

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 6(1): 168–194.

DOI: 10.3934/math.2021012 Received: 09 May 2020 Accepted: 21 September 2020

Published: 09 October 2020

Research article

Existence and Hyers-Ulam type stability results for nonlinear coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard type fractional differential equations

Subramanian Muthaiah¹, Dumitru Baleanu^{2,3,4,*}and Nandha Gopal Thangaraj⁵

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, India
- ² Department of Mathematics, Cankaya University, Ankara, Turkey
- ³ Institute of Space Science, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania
- ⁴ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan
- Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, India
- * Correspondence: Email: dumitru@cankaya.edu.tr.

Abstract: This paper aims to present the existence, uniqueness, and Hyers-Ulam stability of the coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations (FDEs) with multipoint and nonlocal integral boundary conditions. The fractional derivative of the Caputo-Hadamard type is used to formulate the FDEs, and the fractional integrals described in the boundary conditions are due to Hadamard. The consequence of existence is obtained employing the alternative of Leray-Schauder, and Krasnoselskii's, whereas the uniqueness result, is based on the principle of Banach contraction mapping. We examine the stability of the solutions involved in the Hyers-Ulam type. A few examples are presented as an application to illustrate the main results. Finally, it addresses some variants of the problem.

Keywords: coupled system; Caputo-Hadamard derivatives; Hadamard integrals; multi-points

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 34B10, 34B15

1. Introduction

Recently fractional differential equations (FDEs) have been used in various fields of physics, bioengineering, biology, aerodynamics, chemistry, applied sciences etc. We refer the reader to the articles and books of [2, 6, 11–17, 19, 20, 23, 24, 28] for certain foundational concepts in the theory of fractional calculus and FDEs, and the references cited therein. The majority of the works on the FDEs are based on fractional derivatives in the types of Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, and Hadamard.

In 2012, Jarad et al. modified the fractional derivative of Hadamard type into a more suitable one with physically interpretable initial conditions comparable to the singles in the Caputo setting and named it fractional derivative Caputo-Hadamard type. Refer to [8] for defining the properties of the modified derivative. Coupled systems of differential equations of fractional order with different boundary conditions have received considerable attention. These structures were used in many real-world experiments, such as modeling of infections [7], controlling chaotic systems [30], etc. A series of papers [5, 9, 10, 22, 27] and references cited therein include some recent studies on coupled fractional-order BVPs. A coupled fractional BVPs have recently begun to be studied by a few authors. The existence of solutions of the following BVP of Hadamard type FDEs with integral boundary conditions was studied by Muthaiah *et al.* [18]:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{H}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) = g(\tau, y(\tau)), \\ y(1) = 0, \quad y^{'}(1) = 0, {}^{H}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}y(T) = \omega^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}y(\varphi), \\ 1 < \tau < T, \quad 2 < \varrho \le 3 \end{cases}$$

 ${}^H\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}, {}^H\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}$ denote the Hadamard fractional derivatives (HFDs) of order ϱ, ς, g : $[1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given continuous function, and ω is a positive real constant. The results are obtained through the applying of various fixed-point theorems. The nonlinear coupled system of Hadamard FDEs

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ \mathcal{D}^{\beta}v(t) = g(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ u(1) = 0, \quad u(e) = I^{\gamma}u(\sigma_{1}), \quad \sigma_{1} \in (1, e), \\ v(1) = 0, \quad v(e) = I^{\gamma}v(\sigma_{2}), \quad \sigma_{2} \in (1, e), \\ 1 < t < e, \quad 1 < \alpha, \beta \le 2, \quad \gamma > 0, \end{cases}$$

has been discussed in [4], where \mathcal{D}^{α} , \mathcal{D}^{β} denote the HFDs of order α, β, f, g : $[1, e] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. The existence and uniqueness of solutions are proved by Leray-Schauder alternative and contraction mapping principle. Agarwal *et al.* [1] addressed the consequences of the existence of coupled fractional-order systems with discrete and integral boundary conditions. Subramanian *et al.* [25] studied coupled non-local slit-strip conditions in fractional BVP involving the Caputo derivatives. Similarly, Ahmad *et al.* [3] analyzed the coupled system of sequential fractional BVP, under periodic/antiperiodic boundary conditions. Recently, Subramanian *et al.* [26] investigated the existence of solutions involving Caputo derivative with integral sub-strips and multi-point BVP for coupled FDEs.

We are investigating a new BVP of Caputo Hadamard type FDEs in this article:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) = f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)), & \tau \in [1, T] := \mathcal{K}, \\ {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}z(\tau) = g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)), & \tau \in [1, T] := \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

enhanced with boundary conditions defined by:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j z(\zeta_j) + \beta_1^H I^{\varsigma_1} z(\vartheta), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j y(\omega_j) + \beta_2^H I^{\varrho_1} y(\varphi), \\ 1 < \vartheta < \varphi < \zeta_1 < \omega_1 < \zeta_2 < \omega_2 < \dots < \zeta_{k-2} < \omega_{k-2} < T, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.2)$$

where ${}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{(\cdot)}$ denote the Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivatives (CHFDs) of order (\cdot) , $2 < \varrho, \varsigma \le 3$, ${}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{(\cdot)}$ denote the Hadamard fractional integrals (HFIs) of order (\cdot) , $0 < \varrho_1, \varsigma_1 < 1$, $f, g : \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 are real constants and $\xi_j, \upsilon_j, j = 1, 2, ..., k - 2$ are positive real constants. Additionally, we are studying the system (1.1) under the condition:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j z(\zeta_j) + \beta_1^H \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma_1} z(\vartheta), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j y(\zeta_j) + \beta_2^H \mathcal{I}^{\varrho_1} y(\vartheta), \\ 1 < \vartheta < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \dots < \zeta_{k-2} < T. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

Remember that the conditions (1.2) contain the strips of the different lengths, while the one found in (1.3) is of the same length $(1, \vartheta)$. On the other side, as opposed to the multi-point boundary conditions in (1.3), the multi-point boundary conditions in (1.2) contain different multi-points. The rest of the article is formed as follows: Section 2 focuses primarily on certain basic concepts of fractional calculus with the related basic lemmas. The consequences of existence and uniqueness can be addressed using the Leray-Schauder, Krasnoselskii's, and Banach fixed-point theorems in Section 3. Examples are given in Section 4 for verification of the results. Section 5 discusses the stability of the Hyers-Ulam solutions and establishes sufficient conditions of stability. In Section 6, the stability result is well illustrated with the aid of an example. The existence, uniqueness, and stability results for the problem (1.1)–(1.3) are presented in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

Here we remember some preliminary ideas of the fractional calculus of Hadamard and Caputo-Hadamard relevant to our research. We are also proving lemmas, which plays a vital role in turning the given problem into a fixed point problem [8, 11, 19].

Definition 2.1. Let $0 \le b \le c \le \infty$ be finite or infinite interval of the half-axis \mathbb{R}^+ . The HFIs of order $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{I}^{\varrho}_{b+}h)(\tau) &=& \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{b}^{\tau} \Big(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}, \ b < \tau < c, \ and \\ &(\mathcal{I}^{\varrho}_{c-}h)(\tau) &=& \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{\tau}^{c} \Big(\log\frac{\theta}{\tau}\Big)^{\varrho-1} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}, \ b < \tau < c. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. The left and right-sided Hadamard fractional derivatives of order $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \geq 0$ on (b,c) and $b < \tau < c$ are defined by

$$(\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = \left(\tau \frac{d}{d\tau}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\varrho)} \int_{b}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{n-\varrho-1} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}, \text{ and }$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = \left(-\tau \frac{d}{d\tau}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\varrho)} \int_{a}^{c} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)^{n-\varrho-1} h(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta},$$

where $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho)] + 1$.

