div} \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + P(\rho) + p(\rho) \right] \\ - \operatorname{div} [(u \times b) \times b] + \operatorname{div} \left[d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \times b \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

in the sense of distributions on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we firstly recall some properties of the Besov space $B_p^{\alpha, \infty}(\Omega)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\Omega = (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d$. The said Besov space comprises those functions ω for which the norm

$$\|\omega\|_{B_p^{\alpha, \infty}(\Omega)} := \|\omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{\xi \in \Omega} \frac{\|\omega(\cdot + \xi) - \omega\|_{L^p(\Omega \cap (\Omega - \xi))}}{|\xi|^\alpha} \quad (2.1)$$

is finite (here $\Omega - \xi = \{x - \xi : x \in \Omega\}$).

Let $J \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $N = d$ or $N = d + 1$ (according to the choice of Ω) be a standard mollifying kernel and set

$$J^\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} J\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right),$$

with the notation $\omega^\epsilon = J^\epsilon * \omega$.

Next, we introduce two lemmas about the properties of mollifiers.

Lemma 2.1. ([13]) If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p_{loc}(R^+ \times \Omega)$, then we get

$$f^\epsilon \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } L^p_{loc}(R^+ \times \Omega).$$

Lemma 2.2. ([27]) Let $\omega \in B^{\alpha,\infty}_p(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\|\omega^\epsilon - \omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C\epsilon^\alpha \|\omega\|_{B^{\alpha,\infty}_p(\Omega)} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|\nabla \omega^\epsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C\epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\omega\|_{B^{\alpha,\infty}_p(\Omega)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Finally, let us recall the following two commonly used formulas for curl, that is,

$$\nabla \times (A \times B) = (B \cdot \nabla)A - (A \cdot \nabla)B + (\nabla \cdot B)A - (\nabla \cdot A)B, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(A \times B) = B \cdot (\nabla \times A) - A \cdot (\nabla \times B). \quad (2.5)$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We mollify the momentum Eq (1.1)₁ in time and space, and the corresponding symbols are described in Section 2,

$$\partial_t(\rho u)^\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u)^\epsilon + \nabla p^\epsilon(\rho) - (j \times b)^\epsilon = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Take a sequence $p_\delta \in C^\infty[\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]$ which converges uniformly to $p \in C[\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]$, that is, for each $\delta > 0$,

$$\|p - p_\delta\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta.$$

According to the definition of P in (1.2), we give the definition of P_δ as follows,

$$P_\delta = \rho \int_1^\rho \frac{p_\delta(r)}{r^2} dr. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$\partial_t(\rho u)^\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u)^\epsilon + \nabla(p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)) - (j \times b)^\epsilon = \nabla[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)].$$

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ be a test function. Multiplying with φu^ϵ and integrating in time and space give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t(\rho u)^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u)^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (j \times b)^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla(p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Take $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \in (\epsilon, T - \epsilon) \times \mathbb{T}^d$. We use an appropriate commutator, as

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi(u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla(p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt \\
& \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_1^\epsilon + I_2^\epsilon + I_3^\epsilon + I_4^\epsilon + I_5^\epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^\epsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt, \\
I_2^\epsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon - (\rho u \otimes u)^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt, \\
I_3^\epsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} [(j \times b)^\epsilon \cdot u^\epsilon + (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon] \varphi dxdt, \\
I_4^\epsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla[p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt, \\
I_5^\epsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we handle each item in (3.4). For the first integral term of the left hand side of (3.4), it follows that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\varphi \rho_t^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varphi \rho^\epsilon \partial_t(|u^\epsilon|^2) \right) dxdt. \tag{3.5}$$

For the second term, we mollify the continuity Eq (1.1)₃ as

$$\partial_t \rho^\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho u)^\epsilon = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dxdt \\
&= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} ((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon) : \nabla(\varphi u^\epsilon) dxdt \\
&= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi ((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon) : \nabla(u^\epsilon) dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} ((\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon) : (\nabla \varphi \otimes u^\epsilon) dxdt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi (\rho u)^\epsilon \cdot \nabla(|u^\epsilon|^2) dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} ((\rho u)^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(\varphi (\rho u)^\epsilon) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} ((\rho u)^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\nabla \varphi \cdot (\rho u)^\epsilon + \varphi \operatorname{div}(\rho u)^\epsilon) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} ((\rho u)^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi \rho_t^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\nabla \varphi \cdot (\rho u)^\epsilon) |u^\epsilon|^2 dxdt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For the third integral of the left hand side of (3.4), we first mollify the Eq (1.1)₂ and multiply b^ϵ as

