



Theory article

Blowup for regular solutions and C^1 solutions of the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N with a free boundary

Jingjie Wang¹, Xiaoyong Wen² and Manwai Yuen^{3,*}

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China

² School of Applied Science, Beijing Information Science and Technology University, Beijing 100192, China

³ Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

* Correspondence: Email: yuenmw@eduhk.hk.

Abstract: In this paper, under the assumption of an initial bounded region $\Omega(0)$, we establish the blowup phenomenon of the regular solutions and C^1 solutions to the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N . If the total energy E and the total mass $M > 0$ satisfy

$$\max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0) < \frac{\min\{2, N(\Gamma - 1), N(\gamma - 1)\}E}{M},$$

where $E = \int_{\Omega(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}n |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma-1}n^\Gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\rho^\gamma \right) dV$ and $M = \int_{\Omega(0)} (n + \rho) dV > 0$, then the blowup of the solutions to the two-phase model will be formed in finite time in \mathbb{R}^N . Furthermore, under the assumptions that the radially symmetric initial data and initial density contain vacuum states, the blowup of the smooth solutions to the two-phase model will be formed in finite time in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$.

Keywords: two-phase model; singularity formation; free boundary problems; regular solutions; vacuum

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B44, 35R35, 76T10

1. Introduction

The compressible inviscid liquid-gas two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N that will be considered is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} n_t + \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u}) = 0, \\ \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u}) = 0, \\ [(\rho + n)\vec{u}]_t + \operatorname{div}[(\rho + n)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}] + \nabla P(n, \rho) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\vec{u} = \vec{u}(t, \vec{x})$, $P = P(n, \rho) = n^\Gamma + \rho^\gamma$ are the velocity and pressure while $n = n(t, \vec{x}) \geq 0$, $\rho = \rho(t, \vec{x}) \geq 0$ are the densities of two phases, where $\gamma, \Gamma > 1$.

Euler equations have been used as one of the basic models for studying fluids, plasmas, atmospheric dynamics, and condensed matter in [2, 5–7, 11, 30], and the blowup analysis for these equations is carried out in [3, 4, 12, 17, 21, 25, 26, 28, 34, 36]. In 1985, Sideris [25] constructed the functional

$$F(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \vec{x} \cdot \rho \vec{u} d\vec{x} \quad (1.2)$$

to prove that the C^1 solutions of the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations will blow up in a finite time when the initial functional $F(0)$ is sufficiently large. Yuen [35] used the energy method to consider the blowup results of the C^1 solutions and the weakened regular solutions of the Euler equations in \mathbb{R}^N . In [18], the authors rewrote the system in the form of a quasilinear wave equation about the density ρ to study the blowup of solutions to Euler equations. Liu, Wang and Yuen studied the blowup results of solutions to the compressible Euler equations with time-dependent damping with vacuum and C^1 solutions of the irrotational compressible Euler equations with time-dependent damping in [19]. In [24], the author studied the three-dimensional Euler equations with a free boundary subjected to tension.

In the two-phase fluid, because of its wide application in aerospace, micro-technology, chemical engineering and other fields, it has aroused many researchers' interest. Zuber studied the two-phase model firstly in [39]. The motion of liquid and gas mixture is studied by the two-phase model in [16]. In [23], the authors considered a hyperbolic two-phase model. For existence, asymptotic and uniqueness of global weak solutions to the two-phase flow model with vacuum, Yao, Zhang and Zhu used the line method and a priori estimate to obtain relevant results in [31–33], and there are also studies of these issues in [1, 8, 13–15, 27]. In [37], under the assumption of H^2 -norm of the initial perturbation with a constant state is sufficiently small and L^1 -norm is bounded, Zhang and Zhu studied the global existence of Cauchy problem to viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow in three dimensions. Furthermore, for the Cauchy problem of 3D inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow, Zhang considered the optimal $L^p - L^2$ ($1 \leq p < \frac{6}{5}$) time decay rates of the solutions with the damping on the qualitative behaviors in [38]. Wen and Zhu [29] considered global existence of weak solutions to two-fluid about the Dirichlet problem in one dimension. In [10], Dong et al. considered the energy integration method to prove the singularity of the smooth solutions to the Cauchy problem for the viscous two-phase model in arbitrary dimensions. Furthermore, Dong and Yuen provided the blowup phenomena of self-similar solutions for the inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow [9]. By introducing the definition of regular solutions, Makino and Perthame studied the blowup phenomena of radial symmetric solutions to the Euler-Poisson equations with compact support and with a repulsive force and an attractive force in [20, 22].

2. Materials and methods

In this paper, we study the blowup results of regular solutions and C^1 solutions of the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N with a free boundary. Our method depends on the energy integration method and a quasi-

linear wave equation about ρ , the singularity of the two-phase model will form in finite time.

3. Results

3.1. Main theorems

In this section, we give the blowup results of regular solutions and C^1 solutions of the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N with a free boundary. Firstly, we introduce the definition of regular solutions to the two-phase (1.1).