Lemma 2.3. If $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) > 0$, $\mathbb{R}(\varsigma) > 0$ and $0 < b < c < \infty$, then we have

$$\begin{split} & \Big(I_{b+}^{\varrho} \Big(\log \frac{\theta}{b} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} \Big) (\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varrho)} \Big(\log \frac{\tau}{b} \Big)^{\varsigma+\varrho-1}, \\ & \Big(I_{c-}^{\varrho} \Big(\log \frac{c}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} \Big) (\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varrho)} \Big(\log \frac{c}{\tau} \Big)^{\varsigma+\varrho-1}. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $0 < b < c < \infty$, $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \ge 0$, $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho) + 1]$. The left and right CHFDs of order ϱ are respectively defined by

$$({}^{C}\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = \mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho}\left[h(\theta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^{k}h(b)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{b}\right)^{k}\right](\tau),$$

and

$$({}^{C}\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = \mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho}\left[h(\theta) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k} \delta^{k} h(c)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{c}{\theta}\right)^{k}\right](\tau).$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) > 0$, $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho)] + 1$ and $h \in C[b, c]$. If $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \neq 0$ or $\varrho \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$${}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}_{b+}(I^{\varrho}_{b+}h)(\tau) = h(\tau), \quad {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}_{c-}(I^{\varrho}_{c-}h)(\tau) = h(\tau).$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $h \in \mathcal{AC}^n_{\delta}[b,c]$ or $C^n_{\delta}[b,c]$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$I_{b+}^{\varrho}(^{C}\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = h(\tau) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^{j}h(b)}{j!} \Big(\log\frac{\tau}{b}\Big)^{j},$$
$$I_{c-}^{\varrho}(^{C}\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho}h)(\tau) = h(\tau) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^{j}h(c)}{j!} \Big(\log\frac{c}{\tau}\Big)^{j}.$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \mathcal{AC}^n_{\delta}[1, T]$. Then, the linear system solution of FDEs

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) = \hat{f}(\tau), \\ {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}z(\tau) = \hat{g}(\tau), \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

enhanced with the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j z(\zeta_j) + \beta_1^H I^{\varsigma_1} z(\vartheta), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j y(\omega_j) + \beta_2^H I^{\varrho_1} y(\varphi), \\ 1 < \vartheta < \varphi < \zeta_1 < \omega_1 < \zeta_2 < \omega_2 < \dots < \zeta_{k-2} < \omega_{k-2} < T, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

is given by

$$y(\tau) = {}^{H}I^{\varrho}\hat{f}(\tau) + \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}{}^{H}I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}{}^{H}I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H}I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right\} + \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}{}^{H}I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\omega_{j}) + \beta_{2}{}^{H}I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^{H}I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right\} \right]$$
(2.3)

and

$$z(\tau) = {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\tau) + \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\omega_{j}) + \beta_{2} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho + \varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right\} + \nu_{2} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma + \varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right\} \right]$$

$$(2.4)$$

where

$$v_1 = (\log T)^2, \quad v_2 = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j (\log \omega_j)^2 + \frac{2\beta_2 (\log \varphi)^{2+\varrho_1}}{\Gamma(3+\varrho_1)},$$
 (2.5)

$$\nu_3 = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j (\log \zeta_j)^2 + \frac{2\beta_1 (\log \vartheta)^{2+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(3+\varsigma_1)}, \quad \nu = \nu_1^2 - \nu_2 \nu_3.$$
 (2.6)

Proof. Solving the FDEs (2.1) in a standard manner, we get

$$y(\tau) = {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varrho}\hat{f}(\tau) + a_{0} + a_{1}\log\tau + a_{2}(\log\tau)^{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$z(\tau) = {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\tau) + b_{0} + b_{1} \log \tau + b_{2} (\log \tau)^{2}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 0, 1, 2, are arbitrary constants. Using the boundary conditions (2.2) in (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain $a_0 = a_1 = 0$, $b_0 = b_1 = 0$, and

$$a_{2}v_{1} - b_{2}v_{3} = \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T),$$
(2.9)

$$b_{2}\nu_{1} - a_{2}\nu_{2} = \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\omega_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T).$$
 (2.10)

Solving the system (2.9)–(2.10) for a_2, b_2 , we get

$$a_{2} = \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right)$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\omega_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right),$$

$$b_{2} = \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\omega_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right)$$

$$+ \nu_{2} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right),$$

$$(2.12)$$

where v_1, v_2, v_3, v are given by (2.5) and (2.6) respectively. Substituting the values of a_2, b_2 in (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the solutions (2.3) and (2.4).

3. Existence results for the problem (1.1) and (1.2)

We define spaces $\mathcal{Y} = \{y(\tau) : y(\tau) \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})\}$ endowed with the norm $||y|| = \sup\{|y(\tau)|, \tau \in \mathcal{K}\}$. Obviously $(\mathcal{Y}, ||\cdot||)$ is a Banach space. Also $\mathcal{Z} = \{z(\tau) : z(\tau) \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})\}$ endowed with the norm $||z|| = \sup\{|z(\tau)|, \tau \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is a Banach space. Then the product space $(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}, ||(y, z)||)$ is also a Banach space equipped with norm ||(y, z)|| = ||y|| + ||z||.

We implement operator $\Upsilon: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ using Lemma 2.7 as follows:

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})(\tau) = (\Upsilon_1(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})(\tau), \Upsilon_2(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})(\tau)),\tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{1}(y,z)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\}$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Upsilon_{2}(y,z)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\
+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\} \\
+ \nu_{2} \left\{\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\
+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

For the convenience of computation, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{1} = \frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right\} \right]$$
(3.4)

$$Q_1 = \frac{(\log T)^2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_3 (\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} + \nu_1 \left\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_1 + 1)} \right\} \right]$$
(3.5)

$$\mathcal{P}_{2} = \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_{2}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right\} \right]$$
(3.6)

$$Q_2 = \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_1(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_2 \left\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1+1)} \right\} \right]. \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Delta = \min\{1 - [\lambda_1(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_1(Q_1 + Q_2)], 1 - [\lambda_2(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_2(Q_1 + Q_2)]\}.$$
(3.8)

Next, in the sequel, we enlist the premises we need. Let $f, g : \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ functions be continuous.

 (\mathcal{E}_1) \exists real constants $\lambda_i, \widehat{\lambda}_i \ge 0$ (i = 1, 2) and $\lambda_0 > 0, \widehat{\lambda}_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(\tau, y_1, y_2)| \le \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 |y_1| + \lambda_2 |y_2|,$$

 $|g(\tau, y_1, y_2)| \le \widehat{\lambda}_0 + \widehat{\lambda}_1 |y_1| + \widehat{\lambda}_2 |y_2|, \forall y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2.$

 (\mathcal{E}_2) \exists positive constants κ_i , $\widehat{\kappa}_i$ (i = 1, 2) such that

$$|f(\tau, y_1, y_2) - f(\tau, z_1, z_2)| \le \kappa_1 |y_1 - z_1| + \kappa_2 |y_2 - z_2|,$$

$$|g(\tau, y_1, y_2) - g(\tau, z_1, z_2)| \le \widehat{\kappa_1} |y_1 - z_1| + \widehat{\kappa_2} |y_2 - z_2|, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{K}, \ y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 1, 2.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* (\mathcal{E}_1) *hold. If*

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_1(Q_1 + Q_2) < 1, \quad \lambda_2(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_2(Q_1 + Q_2) < 1.$$
 (3.9)

Then there exists at least one solution for problem (1.1) and (1.2) on K, where \mathcal{P}_1 , Q_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 , and Q_2 are given by (3.4)–(3.7) respectively.

