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Abstract: In this paper, we consider the following magnetic Laplace nonlinear Choquard equation

$$
-\Delta_{A} u+V(x) u=\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) \frac{f(|u|)}{|u|} u, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow C, A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a vector potential, $N \geq 3, \alpha \in(N-2, N), V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar potential function and $I_{\alpha}$ is a Riesz potential of order $\alpha \in(N-2, N)$. Under certain assumptions on $A(x), V(x)$ and $f(t)$, we prove that the equation has at least a ground state solution by variational methods.
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## 1. Introduction

In this article, we study the following magnetic Laplace nonlinear Choquard equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{A} u+V(x) u=\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) \frac{f(|u|)}{|u|} u, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{A} u:=(\nabla-i A)^{2} u$ is the magnetic Laplace operator. Here $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow C, A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a vector magnetic potential, $N \geq 3, F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) \mathrm{d} s, V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar potential function and $I_{\alpha}$ is a Riesz potential whose order is $\alpha \in(N-2, N)$ defined by $I_{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^{\alpha}|x| N^{N-\alpha}}$, where $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. $V(x): \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, bounded potential function satisfying:
(V1) $\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)>0$,
(V2) there exist a constant $V_{\infty}>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
0<V(x) \leq \liminf _{|y| \rightarrow+\infty} V(y)=V_{\infty}<+\infty .
$$

We also suppose $A$ satisfies:
(A1) $\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} A(x)=A_{\infty}$,
(A2) $A \in L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), v>N \geq 3$,
(AV) $|A(y)|^{2}+V(y)<\left|A_{\infty}\right|^{2}+V_{\infty}$.
Moreover, we assume that the function $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ verifies:
(f1) $f(t)=o\left(t^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$,
(f2) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}}=0$,
$(f 3) \frac{f(t)}{t}$ is increasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and decreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$.
(f4) $f(t)$ is increasing on $\mathbb{R}$.
It should be noted that there is a lot of literature on the competition phenomena for elliptic equations without magnetic potential in different situations, i.e. $A \equiv 0$. Actually, when $A \equiv 0$ it conduces to the Choquard equation. There is a huge collections of articles on the subject and some good reviews of the Choquard equation can be found in [1-9].

On the other hand, there are works concerning the following Schrödinger equations with magnetic field recently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{A} u+V(x) u=|u|^{p-2} u, \text { in } \Omega \subset R^{N}, N \geq 2 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u: \Omega \rightarrow C,-\Delta_{A} u:=(-i \nabla+A)^{2} u, 2<p \leq 2^{*}$, where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $2^{*}=\infty$ if $N=1$ or 2. Besides, $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $V: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth.

To the best of our knowledge, the first paper in which problem (1.2) has been studied maybe Esteban-Lions [10]. They have used the concentration-compactness principle and minimization arguments to prove the existence of solutions for $N=2$ and $N=3$. More recently, applying constrained minimization and a minimax-type argument, Arioli-Szulkin [11] considered the equation in a magnetic filed. They established the existence of nontrivial solutions both in the critical and in the subcritical case, provided that some technical conditions relating to $A$ and $V$ were assumed. We also refer to $[12,13]$ for other results related to problem (1.1) in the presence of the magnetic field when the nonlinearity has a subcritical growth. Besides, we must mention the works [14,15] for the critical case and also refer to the recent papers [16-18] for the study of various classes of PDEs with magnetic potential.

Inspired by the above works, we want to research the Eq (1.2) with general convolution term as the right-hand side, i.e. Eq (1.1). Our aim of this paper is to prove the existence of a ground state solution for problem (1.1), that is a nontrivial solution with minimal energy.