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t(|b^\epsilon|^2) + d_I \nabla \times \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot b^\epsilon - \nabla \times (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot b^\epsilon = 0.$$

Then using (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi \nabla \times (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot b^\epsilon dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi \operatorname{div}((u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi \nabla \times (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot b^\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot ((u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon) dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi \partial_t(|b^\epsilon|^2) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \nabla \times \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot b^\epsilon dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon] dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t |b^\epsilon|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon] dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon \right) \right] dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t |b^\epsilon|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon] dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} d_I \left(\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon \right) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

For the fourth integral, due to the chain rule and the mollified mass Eq (3.6), we observe that

$$\partial_t P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) + u^\epsilon \nabla P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) + P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \rho^\epsilon \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon = P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon).$$

By the definition of P_δ in (3.2), we have

$$\rho^\epsilon P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) = P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) + p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon),$$

After these preparations, we can compute the fourth integral as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot u^\epsilon p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot u^\epsilon p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi [\rho^\epsilon P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)] \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot u^\epsilon p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi [\partial_t P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) + u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) + P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon] dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) dx dt \\
& = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot u^\epsilon p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon dx dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, combining (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7)–(3.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b^\epsilon|^2 + P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \right) dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} (\rho u)^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon \right] dx dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon] dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot d_I \left[\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon \right] dx dt \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i^\epsilon - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to show that the left side of (3.10) converges to the left side of (1.4) as first ϵ and then δ tend to zero. In fact, for each fixed $\delta > 0$, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, using the standard properties of mollification, we have the following limit:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b^\epsilon|^2 + P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \right) dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} (\rho u)^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 + p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon \right] dx dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon] dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot d_I \left[\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon \right] dx dt \\
& \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b|^2 + P_\delta(\rho) \right) dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + p_\delta(\rho) + P_\delta(\rho) \right] u dx dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b) \times b] dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot d_I \left[\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right) \times b \right] dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Here, we only give the proof of the following limit :

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) u^\epsilon dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot P_\delta(\rho) u dx dt \tag{3.12}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. To prove (3.12), by the definition of P_δ in (3.2), we set

$$g'(r) = \frac{p_\delta(r)}{r^2}.$$

By $p_\delta(\rho) \in C^\infty[\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]$, we can obtain

$$g'(r) \in C(0, \bar{\rho}], \quad g(r) \in C(0, \bar{\rho}]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_\delta(\rho) &= \rho(g(\rho) - g(1)), \\ P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) &= \rho^\epsilon(g(\rho^\epsilon) - g(1)). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} &P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)u^\epsilon - P_\delta(\rho)u \\ &= (P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - P_\delta(\rho))u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho)(u^\epsilon - u) \\ &= [\rho^\epsilon(g(\rho^\epsilon) - g(1)) - \rho(g(\rho) - g(1))]u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho)(u^\epsilon - u) \\ &= [\rho^\epsilon(g(\rho^\epsilon) - g(\rho)) + g(\rho)(\rho^\epsilon - \rho) + g(1)(\rho - \rho^\epsilon)]u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho)(u^\epsilon - u) \\ &= [g'(\xi)(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)\rho^\epsilon + g(\rho)(\rho^\epsilon - \rho) + g(1)(\rho - \rho^\epsilon)]u^\epsilon + P_\delta(\rho)(u^\epsilon - u), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

we use the differential mean value theorem in the last equation, where ξ is a function between ρ and ρ^ϵ . According to (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)u^\epsilon dxdt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot P_\delta(\rho)u dxdt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \varphi (P_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)u^\epsilon - P_\delta(\rho)u)| dxdt \\ &\leq C(\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}, T, \mathbb{T}^d) \|\varphi\|_{C^1} (\|\rho^\epsilon - \rho\|_{L^3} \|\rho^\epsilon\|_{L^3} \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^3} + \|\rho^\epsilon - \rho\|_{L^3} \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^3} \\ &\quad + \|\rho^\epsilon - \rho\|_{L^3} \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^3} + \|u^\epsilon - u\|_{L^3}) \\ &\leq C(\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}, T, \mathbb{T}^d) \|\varphi\|_{C^1} (\epsilon^\beta \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}}^2 \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} + \epsilon^\beta \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \\ &\quad + \epsilon^\beta \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} + \epsilon^\alpha \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof of (3.12).

