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#### Abstract

In the article, we present the sharp upper and lower bounds for the arithmetic mean in terms of new Seiffert-like means, which give some refinements of the results obtained in [1]. As applications, two new inequalities for the sine and hyperbolic sine functions will be established.
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## 1. Introduction

For two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, four means

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a-b}{2 \sin \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)} & a \neq b \\
a & a=b
\end{array}, \quad\right. \text { (sine mean) }  \tag{1.1}\\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a-b}{2 \tan \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)} & a \neq b \\
a & a=b
\end{array}, \quad\right. \text { (tangent mean) }  \tag{1.2}\\
& \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a-b}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)} & a \neq b \\
a & a=b
\end{array}, \quad\right. \text { (hyperbolic sine mean) } \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a-b}{2 \tanh \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)} & a \neq b  \tag{1.4}\\
a & a=b
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { (hyperbolic tangent mean) }
$$

are the so-called Seiffert-like means introduced by Witkowski [2], which are the means of the form

$$
\mathrm{M}_{f}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{|a-b|}{2 f\left(\frac{|a-b|}{a+b}\right)}, & a \neq b,  \tag{1.5}\\ a, & a=b,\end{cases}
$$

where $a, b>0$ and the function $f:(0,1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ (called Seiffert function) satisfies

$$
\frac{x}{1+x} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{x}{1-x} .
$$

It is worth mentioning that these new Seiffert-like means have the Seiffert functions $\sin , \tan , \sinh$ and tanh, the inverse counterparts of which can produce the first Seiffert mean [3], second Seiffert mean [4], Neuman-Sándor mean [5] and logarithmic mean [6] by (1.5). In fact, these Seiffert-like means belong essentially to those ones constructed by trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. Such methods to create new means first appeared in [7] by Yang and embodied in several papers [8-10]. For more informations on these means, we refer to the literature in [11-23].

Sharp bounds for the Seiffert-like means and their related special functions have attracted the attention of several researchers [24-26]. In particular, the following chain of inequalities

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}(a, b)<\mathrm{M}_{\sinh }(a, b)<\mathrm{A}(a, b)<\mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)<\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tanh}}(a, b)
$$

had been established in [2] for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $\mathrm{A}(a, b)=(a+b) / 2$ is the arithmetic mean.
Very recently, Nowicka and Witkowski [1] proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sin}}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}^{1 / 3}(a, b) & <\mathrm{A}(a, b) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}<\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}(a, b), ~=\mathrm{A}(a, b)<\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tanh}}(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sinh}}(a, b), ~ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tanh}}^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sinh}}^{2 / 3}(a, b)<\mathrm{A}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Motivated by (1.6) and (1.7), it makes sense to ask about the optimal parameters $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ satisfying the following inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sin }}(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)\right]^{\lambda_{1}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\sin }^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\lambda_{1}}<\mathrm{A}(a, b)} \\
& <\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)\right]^{\mu_{1}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\sin }^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\mu_{1}}, \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b)\right]^{\lambda_{2}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\lambda_{2}}<\mathrm{A}(a, b)} \\
& <\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {tanh }}(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b)\right]^{\mu_{2}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\mu_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. This paper aims to answer this question.

## 2. Lemmas

To prove our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.
Lemma 2.1. ([27, L'Hospital Monotone Rule]) Suppose $f, g:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable with $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ such that $f\left(a^{+}\right)=g\left(a^{+}\right)=0$ or $f\left(b^{-}\right)=g\left(b^{-}\right)=0$. If $f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so is $f / g$.

The following lemma is a useful tool for dealing with the monotonicity of the ratio of two power series. The first part of Lemma 2.2 is first established by Biernacki and Krzyz [28], while the second part comes from Yang et al. [29, Theorem 2.1]. But we cite the latest version of the second part [30, Lemma 2], where the authors have corrected a bug in the previous version [29, Theorem 2.1].

Lemma 2.2. ([30]) Suppose that the power series $f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ have the radius of convergence $r>0$ with $b_{n}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $h(x)=f(x) / g(x)$ and $H_{f, g}=\left(f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}\right) g-f$. Then the following statements hold true:
(1) If the non-constant sequences $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing (decreasing) for all $n \geq 0$, then $h(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$;
(2) If for certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\left\{a_{k} / b_{k}\right\}_{0 \leq k \leq m}$ and $\left\{a_{k} / b_{k}\right\}_{k \geq m}$ both are non-constant, and they are increasing (decreasing), respectively. Then $h(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$ if and only if $H_{f, g}\left(r^{-}\right) \geq(\leq) 0$. Moreover, if $H_{f, g}\left(r^{-}\right)<(>) 0$, then there exists $x_{0} \in(0, r)$ such that $h(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, x_{0}$ ) and strictly decreasing (increasing) on ( $x_{0}, r$ ).

