



Research article

Geometric properties of harmonic functions associated with the symmetric conjecture points and exponential function

Lina Ma^{1,2,*}, Shuhai Li^{1,2} and Huo Tang^{1,2}

¹ School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, Inner Mongolia, China

² Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, Inner Mongolia, China

* Correspondence: Email: malina00@163.com.

Abstract: In this paper, some classes of univalent harmonic functions are introduced by subordination, where the analytic parts of which are exponential starlike (or convex) functions with respect to the symmetric conjecture points. According to the relationships of the analytic part and the co-analytic part, the geometric properties, such as coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, integral expressions, estimates and growth conditions and covering theorem, of the classes are obtained.

Keywords: harmonic function; exponential function; subordination; symmetric conjecture point; coefficient estimates

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C65

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions h of the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \tag{1.1}$$

where h is analytic in $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

We denote \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} the subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent, starlike and convex functions respectively ([1, 2]) and denote $\mathcal{P} = \{p : p(0) = 1, \text{Re}p(z) > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}\}$.

An analytic function $s : \mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is subordinate to an analytic function $t : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, if there is a function ν satisfying $\nu(0) = 0$ and $|\nu(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), such that $s(z) = t(\nu(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Note that $s(z) < t(z)$. Especially, if t is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the following conclusion is true (see [1]):

$$s(z) < t(z) \iff s(0) = t(0) \text{ and } s(\mathbb{U}) \subset t(\mathbb{U}).$$

In 1933, a classical Fekete-Szegő problem for $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}$ was introduced by Fekete and Szegő [3] as follows,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \mu \leq 0, \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right), & 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp.

Using the subordination, the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$ of starlike and convex functions were defined by Ma and Minda [4] in 1994. The function $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ iff $\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} < \phi(z)$ and $\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} < \phi(z)$, where $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$. Moreover, Fekete-Szegő problems of the classes were obtained by Ma and Minda [4]. The problem of Fekete-Szegő has always been a hot topic in geometry function theory. Many authors studied and obtained many results (see [5–7]).

Let $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. The classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\phi)$ reduce to $\mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$, which are the classes of Janowski starlike and convex functions respectively (see [8]).

Without loss of generality, both $\mathcal{S}^*\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{K}$ represent the well-known classes of starlike and convex function respectively.

In 2015, Mediratta et al. [9] introduced the family of exponential starlike functions $\mathcal{S}^*(e^z)$, that is

$$\mathcal{S}^*(e^z) = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} < e^z, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathcal{S}^*(e^z) = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \log \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

According to the properties of the exponential function e^z and the subordination relationship, the class $\mathcal{S}^*(e^z)$ maps the unit disc \mathbb{U} onto a region, which is symmetric with respect to the real axis and 1.

In 1959, the class \mathcal{S}_s^* of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points was introduced by Sakaguchi [10]. The function $h \in \mathcal{S}_s^*$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z) - h(-z)} > 0.$$

In 1987, the classes \mathcal{S}_c^* and \mathcal{S}_{cs}^* of starlike functions with respect to conjugate points and symmetric conjugate points were introduced by El-Ashwa and Thomas [11] as follows,

$$h \in \mathcal{S}_c^* \iff \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z) + \overline{h(\bar{z})}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h \in \mathcal{S}_{cs}^* \iff \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z) - \overline{h(-\bar{z})}} > 0.$$

For analytic functions $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Let \mathcal{S}_H define the class of harmonic mappings with the following form (see [12, 13])

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| = \alpha \in [0, 1). \quad (1.3)$$

In particular, h is called the analytic part and g is called the co-analytic part of f .

It is well known that the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is locally univalent and sense preserving in \mathbb{U} if and only if $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) ([14]).

According to the above conclusion, the coefficient estimations, distortion theorems, integral expressions, Jacobi estimates and growth condition in geometric properties of covering theorem of the co-analytic part can be obtained by using the analytic part of harmonic functions. In the recent years, various subclasses of S_H were researched by many authors as follows.