Next, we will show that the right hand side of (3.11) converges to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |b|^2 + P(\rho) \right) dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + p(\rho) + P(\rho) \right] u dxdt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot [(u \times b) \times b] dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot d_I \left[\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right) \times b \right] dxdt \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

From the choice of p_δ , we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot (p_\delta(\rho) - p(\rho))u dxdt \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \|p_\delta - p\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^3} \leq C(\varphi, u) \delta. \quad (3.15)$$

For the terms containing $P_\delta(\rho)$, notice that

$$|P_\delta(\rho) - P(\rho)| \leq \rho \int_1^\rho \frac{|p_\delta(r) - p(r)|}{r^2} dr \leq \|p_\delta - p\|_{L^\infty} \rho \left| \int_1^\rho \frac{1}{r^2} dr \right| \leq (1 + \rho)\delta.$$

Hence we can estimate

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t(P_\delta(\rho) - P(\rho)) dx dt \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} (1 + \|\rho\|_{L^1}) \delta \leq C(\varphi) \delta, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot (P_\delta(\rho) - P(\rho)) u dx dt \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \|1 + \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^3} \delta \leq C(\varphi, u) \delta. \quad (3.17)$$

Combining with (3.15)–(3.17), when $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the right side of (3.11) converges to (3.14). Thus, according to (3.10), we need to show that

$$I_i^\epsilon (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) dx dt$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt$$

converge to zero as first ϵ and then δ tend to zero.

First it is easy to check

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi d_I \left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right) \cdot j dx dt = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For I_1^ϵ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon &= (\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u) - \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0, \epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) \\ &\quad - \rho(t, x)) (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_{11}^\epsilon + I_{12}^\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore,

$$I_1^\epsilon = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t I_{11}^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t I_{12}^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt. \quad (3.20)$$

Applying (2.2), (2.3), integration by parts and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t I_{11}^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t [(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi_t(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u) \cdot u^\epsilon| dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u) \cdot \partial_t u^\epsilon| dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \|\rho^\epsilon - \rho\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|u^\epsilon - u\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \|\rho^\epsilon - \rho\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|u^\epsilon - u\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla u^\epsilon\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\alpha + \beta > 1$.

For the second integral of the right hand side of (3.20), using Fubini theorem, (2.2) and (2.3), Hölder inequality and integration by parts, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t I_{12}^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_t \left[\int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \rho(t, x)) (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \right] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \rho(t, x)) (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) \cdot \partial_t (\varphi(t, x) u^\epsilon(t, x)) d\xi d\tau dx dt \right| \\
&\leq \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) \\
&\quad - \rho(t, x)) (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) \cdot \varphi(t, x) u^\epsilon(t, x)| dx dt d\xi d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) \\
&\quad - \rho(t, x)) (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) \cdot \varphi(t, x) \partial_t u^\epsilon(t, x)| dx dt d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \frac{C}{\epsilon^N} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} \|(\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) - \rho(t, x))\|_{L^3(V)} \\
&\quad \times \|u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)\|_{L^3(V)} \|u^\epsilon(t, x)\|_{L^3(V)} d\xi d\tau \\
&\quad + \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \frac{C}{\epsilon^N} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} \|(\rho(t - \tau, x - \xi) - \rho(t, x))\|_{L^3(V)} \\
&\quad \times \|u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)\|_{L^3(V)} \|\partial_t u^\epsilon(t, x)\|_{L^3(V)} d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\alpha + \beta > 1$, where $V = \Omega \cap (\Omega + (\tau, \xi))$, $|(\tau, \xi)| < \epsilon$.