Definition 3.1. (Weakened regular solution) A solution (n, ρ, \vec{u}) to the system (1.1) which is in \mathbb{R}^N and in the non-vacuum region is regular for $1 < \Gamma < 2$ or $1 < \gamma < 2$, if

$$\left(n^{\frac{\Gamma-1}{\eta}}, \rho^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\eta}}, \vec{u} \right) \in C^1, \quad (3.1)$$

with a fixed constant $\eta > 1$.

In the following, we state the blowup results of the two-phase model with a free boundary in \mathbb{R}^N .

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the fluid enters a bounded open region $\Omega(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$, with the contacting vacuum boundary $\partial\Omega(t)$. Suppose that the (n, ρ, \vec{u}) is a weakened regular solution on $[0, T) \times \Omega(t)$ of the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^N . If

$$\max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0) < \frac{\min\{2, N(\Gamma-1), N(\gamma-1)\}E}{M}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the total energy $E = \int_{\Omega(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}n|\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho|\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma-1}n^\Gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\rho^\gamma \right) dV$ and the total mass $M = \int_{\Omega(0)} (n + \rho) dV > 0$, then the solutions of the two-phase model will blow up in finite time T .

Furthermore, we consider the two-phase model (1.1) with the pressure $P = P(n, \rho) = n^\gamma + \rho^\gamma$. In this paper, we will rewrite the two-phase model (1.1) by forming a quasi-linear wave equation about the density ρ and studying the blowup results for solutions of the two-phase model in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$ with the initial data

$$t = 0 : n = n_0(\vec{x}), \quad \rho = \rho_0(\vec{x}), \quad \vec{u} = \vec{u}_0(\vec{x}). \quad (3.3)$$

Introducing radial symmetry, the initial data become

$$n_0(\vec{x}) = n_0(r), \quad \rho_0(\vec{x}) = \rho_0(r), \quad \vec{u}_0(\vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{x}}{r} V_0(r), \quad (3.4)$$

where $r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In the following, we give the blowup results of the two-phase model in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the initial density $n_0 \geq 0$ and $\rho_0 \geq 0$ in (3.3), and $(n_0, \rho_0, \vec{u}_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consider the solutions (n, ρ, \vec{u}) of the two-phase model (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$. If the initial conditions

$$n_0(0) = 0, \quad \rho_0(0) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (n_0 + \rho_0)(r) dx > 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1+r)(n_0 + \rho_0)V_0}{r^2 e^r} dx \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n_0 + \rho_0)}{r e^r} dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \quad (3.7)$$

are satisfied, where $\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N , then the solutions will blow up on or before the finite time $\frac{2H(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_0}$, where $H(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n_0 + \rho_0)}{r e^r} dx$ and $C_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1}}$.

Then, under the appropriate assumptions, we will give the blowup results of the two-phase model in the two dimensional cases.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the initial density $n_0 \geq 0, \rho_0 \geq 0$ in (3.3), and $(n_0, \rho_0, \vec{u}_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $K_0(r)$ be the modified Bessel function

$$K_0(r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rcosht} dt. \quad (3.8)$$

Consider the solution (n, ρ, \vec{u}) of the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^2 . If the initial conditions

$$n_0(0) = 0, \quad \rho_0(0) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0)(r) dx > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0)V_0 K_0'(r) dx \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0)(r) K_0(r) dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}} \quad (3.11)$$

are satisfied, then the solutions will blow up on or before the finite time $\frac{2G(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_1}$, where $G(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0)K_0(r) dx$ and $C_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}$.

Remark 3.1. For the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N with pressure $P = P(n, \rho) = (n + \rho)^\gamma$, we can also obtain the same blowup results.

3.2. Blowup for the two-phase model with a free boundary

In this section, we will show the proof of the blowup result of solutions to the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N . Firstly, we give some lemmas for the conserved energy and the properties of the local second inertia function to the two-phase model.

Lemma 3.1. Assume the density n and ρ have compact support in the region $\Omega(t)$ for non-trivial C^1 solutions of the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^N , where $\Omega(t)$ is a moving region, and the region $\Omega(0)$ is bounded by the contacting vacuum boundary, then

$$M(t) = M(0), \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$0 < E = E(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma-1} n^\Gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \rho^\gamma \right) dV < \infty, \quad (3.13)$$

that is, the total energy $E(t)$ is conserved, where $M(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} (n + \rho) dV$ represents the total mass.

Proof. By (1.1)₁ and (1.1)₂, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} M(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} (n_t + \rho_t) dV = - \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u}) dV = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, $M(t) = M(0)$.