In 2007, the subclass of S_H with $h \in \mathcal{K}$ was studied by Klimek and Michalski [15].

In 2014, the subclass of S_H with $h \in \mathcal{S}$ was studied by Hotta and Michalski [16].

In 2015, the subclasses of S_H with $h \in S^*(\frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z})$ and $h \in K(\frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z})$ were studied by Zhu and Huang [17].

In this paper, by using subordination relationship, we studied the subclasses of S_H with $\frac{h(z)-\bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \in S^*(e^z)$ and $\frac{h(z)-\bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \in K(e^z)$.

Definition 1. Suppose $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ of the form (1.3). Let $HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$ denote the class of harmonic univalent exponential starlike functions with symmetric conjecture point consisting of f with $h \in S_{sc}^*(e)$. That is, the function $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$ if and only if

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} < e^z.$$

Also, let $HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$ denote the class of harmonic univalent convex exponential functions with symmetric conjecture point consisting of f with $h \in K_{sc}(e)$, that is, $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$ if and only if

$$\frac{2(zh'(z))'}{(h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z}))'} < e^z.$$

We know that $h(z) \in K_{sc}(e) \iff zh'(z) \in S_{sc}^*(e)$.

2. Preliminary preparation

In order to obtain our results, we need Lemmas as follows.

Lemma 1. ([18]). Let $\omega(z) = c_0 + c_1z + \dots + c_nz^n + \dots$ be analytic satisfying $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ in \mathbb{U} . Then

$$|c_n| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2, n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|c_2 - \gamma c_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\gamma|\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2. Let

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} = p(z),$$

we have

$$h(z) = \int_0^z p(\eta) \exp \int_0^\eta \frac{p(t) + \bar{p}(-\bar{t}) - 2}{2t} dt d\eta.$$

Lemma 3. If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S_{sc}^*(e)$, then

$$|a_{2n}| \leq \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{2n+1}| \leq \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}. \quad (2.3)$$

The estimate is sharp for h given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} - 1.$$

If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K_{sc}^*(e)$, then

$$|a_{2n}| \leq \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2n(2n)!!} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{2n+1}| \leq \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n+1)(2n)!!}. \quad (2.4)$$

The estimate is sharp for h given by

$$h(z) = \arcsin z - \log(1 + \sqrt{1-z^2}) + \log 2.$$

Proof. Let $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S_{sc}^*(e)$. According to Definition 1, we have

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \overline{h(-\bar{z})}} < e^z.$$

There exists a function $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$ satisfying

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \overline{h(-\bar{z})}} = p(z), \quad (2.5)$$

that is,

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k < e^z = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \cdots.$$

Using the results of Rogosinski [19], we have $|p_k| \leq 1$ for $k \geq 1$.

By means of comparing the coefficients of the both sides of (2.5), we get

$$2na_{2n} = p_{2n-1} + a_3 p_{2n-3} + \cdots + a_{2n-1} p_1,$$

and

$$2na_{2n+1} = p_{2n} + a_3 p_{2n-2} + \cdots + a_{2n-1} p_2.$$

Let $\phi(n) = 1 + |a_3| + \cdots + |a_{2n-1}|$. It is easy to verify that

$$|a_{2n}| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \phi(n) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$|a_{2n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \phi(n). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.7), we have

$$\phi(n+1) \leq \frac{(2n+1)!!}{(2n)!!}. \quad (2.8)$$

According to (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we can obtain (2.3).

If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K_{sc}(e)$, then $zh'(z) \in S_{sc}^*(e)$. Using the results in (2.3), we can obtain (2.4) easily. \square

Lemma 4. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

(1) If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S_{sc}^*(e)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \frac{1}{2}|\mu - 1|\}. \quad (2.9)$$

(2) If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K_{sc}(e)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6} \max\{1, \frac{1}{8}|3\mu - 4|\}. \quad (2.10)$$

The estimates are sharp.