Proof. We define in the first step that operator $\Upsilon: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ is completely continuous. This implies that the operators Υ_1 and Υ_2 are continuous by the continuity of the functions f and g. Accordingly, operator Υ is continuous. To demonstrate the uniformly bounded of operator Υ , let $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ be a bounded set. Then \exists positive constants \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 such that $|f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \leq \mathcal{M}_1$, $|g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \leq \mathcal{M}_2$, $\forall (y, z) \in \Lambda$. Then, for any $(y, z) \in \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{1}(y,z)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_{1}}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \Big(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \frac{(\log\tau)^{2}}{\nu} \bigg[\nu_{1} \bigg\{\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{2}}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \Big(\log\frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{2}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \Big(\log\frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_{1}}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log\frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_{3} \bigg\{\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{1}}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \Big(\log\frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \beta_{2} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{1}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \Big(\log\frac{\varphi}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{\mathcal{M}_{2}}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log\frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &\leq \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \bigg\{\mathcal{M}_{2} \bigg[\frac{\nu_{3}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_{1} \bigg(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{(\log\zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_{1} \frac{(\log\vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{(\log T)^{2} \Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \mathcal{M}_{1} \bigg[\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{3} \bigg(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log\omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log\varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

that yields when taking $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$ norm and using (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\|\Upsilon_1(y,z)\| \le \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{M}_1 + Q_1 \mathcal{M}_2.$$
 (3.10)

Similarly, using (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$|\Upsilon_{2}(y,z)(\tau)| \leq \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{1} \left[\frac{\nu_{2}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right) \right] + \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}_{2}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{(\log T)^{2}\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \mathcal{M}_{2} \left[\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_{2} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_{1} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)} \right) \right] \right\} \\ \leq \mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{M}_{1} + \mathcal{Q}_{2}\mathcal{M}_{2}. \tag{3.11}$$

We deduce from the inequalities (3.10) and (3.11) that Υ_1 and Υ_2 are uniformly bounded, implying operator Υ is uniformly bounded. Next we demonstrate the equicontinuous of Υ . Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{1}(y,z)(\tau_{2}) - \Upsilon_{1}(y,z)(\tau_{1})| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \left| \int_{1}^{\tau_{1}} \left[\left(\log \frac{\tau_{2}}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} - \left(\log \frac{\tau_{1}}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} \right] |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \left(\log \frac{\tau_{2}}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right| \\ &+ \frac{(\log \tau_{2})^{2} - (\log \tau_{1})^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\xi_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta} \right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta} \right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \right. \\ &+ 0 \text{ as } \tau_{2} \to \tau_{1}, \end{split}$$

independent of (y, z) with respect to $|f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \leq \mathcal{M}_1$ and $|g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \leq \mathcal{M}_2$. Analogously, we can do that $|\Upsilon_2(y, z)(\tau_2) - \Upsilon_2(y, z)(\tau_1)| \to 0$ as $\tau_2 \to \tau_1$ independent of (y, z) with respect to the boundedness of f and g. Thus the operator Υ is equicontinuous in view of equicontinuity of Υ_1 and Υ_2 . Thus, the operator Υ is compact by Lemma (see Lemma 1.2 [29]). At last, the set $\Omega(\Upsilon) = \{(y, z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} : (y, z) = \varepsilon \Upsilon(y, z); 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$ is shown to be bounded. Let $(y, z) \in \Omega(\Upsilon)$. Then $(y, z) = \varepsilon \Upsilon(y, z)$. For any $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $y(\tau) = \varepsilon \Upsilon_1(y, z)(\tau), z(\tau) = \varepsilon \Upsilon_2(y, z)(\tau)$. Utilizing (\mathcal{E}_1) in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{split} |y(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \Big(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \Big(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1}|y(\theta)| + \lambda_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_{1} \Bigg\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} \Big(\widehat{\lambda}_{0} + \widehat{\lambda}_{1}|y(\theta)| + \widehat{\lambda}_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \Big(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_{1} - 1} \Big(\widehat{\lambda}_{0} + \widehat{\lambda}_{1}|y(\theta)| + \widehat{\lambda}_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \Big(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1}|y(\theta)| + \lambda_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_{3} \Bigg\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \Big(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1}|y(\theta)| + \lambda_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho + \varrho_{1} - 1} \Big(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1}|y(\theta)| + \lambda_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma - 1} \Big(\widehat{\lambda}_{0} + \widehat{\lambda}_{1}|y(\theta)| + \widehat{\lambda}_{2}|z(\theta)|\Big) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \Bigg], \end{split}$$

that yields when taking the norm for $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$||y|| \le \left(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 |y(\theta)| + \lambda_2 |z(\theta)|\right) \mathcal{P}_1 + \left(\widehat{\lambda}_0 + \widehat{\lambda}_1 |y(\theta)| + \widehat{\lambda}_2 |z(\theta)|\right) Q_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Indeed, we can obtain that

$$||z|| \le \left(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 |y(\theta)| + \lambda_2 |z(\theta)|\right) \mathcal{P}_2 + \left(\widehat{\lambda}_0 + \widehat{\lambda}_1 |y(\theta)| + \widehat{\lambda}_2 |z(\theta)|\right) Q_2. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$||y|| + ||z|| = \lambda_0 (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_0 (Q_1 + Q_2) + ||y|| [\lambda_1 (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_1 (Q_1 + Q_2)] + ||z|| [\lambda_2 (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_2 (Q_1 + Q_2)],$$

which yields, with ||y, z|| = ||y|| + ||z||,

$$||y,z|| \leq \frac{\lambda_0(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_0(Q_1 + Q_2)}{\Lambda}.$$

It implies $\Omega(\Upsilon)$ is bounded. So Theorem (see Theorem [27]) is valid and Υ has at least one fixed point. This indicates the BVP (1.1) and (1.2) have at least one solution on \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.2) has a unique solution on \mathcal{K} , provided that

$$(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) < 1, \tag{3.14}$$

where \mathcal{P}_1 , \mathcal{Q}_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 , and \mathcal{Q}_2 are given by (3.4)-(3.7).

Proof. Let's set $\rho \geq \frac{\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \mathcal{G}_2(Q_1 + Q_2)}{1 - (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) - (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2)}$, and show that $\Upsilon \mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$, when operator Υ is given by (3.1) and $\mathcal{B}_{\rho} = \{(y, z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} : ||(y, z)|| \leq \rho\}$. For $(y, z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$|f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \le \kappa_1 |y(\tau)| + \kappa_2 |z(\tau)| + \mathcal{G}_1$$

$$\le \kappa_1 ||y|| + \kappa_2 ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_1,$$

and

$$|g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau))| \le \widehat{\kappa}_1 ||y|| + \widehat{\kappa}_2 ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_2.$$

This guides to

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_1(y,z)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^\tau \Big(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - f(\theta,0,0)| + |f(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{(\log\tau)^2}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_1 \Bigg\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\zeta_j} \Big(\log\frac{\zeta_j}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1)} \int_1^\theta \Big(\log\frac{\theta}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta, y(\theta), z(\theta)) - f(\theta, 0, 0)| + |f(\theta, 0, 0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right)$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta, y(\theta), z(\theta)) - f(\theta, 0, 0)| + |f(\theta, 0, 0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \right)^{\varrho + \varrho_{1} - 1} |f(\theta, y(\theta), z(\theta)) - f(\theta, 0, 0)| + |f(\theta, 0, 0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta, y(\theta), z(\theta)) - g(\theta, 0, 0)| + |g(\theta, 0, 0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$\leq (\kappa_{1} ||y|| + \kappa_{2} ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_{1}) \left[\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\nu_{1} (\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \nu_{3} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho + \varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1} + 1)} \right) \right] + (\kappa_{1} ||y|| + \kappa_{2} ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_{2}) \left[\frac{\nu_{3} (\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} \right.$$

$$+ \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} + \beta_{1} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1} + 1)} \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq (\kappa_{1} ||y|| + \kappa_{2} ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_{1}) \mathcal{P}_{1} + (\kappa_{1} ||y|| + \kappa_{2} ||z|| + \mathcal{G}_{2}) \mathcal{Q}_{1}.$$