Multiplying \vec{u} on both sides of the Eq (1.1)₃ and integrating over $\Omega(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega(t)} (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dx + \int_{\Omega(t)} (\rho\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dV \\ + \int_{\Omega(t)} \nabla P(n, \rho) \cdot \vec{u} dV = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

On the one hand, from (1.1)₁, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} n_t |\vec{u}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}|^2 \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u}) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Due to

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 \right)_t = (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} - \frac{1}{2} n_t |\vec{u}|^2, \quad (3.17)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(t)} (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dx + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 \right)_t dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{1}{2} n_t |\vec{u}|^2 dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \vec{u} \cdot [\nabla \cdot (n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 \right)_t dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}|^2 \nabla \cdot (n\vec{u}) dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \vec{u} \cdot [\nabla \cdot (n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] dV. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

We note that

$$\vec{u} \cdot [\nabla \cdot (n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] = \sum_{i,j=1}^N u_i [\partial_j (\rho u_j) u_i + n u_j \partial_j u_i] = |\vec{u}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\rho u_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N n u_i u_j \partial_j u_i. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(t)} \left[-\frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}|^2 \nabla \cdot (n\vec{u}) + \vec{u} \cdot [\nabla \cdot (n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] \right] dV \\ &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left[-\frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (n u_i) + |\vec{u}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (n u_i) \sum_{j=1}^N n u_i u_j \partial_j u_i \right] dV \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (nu_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N nu_i u_j \partial_j u_i \right] dV \\
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{u} \cdot \partial_i \vec{u} nu_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^N nu_i u_j \partial_j u_i \right] dV \\
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} \left[- \sum_{i,j=1}^N nu_i u_j \partial_i u_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^N nu_i u_j \partial_j u_i \right] dV \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dV = \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 \right)_t dV. \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} (\rho\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dV = \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 \right)_t dV. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_t &= \Gamma n^{\Gamma-1} \partial_t n + \gamma \rho^{\gamma-1} \partial_t \rho \\
&= \Gamma n^{\Gamma-1} \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (nu_i) \right] + \gamma \rho^{\gamma-1} \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\rho u_i) \right] \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (n^\Gamma) u_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \Gamma n^\Gamma \partial_i u_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) u_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma \rho^\gamma \partial_i u_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Integrating over the region $\Omega(t)$ and applying the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega(t)} P_t dV &= - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (n^\Gamma) u_i dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \Gamma n^\Gamma \partial_i u_i dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) u_i dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma \rho^\gamma \partial_i u_i dV \\
&= - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (n^\Gamma) u_i dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \Gamma \partial_i (n^\Gamma) u_i dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) u_i dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) u_i dV \\
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N (\Gamma - 1) \partial_i (n^\Gamma) u_i dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N (\gamma - 1) \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) u_i dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} \vec{u} \cdot \nabla P(n, \rho) dV = \frac{1}{\Gamma - 1} \int_{\Omega(t)} \partial_i (n^\Gamma) dV + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\Omega(t)} \partial_i (\rho^\gamma) dV. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, by (3.15), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma - 1} n^\Gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \rho^\gamma dV \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dx + \int_{\Omega(t)} (\rho\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{u} dV \\
&+ \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{u} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \nabla P(n, \rho) \cdot \vec{u} dV = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Therefore, for non-trivial C^1 solutions, we obtain

$$E(t) = E(0) < \infty. \tag{3.27}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Next, we show the second derivative of the local second inertia function for the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^N for the solutions on $[0, T) \times \Omega(t)$.

Before stating the following lemma, we first give some physical quantities as follows:

$$F(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} n\vec{u} \cdot x dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho\vec{u} \cdot x dV = F_n(t) + F_\rho(t), \tag{3.28}$$

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{x}|^2 dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{x}|^2 dV = H_n(t) + H_\rho(t), \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E(t) &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{1}{2} n |\vec{u}|^2 dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{1}{2} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 dV + \frac{1}{\Gamma - 1} \int_{\Omega(t)} n^\Gamma dV + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho^\gamma dV \\
&= E_{kn}(t) + E_{k\rho}(t) + E_{in}(t) + E_{i\rho}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where $F_n(t)$ and $F_\rho(t)$, $H_n(t)$ and $H_\rho(t)$, $E_{kn}(t)$ and $E_{k\rho}(t)$, $E_{in}(t)$ and $E_{i\rho}(t)$ represent the momentum weight, the local second inertia, the kinetic energy and the internal energy for the two-phase fluid, respectively.

Lemma 3.2. For the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^N , we have

$$H'(t) = F(t), \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$H''(t) = 2E_{kn}(t) + 2E_{k\rho}(t) + N(\Gamma - 1)E_{in}(t) + N(\gamma - 1)E_{i\rho}(t). \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. Differentiating $H(t)$ with respect to t , we obtain

$$H'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} n_t |\vec{x}|^2 dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho_t |\vec{x}|^2 dV = H'_n(t) + H'_\rho(t). \tag{3.33}$$

Applying the integration by parts to (3.33) and using (1.1)₁, we get

$$H'_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u}) |\vec{x}|^2 dV = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i,j}^N \partial_i(nu_i) x_j^2 dV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i,j}^N 2nu_i x_j dV = \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_i^N nu_i x_i dV \\
&= \int_{\Omega(t)} \vec{x} \cdot n\vec{u} dV = F_n(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Similarly, we have

$$H'_\rho(t) = F_\rho(t). \tag{3.35}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$H'(t) = F(t). \tag{3.36}$$