Let us recall the Taylor series expansions for $\cot x$ and $\csc x$, which can be found in [31].
Lemma 2.3. For $|x|<\pi$, then we have the Taylor series formulas

$$
\cot x=\frac{1}{x}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\left|B_{2 n}\right| x^{2 n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \csc x=\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 n}-2}{(2 n)!}\left|B_{2 n}\right| x^{2 n-1}
$$

where $B_{2 n}$ is the even-index Bernoulli numbers for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
For the readers' convenience, recall from [31, p.804, 23.1.1] that the Bernoulli numbers $B_{n}$ may be defined by the power series expansion

$$
\frac{z}{e^{z}-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}=1-\frac{z}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{z^{2 k}}{(2 k)!}, \quad|z|<2 \pi .
$$

The first few Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 k}$ are

$$
B_{2}=\frac{1}{6}, \quad B_{4}=-\frac{1}{30}, \quad B_{6}=\frac{1}{42}, \quad, B_{8}=-\frac{1}{30}, \quad B_{10}=\frac{5}{66}, \quad B_{12}=-\frac{691}{2730}
$$

with the property $(-1)^{k+1} B_{2 k}>0$ for $k \geq 1$.
Lemma 2.4. ( [32]) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 k}$ satisfy

$$
\frac{2^{2 k-1}-1}{2^{2 k+1}-1} \frac{(2 k+1)(2 k+2)}{\pi^{2}}<\left|\frac{B_{2 k+2}}{B_{2 k}}\right|<\frac{2^{2 k}-1}{2^{2 k+2}-1} \frac{(2 k+1)(2 k+2)}{\pi^{2}} .
$$

Some other Taylor series formulas for the functions involving $\cot x$ and $\csc x$ can be obtained from Lemma 2.3 by differentiation.

Lemma 2.5. Let $B_{2 n}$ be the even-index Bernoulli numbers for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\csc ^{2} x=\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n-1) 2^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\left|B_{2 n}\right| x^{2 n-2}, \\
\csc ^{2} x \cot x=\frac{1}{x^{3}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(2 n+1) 2^{2 n+2}}{(2 n+2)!}\left|B_{2 n+2}\right| x^{2 n-1}, \\
\csc x \cot x= \\
=\frac{1}{x^{2}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n-1)\left(2^{2 n}-2\right)}{(2 n)!}\left|B_{2 n}\right| x^{2 n-2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\csc x \cot ^{2} x=-\frac{1}{2 x}+\frac{1}{x^{3}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n+1)\left[(n+1)\left(2^{2 n}-2\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|-n\left(2^{2 n+2}-2\right)\left|B_{2 n+2}\right|\right]}{(2 n+2)!} x^{2 n-1}
$$

for $|x|<\pi$.
Proof. Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\cot x)^{\prime}=-\csc ^{2} x, \quad(\cot x)^{\prime \prime}=2 \csc ^{2} x \cot x \\
& (\csc x)^{\prime}=-\csc x \cot x, \quad(\csc x)^{\prime \prime}=2 \csc x \cot ^{2} x+\csc x
\end{aligned}
$$

which in conjunction with Lemma 2.3 gives the desired results.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\sigma=\left[(2+\cos 1)\left(1+3 \cot ^{2} 1-3 \cot 1\right)\right] /[2(1-\cos 1)]=0.8581 \cdots$. Then the function

$$
f(x)=\frac{(2+\cos x)\left(3 x-2 x \sin ^{2} x-3 \sin x \cos x\right)}{2 x(1-\cos x) \sin ^{2} x}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(4 / 5, \sigma)$.
Proof. Let

$$
f_{1}(x)=2 x \csc x+6 x \csc x \cot ^{2} x-2 x \cot x+3 x \csc ^{2} x \cot x-3 \csc ^{2} x-6 \csc x \cot x+3
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(x)=2 x \csc x-2 x \cot x
$$

Then we clearly see that $f(x)=f_{1}(x) / f_{2}(x)$.
By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, we can rewrite $f(x)$ in terms of power series as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{2 n}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{n}=\frac{\left(2^{2 n}+2\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|+6\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)\left|B_{2 n+2}\right|}{(2 n)!}
$$

and

$$
b_{n}=\frac{4\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)}{(2 n)!}\left|B_{2 n}\right| .
$$