Proof. Let $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S_{sc}^*(e)$. According to the subordination relationship and Definition 1, we get

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} = e^{v(z)},$$

that is,

$$\log \frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} = v(z), \quad (2.11)$$

where $v(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with $v(0) = 0$ and $|v(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

By means of comparing the coefficients of two sides of (2.11), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2}c_1, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_4 = \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{3}{8}c_2c_1 + \frac{5}{48}c_1^3.$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\{c_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 1)c_1^2\}.$$

Using the fact that (2.2) in Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1, \frac{1}{2}|\mu - 1|\}.$$

The bound is sharp for h given as follows,

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\xi + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^t + e^{-t} - 2}{2t} dt\right) d\xi \quad \text{or} \quad h(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\xi^2 + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{t^2} - 1}{t} dt\right) d\xi.$$

Taking $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K_{sc}(e) \iff zh'(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^n \in S_{sc}^*(e)$ into consideration, it is easy to obtain (2.10). The bound is sharp for h given as follows,

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \exp\left(\xi + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^t + e^{-t} - 2}{2t} dt\right) d\xi d\eta \quad \text{or} \quad h(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \exp\left(\xi^2 + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{t^2} - 1}{t} dt\right) d\xi d\eta.$$

□

Lemma 5. Suppose $h(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$.

(1) Let $h(z) \in S^*(e)$. Then

$$\exp\left(-r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) < |h'(z)| < \exp\left(r + \int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) < |h(z)| < r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right). \quad (2.13)$$

(2) Let $h(z) \in K(e)$. Then

$$\exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) < |h'(z)| < \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{2\eta} d\eta\right) < |h(z)| < r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{2\eta} d\eta\right). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Let $h(z) \in S^*(e)$ and $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$. According to the subordination relationship and Definition 1, there exists an analytic function $\nu(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ satisfying $\nu(0) = 0$ and $|\nu(z)| < |z|$, such that

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = e^{\nu(z)}.$$

Thus, it is concluded that

$$e^{-r} < \left| \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right| < e^r, \quad (2.16)$$

that is,

$$e^{-r} < \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} < e^r. \quad (2.17)$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |h(z)|. \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) < |h(z)| < r \exp\left(\int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right). \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$r \exp(-r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) < |zh'(z)| < r \exp(r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta).$$

Similar to the previous proof. We let $h(z) \in K(e)$ and $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$, then

$$e^{-r} < |1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}| < e^r.$$

After simple calculation, we have

$$e^{-r} - 1 < \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} < e^r - 1. \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.18) and (2.20), we get

$$\exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta < |h'(z)| < \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta.$$

Using the conclusion in [19], for $h(z) \in K(e)$, we have $\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z)} - 1 < e^z$. According to the subordination relationship, we have

$$e^{-r} < |\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z)} - 1| < e^r,$$

After simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{e^{-r} - 1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} - 1 < \frac{e^r - 1}{2}. \quad (2.21)$$

By (2.18) and (2.21), we get

$$r \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{2\eta} d\eta < |h(z)| < r \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{2\eta} d\eta.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 6. ([20]). If $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{sc}^*(e)$, then $\frac{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \in \mathcal{S}^*(e)$.

Lemma 7. If $h(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{sc}(e)$, then $\frac{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \in \mathcal{K}(e)$.

Lemma 8. Suppose $h(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$.

(1) Let $h \in \mathcal{S}_{sc}^*(e)$. Then

$$\phi_1(r) < |h'(z)| < \phi_2(r),$$

where

$$\phi_1(r) = \exp(-r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta), \quad \phi_2(r) = \exp(r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta). \quad (2.22)$$

(2) Let $h \in \mathcal{K}_{sc}(e)$. Then

$$\psi_1(r) < |h'(z)| < \psi_2(r),$$

where

$$\psi_1(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \exp(-t + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt, \quad \psi_2(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \exp(t + \int_0^t \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. Suppose $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{sc}^*(e)$. It is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$e^{-r} \left| \frac{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \right| \leq |zh'(z)| \leq e^r \left| \frac{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \right|. \quad (2.24)$$

According to Lemma 6 and (2.13) of Lemma 5, we have

$$r \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta < \left| \frac{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})}{2} \right| < r \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta. \quad (2.25)$$

By (2.24) and (2.25), we can obtain (2.22).

