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#### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly focus on extension-closed subcategories of extriangulated categories. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an extension-closed subcategory. We show that if $C$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective and there is a minimal right almost split deflation in $\mathcal{X}$ ending by $C$, then there is an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle ending by $C$ which is very similar to an Auslander-Reiten triangle in $\mathcal{X}$. We also show that if the extriangulated category admits a negative first extension $\mathbb{E}^{-1}$, and $\mathcal{X}$ is self-orthogonal with respect to $\mathbb{E}^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ has an exact structure.


Keywords: extriangulated categories; extension-closed subcategories; almost split morphism; negative first extension; exact categories
Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 18G80

## 1. Introduction

Exact and triangulated categories are two important structures in category theory. Recently, Nakaoka and Palu [14] introduced the notion of extriangulated categories as a simultaneous generalization of exact categories and extension-closed subcategories of triangulated categories. After that, the study of extriangulated categories has become an active topic, and up to now, many results on exact categories and triangulated categories can be unified in the same framework.

Extension-closed subcategories form an important class of subcategories in representation theory of algebra. The existence of Auslander-Reiten sequences (resp., triangles) in extension-closed subcategories of abelian (resp., triangulated) categories is a very important topic in representation theory, for example, see [4,12] for the abelian case and [10] for the triangulated case. Jørgensen studied the Auslander-Reiten triangles in subcategories ending at non-Ext-projective objects in [11, Theorem 3.1], and Iyama, Nakaoka and Palu studied the extriangulated version in [8, Proposition 5.15]. In [6], Fedele considered minimal right almost split morphisms ending at Ext-projective objects in an extension-closed category of a triangulated category, and found something quite similar to Auslander-Reiten triangles in a suitable extension-closed subcategory. Extension-closed subcategories
admit sometimes nice categorical structures, for example, extension-closed subcategories of abelian categories are exact categories. Extension-closed subcategories of triangulated categories are not necessarily triangulated, but they are extriangulated. In [11], Jørgensen showed that an extensionclosed subcategory of a triangulated category admits an exact structure under some assumption. In [17], Zhou considered $n$-extension closed subcategories of $(n+2)$-angulated categories. Therefore, it is natural to ask whether there is a framework in the setting of extriangulated categories for these results. The aim of this paper is to prove the analogues of the triangulated results [6, Theorem A] and [11, Proposition 2.5(i)] into the extriangulated setup.

In Section 2, we recall some terminologies. In Section 3, we show that given an 5 -triangle $Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} X^{\delta}-\succ$ in $\mathcal{K}$, if $\beta$ is a minimal right almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$, and $X$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective, then: (1) $Y \notin \mathcal{X}$, (2) $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $Y$, (3) $Y$ is indecomposable. This generalizes Fedele's result [6, Theorem A]. In Section 4, let $\mathcal{K}$ be an extriangulated category admitting a negative first extension $\mathbb{E}^{-1}$. In general, extension-closed subcategories of extriangulated categories are still extriangulated, but not necessarily exact. We show that if $\mathcal{X}$ is an extension-closed subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})=0$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is an exact category, which generalizes Jørgensen's result [11, Proposition 2.5(i)].

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall some notions from [14].
In this section, $\mathcal{K}$ is an additive category and $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} b$ is a biadditive functor, where $\mathfrak{A} b$ is the category of abelian groups.

Let $A, C \in \mathcal{K}$. An element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-extension. Two sequences of morphisms

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \text { and } A \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C
$$

in $\mathcal{K}$ are said to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $b \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ such that $x^{\prime}=b x$ and $y=y^{\prime} b$. We denote by $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ ] the equivalence class of $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$. In particular, we write $0:=\left[A \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{l}\left(\mathrm{id}_{A}\right) \\ 0\end{array} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{\left(0 \mathrm{id}_{C}\right)} C\right] . . . . . . . . . ~}\right.$

For an $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, we briefly write

$$
a_{\star} \delta:=\mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta) \text { and } c^{\star} \delta:=\mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta) .
$$

For two $\mathbb{E}$-extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$, a morphism from $\delta$ to $\delta^{\prime}$ is a pair $(a, c)$ of morphisms with $a \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$ and $c \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ such that $a_{\star} \delta=c^{\star} \delta^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.1. ( [14, Definition 2.9]) Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ to each $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. Such $\mathfrak{s}$ is called a realization of $\mathbb{E}$ provided that it satisfies the following condition.
(R) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \text { and } \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Then for any morphism $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$, there exists $b \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$ such that the following diagram

commutes.
Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a realization of $\mathbb{E}$. If $\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ for some $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, then one says that the sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes $\delta$; and in the condition $(\mathrm{R})$, the triple $(a, b, c)$ realizes the morphism (a,c).