Next, we calculate $H''(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
H''(t) &= F'(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} (n\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{x} dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} (\rho\vec{u})_t \cdot \vec{x} dV \\
&= - \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{x} dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{x} dV - \int_{\Omega(t)} \nabla P(n, \rho) \cdot \vec{x} dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

By using the integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(n\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{x} dV &= \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i,j}^N \partial_i (nu_i u_j) x_j dV = - \int_{\Omega(t)} \sum_{i,j}^N nu_i u_j \partial_i x_j dV \\
&= - \int_{\Omega(t)} n\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} dV = - \int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{u}|^2 dV.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{x} dV = - \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 dV, \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} \nabla P(n, \rho) \cdot \vec{x} dV = -N \int_{\Omega(t)} n^\Gamma dV - N \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho^\gamma dV. \tag{3.40}$$

From (3.38) to (3.40) and Gauss formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H''(t) &= F'(t) = \int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{u}|^2 dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{u}|^2 dV + N \int_{\Omega(t)} n^\Gamma dV + N \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho^\gamma dV \\
&= 2E_{kn}(t) + 2E_{k\rho}(t) + N(\Gamma - 1)E_{in}(t) + N(\gamma - 1)E_{i\rho}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Using the above lemmas, we will give the proof of the blowup results of the solutions to the two-phase model with a free boundary in \mathbb{R}^N .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Introducing

$$\varphi = n^{\frac{\Gamma-1}{\eta}}, \omega = \rho^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\eta}}, \tag{3.42}$$

for $n(t, x) \neq 0$ and $\rho(t, x) \neq 0$, we transform the momentum equations (1.1) into

$$(\varphi^{\frac{\eta}{\Gamma-1}} + \omega^{\frac{\eta}{\gamma-1}}) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{l=1}^N u_l \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_l} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\varphi^{\frac{\eta\Gamma}{\Gamma-1}} + \omega^{\frac{\eta\gamma}{\gamma-1}}) = 0. \quad (3.43)$$

Simplifying the above equation, we have

$$(\varphi^{\frac{\eta}{\Gamma-1}} + \omega^{\frac{\eta}{\gamma-1}}) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{l=1}^N u_l \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_l} \right) + \frac{\eta\Gamma}{\Gamma-1} \varphi^{\frac{\eta\Gamma}{\Gamma-1}-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi + \frac{\eta\gamma}{\gamma-1} \omega^{\frac{\eta\gamma}{\gamma-1}-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \omega = 0, \quad (3.44)$$

where $\eta > 1$ is an arbitrary constant, $(\eta - 1)\Gamma + 1 - \eta > 0$ and $(\eta - 1)\gamma + 1 - \eta > 0$.

We study the solutions near the contacting vacuum boundary point $\vec{x}_0(t)$ in the region $\Omega(t)$. Firstly, we consider the local second inertial function

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{x}|^2 dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{x}|^2 dV. \quad (3.45)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H''(t) &= 2E_{kn}(t) + 2E_{k\rho}(t) + N(\Gamma - 1)E_{in}(t) + N(\gamma - 1)E_{i\rho}(t) \\ &\geq \min\{2, N(\Gamma - 1), N(\gamma - 1)\}E(t) \\ &= C_1E, \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

with the conserved total energy $E = \int_{\Omega(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2}n |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho |\vec{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma-1}n^\Gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\rho^\gamma \right) dV$ by Lemma 3.1, where $C_1 = \min\{2, N(\Gamma - 1), N(\gamma - 1)\}$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$H(t) \geq H(0) + \dot{H}(0)t + \frac{C_1E}{2}t^2. \quad (3.47)$$

For an arbitrary point $\vec{x}_0(t) \in \partial\Omega(t)$ with the contacting vacuum, by applying Lemma 3.1 and $\rho(0, \vec{x}_0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{l=1}^N u_l \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_l} = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

We consider the governing differential dynamic system at the contacting vacuum point $\vec{x}_0(t)$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{D^2 x_{0,i}(t)}{Dt^2} = 0, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ x_{0,i}(0, \vec{x}_0) = x_{0,i}, & \dot{x}_{0,i}(0, \vec{x}_0) = u_i(0, \vec{x}_0), \end{cases} \quad (3.49)$$

where $\frac{dx_{0,i}(t)}{dt} = u_i(t, \vec{x}_0(t))$.