$$(3.15)$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon_{2}(y,z)(\tau) &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - f(\theta,0,0)| + |f(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho + \varrho_{1} - 1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - f(\theta,0,0)| + |f(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \nu_{2} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_{1} - 1} |g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - g(\theta,0,0)| + |g(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} |f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) - f(\theta,0,0)| + |f(\theta,0,0)| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &\leq (\widehat{\kappa}_{1}||y|| + \widehat{\kappa}_{2}||z|| + \beta_{2}) Q_{2} + (\kappa_{1}||y|| + \kappa_{2}||z|| + \beta_{1}) \mathcal{P}_{2}. \end{split} \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, (3.15) and (3.16) follows that $\|\Upsilon(y,z)\| \le \rho$, and therefore $\Upsilon \mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$. Now, for (y_1,z_1) , $(y_2,z_2) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ and any $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\begin{split} &|\Upsilon_{1}(y_{1},z_{1})(\tau)-\Upsilon_{1}(y_{2},z_{2})(\tau)|\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(Q)}\int_{1}^{\tau}\left(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1}|f(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-f(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\\ &+\frac{(\log\tau)^{2}}{\nu}\bigg[\nu_{1}\bigg\{\alpha_{1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\xi_{j}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)}\int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}}\left(\log\frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1}|g(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-g(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\\ &+\beta_{1}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1})}\int_{1}^{\theta}\left(\log\frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1}|g(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-g(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\\ &+\frac{1}{\Gamma(Q)}\int_{1}^{\tau}\bigg(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\bigg)^{\varrho-1}|f(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-f(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\bigg\}\\ &+\nu_{3}\bigg\{\alpha_{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\nu_{j}\frac{1}{\Gamma(Q)}\int_{1}^{\omega_{j}}\bigg(\log\frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\bigg)^{\varrho-1}|f(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-f(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\bigg\}\\ &+\beta_{2}\frac{1}{\Gamma(Q+\varrho_{1})}\int_{1}^{\varphi}\bigg(\log\frac{\varphi}{\theta}\bigg)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1}|f(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-f(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\\ &+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)}\int_{1}^{\tau}\bigg(\log\frac{\tau}{\theta}\bigg)^{\varrho-1}|g(\theta,y_{1}(\theta),z_{1}(\theta))-g(\theta,y_{2}(\theta),z_{2}(\theta))|\frac{d\theta}{\theta}\bigg\}\bigg]\\ &\leq (\kappa_{1}||y_{1}-y_{2}||+\kappa_{2}||z_{1}-z_{2}||\bigg)\bigg[\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)}+\frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu}\bigg\{\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)}+\nu_{1}\bigg(\alpha_{1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\xi_{j}\frac{(\log\varphi_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)}+\beta_{1}\frac{(\log\vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)}\bigg)\bigg\}\bigg]\\ &\leq (\mathcal{P}_{1}(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})+\mathcal{Q}_{1}(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})(|\theta_{1}+\kappa_{2}||z_{1}-z_{2}||). \end{split}$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{2}(y_{1},z_{1})(\tau)-\Upsilon_{2}(y_{2},z_{2})(\tau)| \\ &\leq (\widehat{\kappa}_{1}||y_{1}-y_{2}||+\widehat{\kappa}_{2}||z_{1}-z_{2}||) \bigg[\frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \bigg\{ \frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_{2} \bigg(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)} \bigg\} \bigg\} \bigg] + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \bigg[\bigg\{ \frac{\nu_{2}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{1} \bigg(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \bigg) \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &\times (\kappa_{1}||y_{1}-y_{2}|| + \kappa_{2}||z_{1}-z_{2}||) \\ &\leq (\mathcal{P}_{2}(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}) + Q_{2}(\widehat{\kappa}_{1}+\widehat{\kappa}_{2}))(||y_{1}-y_{2}|| + ||z_{1}-z_{2}||). \end{split}$$

So we obtain

$$\|\Upsilon_1(y_1, z_1) - \Upsilon_1(y_2, z_2)\| \le (\mathcal{P}_1(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + Q_1(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2))(\|y_1 - y_2\| + \|z_1 - z_2\|). \tag{3.17}$$

In the same way,

$$\|\Upsilon_2(y_1, z_1) - \Upsilon_2(y_2, z_2)\| \le (\mathcal{P}_2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + Q_2(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2))(\|y_1 - y_2\| + \|z_1 - z_2\|). \tag{3.18}$$

So, from (3.17) and (3.18) we conclude that

$$\|\Upsilon(y_1, z_1) - \Upsilon(y_2, z_2)\| \le (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2)[\|y_1 - y_2\| + \|z_1 - z_2\|].$$

Therefore, it follows from condition $(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) < 1$, that Υ is a contraction operator. Thus we conclude by Theorem (see Theorem 1.2.2 [21]) that operator Υ has a unique fixed point, which is the unique solution to the problem (1.1) and (1.2).

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. In addition, \exists positive constants \mathcal{T}_1 , \mathcal{T}_2 such that $\forall \tau \in \mathcal{K}$ and $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(\tau, y, z)| \le \mathcal{T}_1, |g(\tau, y, z)| \le \mathcal{T}_2.$$
 (3.19)

Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution on K, if

$$\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} < 1. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Let us define a ball $\mathcal{B}_{\rho} = \{(y, z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} : ||(y, z)|| \le \rho\}$ closed as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau) &= \frac{(\log \tau)^2}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_1 \bigg\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\zeta_j} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_1)} \int_1^{\theta} \Big(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_1 - 1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_3 \bigg\{ \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^{\omega_j} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_1)} \int_1^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho + \varrho_1 - 1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \bigg], \\ \Upsilon_{1,2}(y,z)(\tau) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^\tau \Big(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau) &= \frac{(\log \tau)^2}{\nu} \bigg[\nu_1 \bigg\{ \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^{\omega_j} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_1)} \int_1^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho+\varrho_1-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_2 \bigg\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\zeta_j} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1)} \int_1^{\theta} \Big(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \bigg], \\ \Upsilon_{2,2}(y,z)(\tau) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\tau} \Big(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}. \end{split}$$

Note that $\Upsilon_1(y,z)(\tau) = \Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau) + \Upsilon_{1,2}(y,z)(\tau)$ and $\Upsilon_2(y,z)(\tau) = \Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau) + \Upsilon_{2,2}(y,z)(\tau)$ on \mathcal{B}_{ρ} is a closed, bounded, and convex subset of Banach space $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ and that the Ball \mathcal{B}_{ρ} . Now let us choose $\rho \geq \max\{\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{T}_1 + Q_1\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{P}_2\mathcal{T}_1 + Q_2\mathcal{T}_2\}$, and demonstrate the $\Upsilon\mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ to test Theorem's (see Theorem 4.4.1 [21]) condition (i), if we set $y = (y_1, y_2), z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$, and using condition (3.19), we get

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau) + \Upsilon_{1,2}(y,z)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \Big(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_{1} \bigg\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} \mathcal{T}_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \Big(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} \mathcal{T}_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_{3} \bigg\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\Big)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} \mathcal{T}_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\Big)^{\varsigma-1} \mathcal{T}_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &\leq \mathcal{P}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{1} + \mathcal{Q}_{1} \mathcal{T}_{2} \leq \rho. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we find that

$$|\Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau) + \Upsilon_{2,2}(y,z)(\tau)| \le \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{T}_1 + Q_2 \mathcal{T}_2 \le \rho.$$

The two above inequalities contribute to the assumption that $\Upsilon_1(y,z) + \Upsilon_2(\hat{y},\hat{z}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ does. So we define that operator $(\Upsilon_{1,2},\Upsilon_{2,2})$ is a condition (*iii*) of Theorem (see Theorem 4.4.1 [21]) that satisfies contraction. For $(y_1,z_1), (y_2,z_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Upsilon_{1,2}(y_1, z_1)(\tau) - \Upsilon_{1,2}(y_2, z_2)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho - 1} |f(\theta, y_1(\theta), z_1(\theta)) - f(\theta, y_2(\theta), z_2(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &\leq \frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\kappa_1 ||y_1 - y_2|| + \kappa_2 ||z_1 - z_2||), \end{aligned}$$
(3.21)

and

$$|\Upsilon_{2,2}(y_1, z_1)(\tau) - \Upsilon_{2,2}(y_2, z_2)(\tau)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma - 1} |g(\theta, y_1(\theta), z_1(\theta)) - g(\theta, y_2(\theta), z_2(\theta))| \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} (\widehat{\kappa}_1 ||y_1 - y_2|| + \widehat{\kappa}_2 ||z_1 - z_2||). \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22) it follows that

$$\begin{split} &|(\Upsilon_{1,2},\Upsilon_{2,2})(y_1,z_1)(\tau) - (\Upsilon_{1,2},\Upsilon_{2,2})(y_2,z_2)(\tau)|\\ &\leq \left(\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)}\right) (||y_1 - y_2|| + ||z_1 - z_2||), \end{split}$$

which is a contraction by (3.20). Hence Theorem's (see Theorem 4.4.1 [21]) condition (iii) is satisfied. Next we can demonstrate that the operator ($\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1}$) fulfills the Theorem's (see Theorem 4.4.1 [21]) condition (ii). By applying the continuity of the $f,g: \mathcal{K} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ functions, we can infer that the ($\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1}$) operator is continuous. For each $(y,z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ we have