If $h(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{sc}(e)$, then

$$e^{-r} \left| \frac{(h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z}))'}{2} \right| \leq |(zh'(z))'| \leq e^r \left| \frac{(h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z}))'}{2} \right|. \quad (2.26)$$

According to Lemmas 7 and (2.14) of Lemma 5, we have

$$\exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta < \left| \frac{(h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z}))'}{2} \right| < \exp \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta. \quad (2.27)$$

By (2.26) and (2.27), we get

$$\exp(-r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) \leq |(zh'(z))'| \leq \exp(r + \int_0^r \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta). \quad (2.28)$$

By (2.28), integrating along a radial line $\xi = te^{i\theta}$, we obtained immediately,

$$|zh'(z)| \leq \int_0^r \exp(t + \int_0^t \frac{e^{\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt$$

The verification for the remainder of (2.23) is given as follows. Let $H(z) := zh'(z)$, which is univalent. Suppose that $\xi_1 \in \Gamma = H(\{z : |z| = r\})$ is the nearest point to the origin. By means of rotation, we suppose that $\xi_1 > 0$ and $z_1 = H^{-1}(\xi_1)$. Let $\gamma = \{\xi : 0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_1\}$ and $L = H^{-1}(\gamma)$. If $\varsigma = H^{-1}(\xi)$, then $d\xi = H'(\varsigma)d\varsigma$. Hence

$$\xi_1 = \int_0^{\xi_1} d\xi = \int_0^{z_1} H'(\varsigma)d\varsigma \geq \int_0^r |H'(te^{i\theta})| dt \geq \int_0^r \exp(-t + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt.$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 8 is completed. \square

3. Main results

Next, the integral expressions for functions of the classes defined in Definition 1 are obtained.

Theorem 1. Let ω and ν be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $|\omega(0)| = \alpha$, $\nu(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $|\nu(z)| < 1$. If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$. Then

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \varphi(\xi) d\xi + \overline{\int_0^z \omega(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d\xi}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\varphi(\xi) = e^{\nu(\xi)} \exp \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{\nu(t)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{t})} - 2}{2t} dt. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$. Using Definition 1 and the subordination relationship, there exist analytic functions ω and ν satisfying $\omega(0) = b_1$, $\nu(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $|\nu(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), such that

$$\frac{2zh'(z)}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} = e^{\nu(z)}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$g'(z) = \omega(z)h'(z). \quad (3.4)$$

If we substitute z by $-\bar{z}$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$\frac{-2\bar{z}h'(-\bar{z})}{h(-\bar{z}) - \bar{h}(z)} = e^{\nu(-\bar{z})}. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\frac{2z(h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z}))'}{h(z) - \bar{h}(-\bar{z})} = e^{\nu(z)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{z})}. \quad (3.6)$$

A routine computation for the equality (3.6) gives rise to the following equation,

$$\frac{\bar{h}(z) - h(-\bar{z})}{2} = z \exp \int_0^z \frac{e^{\nu(t)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{t})} - 2}{2t} dt. \quad (3.7)$$

Plugging (3.7) back into (3.3), we have

$$h'(z) = e^{\nu(z)} \exp \int_0^z \frac{e^{\nu(t)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{t})} - 2}{2t} dt. \quad (3.8)$$

If the equality (3.8) is integrated from the both sides of it, then

$$h(z) = \int_0^z e^{\nu(\xi)} \exp \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{\nu(t)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{t})} - 2}{2t} dt d\xi.$$

Inserting (3.8) into (3.4), it is easy to show that

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \omega(\xi) e^{\nu(\xi)} \exp \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{\nu(t)} + e^{\bar{\nu}(-\bar{t})} - 2}{2t} dt d\xi.$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

Taking Theorem 1 and $h \in K_{sc}(e) \iff zh'(z) \in S_{sc}^*(e)$ into consideration, we get the following result.