For any two equivalence classes $\left[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\right.$ ] and $\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right.$ ], we define

$$
[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \oplus\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right]:=\left[A \oplus A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x \oplus x^{\prime}} B \oplus B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y \oplus y^{\prime}} C \oplus C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Definition 2.2. ( [14, Definition 2.10]) A realization $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is called additive if it satisfies the following conditions.
(1) For any $A, C \in \mathcal{K}$, the split $\mathbb{E}$-extension $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(0)=0$.
(2) For any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\mathfrak{s}\left(\delta \oplus \delta^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{s}(\delta) \oplus \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 2.3. ( $[14$, Definition 2.12]) The triple $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is called an externally triangulated (or extriangulated for short) category if it satisfies the following conditions.
(ET1) $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{K}^{\text {op }} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} b$ is a biadditive functor.
(ET2) $\mathfrak{s}$ is an additive realization of $\mathbb{E}$.
(ET3) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{E}\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \text { and } \mathfrak{s}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\left[A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime}\right] .
$$

For any commutative diagram

in $\mathcal{K}$, there exists a morphism $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$ which is realized by the triple $(a, b, c)$.
(ET3) ${ }^{\mathrm{op}}$ Dual of (ET3).
(ET4) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{E}(F, B)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\delta)=[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \text { and } \mathfrak{s}(\rho)=[B \xrightarrow{u} D \xrightarrow{v} F] .
$$

Then there exist an object $E \in \mathcal{K}$, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\xi$ with $\mathfrak{s}(\xi)=[A \xrightarrow{z} D \xrightarrow{w} E]$, and a commutative diagram

in $\mathcal{K}$, which satisfy the following compatibilities.
(i) $\mathfrak{s}\left(y_{\star} \rho\right)=[C \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{t} F]$.
(ii) $s^{\star} \xi=\delta$.
(iii) $x_{\star} \xi=t^{\star} \rho$.
(ET4) ${ }^{\text {op }}$ Dual of (ET4).
Definition 2.4. ( [14, Definitions 2.15, 2.17 and 2.19]) Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an extriangulated category.
(1) A sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is called a conflation if it realizes some $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. In this case, $x$ is called an inflation and $y$ is called a deflation.
(2) If a conflation $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ in $\mathcal{K}$ realizes $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, the pair $(A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta)$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle (or $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle, extriangle), and write it in the following way:

$$
A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \rightarrow .
$$

We usually do not write this " $\delta$ " if it is not used in the argument.
(3) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ and $A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}}>$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If a triplet $(a, b, c)$ realizes $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta^{\prime}$, then we write it as

and call $(a, b, c)$ a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles.
If $a, b, c$ above are isomorphisms, then $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ and $A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x^{\prime}} B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}}$ are said to be isomorphic.
(4) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a full additive subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$, closed under isomorphisms. The subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be extension-closed (or equivalently, closed under extensions) if, for any conflation $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ which satisfies $A, C \in \mathcal{X}$, then $B \in \mathcal{X}$.

Example 2.5. Both exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories (see [14, Proposition 3.22]) and extension closed subcategories of extriangulated categories are again extriangulated (see [14, Remark 2.18]). Moreover, there exist extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories, see [14, Proposition 3.30]. For more examples, see also [7, Theorem 1.2], [16, Example 2.8], [18, Corollary 4.12 and Remark 4.13].

## Condition (WIC):

(1) Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(A, B), g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $g f$ is an inflation, then so is $f$.
(2) Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(A, B), g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If $g f$ is a deflation, then so is $g$.