The solutions of the above system are as follows:

$$x_{0,i}(t) = x_{0,i} + u_i(0, \vec{x}_0)t. \quad (3.50)$$

Then, we get

$$u_i(t, \vec{x}_0(t)) = \frac{dx_{0,i}(t)}{dt} = u_i(0, \vec{x}_0). \quad (3.51)$$

By applying the Euclidean norm, we obtain

$$\max_{\vec{x} \in \partial\Omega(t)} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \max_{\vec{x} \in \Omega(t)} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0. \quad (3.52)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(t)} |\vec{x}_0|^2 \geq \max_{\vec{x} \in \Omega(t)} |\vec{x}|^2. \quad (3.53)$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{0,i}^2(t) \int_{\Omega(t)} n dV \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{x}|^2 dV. \quad (3.54)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{0,i}^2(t) \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho dV \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{x}|^2 dV. \quad (3.55)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{0,i}^2(t) \left(\int_{\Omega(t)} n dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho dV \right) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega(t)} n |\vec{x}|^2 dV + \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho |\vec{x}|^2 dV \right) \\ &= H(t) \geq H(0) + \dot{H}(0)t + \frac{C_1 E}{2} t^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

that is

$$\max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(t)} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{0,i}^2(t) \geq \frac{2}{M} \left(H(0) + \dot{H}(0)t + \frac{C_1 E}{2} t^2 \right), \quad (3.57)$$

with the conserved total mass $M = \int_{\Omega(0)} (n + \rho) dV > 0$ in Lemma 3.1.

By (3.50), we have

$$\frac{2}{M} \left(H(0) + \dot{H}(0)t + \frac{C_1 E}{2} t^2 \right) \leq \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(x_{0,i}^2 + 2x_{0,i} \cdot u_i(0, \vec{x}_0)t + u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0)t^2 \right). \quad (3.58)$$

When $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{2H(0)}{M} + \frac{2\dot{H}(0)}{M}t + \frac{C_1 E}{M}t^2}{t^2} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(x_{0,i}^2 + 2x_{0,i} \cdot u_i(0, \vec{x}_0)t + u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0)t^2 \right)}{t^2}. \quad (3.59)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{C_1 E}{M} \leq \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0), \quad (3.60)$$

that is

$$\frac{\min(2, N(\Gamma - 1), N(\gamma - 1)) E}{M} \leq \max_{\vec{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega(0)} u_i^2(0, \vec{x}_0), \quad (3.61)$$

which contradicts with inequality (3.2) in Theorem 3.1. Therefore, we conclude that the solutions for the two-phase model (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^N will blow up in finite time.

This completes the proof. \square

3.3. Blowup for the two-phase model with vacuum

For proving the blowup results of solutions to the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$), we first introduce the properties of the two-phase model.

Lemma 3.3. (Theorem 2.1 in [18]) Assume that $n_0 \geq 0$, $\rho_0 \geq 0$ and $(n_0, \rho_0, \vec{u}_0) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a unique solution (n, ρ, \vec{u}) to the two-phase model on some time interval $[0, T)$, which satisfies

$$n, \rho \in C\left([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N\right), \quad (3.62)$$

and

$$\vec{u} \in C\left([0, T), H^3(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \cap C^1\left([0, T), H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \cap C^2\left([0, T), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\right). \quad (3.63)$$

Lemma 3.4. (Lemma 4 in [35]) From the mass equations (1.1)₁ and (1.1)₂, we obtain

$$n(t, \vec{x}(t)) = n(0, \vec{x}_0) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla \cdot \vec{u} ds\right) \quad (3.64)$$

and

$$\rho(t, \vec{x}(t)) = \rho(0, \vec{x}_0) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla \cdot \vec{u} ds\right). \quad (3.65)$$

Next, we give the lemma to show the property of the modified Bessel function $K_0(r)$.

Lemma 3.5. (Lemma 3.1 in [18]) The modified Bessel function $K_0(r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rcosh t} dt$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} K_0(r) \leq \frac{3}{r}, |K_0'(r)| \leq \frac{1}{r^2}, & 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ K_0(r) \leq \frac{C_k}{r^k}, |K_0'(r)| \leq \frac{C_k}{r^k}, & r > 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.66)$$

for some constants C_k depending only on $k > 1$.

Then, we give the proof of the blowup results of solutions to the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We consider the solution (n, ρ, \vec{u}) that satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.2. From the two-phase system (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_{tt} + \rho_{tt} &= -(\nabla \cdot (n\vec{u}))_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho\vec{u})_t \\ &= \Delta P + \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n + \rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

Then, multiplying Eq (3.67) by $\frac{1}{re^r}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^N to obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n + \rho}{re^r} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta P \frac{1}{re^r} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n + \rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] \frac{1}{re^r} dx. \quad (3.68)$$

By the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta P \frac{1}{re^r} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P \Delta \frac{1}{re^r} dx - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{r=\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \frac{1}{re^r} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{re^r} P \right) \right) ds. \quad (3.69)$$