Notice that if we define

$$
\tilde{f}(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(t)}{t}, & t \neq 0, \\
0, & t=0,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

our assumptions assure that $\tilde{f}(t)$ is continuous. Therefore, $\mathrm{Eq}(1.1)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{A} u+V(x) u=\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) \tilde{f}(|u|) u . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of problem (1.3) generalizes the term $\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} *|u|^{p}\right)|u|^{p-2} u$, which was studied by Cingolani, Clapp and Secchi in [19]. Similar problems were also studied in [20-22]. Especially, it is worth mentioning that in [23], the authors obtained the ground state solution of the following Eq (1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(\nabla+i A)^{2} u+V(x) u=\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} * F(|u|)\right) \frac{f(|u|)}{|u|} u, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(\nabla+i A)^{2} u+V(x) u=\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} * F(|u|)\right) \tilde{f}(|u|) u . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

They considered the "limit problem" of problem (1.4), then by the splitting lemma, they proved that (1.4) has at least a ground state solution. In our paper, we improve the growth condition of $f$ to the critical case, and generalizes the convolution term to a more general case. Most importantly, we get the ground state solution in a more straightforward way which is completely different from [23].

Our main result is as follows:
Theorem 1.1. If $\alpha \in(N-2, N)$, (A1), (A2), (V1), (V2), (AV) are valid, and $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ verifies (f1)-(f3), then problem(1.1) has at least a ground state solution.

Now we define $\nabla_{A} u=-i \nabla u-A u$ and consider the space

$$
H_{A, V}^{1}=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right): \nabla_{A} u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)\right\}
$$

equipped with scalar product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{A, V}=\mathfrak{R} e \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla_{A} u \cdot \overline{\nabla_{A} v}+V(x) u \bar{v}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Therefore

$$
\|u\|_{A, V}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla_{A} u\right|^{2}+V(x)|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

which is an equivalent norm to the norm obtained by considering $V \equiv 1$, see [6].
Hereafter for the convenience of narration, we will use the following notations:

- $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(1 \leq r<\infty)$ denotes the Lebesgue space in which the norm is defined as follows

$$
|u|_{r}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{v}}|u|^{r} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / r},
$$

- $C, C_{\varepsilon}, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive constants which are possibly different in different lines.


## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some very important inequalities and lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. [10] Assume $u \in H_{A, V}^{1}$, then $|u| \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and the diamagnetic inequality holds $|\nabla| u|(x)| \leq$ $\left|\nabla_{A} u(x)\right|$.
Remark 2.2. It is well known that the embedding $H_{A, V}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)$ is continuous for $r \in\left[1,2^{*}\right]$.
Lemma 2.3. Assume (f1)-(f4) hold, then we have
(1) for all $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{\frac{\alpha}{N}}+C_{\varepsilon}|t|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}$ and $|F(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}+C_{\varepsilon}|t|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}$,
(2) for all $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for every $p \in\left(2,2^{*}\right),|F(t)| \leq \varepsilon\left(|t|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}+|t|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)+$ $C_{\varepsilon}|t|^{\frac{p(N+\alpha)}{2 N}}$, and $|F(t)|^{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon\left(|t|^{2}+|t|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\right)+C_{\varepsilon}|t|^{p}$,
(3) for any $s \neq 0, s f(s)>2 F(s)$ and $F(s)>0$.

Proof. One can easily obtain the results by elementary calculation.ם
Lemma 2.4. [23] Let $O \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be any open set, for $1<p<\infty$, and $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{p}(O, C)$ such that $f_{n}(x) \rightharpoonup f(x)$ a.e., then $f_{n}(x) \rightharpoonup f(x)$.
Lemma 2.5. [23] Suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)$, and $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{0}(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then $I_{\alpha} *$ $F\left(\left|u_{n}(x)\right|\right) \rightharpoonup I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{0}(x)\right|\right)$ in $L^{\frac{2 N}{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Corollary 2.6. Suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)$, then $\mathfrak{R e} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) \tilde{f}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) u_{n} \bar{\varphi} \rightarrow \Re e \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} I_{\alpha} *$ $F\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right) \tilde{f}\left(\left|u_{0}\right|\right) u_{0} \bar{\varphi}$ for $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)$.
Lemma 2.7. (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [6]). Let $0<\alpha<N, p, q>1$ and $1 \leq r<s<$ $\infty$ be such that