Theorem 2. Let ω and ν be analytic in \mathbb{U} satisfying $|\omega(0)| = \alpha$, $\nu(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $|\nu(z)| < 1$. If $f \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \varphi(\xi) d\xi d\eta + \overline{\int_0^z \frac{\omega(\eta)}{\eta} \int_0^\eta \varphi(\xi) d\xi d\eta}.$$

where $\varphi(\xi)$ is defined by (3.2).

In the following, the coefficient estimates of the class $HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$ will be obtained.

Theorem 3. Let h and g be given by (1.3). If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then

$$|b_{2n}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{2n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} \right) + \alpha \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}, & n \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\alpha^2)}{3} + \frac{\alpha}{2}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{2n+1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \right) + \alpha \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}, & n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

The estimates are sharp and the extremal function is

$$f_0^\alpha(z) = \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} - 1 + \int_0^z \frac{(\alpha + (1-\alpha^2 - \alpha)t)}{(1-t)^2 \sqrt{1-t^2}} dt.$$

Specially, if $f \in HS_{sc}^{*,0}(e)$, then

$$|b_{2n}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} \right), & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2n+1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \right), & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The estimates are sharp and the extremal function is

$$f_1^0(z) = \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} - 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2z^3 + 3z^2 - 1}}{3(1-z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{3}.$$

Proof. Let h and g be given by (1.3). Using the fact that $g' = \omega h'$ satisfying $\omega(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ analytic in \mathbb{U} , we obtain

$$2nb_{2n} = \sum_{p=1}^{2n} p a_p c_{2n-p} \quad (a_1 = 1, n \geq 1) \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$(2n+1)b_{2n+1} = \sum_{p=1}^{2n+1} p a_p c_{2n+1-p} \quad (a_1 = 1, n \geq 1). \quad (3.12)$$

It is easy to show that

$$2n|b_{2n}| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{2n} p |a_p| |c_{2n-p}|$$

and

$$(2n+1)|b_{2n+1}| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{2n+1} p|a_p||c_{2n+1-p}|.$$

Since $g' = \omega h'$, it follows that $c_0 = b_1$. By (2.1), it is obvious that $|c_k| \leq 1 - \alpha^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$|b_{2n}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + |a_2|\alpha, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{2n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{2n-1} k|a_k|\right) + \alpha|a_{2n}|, & n \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{3}(1 + 2|a_2|) + |a_3|\alpha, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{2n+1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{2n} k|a_k|\right) + \alpha|a_{2n+1}|, & n \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

According to Lemma 3, (3.13) and (3.14), after the simple calculation, (3.9) and (3.10) can be obtained easily. We also obtain the extreme function. \square

By using the same methods in Theorem 3, the following results are obtained.

Theorem 4. Let h and g of the form (1.3). If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$|b_{2n}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha}{4}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{(2n)^2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!}\right) + \alpha \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2n(2n)!!}, & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\alpha^2)}{9} + \frac{\alpha}{6}, & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{(2n+1)^2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n-2)!!}\right) + \alpha \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n+1)(2n)!!}, & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For functions of the classes defined in the paper, Fekete-Szegő inequality of which are listed below.

Theorem 5. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g given by (1.3) and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

(1) If $f \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{3} \left\{1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1-\alpha^2)}{4} + \frac{|2-3\mu b_1|}{2}\right\} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \max\left\{1, \frac{|\mu b_1 - 1|}{2}\right\},$$

$$|b_{2n} - b_{2n-1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha^2) + \frac{3\alpha}{2}, & n = 1, \\ (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n-1}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!}\right) - \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-1)(2n-4)!!} \right) \\ \quad + \alpha \left(\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} + \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \right), & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1} - b_{2n}| \leq (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n (4k-3) \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!}\right) + \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n+1)(2n-2)!!} \right) + 2\alpha \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