It can be easily seen from (2.1) and Lemma 2.2(1) that Lemma 2.6 will be proved if we can show that the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}=\frac{2^{2 n-1}+1}{2\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|B_{2 n+2}\right|}{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$.
Simple calculations with (2.2) and Lemma 2.4 yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}-\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}= & {\left[\frac{2^{2 n+1}+1}{2\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|B_{2 n+4}\right|}{\left|B_{2 n+2}\right|}\right]-\left[\frac{2^{2 n-1}+1}{2\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|B_{2 n+2}\right|}{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}\right] } \\
& >\left[\frac{2^{2 n+1}+1}{2\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)}+\frac{3(n+2)(2 n+3)}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2^{2 n+1}-1}{2^{2 n+3}-1}\right] \\
& -\left[\frac{2^{2 n-1}+1}{2\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)}+\frac{3(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2^{2 n}-1}{2^{2 n+2}-1}\right] \\
= & \frac{3 \alpha_{n}}{4 \pi^{2}\left(2^{2 n-1}\right)\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)\left(2^{2 n+3}-1\right)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}=2^{4 n+2}\left[(4 n+5) 2^{2 n+3}+6 n^{2}-47 n-\left(67+6 \pi^{2}\right)\right]-2^{2 n}\left[24 n^{2}-76 n-\left(128+3 \pi^{2}\right)\right]-4(4 n+5) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Bernoulli inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4 n+5) 2^{2 n+3}+6 n^{2}-47 n-\left(67+6 \pi^{2}\right) & >8(4 n+5)(2 n+1)+6 n^{2}-47 n-127 \\
& =70 n^{2}+65 n-87>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 1$. According to this with (2.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n} & >2^{4 n+2}\left(70 n^{2}+65 n-87\right)-2^{2 n}\left(24 n^{2}-76 n-155\right)-4(4 n+5) \\
& >2^{2 n}\left[2^{4}\left(70 n^{2}+65 n-87\right)-\left(24 n^{2}-76 n-155\right)\right]-4(4 n+5) \\
& =2^{2 n}\left(1096 n^{2}+1116 n-1237\right)-4(4 n+5) \\
& >2^{2}\left(1096 n^{2}+1116 n-1237\right)-4(4 n+5) \\
& =8\left(548 n^{2}+556 n-621\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 1$. This together with (2.3) implies that the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$. So is $f(x)$ from Lemma 2.2(1).

By L'Hopital rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(0^{+}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{f_{2}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}=\frac{4}{5}, \\
& f\left(1^{-}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(x)=\frac{(2+\cos 1)\left(1+3 \cot ^{2} 1-3 \cot 1\right)}{2(1-\cos 1)}=\sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\tau=\left[(2+\cosh 1)\left(3 \operatorname{coth}^{2} 1-3 \operatorname{coth} 1-1\right)\right] /[2(\cosh 1-1)]=0.7603 \cdots$. Then the function

$$
g(x)=\frac{(2+\cosh x)\left(3 x+2 x \sinh ^{2} x-3 \sinh x \cosh x\right)}{2 x(\cosh x-1) \sinh ^{2} x}
$$

is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\tau, 4 / 5)$.
Proof. Let

$$
g_{1}(x)=16 x+10 x \cosh x+8 x \cosh (2 x)+2 x \cosh (3 x)-3 \sinh x-12 \sinh (2 x)-3 \sinh (3 x)
$$

and

$$
g_{2}(x)=2 x[2-\cosh x-2 \cosh (2 x)+\cosh (3 x)] .
$$

Then it is easy to see that $g(x)=g_{1}(x) / g_{2}(x)$.
Recall the Taylor series expansions of $\sinh x$ and $\cosh x$ are

$$
\sinh x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)!} x^{2 n+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \cosh x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n)!} x^{2 n} .
$$

According to this, we can rewrite $g(x)$, in terms of power series, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n} x^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n} x^{2 n}}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
u_{n}=\frac{(n+1) 2^{2 n+8}+(4 n+1) 3^{2 n+4}+20 n+47}{(2 n+5)}
$$

and

$$
v_{n}=\frac{2\left(3^{2 n+4}-2^{2 n+5}-1\right)}{(2 n+4)!}
$$

From (2.5) and Lemma 2.2(1), it suffices to consider the monotonicity of the sequence $\left\{u_{n} / v_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Simple calculations lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{n+1}}{v_{n+1}}-\frac{u_{n}}{v_{n}}=\frac{12 \beta_{n}}{(2 n+5)(2 n+7)\left(3^{2 n+4}-2^{2 n+5}-1\right)\left(3^{2 n+6}-2^{2 n+7}-1\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n}=-\left(40 n^{2}+170 n+127\right) 6^{2 n+4} & +3^{2 n+4}\left[3^{2 n+7}-2\left(32 n^{2}+176 n+237\right)\right] \\
& -2^{2 n+4}\left[2^{2 n+10}-\left(24 n^{2}+162 n+239\right)\right]-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=-38400 \text { and } \beta_{1}=-2257920 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $\beta_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 2$.