The estimate for I_2^ϵ is similar,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\rho u)^\epsilon \otimes u^\epsilon - (\rho u \otimes u)^\epsilon \\
&= ((\rho u)^\epsilon - (\rho u)) \otimes (u^\epsilon - u) - \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) ((\rho u)(t - \tau, x - \xi) \\
&\quad - (\rho u)(t, x)) \otimes (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \\
&\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_{21}^\epsilon + I_{22}^\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$I_2^\epsilon = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(I_{21}^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(I_{22}^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt. \quad (3.23)$$

Thanks to (2.2), (2.3), integration by parts and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(I_{21}^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}[(\rho u)^\epsilon - \rho u] \otimes (u^\epsilon - u) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |(\rho u)^\epsilon - \rho u| \otimes (u^\epsilon - u) u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi| |(\rho u)^\epsilon - \rho u| \otimes (u^\epsilon - u) : \nabla u^\epsilon dx dt \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|\rho u\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\rho u\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\alpha + \beta > 1$.

For the second integral of the right hand side of (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div}(I_{22}^\epsilon) \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{div} \left[\int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) ((\rho u)(t - \tau, x - \xi) - (\rho u)(t, x)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \otimes (u(t - \tau, x - \xi) - u(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \right] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|\rho u\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\rho u\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\alpha + \beta > 1$.

Next we deal with I_3^ϵ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (j \times b)^\epsilon \cdot u^\epsilon - (j^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon) \cdot u^\epsilon, \\ g_2 &= (u \times b)^\epsilon \cdot j^\epsilon - (u^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon) \cdot j^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one writes

$$I_3^\epsilon = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi g_1 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi g_2 dx dt \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_{31}^\epsilon + I_{32}^\epsilon.$$

Let us calculate I_{31}^ϵ first, and I_{32}^ϵ the same way. Similar to the estimate of I_1^ϵ and I_2^ϵ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & j^\epsilon \times b^\epsilon - (j \times b)^\epsilon \\ &= (j^\epsilon - j) \times (b^\epsilon - b) - \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) j(t - \tau, x - \xi) \\ &\quad - j(t, x) \times (b(t - \tau, x - \xi) - b(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_1^\epsilon + R_2^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$I_{31}^\epsilon = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} R_1^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} R_2^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt. \quad (3.26)$$

Using (2.2) and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} R_1^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} [(j^\epsilon - j) \times (b^\epsilon - b)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|j\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|b\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

For the second integral of the right hand side of (3.26), we use (2.2), Hölder inequality and Fubini theorem to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} R_2^\epsilon \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \cap B(0,\epsilon)} J^\epsilon(\tau, \xi) (j(t-\tau, x-\xi) - j(t, x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (b(t-\tau, x-\xi) - b(t, x)) d\xi d\tau \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C \|\varphi\|_{C^0} \epsilon^\beta \epsilon^\alpha \|j\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}} \|b\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

For I_4^ϵ , we observe that if $p_\delta \in C^\infty[\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]$, then

$$|p_\delta(h) - p_\delta(h_0) - p'_\delta(h_0)(h - h_0)| \leq C(h - h_0)^2$$

for any $h, h_0 \in [\underline{\rho}, \bar{\rho}]$. Note that the constant C can be chosen independently of h, h_0 . Therefore

$$|p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon(t, x)) - p_\delta(\rho(t, x)) - p'_\delta(\rho(t, x))(\rho^\epsilon(t, x) - \rho(t, x))| \leq C(\rho^\epsilon(t, x) - \rho(t, x))^2, \quad (3.29)$$

and similarly,

$$|p_\delta(\rho(t, y)) - p_\delta(\rho(t, x)) - p'_\delta(\rho(t, x))(\rho(t, y) - \rho(t, x))| \leq C(\rho(t, y) - \rho(t, x))^2. \quad (3.30)$$