By applying the assumption (3.5) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain $n(t, \vec{0}) \equiv 0, \rho(t, \vec{0}) \equiv 0$. Using the continuity of P , we get that for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, there is $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, such that $P(t, \vec{x}) < \delta$ while $r = \varepsilon$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{\partial(\frac{1}{re^r})}{\partial r} P ds &= \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{re^r + e^r}{r^2 e^{2r}} P ds \\ &\leq \delta \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{re^r + e^r}{r^2 e^{2r}} ds = \delta \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{(\varepsilon + 1)e^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 e^{2\varepsilon}} ds \\ &= \delta N \alpha(N) \varepsilon^{N-1} \frac{(\varepsilon + 1)e^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 e^{2\varepsilon}} = \delta N \alpha(N) \varepsilon^{N-3} \frac{(\varepsilon + 1)e^\varepsilon}{e^{2\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

where $\alpha(N) = N(N-2) \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})}$ and $\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

Therefore, for $N \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{\partial(\frac{1}{re^r})}{\partial r} P ds = 0. \quad (3.71)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \frac{1}{re^r} ds = 0. \quad (3.72)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta P \frac{1}{re^r} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P \frac{1}{re^r} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n^\gamma}{re^r} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho^\gamma}{re^r} dx. \quad (3.73)$$

Using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n^\gamma}{re^r} dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{re^r} dx \right)^{\gamma-1}, \quad (3.74)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho^\gamma}{re^r} dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{re^r} dx \right)^{\gamma-1}. \quad (3.75)$$

Therefore, (3.73) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta P \frac{1}{re^r} dx &\geq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{re^r} dx \right)^{\gamma-1}} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\rho}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{re^r} dx \right)^{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n+\rho}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n+\rho}{re^r} dx \right)^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Applying the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n+\rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] \frac{1}{re^r} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{re^r} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 ((n+\rho)u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (n+\rho) V^2 \left(\frac{1}{re^r} \right)'' dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (n+\rho) V^2 \left[\frac{1}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^3} \right] e^{-r} dx > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}H(t) \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1} H^\gamma(t), \quad (3.78)$$

where $H(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n+\rho)(t,r)}{re^r} dx$. Using integration by parts and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H'(0) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n+\rho)}{re^r} dx|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla \cdot [(n+\rho)\vec{u}]}{re^r} dx|_{t=0} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N (n+\rho) \frac{x_i}{r} V \frac{1+r}{r^2 e^r} \frac{x_i}{r} dx|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1+r)(n_0 + \rho_0)V_0}{r^2 e^r} dx > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

Therefore,

$$H'(t) = H'(0) + \int_0^t \frac{d^2}{ds^2}H(s) ds \geq H'(0) > 0. \quad (3.80)$$

Multiplying the both sides of (3.78) by $2H'(t)$, we obtain

$$\left(H'(t)^2 \right)' \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1} \left(H^{\gamma+1}(t) \right)'. \quad (3.81)$$

Integrate over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t)^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1} H^{\gamma+1}(t) + \left(\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n+\rho)}{re^r} dx \right)^2 \Big|_{t=0} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1} H^{\gamma+1}(0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

Applying the assumption (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t)^2 &\geq C_0^2 H^{\gamma+1}(t) + \left(\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n+\rho)}{re^r} dx \right)^2 \Big|_{t=0} - C_0^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(n_0 + \rho_0)}{re^r} dx \right)^{\gamma+1} \\ &\geq C_0^2 H^{\gamma+1}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

where $C_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{2})}{(N-2)! \pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]^{\gamma-1}}$.

Therefore,

$$H'(t) \geq C_0 H^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}(t). \quad (3.84)$$

By integrating over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \frac{dH(s)}{H^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}(s)} \geq C_0 t. \quad (3.85)$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{2}{\gamma-1} \left(H^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(t) - H^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(0) \right) \geq C_0 t, \quad (3.86)$$

which means that

$$H(t) \geq \left(H^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(0) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2} C_0 t \right)^{-\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}. \quad (3.87)$$

From (3.6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n_0 + \rho_0}{r e^r} dx > 0. \quad (3.88)$$

Applying the mass conservation, we obtain

$$H(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{n + \rho}{r e^r} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}} \frac{n + \rho}{r e^r} dx + \frac{1}{r_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (n_0 + \rho_0) dx, \quad (3.89)$$

where \mathbb{B}_{r_0} is the N -dimensional ball centered at the origin with any given radius r_0 .

When $t \rightarrow \frac{2H(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_0}$, $H(t)$ cannot be bounded. Therefore, we obtain that $\int_{r \leq r_0} (n + \rho)(t, r) r dr$ cannot be bounded as $t \rightarrow \frac{2H(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_0}$, hence the solutions will blow up on or before the finite time $t \rightarrow \frac{2H(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_0}$.
The proof is finished. \square

In the following, we will prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We consider the solution (n, ρ, \vec{u}) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.3. From (3.67), we obtain

$$n_{tt} + \rho_{tt} = \Delta P + \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n + \rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})]. \quad (3.90)$$

Then, multiplying Eq (3.90) by $K_0(r)$ and taking the integration over \mathbb{R}^2 to obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta P K_0(r) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n + \rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] K_0(r) dx. \quad (3.91)$$

Using the same method as that we obtain from (3.69) to (3.73), we obtain from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta P K_0(r) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^\gamma + \rho^\gamma) K_0(r) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx \right)^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.92)$$

Using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot [\nabla \cdot ((n + \rho)\vec{u} \otimes \vec{u})] K_0(r) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 ((n + \rho)u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} K_0(r) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) V^2 K_0''(r) dx > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.93)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} G(t) \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{\gamma-1}} G^\gamma(t), \quad (3.94)$$

where $G(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho)(t, r) K_0(r) dx$.