## 3. Envelopes and almost split morphisms in extension-closed subcategories

Assume that $k$ is a field, and $\mathcal{K}$ a skeletally small $k$-linear Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt extriangulated category satisfying Condition (WIC). All subcategories are full, and closed under isomorphisms and direct summands.

Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is an additive subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$ which is closed under extensions. We will investigate minimal right almost split deflations in $\mathcal{X}$, and give a relation between minimal right almost split deflations of $\mathcal{X}$-projective objects and $\mathcal{X}$-envelopes in an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle which generalizes [ 6 , Theorem A$]$. The results follow closely part of Sections 2 and 3 from [6] and the proofs also follow by very similar arguments.

Definition 3.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{X}$.
(1) A morphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is called right almost split in $\mathcal{X}$ if $\alpha$ is not a split epimorphism, and for any $C \in \mathcal{X}$, any morphism $\beta: C \rightarrow B$ which is not a split epimorphism factors through $\alpha$. Dually, the notion of left almost split morphisms is given.
(2) A morphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is called minimal right almost split in $\mathcal{X}$ if it is both right minimal and right almost split in $\mathcal{X}$. A minimal left almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$ is defined dually.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\beta: B \rightarrow C$ be a right almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$. Then $C$ is indecomposable. Moreover, if $\beta$ is right minimal and $\beta^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ is a minimal right almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$, then there is an isomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ such that $\beta=\beta^{\prime} \varphi$.
Proof. The proof follows from the same argument of [6, Proposition 2.7].

Definition 3.3. An object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is called $\mathcal{X}$-injective if $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}, X)=0$. An object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is called $\mathcal{X}$-projective if $\mathbb{E}(X, \mathcal{X})=0$.
Lemma 3.4. ([6, Lemma 3.4]) Assume that $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \rightarrow B$ is right minimal in $\mathcal{K}$. Then $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are right minimal.
Lemma 3.5. Let $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ be an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle in $\mathcal{K}$. Then
(1) $\beta \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{K}}(B, C)$ if and only if $\alpha$ is left minimal.
(2) $\alpha \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{K}}(A, B)$ if and only if $\beta$ is right minimal.

Proof. (1) By [14, Proposition 3.3], there is an exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(C, B) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta, B)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(B, B) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha, B)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(A, B) .
$$

Then the result holds by the dual of [9, Lemma 1.1].
(2) is similar.

Lemma 3.6. Let $X \in X$ be indecomposable $X$-projective, and $Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} X \xrightarrow{\delta}$ be a non-split $\mathfrak{s -}$ triangle with $X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $Y$.

Moreover, if $\beta$ is right minimal, then $Y$ is indecomposable.
Proof. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(-, \mathcal{X})$ to the given $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle, we have an exact sequence

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha, \mathcal{X})} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(Y, \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(X, \mathcal{X}) .
$$

Since $X$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective, $\mathbb{E}(X, \mathcal{X})=0$, and hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha, \mathcal{X})$ is epic. This shows that $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$ preenvelope of $Y$.

Since $\mathcal{K}$ is Krull-Schmidt, we can write $X^{\prime}=X_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{t}^{\prime}$, where $X_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, X_{t}^{\prime}$ are indecomposable, and write $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{t}\right)$, where $\beta_{i}: X_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow X, i=1, \cdots, t$. Since $\delta \neq 0, \beta$ is not a split epimorphism, and hence each $\beta_{i}$ is not a split epimorphism. By [3, Appendix, Proposition 3.5], each $\beta_{i} \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}, X\right)$. Thus $\beta \in \operatorname{rad}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$ by [3, Appendix, Lemma 3.4]. By Lemma 3.5(1), $\alpha$ is left minimal, and hence $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $Y$.