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{(\log \tau)^2}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_1 \Bigg\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\zeta_j} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_1)} \int_1^{\theta} \Big(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1-1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_3 \Bigg\{ \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^{\omega_j} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_1)} \int_1^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho+\varrho_1-1} \mathcal{T}_1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma-1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \Bigg] \\ &= \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau)| &\leq \frac{(\log \tau)^2}{\nu} \Bigg[\nu_1 \Bigg\{ \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^{\omega_j} \Big(\log \frac{\omega_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho-1} \mathcal{T}_1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_1)} \int_1^{\varphi} \Big(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho + \varrho_1 - 1} \mathcal{T}_1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma - 1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \\ &+ \nu_2 \Bigg\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_1^{\zeta_j} \Big(\log \frac{\zeta_j}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma - 1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \beta_1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_1)} \int_1^{\vartheta} \Big(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta} \Big)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_1 - 1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^T \Big(\log \frac{T}{\theta} \Big)^{\varrho - 1} \mathcal{T}_2 \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \Bigg\} \Bigg] \\ &= \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \end{split}$$

that leads to this

$$\|(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})(y, z)\| \le \widehat{\Lambda}_1 + \widehat{\Lambda}_2.$$

Therefore the set $(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ is bounded uniformly. We'll be demonstrating in the next phase that the $(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ set is equicontinuous. For $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ and for any $(y, z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} &|\Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau_2) - \Upsilon_{1,1}(y,z)(\tau_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{(\log \tau_2)^2 - (\log \tau_1)^2}{\nu} \bigg\{ \mathcal{T}_1 \bigg[\frac{\nu_1 (\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_3 \bigg(\alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j \frac{(\log \omega_j)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_2 \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_1}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_1+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_2 \bigg[\frac{\nu_3 (\log T)^\varsigma}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_1 \bigg(\alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^\varsigma}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

In a similar manner, we can get

$$\begin{split} &|\Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau_2) - \Upsilon_{2,1}(y,z)(\tau_1)| \\ &\leq \frac{(\log \tau_2)^2 - (\log \tau_1)^2}{\nu} \bigg\{ \mathcal{T}_2 \bigg[\frac{\nu_1 (\log T)^\varsigma}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_2 \bigg(\alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^\varsigma}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_1 \bigg[\frac{\nu_2 (\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_1 \bigg(\alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j \frac{(\log \omega_j)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_2 \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_1}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_1+1)} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Thus $|(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})(y, z)(\tau_2) - (\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})(y, z)(\tau_1)|$ tends to zero as $\tau_1 \to \tau_1$ independent of $(y, z) \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$. Therefore the set $(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ is equicontinuous. Therefore it implies from the lemma (see Lemma 1.2 [29]) that the operator $(\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{2,1})$ is compact on \mathcal{B}_{ρ} . We conclude from Theorem's (see Theorem 4.4.1 [21]) statement that the problem (1.1) and (1.2) has at least one solution on \mathcal{K} .

4. Examples

Example 4.1. Consider the following coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard type FDEs:

$$\begin{cases}
 \frac{57}{c} \mathcal{D} \frac{57}{20} y(\tau) = f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)), & \tau \in [1, 2], \\
 \frac{49}{c} \mathcal{D} \frac{1}{20} z(\tau) = g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)), & \tau \in [1, 2],
\end{cases}$$
(4.1)

equipped with coupled boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \frac{17}{400} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \xi_{j} z(\zeta_{j}) + \frac{13}{250}^{H} I^{\frac{9}{20}} z(\frac{93}{50}), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \frac{8}{125} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \upsilon_{j} y(\omega_{j}) + \frac{3}{40}^{H} I^{\frac{17}{20}} y(\frac{73}{50}). \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Here,
$$\varrho = \frac{57}{20}$$
, $\varsigma = \frac{49}{20}$, $\varrho_1 = \frac{17}{20}$, $\varsigma_1 = \frac{9}{20}$, $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{8}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{9}{40}$, $\xi_3 = \frac{13}{40}$, $\xi_4 = \frac{17}{40}$, $\upsilon_1 = \frac{3}{25}$, $\upsilon_2 = \frac{11}{50}$, $\upsilon_3 = \frac{8}{25}$, $\upsilon_4 = \frac{21}{50}$, $\zeta_1 = \frac{36}{25}$, $\zeta_2 = \frac{79}{50}$, $\zeta_3 = \frac{44}{25}$, $\zeta_4 = \frac{97}{50}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{63}{50}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{34}{25}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{83}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{47}{25}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{17}{400}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{8}{125}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{13}{250}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{3}{40}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{93}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{73}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{17}{25}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{13}{250}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{13}{25$

$$f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) = \frac{1}{4(\tau^2 + 16)} \left(2\tau + \frac{|y(\tau)|}{1 + |y(\tau)|} + \frac{1}{4} \sin(z(\tau)) \right)$$
$$g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) = \frac{1}{25\tau} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{4} + \sin(y(\tau)) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{|z(\tau)|}{1 + |z(\tau)|} \right).$$

The functions f and g obviously satisfy the (\mathcal{E}_1) condition with $\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{34}$, $\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{68}$, $\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{272}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_0 = \frac{1}{100}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_1 = \frac{1}{25}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_2 = \frac{1}{75}$. With the data given, we find that $v_1 \approx 0.4804530139182014$, $v_2 \approx 0.01960022943737283$, $v_3 \approx 0.02532387378450272$, $v \approx 0.23033874484666408$, $\mathcal{P}_1 \approx 0.1414146436570378$, $Q_1 \approx 0.13001906168784882$, $\mathcal{P}_2 \approx 0.005190521521769147$, $Q_2 \approx 0.25950439692408167$.

 $Q_2 \approx 0.25950439692408167.$ With $\lambda_1(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_1(Q_1 + Q_2) \approx 0.017736896655930263 < 1$, $\lambda_2(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_2(Q_1 + Q_2) \approx 0.0057326356926890015 < 1$, all of the Theorem 3.1 requirements are fulfilled. Problem (4.1) and (4.2) therefore have a solution on [1, 2].

Example 4.2. Consider the following coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard type FDEs:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}\frac{83}{30}y(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{2} + \frac{1}{80}\sin(y(\tau)) + \frac{9}{400}\frac{|z(\tau)|}{1 + |z(\tau)|}, \quad \tau \in [1, 2], \\ \frac{47}{{}^{C}\mathcal{D}\frac{20}{20}z(\tau) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\tau}}{3} + \frac{8}{10(\tau + 24)}\frac{|y(\tau)|}{1 + |y(\tau)|} + \frac{21}{500}\sin(z(\tau)), \quad \tau \in [1, 2], \end{cases}$$

$$(4.3)$$

equipped with coupled boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \frac{17}{400} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \xi_{j} z(\zeta_{j}) + \frac{13}{250} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\frac{9}{20}} z(\frac{93}{50}), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \frac{8}{125} \sum_{j=1}^{4} v_{j} y(\omega_{j}) + \frac{3}{40} {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\frac{17}{20}} y(\frac{73}{50}). \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

Here,
$$\varrho = \frac{83}{30}$$
, $\varsigma = \frac{47}{20}$, $\varrho_1 = \frac{17}{20}$, $\varsigma_1 = \frac{9}{20}$, $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{8}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{9}{40}$, $\xi_3 = \frac{13}{40}$, $\xi_4 = \frac{17}{40}$, $\upsilon_1 = \frac{3}{25}$, $\upsilon_2 = \frac{11}{50}$, $\upsilon_3 = \frac{8}{25}$, $\upsilon_4 = \frac{21}{50}$, $\zeta_1 = \frac{36}{25}$, $\zeta_2 = \frac{79}{50}$, $\zeta_3 = \frac{44}{25}$, $\zeta_4 = \frac{97}{50}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{63}{50}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{34}{25}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{83}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{47}{25}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{17}{400}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{8}{125}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{13}{250}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{3}{40}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{93}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{73}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_5 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_7 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_8 = \frac{13}{50$

$$|f(\tau, y_1(\tau), z_1(\tau)) - f(\tau, y_2(\tau), z_2(\tau))| = \left(\frac{1}{80}|y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| + \frac{9}{400}|z_1(\tau) - z_2(\tau)|\right)$$

$$|g(\tau, y_1(\tau), z_1(\tau)) - g(\tau, y_2(\tau), z_2(\tau))| = \left(\frac{4}{125}|y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| + \frac{21}{500}|z_1(\tau) - z_2(\tau)|\right),$$

we have $\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{80}$, $\kappa_2 = \frac{9}{400}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_1 = \frac{4}{125}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_2 = \frac{21}{500}$.