By binomial theorem, elementary calculations lead to

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\beta_{n}}{3^{2 n+4}} & =-\left(40 n^{2}+170 n+127\right) 2^{2 n+4}+3^{2 n+7}-2\left(32 n^{2}+176 n+237\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2 n+4}\left[2^{2 n+10}-\left(24 n^{2}+162 n+239\right)\right]-\frac{1}{3^{2 n+4}} \\
> & -\left(40 n^{2}+170 n+127\right) 2^{2 n+4}+3^{2 n+7}-2\left(32 n^{2}+176 n+237\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{8}\left[2^{2 n+10}-\left(24 n^{2}+162 n+239\right)\right]-\frac{1}{3^{8}} \\
= & 3^{2 n+7}-\frac{1}{3^{8}}\left[\left(262440 n^{2}+1115370 n+849631\right) 2^{2 n+4}\right. \\
& \left.+418880 n^{2}+2268000 n+3048731\right] \\
> & 3^{7}\left[2^{2 n}+(2 n) 2^{2 n-1}+\frac{2 n(2 n-1)}{2!} 2^{2 n-2}+\frac{2 n(2 n-1)(2 n-2)}{3!} 2^{2 n-3}\right] \\
-\frac{1}{3^{8}}\left[\left(262440 n^{2}+1115370 n+849631\right) 2^{2 n+4}\right.
\end{array}+418880 n^{2}+2268000 n+3048731\right]\right)
$$

for $n \geq 2$. Combining this with (2.6) and (2.7), it follows that $u_{n} / v_{n}$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq n \leq 2$ and strictly increasing for $n \geq 2$.

Further, differentiation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{g_{1}, g_{2}}(x)= & \frac{g_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{g_{2}^{\prime}(x)} g_{2}(x)-g_{1}(x) \\
= & \frac{4 x \sinh ^{2} x\left(6 x \sinh ^{2} x+8 x \cosh x-7 \sinh x \cosh x-8 \sinh x+7 x\right)}{x+3 x \cosh x+\sinh x} \\
& -[16 x+10 x \cosh x+8 x \cosh (2 x)+2 x \cosh (3 x) \\
& \quad-3 \sinh x-12 \sinh (2 x)-3 \sinh (3 x)]
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{g_{1}, g_{2}}(1)=-0.06789 \cdots<0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2(2) and (2.8) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $u_{n} / v_{n}$ lead to the conclusion that $g(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. Finally, since

$$
g\left(0^{+}\right)=\frac{c_{0}}{d_{0}}=\frac{4}{5}, \quad g\left(1^{-}\right)=\frac{(2+\cosh 1)\left(3 \operatorname{coth}^{2} 1-3 \operatorname{coth} 1-1\right)}{2(\cosh 1-1)}=\tau,
$$

the proof is completed.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. The double inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}(a, b)\right]^{\lambda_{1}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sin}}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\lambda_{1}}<\mathrm{A}(a, b)} \\
& \quad<\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}(a, b)\right]^{\mu_{1}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sin}}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\mu_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_{1} \leq 4 / 5$ and $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{1}^{*}:=[3 \log (\sin 1)-$ $\log (\cos 1)] /\left[3 \log \left(\frac{2+\cos 1}{3}\right)-\log (\cos 1)\right]=0.8386 \cdots$.
Proof. Since $\mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b), \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)$ and $\mathrm{A}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a>b>0$.

Let $x=(a-b) /(a+b) \in(0,1)$. Then from (1.1) and (1.2) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)}{\mathrm{A}(a, b)}=\frac{x}{\sin x}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)}{\mathrm{A}(a, b)}=\frac{x}{\tan x} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\log \mathrm{A}(a, b)-\log \left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sin}}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tan}}^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]}{\log \left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)\right]-\log \left[\mathrm{M}_{\sin }^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{\log \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cos x)}{\log \left(\frac{2+\cos x}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cos x)}:=\varphi(x) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\varphi_{1}(x)=\log \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cos x) \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{2}(x)=\log \left(\frac{2+\cos x}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cos x) .
$$