Using integration by parts, (1.1)₁, (1.1)₂ and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'(0) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx|_{t=0} \\
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot [(n + \rho) \vec{u}] K_0(r) dx|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (n + \rho) u_i K_0'(r) \frac{x_i}{r} dx|_{t=0} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (n + \rho) \frac{x_i}{r} V K_0'(r) \frac{x_i}{r} dx|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) V K_0'(r) dx|_{t=0} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0) V_0 K_0'(r) dx > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.95}$$

Similarly, we obtain $G'(t) \geq 0$.

Multiplying both sides of (3.94) by $2G'(t)$, we obtain

$$\left(G'(t)^2 \right)' \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1} (1 + \gamma) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{\gamma-1}} \left(G^{\gamma+1}(t) \right)'. \tag{3.96}$$

Integrate over $[0, t]$ and using the assumption (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'(t)^2 &\geq C_1^2 G^{\gamma+1}(t) + \left(\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx \right)^2|_{t=0} - C_1^2 G^{\gamma+1}(0) \\
 &\geq C_1^2 G^{\gamma+1}(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.97}$$

where $C_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}(1+\gamma)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_0(r) dx \right)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$.

Therefore,

$$G'(t) \geq C_1 G^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}(t). \tag{3.98}$$

By integrating over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \frac{dG(s)}{G^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}(s)} \geq C_1 t. \tag{3.99}$$

Therefore,

$$- \frac{2}{\gamma - 1} \left(G^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(t) - G^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(0) \right) \geq C_1 t, \tag{3.100}$$

which means that

$$G(t) \geq \left(G^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}(0) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2} C_1 t \right)^{-\frac{2}{\gamma-1}}. \tag{3.101}$$

From (3.10), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0) K_0(r) dx > 0. \tag{3.102}$$

Applying the mass conservation, we obtain

$$G(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}} (n + \rho) K_0(r) dx + \max_{r \geq r_0} K_0(r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + \rho_0) dx, \tag{3.103}$$

where \mathbb{B}_{r_0} is the 2-dimensional ball centered at the origin with any given radius r_0 .

When $t \rightarrow \frac{2G(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_1}$, $G(t)$ cannot be bounded. Thus, the solutions will blow up on or before the finite time $t \rightarrow \frac{2G(0)^{-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}}{(\gamma-1)C_1}$. Therefore, we conclude that the solutions of the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^2 will blow up. \square

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the blowup results of solutions to the two-phase model in \mathbb{R}^N . Our method depends on the energy integration method and a quasi-linear wave equation about ρ , the singularity of the two-phase model will form in finite time.

Acknowledgments

The first author is very grateful to the second and corresponding authors for their support of Beijing Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 1202006 and the Small Grant for Academic Staff (MIT/SGA08/20-21), Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, the Education University of Hong Kong.

Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, J. M. Ghidaglia, E. Grenier, Global weak solutions to a generic two-fluid model, *Arch. Ration Mech. Anal.*, **196** (2010), 599–629. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-009-0261-6>
2. C. Cercignani, R. Illner, M. Pulvirenti, *The mathematical theory of dilute gases*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.
3. D. H. Chae, E. Tadmor, On the finite time blow-up of the Euler-Poisson equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **6** (2008), 785–789. <http://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2008.v6.n3.a13>
4. D. H. Chae, S. Y. Ha, On the formation of shocks to the compressible Euler equations, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **7** (2009), 627–634. <http://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2009.v7.n3.a6>
5. G. Q. Chen, Euler equations and related hyperbolic conservation laws, In: *Handbook of differential equations: Evolutionary equations*, **2** (2005), 1–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5717\(06\)80004-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5717(06)80004-6)
6. G. Q. Chen, D. H. Wang, The Cauchy problem for the Euler equations for compressible fluids, In: *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, **1** (2002), 421–543. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5792\(02\)80012-X](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5792(02)80012-X)
7. A. Constantin, Breaking water waves, In: *Encyclopedia of mathematical physics*, Elsevier, 2006, 383–386.