With the data given, we find that $v_1 \approx 0.4804530139182014$, $v_2 \approx 0.01960022943737283$, $v_3 \approx 0.02532387378450272$, $v \approx 0.23033874484666408$, $\mathcal{P}_1 \approx 0.16113944169300537$, $Q_1 \approx 0.15010321156298798$, $\mathcal{P}_2 \approx 0.00596663953967596$, $Q_2 \approx 0.2995844504200921$ and $(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) \approx 0.03912559982989178 < 1$. Therefore all of the Theorem 3.2 assumptions are fulfilled. Consequently, on [1,2] a unique solution exists for the problem (4.3) and (4.4) by Theorem 3.2.

5. Stability results for the problem (1.1) and (1.2)

The stability of the solutions given by

$$y(\tau) = \Upsilon_1(y, z)(\tau), \quad z(\tau) = \Upsilon_2(y, z)(\tau),$$
 (5.1)

Hyers-Ulam for BVP (1.1) and (1.2) is discussed in this section. Where Υ_1 and Υ_2 are defined by (3.2) and (3.3). Let us define nonlinear operators in the following S_1 , $S_2 \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$;

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) - f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) = \mathcal{S}_{1}(y, z)(\tau), & \tau \in \mathcal{K}, \\ {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}z(\tau) - g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) = \mathcal{S}_{2}(y, z)(\tau), & \tau \in \mathcal{K}. \end{cases}$$

For some $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, it considered the following inequalities:

$$\|S_1(y,z)\| \le \mu_1, \ \|S_2(y,z)\| \le \mu_2.$$
 (5.2)

Definition 5.1. The coupled system (1.1) and (1.2) is said to be stable in Hyers-Ulam, if $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 > 0$ exists such that there is a unique solution $(y, z) \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ of problems (1.1) and (1.2) with

$$||(y,z)-(y^*,z^*)|| \le \mathcal{H}_1\mu_1 + \mathcal{H}_2\mu_2,$$

for every solution $(y^*, z^*) \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ of inequality (5.2).

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.2) is Hyers-Ulam-stable.

Proof. Let $(y, z) \in C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{R})$ be the (1.1) and (1.2) the solution of the problems that satisfy (3.2) and (3.3). Let (y^*, z^*) be any satisfying solution (5.2):

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) = f(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) + \mathcal{S}_{1}(y, z)(\tau), \ \tau \in \mathcal{K}, \\ {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}z(\tau) = g(\tau, y(\tau), z(\tau)) + \mathcal{S}_{2}(y, z)(\tau), \ \tau \in \mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

So,

$$y^{*}(\tau) = \Upsilon_{1}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} S_{1}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} S_{2}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} S_{2}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} S_{1}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\}$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} S_{1}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right.$$

$$+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} S_{1}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} S_{2}(y, z)(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\} \right].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{1}(y^{*},z^{*})(\tau) - y^{*}(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} \mu_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} \mu_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} \mu_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} \mu_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\} \\ &+ \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\omega_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\omega_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} \mu_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\ &+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\varphi} \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} \mu_{1} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} \mu_{2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{3} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} \right) + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right\} \right] \mu_{1} + \left[\frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\nu_{3}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_{1} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)} \right) \right\} \right] \mu_{2}$$

$$\leq \mathcal{P}_{1}\mu_{1} + Q_{1}\mu_{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} |\Upsilon_{2}(y^{*},z^{*})(\tau)-z^{*}(\tau)| &\leq \left[\frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left\{\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\xi_{j}\frac{(\log\zeta_{j})^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)}\right)\right] \\ &+ \beta_{1}\frac{(\log\vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}+1)} \right] \mu_{2} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\left\{\frac{\nu_{2}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\upsilon_{j}\frac{(\log\omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2}\frac{(\log\varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)}\right)\right\}\right] \mu_{1} \\ &+ \nu_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k-2}\upsilon_{j}\frac{(\log\omega_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2}\frac{(\log\varphi)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)}\right)\right\} \mu_{1} \\ &\leq Q_{2}\mu_{2} + \mathcal{P}_{2}\mu_{1}, \end{split}$$

where in (3.4)–(3.7) is described $\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2,Q_1$ and Q_2 . The Υ operator, given by (3.2) and (3.3), can therefore be excluded as follows from the fixed point property.

$$|y(\tau) - y^{*}(\tau)| = |y(\tau) - \Upsilon_{1}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) + \Upsilon_{1}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) - y^{*}(\tau)|$$

$$\leq |\Upsilon_{1}(y, z)(\tau) - \Upsilon_{1}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau)| + |\Upsilon_{1}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) - y^{*}(\tau)|$$

$$\leq (\mathcal{P}_{1}\kappa_{1} + Q_{1}\widehat{\kappa}_{1}) + (\mathcal{P}_{1}\kappa_{2} + Q_{1}\widehat{\kappa}_{2})||(y, z) - (y^{*} - z^{*})|| + \mathcal{P}_{1}\mu_{1} + Q_{1}\mu_{2}$$
(5.3)

$$|z(\tau) - z^{*}(\tau)| = |z(\tau) - \Upsilon_{2}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) + \Upsilon_{2}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) - z^{*}(\tau)|$$

$$\leq |\Upsilon_{2}(y, z)(\tau) - \Upsilon_{2}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau)| + |\Upsilon_{2}(y^{*}, z^{*})(\tau) - z^{*}(\tau)|$$

$$\leq (Q_{2}\widehat{\kappa}_{1} + \mathcal{P}_{2}\kappa_{1}) + (Q_{2}\widehat{\kappa}_{2} + \mathcal{P}_{2}\kappa_{2})||(y, z) - (y^{*} - z^{*})|| + Q_{2}\mu_{2} + \mathcal{P}_{2}\mu_{1}.$$
(5.4)

From (5.3) and (5.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y,z) - (y^* - z^*)\| &\leq (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)\mu_1 + (Q_1 + Q_2)\mu_2 + (\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) \\ &\times \|(y,z) - (y^* - z^*)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$||(y,z) - (y^* - z^*)|| \le \frac{(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)\mu_1 + (Q_1 + Q_2)\mu_2}{1 - ((\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2))}$$

$$\le \mathcal{H}_1\mu_1 + \mathcal{H}_2\mu_2,$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}_1 &= \frac{(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)}{1 - ((\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2))}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2 &= \frac{(Q_1 + Q_2)}{1 - ((\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2))}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the BVP (1.1)–(1.2) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

6. Example

Example 6.1. Consider the following coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard type FDEs:

$$\begin{cases}
c \mathcal{D}^{\frac{97}{40}} y(\tau) = \frac{e^{-\log \tau}}{4} + \frac{2}{5(\tau + 16)} \frac{|y(\tau)|}{1 + |y(\tau)|} + \frac{7}{200} \cos(z(\tau)), \quad \tau \in [1, 2], \\
\frac{41}{c \mathcal{D}^{\frac{15}{2}} z(\tau)} = \frac{1}{(\tau + 2)^2} + \frac{11}{200} \sin(y(\tau)) + \frac{3}{7(\tau^2 + 27)} \frac{|z(\tau)|}{1 + |z(\tau)|}, \quad \tau \in [1, 2],
\end{cases}$$
(6.1)

equipped with coupled boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \frac{17}{400} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \xi_{j} z(\zeta_{j}) + \frac{13}{250}^{H} I^{\frac{9}{20}} z(\frac{93}{50}), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \frac{8}{125} \sum_{j=1}^{4} v_{j} y(\omega_{j}) + \frac{3}{40}^{H} I^{\frac{17}{20}} y(\frac{73}{50}). \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