Applying convolution with respect to y to (3.30) we get, after invoking Jensen's inequality:

$$|p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho(t, x)) - p_\delta(\rho(t, x)) - p'_\delta(\rho(t, x))(\rho^\epsilon(t, x) - \rho(t, x))| \leq C(\rho(t, y) - \rho(t, x))^2 *_y J^\epsilon, \quad (3.31)$$

where $|x - y| \leq \epsilon$. According to (3.29) and (3.31), one gets

$$|p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon(t, x)) - p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho(t, x))| \leq C(\rho^\epsilon(t, x) - \rho(t, x))^2 + C(\rho(t, y) - \rho(t, x))^2 *_y J^\epsilon. \quad (3.32)$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4^\epsilon| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla[p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)] \cdot \varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi[p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)] \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon| dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |[p_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) - p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho)] u^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi| dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\|\varphi\|_{C^0}\epsilon^{2\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha-1}\|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} + C\|\varphi\|_{C^1}\epsilon^{2\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha}\|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.33)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\beta + \alpha > 1$. Next, we show that I_5^ϵ converges to zero as first ϵ and then δ tend to zero,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5^\epsilon| &\leq \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)]\varphi u^\epsilon dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)]\varphi \operatorname{div} u^\epsilon| dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |[p_\delta^\epsilon(\rho) - p^\epsilon(\rho)]\nabla\varphi u^\epsilon| dx dt \\ &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{C^0}\|(p_\delta - p)^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|\operatorname{div} u^\epsilon\|_{L^1} + C\|\varphi\|_{C^1}\|(p_\delta - p)^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|u^\epsilon\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{C^0}\|p_\delta - p\|_{L^\infty}\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^1} + C\|\varphi\|_{C^1}\|p_\delta - p\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq 2C\delta \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Finally, let us estimate

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon - (\rho u)^\epsilon) dx dt. \quad (3.35)$$

We use (3.19) to split (3.35) into two parts, so we can estimate the first part as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div} I_{11}^\epsilon dx dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon) \operatorname{div}[(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u)] dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla\varphi(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u)P'_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)| dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\varphi(\rho^\epsilon - \rho)(u^\epsilon - u)P''_\delta(\rho^\epsilon)\nabla\rho^\epsilon| dx dt \\ &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{C^0}\epsilon^\beta\epsilon^\alpha\|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}}\|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \\ &\quad + C\|\varphi\|_{C^1}\epsilon^\beta\epsilon^\alpha\epsilon^{\beta-1}\|\rho\|_{B_3^{\beta,\infty}}^2\|u\|_{B_3^{\alpha,\infty}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $2\beta + \alpha > 1$. The second part is estimated similarly. Thus, combining (3.18)–(3.28) and (3.33)–(3.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_t \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|b|^2 + P(\rho) \right) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla\varphi \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 + p(\rho) + P(\rho) \right) u \right] dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla\varphi \cdot [(u \times b) \times b] dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla\varphi \cdot d_l \left(\left(\frac{j \times b}{\rho} \right) \times b \right) dx dt \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the regularity and energy conservation of the weak solutions for compressible ideal Hall-MHD equations, where $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d$ ($d \geq 1$). Compared with the

compressible Euler equations, the ideal Hall-MHD equations have higher nonlinearity in view of the coupling of the velocity field u and the magnetic field b . Then, by exploring the special structure of the nonlinear terms in the model, we obtain the sufficient conditions for energy conservation under the additional assumption that the velocity field u satisfies the condition $\operatorname{div} u \in L^1$. Our main strategy relies on commutator estimates.

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by the NNSF of China (Nos. 11871305, 11901346) and Research Fund for Excellent Dissertation of China Three Gorges University. The authors thank the referees for careful reading and valuable suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. I. Akramov, T. Debiec, J. Skipper, E. Wiedemann, Energy conservation for the compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, *Anal. PDE*, **13** (2020), 789–811. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/apde.2020.13.789>
2. C. Bardos, E. Titi, Onsager's conjecture for the incompressible Euler equations in bounded domains, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **228** (2018), 197–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-017-1189-x>
3. C. Bardos, E. Titi, E. Wiedemann, Onsager's conjecture with physical boundaries and an application to the vanishing viscosity limit, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **370** (2019), 291–310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03493-6>
4. Q. Bie, L. Kang, Q. Wang, Z. Yao, Regularity and energy conservation for the compressible MHD equations (in Chinese), *Sci. Sin. Math.*, **52** (2022), 741. <https://doi.org/10.1360/SSM-2020-0339>
5. T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, L. Szekelyhidi Jr, V. Vicol, Onsager's conjecture for admissible weak solutions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **72** (2019), 229–274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21781>
6. A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, R. Shvydkoy, Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations, *Nonlinearity*, **21** (2008), 1233–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/21/6/005>
7. P. Constantin, E. Weinan, E. Titi, Onsager's conjecture on the energy conservation for solutions of Euler's equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **165** (1994), 207–209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099744>
8. R. Caflisch, I. Klapper, G. Steele, Remarks on singularities, dimension and energy dissipation for ideal hydrodynamics and MHD, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **184** (1997), 443–455. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050067>