(2) If $f \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{3} \left\{1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1-\alpha^2)}{4} + \frac{|2-3\mu b_1|}{4}\right\} + \frac{\alpha}{6} \max\left\{1, \frac{|4-3\mu b_1|}{8}\right\},$$

$$|b_{2n} - b_{2n-1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha^2) + \frac{5\alpha}{4}, & n = 1, \\ (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n-1} \right) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} \right) - \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-1)(2n-2)!!} \right) \\ \quad + \alpha \left(\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)(2n)!!} + \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-1)(2n-2)!!} \right), & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1} - b_{2n}| \leq (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\left(\frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{(2k-3)!!}{(2k-2)!!} \right) + \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n+1)(2n)!!} \right) \\ + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. From the relation (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$2b_2 = c_1 + 2a_2c_0, \quad 3b_3 = c_2 + 2a_2c_1 + 3a_3c_0,$$

and

$$2nb_{2n} = \sum_{p=1}^{2n} pa_p c_{2n-p}, \quad (2n+1)b_{2n+1} = \sum_{p=1}^{2n+1} pa_p c_{2n+1-p} \quad (a_1 = 1, n \geq 1).$$

By (2.1), we have

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^2)}{4} + |a_2||2 - 3\mu b_1| \right\} + \alpha |a_3 - \mu b_1 a_2^2|,$$

$$|b_{2n} - b_{2n-1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha^2) + \alpha(1 + |a_2|), & n = 1, \\ (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{p=1}^{2n-1} p|a_p| + \frac{1}{2n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{2n-2} p|a_p| \right) + \alpha(|a_{2n}| + |a_{2n-1}|), & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$|b_{2n+1} - b_{2n}| \leq (1 - \alpha^2) \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{p=1}^{2n} p|a_p| + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{p=1}^{2n-1} p|a_p| \right) + \alpha(|a_{2n+1}| + |a_{2n}|), \quad n \geq 1.$$

According to Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we can complete the proof of Theorem 5. The estimates above are sharp. \square

Paralleling the results of Zhu et al. [17], the corresponding results for functions of the classes defined in the paper can be obtained. For example, the estimates of distortion, growth of g and Jacobian of f and so on.

Theorem 6. Let $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$.

(1) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then

$$\frac{\max\{\alpha - r, 0\}}{(1 - \alpha r)} \phi_1(r) \leq |g'(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha + r}{(1 + \alpha r)} \phi_2(r), \quad (3.15)$$

where $\phi_1(r)$ and $\phi_2(r)$ are given by (2.22).

Especially, let $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$|g'(z)| \leq r \exp \left(r + \int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta \right).$$

(2) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$\frac{\max\{\alpha - r, 0\}}{(1 - \alpha r)} \psi_1(r) \leq |g'(z)| \leq \frac{(\alpha + r)}{(1 + \alpha r)} \psi_2(r), \quad (3.16)$$

where $\psi_1(r)$ and $\psi_2(r)$ are given by (2.23).

Especially, let $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$|g'(z)| \leq \int_0^r \exp(t + \int_0^t \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt.$$

Proof. According to the relation $g' = \omega h'$, it is easy to see $\omega(z)$ satisfying $|\omega(0)| = |g'(0)| = |b_1| = \alpha$ such that ([21]):

$$\left| \frac{\omega(z) - \omega(0)}{1 - \overline{\omega(0)}\omega(z)} \right| \leq |z|.$$

It is easy to show

$$\left| \omega(z) - \frac{\omega(0)(1 - r^2)}{1 - |\omega(0)|^2 r^2} \right| \leq \frac{r(1 - |\omega(0)|^2)}{1 - |\omega(0)|^2 r^2}.$$

A tedious calculation gives

$$\frac{\max\{\alpha - r, 0\}}{1 - \alpha r} \leq |\omega(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha + r}{1 + \alpha r}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (3.17)$$

Applying (3.17) and (2.22), we get (3.15). Similarly, applying (3.17) and (2.23), we get (3.16). Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 6. \square

Using the method analogous to that in proof of Lemma 8, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 7. Let $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$.

(1) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*\alpha}(e)$, then

$$\int_0^r \frac{\max\{\alpha - \xi, 0\}}{(1 - \alpha \xi)} \phi_1(\xi) d\xi \leq |g(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{\alpha + \xi}{(1 + \alpha \xi)} \phi_2(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\phi_1(\xi)$ and $\phi_2(\xi)$ are given by (2.22).