Now let $\beta$ be right minimal, and let $Y=Y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_{r}$, where each $Y_{i}$ is indecomposable. Let inc : $Y_{i} \rightarrow Y$ be the inclusion. For any $X^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{X}$, and any $g: Y_{i} \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$, consider the diagram


Then there exists $\bar{g}: Y \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ such that $g=\bar{g} \circ$ inc. Since $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $Y$, there is $h: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ with $\bar{g}=h \alpha$. Thus $g=\bar{g} \circ$ inc $=h \circ \alpha \circ$ inc, which shows that $\alpha \circ$ inc : $Y_{i} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-preenvelope of $Y_{i}$. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is Krull-Schmidt, there exists an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope $\alpha_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$. Thus we get an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope $\oplus_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i}: \oplus_{i=1}^{r} Y_{i} \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{r} X_{i}$. But $\mathcal{X}$-envelopes are unique up to isomorphisms, so we may assume that $\alpha=\oplus_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i}$ and $X^{\prime}=\oplus_{i=1}^{r} X_{i}$. Note that since each $\alpha_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope, we have a commutative diagram


Then $\alpha \circ$ inc is an inflation implies that $\alpha_{i}$ is an inflation by Condition (WIC). Thus there exists an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle $Y_{i} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i}} X_{i} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{i}} Z_{i} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}}$. Consider the following diagram

where the left square is commutative. By (ET3), there exists $w_{i}: Z_{i} \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram

commutates. Therefore, we get a commutative diagram


By [14, Corollary 3.6], $X \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{t} Z_{i}$. But $X$ is indecomposable, so we may assume that $X \cong Z_{1}$, and $Z_{i}=0$ for $i \neq 1$. This shows that $w_{i}=\gamma_{i}=0$ and $\alpha_{i}$ is an isomorphism for $i \neq 1$.

Now suppose that $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{r}\right)$ is right minimal, by Lemma 3.4, each $\beta_{i}$ is right minimal. On the other hand, for $i \neq 1, \beta_{i}=\beta \circ$ inc $=w_{i} \gamma_{i}=0$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta \circ 0=0=\beta=\beta \circ 1_{B_{i}}, \forall i \neq 1 & \Rightarrow 0=1_{B_{i}}, \forall i \neq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow X_{i}=0, \forall i \neq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow Y_{i}=0, \forall i \neq 1 \\
& \Rightarrow Y=Y_{1} \text { is indecomposable. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} X^{\delta} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\succ}$ be an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle in $\mathcal{K}$. Assume that $\beta$ is a minimal right almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$, and $X$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective. Then
(i) (1) $Y \notin \mathcal{X}$,
(2) $\alpha$ is an $X$-envelope of $Y$,
(3) $Y$ is indecomposable.
(ii) If there is an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle $\widetilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\alpha}} \widetilde{X^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\beta}} \widetilde{X} \stackrel{\widetilde{\delta}}{>}$ such that $\widetilde{\beta}$ is a minimal right almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective, then $X \cong \widetilde{X}$ if and only if $Y \cong \widetilde{Y}$.
Proof. (i) Since $\beta$ is a right almost split morphism in $X, X$ is indecomposable by Lemma 3.2. Now assume $Y \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $X$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective, we have $\mathbb{E}(X, Y)=0$, and hence $\delta=0$. Thus $\beta$ is a split epimorphism, which is a contradiction. Hence $Y \notin \mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 3.6, $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $Y$. Moreover, since $\beta$ is right minimal, $Y$ is indecomposable by Lemma 3.6.
(ii) Using (i), we have that $\widetilde{Y} \notin \mathcal{X}, \widetilde{Y}$ is indecomposable, and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope of $\widetilde{Y}$.

The "only if" part. Assume that $X \cong \widetilde{X}$, that is, there is an isomorphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$. Then $\widetilde{\beta}: \widetilde{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ and $\varphi \beta: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ are minimal right almost split morphisms. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an isomorphism $\psi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \widetilde{X^{\prime}}$ such that $\varphi \beta=\widetilde{\beta} \psi$. Then we get the following commutative diagram


By [14, Corollary 3.6], $\phi$ is an isomorphism, and hence $Y \cong \widetilde{Y}$.
The "if" part. Assume $Y \cong \widetilde{Y}$, that is, there is an isomorphism $\phi: Y \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}$. Then $\alpha$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} \phi$ are $X$-envelopes of $Y$. Then $X^{\prime} \cong \widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ since envelopes are unique up to isomorphisms. That is, there exists an isomorphism $\psi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha} \phi=\psi \alpha$. Then we have the following commutative diagram