Then we clearly see from (3.2) that $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{1}(x) / \varphi_{2}(x)$.
Simple calculations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1}\left(0^{+}\right) & =\varphi_{2}\left(0^{+}\right)=0  \tag{3.3}\\
\frac{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(x)} & =\frac{(2+\cos x)\left(3 x-2 x \sin ^{2} x-3 \sin x \cos x\right)}{2 x(1-\cos x) \sin ^{2} x}=f(x), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.6.
Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.6 together with (3.3), (3.4) lead to the conclusion that $\varphi(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows easily from (3.2) and the monotonicity of $\varphi(x)$ together with

$$
\varphi\left(0^{+}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{4}{5}, \quad \varphi\left(1^{-}\right)=\frac{3 \log (\sin 1)-\log (\cos 1)}{3 \log \left(\frac{2+\cos 1}{3}\right)-\log (\cos 1)}=\mu_{1}^{*} .
$$

Theorem 3.2. The double inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sinh }(a, b)\right]^{\lambda_{2}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\sinh }^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\lambda_{2}}<\mathrm{A}(a, b)} \\
& \quad<\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sinh }(a, b)\right]^{\mu_{2}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\sinh }^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\mu_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{2}^{*}:=[3 \log (\sinh 1)-\log (\cosh 1)] /$ $\left[3 \log \left(\frac{2+\cosh 1}{3}\right)-\log (\cosh 1)\right]=0.7730 \cdots$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 4 / 5$.
Proof. Since $\mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b), \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{tanh}}(a, b)$ and $\mathrm{A}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a>b>0$.

Let $x=(a-b) /(a+b) \in(0,1)$. Then it is easy to see from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\sinh }(a, b)}{\mathrm{A}(a, b)}=\frac{x}{\sinh x}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)}{\mathrm{A}(a, b)}=\frac{x}{\tanh x} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.1), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\log \mathrm{A}(a, b)-\log \left[\mathrm{M}_{\sinh }^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]}{\log \left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sinh }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }(a, b)\right]-\log \left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tanh }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{\log \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cosh x)}{\log \left(\frac{2+\cosh x}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cosh x)}:=\phi(x) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\log \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cosh x) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\log \left(\frac{2+\cosh x}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \log (\cosh x)
$$

Then we clearly see from (3.6) that $\phi(x)=\phi_{1}(x) / \phi_{2}(x)$.
Simple calculations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}\left(0^{+}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(0^{+}\right)=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
& \frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{\phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{(2+\cosh x)\left(3 x+2 x \sinh ^{2} x-3 \sinh x \cosh x\right)}{2 x(\cosh x-1) \sinh ^{2} x}=g(x), \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.7.
Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7 together with (3.7), (3.8) lead to the conclusion that $\phi(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$.

Moreover, by L'Hopital rule and (3.8), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(0^{+}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{\phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{4}{5}, \quad \phi\left(1^{-}\right)=\frac{3 \log (\sinh 1)-\log (\cosh 1)}{3 \log \left(\frac{2+\cosh 1}{3}\right)-\log (\cosh 1)}=\lambda_{2}^{*} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows easily from (3.6) and (3.9).

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, new bounds for the sine and hyperbolic sine function are given in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mu_{1}^{*}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{*}$ be defined as in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 respectively. Then the double inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{2+\cos x}{3}\right)^{4 / 5}(\cos x)^{1 / 15}<\frac{\sin x}{x}<\left(\frac{2+\cos x}{3}\right)^{\mu_{1}^{*}}(\cos x)^{\left(1-\mu_{1}^{*}\right) / 3} \\
\left(\frac{2+\cosh x}{3}\right)^{\lambda_{2}^{*}}(\cosh x)^{\left(1-\lambda_{2}^{*}\right) / 3}<\frac{\sinh x}{x}<\left(\frac{2+\cosh x}{3}\right)^{4 / 5}(\cosh x)^{1 / 15}
\end{gathered}
$$

hold for all $x \in(0,1)$.

## 4. Conclusions

In the paper, we establish sharp upper and lower bounds for the arithmetic mean in terms of new Seiffert-like means, more precisely, the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)\right]^{4 / 5}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\sin }^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1 / 5}<\mathrm{A}(a, b)} \\
& \quad<\left[\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\sin }(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\tan }(a, b)\right]^{\mu_{1}^{*}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{sin}}^{2 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\tan }^{1 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\mu_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {tanh }}(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b)\right]^{x_{2}^{*}}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {tanh }}^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1-\lambda_{2}^{*}}<\mathrm{A}(a, b) } \\
&<\left[\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {tanh }}(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}(a, b)\right]^{4 / 5}\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {tanh }}^{1 / 3}(a, b) \mathrm{M}_{\text {sinh }}^{2 / 3}(a, b)\right]^{1 / 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $\mu_{1}^{*}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{*}$ are given as in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, respectively.
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