9. R. Chen, C. Yu, Onsager's energy conservation for inhomogeneous Euler equations, *J. Math. Pure. Appl.*, **131** (2019), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2019.02.003>
10. E. Dumas, F. Sueur, On the weak solutions to the Maxwell-Landsu-Lifshitz equations and to the Hall-Magneto-Hydrodynamic equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **330** (2014), 1179–1225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-1924-1>
11. T. Drivas, H. Nguyen, Onsager's conjecture and anomalous dissipation on domains with boundary, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **50** (2018), 4785–4811. <https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1178864>
12. G. Eyink, Energy dissipation without viscosity in ideal hydrodynamics I. Fourier analysis and local energy transfer, *Phys. D*, **78** (1994), 222–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(94\)90117-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(94)90117-1)
13. L. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1998.
14. E. Feireisl, P. Gwiazda, A. Świerczewska-Gwiazda, E. Widemann, Regularity and energy conservation for the compressible Euler equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **261** (2017), 1375–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-016-1060-5>
15. S. Guo, Z. Tan, Local 4/5-law and energy dissipation anomaly in turbulence of incompressible MHD Equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **67** (2016), 147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-016-0736-x>
16. Z. Gao, Z. Tan, G. Wu, Energy dissipation for weak solution of incompressible MHD equations, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **33** (2013), 865–871. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(13\)60046-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(13)60046-6)
17. P. Isett, A proof of Onsager's conjecture, *Ann. Math.*, **188** (2018), 871–963. <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2018.188.3.4>
18. E. Kang, J. Lee, Remarks on the magnetic helicity and energy conservation for ideal magneto-hydrodynamics, *Nonlinearity*, **20** (2007), 2681–2689. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/20/11/011>
19. L. Kang, X. Deng, Q. Bie, Energy conservation for the nonhomogeneous incompressible ideal Hall-MHD equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **62** (2021), 031506. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0042696>
20. L. Kang, X. Deng, Y. Zhou, Energy conservation for the nonhomogeneous incompressible Hall-MHD equations in a bounded domain, *Results Appl. Math.*, **12** (2021), 100178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RINAM.2021.100178>
21. Q. Nguyen, P. Nguyen, Onsager's conjecture on the energy conservation for solutions of Euler equations in bounded domains, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **29** (2019), 207–213. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-018-9483-9>
22. L. Onsager, Statistical hydrodynamics, *Nuovo Cim.*, **6** (1949), 279–287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02780991>
23. A. Shnirelman, On the nonuniqueness of weak solution of the Euler equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **50** (1997), 1261–1286. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0312\(199712\)50:12<1261::AID-CPA3>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199712)50:12<1261::AID-CPA3>3.0.CO;2-6)
24. V. Scheffer, An inviscid flow with compact support in space-time, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **3** (1993), 343–401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02921318>

25. X. Wang, S. Liu, Energy conservation for the weak solutions to the 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations of viscous non-resistive fluids in a bounded domain, *Nonlinear Anal. Real*, **62** (2021), 103359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NONRWA.2021.103359>
26. Y. Wang, B. Zuo, Energy and cross-helicity conservation for the three-dimensional ideal MHD equations in a bounded domain, *J. Differ. Equations*, **268** (2020), 4079–4101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2019.10.045>
27. Z. Wu, Z. Tan, Regularity and energy dissipation for the nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations (in Chinese), *Sci. Sin. Math.*, **49** (2019), 1967–1978. <https://doi.org/10.1360/SSM-2019-0203>
28. X. Yu, A note on the energy conservation of the ideal MHD equation, *Nonlinearity*, **22** (2009), 913–922. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/22/4/012>
29. Z. Zhang, Energy conservation for the weak solutions to the ideal inhomogeneous magnetohydrodynamic equations in a bounded domain, *Nonlinear Anal. Real*, **63** (2022), 103397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2021.103397>



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)