By [14, Corollary 3.6], $\varphi$ is an isomorphism, and hence $X \cong \widetilde{X}$.
Example 3.8. Let $\Lambda=k Q$ be a finite-dimensional hereditary path algebra over an algebraically closed field $k$, where $Q$ is the quiver

$$
1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3 .
$$

Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\Lambda$ is as follows ( [3, Chapter IV, Example 4.10]):

where the symbol $[M]$ denotes the isomorphism class of a module $M$, and
(1) $S_{1}=(k \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow 0), S_{2}=(0 \leftarrow k \leftarrow 0)$ and $S_{3}=(0 \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow k)$ are all simple modules;
(2) $P_{1}=S_{1}, P_{2}=(k \leftarrow k \leftarrow 0)$ and $P_{3}=(k \leftarrow k \leftarrow k)$ are all indecomposable projective modules;
(3) $I_{1}=P_{3}, I_{2}=(0 \leftarrow k \leftarrow k)$ and $I_{3}=S_{3}$ are all indecomposable injective modules.

Let $\mathcal{K}=\bmod \Lambda$ be the category of finitely generated left $\Lambda$-modules. Then $\bmod \Lambda$ is an extriangulated category with $\mathbb{E}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}$. Set $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{add}\left(I_{2} \oplus S_{3}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under extensions. Clearly, there is an Auslander-Reiten sequence $0 \rightarrow S_{2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_{2} \xrightarrow{\beta} I_{3} \rightarrow 0$ in mod $\Lambda$. In particular, $\beta$ is a minimal right almost split morphism. Moreover, since $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}\left(S_{3}, I_{2} \oplus S_{3}\right) \cong D \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(I_{2} \oplus S_{3}, \tau S_{3}\right)=D \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(I_{2} \oplus S_{3}, S_{2}\right)=0$, we have that $S_{3}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective. By Theorem 3.7, $\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-envelope.

Remark 3.9. (1) An $\mathfrak{s - t r i a n g l e}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ of the form $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \stackrel{\delta}{\succ}$ with $A, B, C \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be an Auslander-Reiten $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle in $\mathcal{X}$ if
(i) $\delta \neq 0$,
(ii) $\alpha$ is left almost split in $\mathcal{X}$,
(iii) $\beta$ is right almost split in $\mathcal{X}$.

Clearly, the $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle given in Theorem 3.7 is not Auslander-Reiten $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle in $\mathcal{X}$ since the starting object is not in $X$ at least.
(2) Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, [1] the shift functor, and $\mathbb{E}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-,-[1])$. Then Theorem 3.7 recovers [6, Theorem A].
(3) Dual to Theorem 3.7, we have the following result: Let $Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\beta} X \xrightarrow{\delta}$ be an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle in $\mathcal{K}$. Assume that $\alpha$ is a minimal left almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$, and $Y$ is $\mathcal{X}$-injective. Then
(i) (a) $X \notin \mathcal{X}$,
(b) $\beta$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-cover of $X$,
(c) $X$ is indecomposable.
(ii) If there is an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle $\widetilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\alpha}} \widetilde{X^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\beta}} \widetilde{X} \stackrel{\widetilde{\sigma}}{\rightarrow}$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is a minimal left almost split morphism in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-injective, then $Y \cong \widetilde{Y}$ if and only if $X \cong \widetilde{X}$.

## 4. An exact structure of extension-closed subcategories

Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is an extriangulated category, and $\mathcal{X}$ be an extension-closed subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$. By [14, Remark 2.18], $\mathcal{X}$ is also an extriangulated category. In this section, we will show that $\mathcal{X}$ has an exact structure under some assumptions, which generalizes [11, Proposition 2.5]. The results in this section follow closely part of Section 2 from [11] and that the proofs also follow by very similar arguments. An important difference is that in our setup one has to add the assumption that the extriangulated category has a negative first extension in order to obtain the needed exact sequences in our arguments, while in [11] these exact sequences come for free from the triangulated structure.