Especially, let $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$|g(z)| \leq \int_0^r \xi \exp\left(\xi + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) d\xi.$$

(2) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$\int_0^r \frac{\max\{\alpha - \xi, 0\}}{(1 - \alpha \xi)} \psi_1(\xi) d\xi \leq |g(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{(\alpha + \xi)}{(1 + \alpha \xi)} \psi_2(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\psi_1(\xi)$ and $\psi_2(\xi)$ are given by (2.23).

Especially, let $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$|g(z)| \leq \int_0^r \int_0^\xi \exp(t + \int_0^t \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt d\xi.$$

Next, the Jacobian estimates and growth estimates of f are obtained.

Theorem 8. Let $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$.

(1) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1+\alpha r)^2} \phi_1^2(r) \leq J_f(z) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1-\alpha r)^2} \phi_2^2(r), & r < \alpha, \\ \phi_2^2(r), & r \geq \alpha. \end{cases},$$

where $\phi_1(r)$ and $\phi_2(r)$ are given by (2.22).

(2) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1+\alpha r)^2} \psi_1^2(r) \leq J_f(z) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1-\alpha r)^2} \psi_2^2(r), & r < \alpha, \\ \psi_2^2(r), & r \geq \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where $\psi_1(r)$ and $\psi_2(r)$ are given by (2.23).

Proof. It is well known that Jacobian of $f = h + \bar{g}$ is

$$J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2 = |h'(z)|^2(1 - |\omega(z)|^2), \quad (3.18)$$

where ω satisfying $g' = \omega h'$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Let $f \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, plugging (3.17) and (2.22) back into (3.18), we get

$$J_f(z) \geq \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1+\alpha r)^2} \exp\left(-2r + 2 \int_0^r \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_f(z) &\leq \exp\left(2r + 2 \int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) \left(1 - \frac{(\max\{(\alpha - r), 0\})^2}{(1 - \alpha r)^2}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \exp\left(2r + 2 \int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right) \cdot \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-r^2)}{(1-\alpha r)^2}, & r < \alpha, \\ \exp\left(2r + 2 \int_0^r \frac{e^\eta - 1}{\eta} d\eta\right), & r \geq \alpha. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus this completes the proof of (1). Plugging (3.17) and (2.23) back into (3.18), (2) of Theorem 8 can be proved by the same method as employed before. \square

Theorem 9. Let $|z| = r$, $0 \leq r < 1$.

(1) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then

$$\int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{(1+\alpha\xi)} \phi_1(\xi) d\xi \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{(1+\alpha\xi)} \phi_2(\xi) d\xi, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\phi_1(\xi)$ and $\phi_2(\xi)$ are given by (2.22).

(2) If $f = h + \bar{g} \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then

$$\int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{(1+\alpha\xi)} \psi_1(\xi) d\xi \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{(1+\alpha\xi)} \psi_2(\xi) d\xi, \quad (3.20)$$

where $\psi_1(\xi)$ and $\psi_2(\xi)$ are given by (2.23).

Proof. For any point $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U}$, let $\mathbb{U}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{U} : |z| < r\}$ and denote

$$d = \min_{z \in \mathbb{U}_r} |f(\mathbb{U}_r)|.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{U}(0, d) \subseteq f(\mathbb{U}_r) \subseteq f(\mathbb{U})$. Thus, there is $z_r \in \partial\mathbb{U}_r$ satisfying $d = |f(z_r)|$. Let $L(t) = tf(z_r)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then $\ell(t) = f^{-1}(L(t))$ is a well-defined Jordan arc. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(\beta)$, by (2.22) and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d &= |f(z_r)| = \int_L |d\omega| = \int_\ell |df| = \int_\ell |h'(\rho)d\rho + \overline{g'(\rho)}d\bar{\rho}| \\ &\geq \int_\ell |h'(\rho)|(1 - |\omega(\rho)|)|d\rho| \\ &\geq \int_\ell \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - |\rho|)}{(1 + \alpha|\rho|)} \exp(-|\rho| + \int_0^{|\rho|} \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) |d\rho|, \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - |\ell(t)|)}{(1 + \alpha|\ell(t)|)} \exp(-|\ell(t)| + \int_0^{|\ell(t)|} \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt, \\ &\geq \int_0^r \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \xi)}{(1 + \alpha\xi)} \exp(-\xi + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.22) and (3.17), the right side of (3.19) is obtained. The remainder of proofs is similar to that in (3.20) and so we omit. \square