Here,
$$\varrho = \frac{97}{40}$$
, $\varsigma = \frac{41}{15}$, $\varrho_1 = \frac{17}{20}$, $\varsigma_1 = \frac{9}{20}$, $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{8}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{9}{40}$, $\xi_3 = \frac{13}{40}$, $\xi_4 = \frac{17}{40}$, $\upsilon_1 = \frac{3}{25}$, $\upsilon_2 = \frac{11}{50}$, $\upsilon_3 = \frac{8}{25}$, $\upsilon_4 = \frac{21}{50}$, $\zeta_1 = \frac{36}{25}$, $\zeta_2 = \frac{79}{50}$, $\zeta_3 = \frac{44}{25}$, $\zeta_4 = \frac{97}{50}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{63}{50}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{34}{25}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{83}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{47}{25}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{17}{400}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{8}{125}$, $\omega_1 = \frac{13}{250}$, $\omega_2 = \frac{3}{40}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{93}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{73}{50}$, $\omega_4 = \frac{73}{50}$, $\omega_5 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_6 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_7 = \frac{13}{50}$, $\omega_8 = \frac{13}{50$

$$|f(\tau, y_1(\tau), z_1(\tau)) - f(\tau, y_2(\tau), z_2(\tau))| = \left(\frac{2}{85}|y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| + \frac{7}{200}|z_1(\tau) - z_2(\tau)|\right)$$

$$|g(\tau, y_1(\tau), z_1(\tau)) - g(\tau, y_2(\tau), z_2(\tau))| = \left(\frac{11}{200}|y_1(\tau) - y_2(\tau)| + \frac{3}{196}|z_1(\tau) - z_2(\tau)|\right),$$

we have $\kappa_1 = \frac{2}{85}$, $\kappa_2 = \frac{7}{200}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_1 = \frac{11}{200}$, $\widehat{\kappa}_2 = \frac{3}{196}$.

With the data given, we find that $v_1 \approx 0.4804530139182014$, $v_2 \approx 0.01960022943737283$, $v_3 \approx 0.02532387378450272$, $v \approx 0.23033874484666408$, $\mathcal{P}_1 \approx 0.2690687091717075$, $Q_1 \approx 0.08501392524416244$, $\mathcal{P}_2 \approx 0.010361690715239952$, $Q_2 \approx 0.16968755312542472$. Then problem (6.1) and (6.2) has a unique solution for [1,2], which is stable for Hyers-Ulam, with $(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) \approx 0.0342619702607479 < 1$, so all requirements of Theorem 5.2.

7. Existence results for the problem (1.1) and (1.3)

Lemma 7.1. Let $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \mathcal{A}C^n_{\delta}[1, T]$. Then, the linear system solution of FDEs

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varrho}y(\tau) = \hat{f}(\tau), \\ {}^{C}\mathcal{D}^{\varsigma}z(\tau) = \hat{g}(\tau), \end{cases}$$
(7.1)

enhanced with the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, \ y'(1) = 0, \ y(T) = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j z(\zeta_j) + \beta_1^H \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma_1} z(\vartheta), \\ z(1) = 0, \ z'(1) = 0, \ z(T) = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j y(\zeta_j) + \beta_2^H \mathcal{I}^{\varrho_1} y(\vartheta), \\ 1 < \vartheta < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \dots < \zeta_{k-2} < T. \end{cases}$$
(7.2)

$$y(\tau) = {}^{H}I^{\varrho}\hat{f}(\tau) + \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}{}^{H}I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}{}^{H}I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H}I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right\} + \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}{}^{H}I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{2}{}^{H}I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\vartheta) - {}^{H}I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right\} \right]$$

$$(7.3)$$

and

$$z(\tau) = {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varsigma}\hat{g}(\tau) + \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{2} {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\vartheta) - {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right\} + \nu_{2} \left\{ \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1} {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right\} \right]$$

$$(7.4)$$

where

$$\nu_1 = (\log T)^2, \quad \nu_2 = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_j (\log \zeta_j)^2 + \frac{2\beta_2 (\log \vartheta)^{2+\varrho_1}}{\Gamma(3+\varrho_1)}, \tag{7.5}$$

$$v_3 = \alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_j (\log \zeta_j)^2 + \frac{2\beta_1 (\log \vartheta)^{2+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(3+\varsigma_1)}, \quad v = v_1^2 - v_2 v_3.$$
 (7.6)

Proof. Solving the FDEs (7.1) in a standard manner, we get

$$y(\tau) = {}^{H}\mathcal{I}^{\varrho}\hat{f}(\tau) + a_{0} + a_{1}\log\tau + a_{2}(\log\tau)^{2}, \tag{7.7}$$

$$z(\tau) = {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\tau) + b_{0} + b_{1} \log \tau + b_{2} (\log \tau)^{2}, \tag{7.8}$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 0, 1, 2, are arbitrary constants. Using the boundary conditions (7.2) in (7.7) and (7.8), we obtain $a_0 = a_1 = 0$, $b_0 = b_1 = 0$, and

$$a_{2}v_{1} - b_{2}v_{3} = \alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T), \tag{7.9}$$

$$b_{2}v_{1} - a_{2}v_{2} = \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} v_{j}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T).$$
 (7.10)

Solving the system (7.9)–(7.10) for a_2, b_2 , we get

$$a_{2} = \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right)$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right), \qquad (7.11)$$

$$b_{2} = \nu_{1} \left(\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{2}^{H} I^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}} \hat{f}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(T) \right)$$

$$+ \nu_{2} \left(\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j}^{H} I^{\varsigma} \hat{g}(\zeta_{j}) + \beta_{1}^{H} I^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}} \hat{g}(\vartheta) - {}^{H} I^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right), \qquad (7.12)$$

where v_1, v_2, v_3, v are given by (7.5) and (7.6) respectively. Substituting the values of a_2, b_2 in (7.7) and (7.8), we obtain the solutions (7.3) and (7.4).

Next, we define an operator

$$\Upsilon(y,z)(\tau) = (\Upsilon_1(y,z)(\tau), \Upsilon_2(y,z)(\tau)), \tag{7.13}$$

in relation to problem (1.1) and (1.3), with

$$\Upsilon_{1}(y,z)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_{1}-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta}$$

$$+ \nu_{3} \left\{\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} + \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\},$$

$$(7.14)$$

$$\Upsilon_{2}(y,z)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\tau} \left(\log \frac{\tau}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
+ \frac{(\log \tau)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\nu_{1} \left\{\alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\
+ \beta_{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varrho_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho + \varrho_{1} - 1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\} \\
+ \nu_{2} \left\{\alpha_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_{1}^{\zeta_{j}} \left(\log \frac{\zeta_{j}}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma-1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right. \\
+ \beta_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_{1})} \int_{1}^{\theta} \left(\log \frac{\theta}{\theta}\right)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_{1} - 1} g(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \\
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{T}{\theta}\right)^{\varrho-1} f(\theta,y(\theta),z(\theta)) \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \right\}. \tag{7.15}$$

For the convenience of computation, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{1} = \frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_{1}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{3} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right\} \right]$$
(7.16)

$$Q_1 = \frac{(\log T)^2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_3 (\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} + \nu_1 \left\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma + \varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varsigma_1 + 1)} \right\} \right]$$
(7.17)

$$\mathcal{P}_{2} = \frac{(\log T)^{2}}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_{2}(\log T)^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \nu_{1} \left\{ \alpha_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \nu_{j} \frac{(\log \zeta_{j})^{\varrho}}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \beta_{2} \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varrho+\varrho_{1}}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varrho_{1}+1)} \right\} \right]$$
(7.18)

$$Q_2 = \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu_1 (\log T)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \nu_2 \left\{ \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j \frac{(\log \zeta_j)^{\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+1)} + \beta_1 \frac{(\log \vartheta)^{\varsigma+\varsigma_1}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\varsigma_1+1)} \right\} \right]. \tag{7.19}$$

Now for the problem (1.1) and (1.3), we state the results of existence, uniqueness, and stability. We are not providing the proof as it is similar to those in Section 3, Section 4, Section 5, Section 6.