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1+\frac{\alpha}{N}, \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s}=\frac{\alpha}{N}
$$

(1) For any $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $g \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, one has

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f(x) g(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right| \leq C(N, \alpha, p)\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

(2) For any $f \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ one has

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{N-\alpha}} * f\right\|_{L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C(N, \alpha, r)\|f\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
$$

Remark 2.8. By Lemma 2.3 (1), Lemma 2.7 (1) and Sobolev imbedding theorem, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(u)\right) F(u) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq C|F(u)|_{\frac{2 N}{N+\alpha}}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}+|u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{(2 N}{N+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad \leq C\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|u|^{2}+|u|^{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\|u\|_{A, V}^{\frac{2 N+2 \alpha}{N}}+\|u\|_{A, V}^{\frac{2 N+2 \alpha}{N-2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Variational formulation

The energy functional associated to problem (1.1) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A, V}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u\right|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) F(|u|) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of the energy functional $J_{A, V}(u)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\langle u, \varphi\rangle_{A, V}-\Re e \int_{\mathbb{R}^{V}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) \tilde{f}(|u|) u \bar{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u\right|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|u|)\right) f(|u|)|u| \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can prove the following results.
Lemma 3.1. The functional $J_{A, V}$ possesses the mountain-pass geometry, that is
(1) there exist $\rho, \delta>0$ such that $J_{A, V} \geq \delta$ for all $\|u\|=\rho$;
(2) for any $u \in H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right) \backslash\{0\}$, there exist $\tau \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $\|\tau u\|>\rho$ and $J_{A, V}(\tau u)<0$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.7 (1) and Lemma 2.3, one can get

$$
J_{A, V}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{A, V}^{2}-C\left(\|u\|_{A, V}^{\frac{2 N+2 \alpha}{N}}+\|u\|_{A, V}^{\frac{2 N+2 \alpha}{N+2}}\right) .
$$

Thus there exist $\rho, \delta>0$ such that $J_{A, V} \geq \delta$ for all $\|u\|=\rho>0$ small enough.
(2) For any fixed $u_{0} \in H_{A, V}^{1} \backslash\{0\}$, consider the function $g_{u_{0}}(t):(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{u_{0}}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right)\right) F\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{u_{0}}^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right)\right) f\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right) \frac{\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\frac{4}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{2}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right)\right) \frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}}\right) \frac{t\left|u_{0}\right|}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{3.5}\\
& \geq \frac{4}{t} g_{u_{0}}(t)>0,(t>0) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\left.\quad \operatorname{lng}_{u_{0}}(t)\right|_{1} ^{\tau\left\|u_{0}\right\| \|_{, V}} \geq\left. 4 \operatorname{lnt}\right|_{1} ^{\tau \mid u_{0} \|_{A, V}}$. So $\frac{g_{u_{0}}\left(\tau\| \|_{u} \|_{A, V}\right)}{g_{u_{0}}(1)} \geq\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}\right)^{4}$ which implies that $g_{u_{0}}\left(\tau\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}\right) \geq M\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}\right)^{4}$ for a constant $M>0$. Then we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A, V}\left(\tau u_{0}\right)=\frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}^{2}-g_{u_{0}}\left(\tau\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{A, V}\right) \leq C_{1} \tau^{2}-C_{2} \tau^{4} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields that $J_{A, V}\left(\tau u_{0}\right)<0$ when $\tau$ is large enough. $\square$
Hence we can define the mountain-pass level of $J_{A, V}$ :

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{A, V}(\gamma(t))>0,
$$

where: $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right)\right): \gamma(0)=0, J_{A, V}(\gamma(1))<0\right\}$.
Now we recall the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}:=\left\{u \in H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Let $c_{\alpha}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} J_{A, V}(u)$, Moreover by the similar argument as Chapter 4 [24], we have the following characterization