8. H. B. Cui, H. Y. Wen, H. Y. Yin, Global classical solutions of viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, **36** (2013), 567–583. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.2614>
9. J. W. Dong, M. W. Yuen, Some special self-similar solutions for a model of inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **41** (2021), 114–126. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10473-021-0107-3>
10. J. W. Dong, J. H. Zhu, H. X. Xue, Blow-up of smooth solutions to the Cauchy problem for the viscous two-phase model, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **21** (2018), 20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-018-9279-z>
11. D. Einzel, Superfluids, In: *Encyclopedia of mathematical physics*, Elsevier, 2006, 115–121.
12. S. Engelberg, Formation of singularities in the Euler and Euler-Poisson equations, *Physica D*, **98** (1996), 67–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(96\)00087-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(96)00087-5)
13. F. Ferrari, S. Salsa, Regularity of the free boundary in two-phase problems for linear elliptic operators, *Adv. Math.*, **214** (2007), 288–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2007.02.004>
14. Z. H. Guo, J. Yang, L. Yao, Global strong solution for a three-dimensional viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model with vacuum, *J. Math. Phys.*, **52** (2011), 093102. <http://doi.org/10.1063/1.3638039>
15. C. C. Hao, H. L. Li, Well-posedness for a multidimensional viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **44** (2012), 1304–1332. <http://doi.org/10.1137/110851602>
16. M. Ishii, *Thermo-fluid dynamic theory of two-phase flow*, 1975.
17. J. H. Jang, N. Masmoudi, Well-posedness for compressible Euler equations with physical vacuum singularity, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **62** (2009), 1327–1385. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20285>
18. Z. Lei, Y. Du, Q. T. Zhang, Singularities of solutions to compressible Euler equations with vacuum, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **20** (2013), 41–50. <http://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2013.v20.n1.a4>
19. J. L. Liu, J. J. Wang, M. W. Yuen, Blowup for C^1 solutions of compressible Euler equations with time-dependent damping, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **19** (2021), 513–528. <https://doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2021.v19.n2.a9>
20. T. Makino, B. Perthame, Sur les solutions à symétrie sphérique de l'équation d'Euler-Poisson pour l'évolution d'étoiles gazeuses, *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, **7** (1990), 165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03167897>
21. T. Makino, S. Ukai, S. Kawashima, Sur la solution à support compact de l'équations d'Euler compressible, *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, **3** (1986), 249. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03167100>
22. B. Perthame, Non-existence of global solutions to Euler-Poisson equations for repulsive forces, *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, **7** (1990), 363–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03167849>
23. V. H. Ransom, D. L. Hicks, Hyperbolic two-pressure models for two-phase flow, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **53** (1984), 124–151. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(84\)90056-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(84)90056-1)
24. B. Schweizer, On the three-dimensional Euler equations with a free boundary subject to surface tension, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **22** (2005), 753–781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2004.11.001>
25. T. C. Sideris, Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **101** (1985), 475–485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01210741>

26. T. C. Sideris, Spreading of the free boundary of an ideal fluid in a vacuum, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **257** (2014), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.03.006>
27. D. De Seliva, F. Ferrari, S. Salsa, Regularity of the free boundary in problems with distributed sources, In: *Geometric methods in PDE's*, Springer, Cham, **13** (2015), 313–340. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02666-4_17
28. T. Suzuki, Irrotational blowup of the solution to compressible Euler equation, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **15** (2013), 617–633. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00021-012-0116-z>
29. H. Y. Wen, C. J. Zhu, Remarks on global weak solutions to a two-fluid type model, *Commun. Pure. Appl. Anal.*, **20** (2021), 2839–2856. <http://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2021072>
30. G. B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*, New York-London-Sydney: John Wiley & Sons, 1974.
31. L. Yao, T. Zhang, C. J. Zhu, Existence and asymptotic behavior of global weak solutions to a 2D viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **42** (2010), 1874–1897. <https://doi.org/10.1137/100785302>
32. L. Yao, C. J. Zhu, Free boundary value problem for a viscous two-phase model with mass-dependent viscosity, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **247** (2009), 2705–2739. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2009.07.013>
33. L. Yao, C. J. Zhu, Existence and uniqueness of global weak solution to a two-phase flow model with vacuum, *Math. Ann.*, **349** (2011), 903–928. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-010-0544-0>
34. M. W. Yuen, Blowup for irrotational C^1 solutions of the compressible Euler equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Nonlinear Anal.*, **158** (2017), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2017.04.007>
35. M. W. Yuen, Blowup for regular solutions and C^1 solutions of Euler equations in \mathbb{R}^N with a free boundary, *Eur. J. Mech.-B/Fluids*, **67** (2018), 427–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euromechflu.2017.09.017>
36. M. W. Yuen, Blowup for projected 2-dimensional rotational C^2 solutions of compressible Euler equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **21** (2019), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00021-019-0458-x>
37. Y. H. Zhang, C. J. Zhu, Global existence and optimal convergence rates for the strong solutions in H^2 to the 3D viscous liquid-gas two-phase flow model, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **258** (2015), 2315–2338. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.12.008>
38. Y. H. Zhang, Decay of the 3D inviscid liquid-gas two-phase flow model, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **67** (2016), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-016-0658-7>
39. N. Zuber, On the dispersed two-phase flow in the laminar flow regime, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **19** (1964), 897–917. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509\(64\)85067-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(64)85067-3)



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)