Theorem 7.2. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_1) hold. If

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_1(Q_1 + Q_2) < 1, \quad \lambda_2(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2) + \widehat{\lambda}_2(Q_1 + Q_2) < 1.$$
 (7.20)

Then there exists at least one solution for problem (1.1) and (1.3) on K, where \mathcal{P}_1 , Q_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 , and Q_2 are given by (7.16)–(7.19) respectively.

Theorem 7.3. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.3) has a unique solution on \mathcal{K} , provided that

$$(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2)(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2) < 1, \tag{7.21}$$

where P_1 , Q_1 , P_2 , and Q_2 are given by (7.16)–(7.19).

Theorem 7.4. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. In addition, \exists positive constants \mathcal{T}_1 , \mathcal{T}_2 such that $\forall \tau \in \mathcal{K}$ and $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(\tau, y, z)| \le \mathcal{T}_1, |g(\tau, y, z)| \le \mathcal{T}_2.$$
 (7.22)

Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.3) has at least one solution on K, if

$$\frac{(\log T)^{\varrho}(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{(\log T)^{\varsigma}(\widehat{\kappa}_1 + \widehat{\kappa}_2)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + 1)} < 1. \tag{7.23}$$

Theorem 7.5. Suppose that (\mathcal{E}_2) hold. Then the BVP (1.1) and (1.3) is Hyers-Ulam-stable.

8. Conclusions

We have studied the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions for a coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard-type FDEs augmented by Hadamard fractional integral and multi-point conditions via the alternatives of Leray-Schauder, Banach, fixed-point theorems of Krasnoselskii, Hyer-Ulam stable. The work presented in this paper is new and significantly contributes to the existing literature on the topic. When the parameters involved in problem $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ were set, our results corresponded to some special problems. Suppose we present the problems (1.1) and (1.2) with the form: to take $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ in the results provided;

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, & y'(1) = 0, & y(T) = \beta_1^H \mathcal{I}^{\varsigma_1} z(\vartheta), \\ z(1) = 0, & z'(1) = 0, & z(T) = \beta_2^H \mathcal{I}^{\varrho_1} y(\varphi), \\ 1 < \vartheta < \varphi < T, \end{cases}$$

while the results are:

$$\begin{cases} y(1) = 0, & y'(1) = 0, & y(T) = \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \xi_j z(\zeta_j), \\ z(1) = 0, & z'(1) = 0, & z(T) = \alpha_2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \upsilon_j y(\omega_j), \\ 1 < \zeta_1 < \omega_1 < \zeta_2 < \omega_2 < \dots < \zeta_{k-2} < \omega_{k-2} < T, \end{cases}$$

followed by $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. We can solve above problems similar to problem (1.1) and (1.2) by using the methodology employed in the previous section.

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their constructive remarks on our work.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

- 1. R. P. Agarwal, B. Ahmad, D. Garout, A. Alsaedi, Existence results for coupled nonlinear fractional differential equations equipped with nonlocal coupled flux and multi-point boundary conditions, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **102** (2017), 149–161.
- 2. R. P. Agarwal, A. Alsaedi, N. Alghamdi, S. Ntouyas, B. Ahmad, Existence results for multiterm fractional differential equations with nonlocal multi-point and multi-strip boundary conditions, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2018** (2018), 1–23.
- 3. B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi, M. H. Aqlan, A coupled system of Caputo-type sequential fractional differential equations with coupled (periodic/anti-periodic type) boundary conditions, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **14** (2017), 1–15.
- 4. B. Ahmad, S. Ntouyas, A fully hadamard type integral boundary value problem of a coupled system of fractional differential equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **17** (2014), 348–360.
- 5. A. Ali, K. Shah, F. Jarad, V. Gupta, T. Abdeljawad, Existence and stability analysis to a coupled system of implicit type impulsive boundary value problems of fractional-order differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2019** (2019), 1–21.
- 6. Z. Ali, A. Zada, K. Shah, Existence and stability analysis of three point boundary value problem, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **3** (2017), 651–664.
- 7. Y. Ding, Z. Wang, H. Ye, Optimal control of a fractional-order HIV-immune system with memory, *IEEE Trans. Control. Syst. Technol.*, **20** (2011), 763–769.
- 8. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, Caputo-type modification of the Hadamard fractional derivatives, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2012** (2012), 1–8.
- 9. C. Jiang, A. Zada, M. T. Senel, T. Li, Synchronization of bidirectional n-coupled fractional-order chaotic systems with ring connection based on antisymmetric structure, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2019** (2019), 1–16.
- 10. C. Jiang, F. Zhang, T. Li, Synchronization and antisynchronization of n-coupled fractional-order complex chaotic systems with ring connection, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **41** (2018), 2625–2638.
- 11. A. A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier Science Limited, 2006.
- 12. J. Klafter, S. Lim, R. Metzler, Fractional dynamics: Recent advances, World Scientific, 2012.
- 13. Z. Laadjal, Q. Ma, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear volterra-fredholm integro-differential equation of fractional order with boundary conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2019. DOI: 10.1002/mma.5845.
- 14. Q. Ma, J. Wang, R. Wang, X. Ke, Study on some qualitative properties for solutions of a certain two-dimensional fractional differential system with Hadamard derivative, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **36** (2014), 7–13.
- 15. Q. Ma, R.Wang, J.Wang, Y. Ma, Qualitative analysis for solutions of a certain more generalized two-dimensional fractional differential system with Hadamard derivative, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **257** (2015), 436–445.

- 16. J. T. Machado, V. Kiryakova, F. Mainardi, Recent history of fractional calculus, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **16** (2011), 1140–1153.
- 17. S. Muthaiah, D. Baleanu, Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions depending on lower-order fractional derivatives, *Axioms*, **9** (2020), 1–17.
- 18. S. Muthaiah, M. Murugesan, N. G. Thangaraj, Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problem of Hadamard fractional differential equations, *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, **3** (2019), 162–173.
- 19. I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations: An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Elsevier, 1998.
- 20. K. Shah, A. Ali, S. Bushnaq, Hyers-ulam stability analysis to implicit cauchy problem of fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **41** (2018), 8329–8343.
- 21. D. R. Smart, Fixed point theorems, CUP Archive, 1980.
- 22. M. Subramanian, D. Baleanu, Stability and existence analysis to a coupled system of Caputo type fractional differential equations with Erdelyi-kober integral boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, **14** (2020), 415–424.
- 23. M. Subramanian, A. Kumar, T. N. Gopal, Analysis of fractional boundary value problem with non-local integral strip boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Stud.*, **26** (2019), 445–454.
- 24. M. Subramanian, A. R. V. Kumar, T. N. Gopal, Analysis of fractional boundary value problem with non local flux multi-point conditions on a caputo fractional differential equation, *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai. Math.*, **64** (2019), 511–527.
- 25. M. Subramanian, A. V. Kumar, T. N. Gopal, Influence of coupled nonlocal slit-strip conditions involving Caputo derivative in fractional boundary value problem, Discontinuity, *Nonlinearity Complexity*, **8** (2019), 429–445.
- 26. M. Subramanian, A. V. Kumar, T. N. Gopal, A strategic view on the consequences of classical integral sub-strips and coupled nonlocal multi-point boundary conditions on a combined Caputo fractional differential equation, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, **22** (2019), 437–453.
- 27. M. Subramanian, A. V. Kumar, T. N. Gopal, A writ large analysis of complex order coupled differential equations in the ourse of coupled non-local multi-point boundary conditions, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **29** (2019), 505–520.
- 28. D. Valerio, J. T. Machado, V. Kiryakova, Some pioneers of the applications of fractional calculus, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **17** (2014), 552–578.
- 29. Z. Yong, W. Jinrong, Z. Lu, *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, World Scientific, 2016.
- 30. F. Zhang, G. Chen, C. Li, J. Kurths, Chaos synchronization in fractional differential systems, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A.*, **371** (2013), 1–26.



© 2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)