Definition 4.1. A homotopy cartesian square in $\mathcal{K}$ is a commutative diagram

in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $A \xrightarrow{\binom{x}{y}} B \oplus C \xrightarrow{(-v, u)} D$ is a conflation.
Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an additive subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$. We set

$$
\mathcal{E}_{X}:=\left\{\text { conflations } X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{3} \text { in } \mathcal{K} \text { with } X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \in \mathcal{X}\right\} .
$$

Definition 4.2. ( [1, Definition 2.3]) A negative first extension structure on $\mathcal{K}$ consists of the following data:
(NE1) $\mathbb{E}^{-1}: \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A b$ is an additive bifunctor.
(NE2) For each $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, there exist two natural transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\sharp}^{-1}: \mathbb{E}^{-1}(-, C) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(-, A) \\
\delta_{-1}^{\sharp}: \mathbb{E}^{-1}(A,-) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(C,--)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that for each $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ and each $W \in \mathcal{K}$, two sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{-1}(W, A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}(W, f)} \mathbb{E}^{-1}(W, B) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}(W, g)} \mathbb{E}^{-1}(W, C) \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\sharp}^{-1}\right)_{W}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(W, A) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(W, f)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(W, B) \\
\mathbb{E}^{-1}(C, W) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}(g, W)} \mathbb{E}^{-1}(B, W) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}^{-1}(f, W)} \mathbb{E}^{-1}(A, W) \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{-1}^{\sharp}\right)_{W}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(C, W) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(g, W)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(B, W)
\end{aligned}
$$

are exact.

In this case, we call $\left(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{E}^{-1}\right)$ an extriangulated category with a negative first extension.
In what follows, we assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is an extriangulated category with a negative first extension $\mathbb{E}^{-1}$, and $\mathcal{X}$ is an additive subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$ which is closed under extensions and $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})=0$.

Lemma 4.3. Each conflation $X_{1} \xrightarrow{f} X_{2} \xrightarrow{g} X_{3}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{X}$ is a kernel-cokernel pair in $\mathcal{X}$.
Proof. For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, we have exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X, X_{3}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(X, f)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{3}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X_{1}, X\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{3}, X\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(g, X)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{2}, X\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{1}, X\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X, X_{3}\right)=0=\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X_{1}, X\right)$. Thus the sequence (4.1) implies that $f$ is a kernel of $g$, and the sequence (4.2) implies that $g$ is a cokernel of $f$. Thus $X_{1} \xrightarrow{f} X_{2} \xrightarrow{g} X_{3}$ is a kernel-cokernel pair in $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 4.4. Assume that the following diagram

is a homotopy cartesian square with each $X_{i} \in \mathcal{X}$. Then it is a pullback and a pushout in $\mathcal{X}$.
Proof. By definition, there is an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle $X_{1} \xrightarrow{\binom{x}{y}} X_{2} \oplus X_{3} \xrightarrow{(-v, u)} X_{4}-\stackrel{\delta}{-}$. For any $X \in X$, there are exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X, X_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{2} \oplus X_{3}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X, X_{4}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X_{1}, X\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{4}, X\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{2} \oplus X_{3}, X\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\left(X_{1}, X\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, $\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X, X_{4}\right)=0=\mathbb{E}^{-1}\left(X_{1}, X\right)$. Thus the sequence (4.3) implies that the given diagram is a pullback in $\mathcal{X}$, and the sequence (4.4) implies that the given diagram is a pushout in $\mathcal{X}$.

We recall the definition of exact categories from [5, Definition 2.1]. As proven by Bühler, it is equivalent to the original definition by Quillen from [15, Section 2]. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an additive category. A kernel-cokernel pair $(i, p)$ in $\mathfrak{C}$ is a pair of composable morphisms $A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} C$ such that $i$ is a kernel of $p$ and $p$ is a cokernel of $i$. If a class $\mathcal{E}$ of kernel-cokernel pairs on $\mathfrak{C}$ is fixed, a morphism $i$ is called an inflation if there is a morphism $p$ with $(i, p) \in \mathcal{E}$. Deflations are defined dually.