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{A, V}(\gamma(t))=c_{\alpha}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} J_{A, V}(u)=c^{*}=\inf _{\left.\left.u \in H_{A, V}^{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right) \backslash \backslash 0\right\}} \max _{t \geq 0} J_{A, V}(t u) .
$$

Remark 3.2. If we set $\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}\|t u\|_{A, V}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F(|t u|)\right) F(|t u|) \mathrm{d} x$, the proof of Lemma 3.1 assures that $\Phi(t)>0$ for $t$ small enough, and $\Phi(t)<0$ for $t$ large enough. Besides $g_{u}^{\prime}(t)>0$ if $t>0$, we can get that $\max _{t \geq 0} \Phi(t)$ is achieved at a unique $t_{u}>0$. Furthermore, $\Phi^{\prime}\left(t_{u}\right)=0$ implies that $t_{u} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ and the map $u \rightarrow t_{u}(u \neq 0)$ is continuous.

## 4. Ground state solution for problem (1.1)

In this section, we prove the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be minimizing sequence given as a consequence of Lemma 3.1 i.e. $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \quad \subset \quad H_{A, V}^{1}$ such that $J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad 0, J_{A, V}\left(u_{n}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad c$, where $c=c_{\alpha}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}} J_{A, V}(u)=c^{*}=\inf _{u \in H_{A, V}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, C\right) \backslash\{0\}} \max _{\geq 0} J_{A, V}(t u)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\alpha}+o(1) & =J_{A, V}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right)\left[f\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\left|u_{n}\right|-2 F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.1}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{A, V}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Then by standard methods we can get the convergence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$.
Next, let $\delta:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$. We claim $\delta>0$. On the contrary, by Lions' concentration compactness principle, we have $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $2<p<2^{*}$. By Lemma 2.3(2), for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right) f\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq C \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left\lvert\, u_{n} \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{d} x)+C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\
& \leq C\left[\varepsilon C_{1}+C_{\varepsilon} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right]^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} \\
& =C\left(\varepsilon C_{2}\right)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right) f\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x=o(1)
$$

Combining with $J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
o(1) & =\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{n}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right) f\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x, \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x) u_{n}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right) f\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\left|u_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x+o(1)=2 o(1) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} u_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0$, which implies $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{A, V}^{1}$. We deduce that $c_{\alpha}=0$, which contradicts to the fact that $c_{\alpha}>0$. Hence $\delta>0$ and there exist $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{\delta}{2}>0$. We set $v_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+y_{n}\right)$, then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=\left\|v_{n}\right\|, \int_{B_{1}(0)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x>\frac{\delta}{2}$ and $J_{A, V}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\alpha}=c, J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus there exist a $v_{0} \neq 0$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0} \text { in } H_{A, V}^{1}, \\
v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0} \text { in } L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \forall s \in\left[2,2^{*}\right) \\
v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0} \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we have $0=\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle+o(1)=\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right), \varphi\right\rangle$, which means $v_{0}$ is a solition of Eq (1.1).

On the other hand, combining with the Fatou Lemma, we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\alpha} & =J_{A, V}\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle+o(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} v_{n}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\right)\left[f\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\left|v_{n}\right|-2 F\left(\left|v_{n}\right|\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x+o(1) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\nabla_{A} v_{0}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left|v_{0}\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(I_{\alpha} * F\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)\right)\left[f\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)\left|v_{0}\right|-2 F\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x+o(1)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =J_{A, V}\left(v_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J_{A, V}^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right), v_{0}\right\rangle+o(1) \\
& =J_{A, V}\left(v_{0}\right)+o(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

At the same time, we know $c_{\alpha} \leq J_{A, V}\left(v_{0}\right)$ by the definition of $c_{\alpha}$. Then we can deduce that $v_{0}$ is a ground state solution of Eq (1.1).
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