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an additive category. An exact structure on $\mathfrak{C}$ is a class $\mathcal{E}$ of kernel-cokernel pairs which is closed under isomorphisms and satisfies the following axioms:
[E0] $\forall A \in \mathfrak{C}, 1_{A}$ is an inflation.
$\left[\mathrm{E} 0^{\mathrm{op}}\right] \forall A \in \mathfrak{C}, 1_{A}$ is a deflation.
[E1] The class of inflations is closed under composition.
[ $\left.E 1^{\mathrm{op}}\right]$ The class of deflations is closed under composition.
[E2] The pushout of an inflation along an arbitrary morphism exists and yields an inflation.
[ $\mathrm{E} 2^{\mathrm{op}}$ ] The pullback of a deflation along an arbitrary morphism exists and yields a deflation.
An exact category is a pair $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{E})$ consisting of an additive category $\mathfrak{C}$ and an exact structure $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathfrak{C}$.
Theorem 4.5. $\left(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}_{X}\right)$ is an exact category.
Proof. We will check [E0], [E1], [E2] and their dual.
[E0] and [E0 $\left.0^{\text {op }}\right]:$ For each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, there are $\mathfrak{s}$-triangles $X \xrightarrow{1_{X}} X \rightarrow 0{ }^{0}$ and $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{1_{X}} X \xrightarrow{0}$. Clearly, $X \xrightarrow{1_{X}} X \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{1_{X}} X$ belong to $\mathcal{E}_{X}$. Thus $1_{A}$ is an inflation and a deflation.
[E1]: Let $x: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $y: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ be two inflations in $\mathcal{X}$. Then there exist two conflations $X_{0} \xrightarrow{x} X_{1} \rightarrow X_{3}$ and $X_{1} \xrightarrow{y} X_{2} \rightarrow X_{4}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{X}$. By (ET4), we have a commutative diagram

where all rows and columns are conflations. Since $X_{3}, X_{4} \in \mathcal{X}$, we have $X_{5} \in \mathcal{X}$, and hence $X_{0} \xrightarrow{y x} X_{2} \rightarrow X_{5} \in \mathcal{E}_{X}$. Thus $y x$ is an inflation in $\mathcal{X}$. That is, inflations in $\mathcal{X}$ are closed under compositions.
[ $\left.\mathrm{E} 1^{\mathrm{op}}\right]$ : Dual to [E1].
[E2]: Given a diagram

in $\mathcal{X}$, where $x$ is an inflation. Then there is an $\mathfrak{s}$-triangle $X_{1} \xrightarrow{x} X_{2} \rightarrow X_{4} \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow}$ with $X_{4} \in \mathcal{X}$. By [13, Proposition 1.20], there exists a morphism $\varphi: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{5}$ such that there is a morphism of $\mathfrak{s}$-triangles

and meanwhile, there is a conflation $X_{1} \xrightarrow{\binom{x}{0}} X_{2} \oplus X_{3} \xrightarrow{(-\varphi, \phi)} X_{5}$. That is, the diagram

is a homotopy cartesian diagram. Moreover, since $X_{3}, X_{4} \in \mathcal{X}$, we have $X_{5} \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus by Lemma 4.4, this homotopy cartesian diagram is a pushout in $\mathcal{X}$, and $\phi$ is an inflation in $\mathcal{X}$.
[ $\left.\mathrm{E} 2^{\text {op }}\right]$ Dual to [E2].
Remark 4.6. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, [1] the shift functor, $\mathbb{E}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-,-[1])$, and $\mathbb{E}^{-1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-[1],-)$. Then Theorem 4.5 recovers [11, Proposition 2.5(1)].

## 5. Conclusions

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an extension-closed subcategory of an extriangulated category $\mathcal{K}$. We show that if $C$ is $\mathcal{X}$-projective and there is a minimal right almost split deflation in $\mathcal{X}$ ending by $C$, then there is an $\mathfrak{s}$ triangle ending by $C$ which is very similar to an Auslander-Reiten triangle in $\mathcal{X}$, and it generalizes [6, Theorem A$]$. We also show that if $\mathcal{K}$ admits a negative first extension $\mathbb{E}^{-1}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})=0$, then the subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ has an exact structure which generalizes [11, Proposition 2.5(i)].
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