According to (3.19) and (3.20), it follows that the covering theorems of f .

Theorem 10. Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$.

(1) If $f \in HS_{sc}^{*,\alpha}(e)$, then $\mathbb{U}_{R_1} \subset f(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$R_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \xi)}{(1 + \alpha\xi)} \exp(-\xi + \int_0^\xi \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) d\xi.$$

(2) If $f \in HK_{sc}^\alpha(e)$, then $\mathbb{U}_{R_2} \subset f(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$R_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \xi)}{\xi(1 + \alpha\xi)} \int_0^\xi \exp(-t + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\eta} - 1}{\eta} d\eta) dt d\xi.$$

4. Conclusion

In this paper, with the help of the analytic part h satisfying certain conditions, we obtain the coefficients estimates of the co-analytic part g and the geometric properties of harmonic functions. Applying the methods in the paper, the geometric properties of the co-analytic part and harmonic function with the analytic part satisfying other conditions can be obtained, which can enrich the research field of univalent harmonic mapping.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Research Program of Science and Technology at Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (Grant No. NJZY20198; Grant No. NJZZ19209), Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China (Grant No. 2020MS01011; Grant No. 2019MS01023; Grant No. 2018MS01026) and the Program for Young Talents of Science and Technology in Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Under Grant (Grant No. NJYT-18-A14).

Conflict of interest

The authors agree with the contents of the manuscript, and there is no conflict of interest among the authors.

References

1. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259)*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
2. H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, London, 1992.
3. M. Fekete, G. Szegő, *Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **s1-8** (1933), 85–89.
4. W. Ma, D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, In: *Proceeding of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, International Press, Boston, USA, 1994, 157–169.
5. R. M. EL-Ashwah, A. H. Hassan, *Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain subclass of analytic functions defined by using Sălăgean operator*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **17** (2017), 827–836.
6. W. Koepf, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions*, P. Am. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 89–95.
7. F. M. Sakar, S. Aytas, H. Ö. Güney, *On The Fekete-Szegő problem for generalized class $M_{\alpha,\gamma}(\beta)$ defined by differential operator*, J. Nat. Appl. Sci., Süleyman Demirel University, **20** (2016), 456–459.
8. W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Pol. Math., **28** (1973), 297–326.
9. R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal, V. Ravichandran, *On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function*, B. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **38** (2015), 365–386.
10. K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. JPN, **11** (1959), 72–75.
11. R. El-Ashwah, D. Thomas, *Some subclasses of closed-to-convex functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., **2** (1987), 85–100.
12. J. Clunie, T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **9** (1984), 3–25.

13. P. L. Duren, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Cambridge University Press, England, 2004.
14. H. Lewy, *On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings*, B. Am. Math. Soc., **42** (1936), 689–692.
15. D. Klimek, A. Michalski, *Univalent anti-analytic perturbations of convex analytic mappings in the unit disc*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A, **61** (2007), 39–49.
16. I. Hotta, A. Michalski, *Locally one-to-one harmonic functions with starlike analytic part*, arXiv: 1404.1826, 2014.
17. M. Zhu, X. Huang, *The distortion theorems for harmonic mappings with analytic parts convex or starlike functions of order β* , J. Math., **2015** (2015), 460191.
18. G. Kohr, I. Graham, *Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2003.
19. W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, P. Lond. Math. Soc., **2** (1945), 48–82.
20. Y. Polatoğlu, M. Bolcal, A. Sen, *Two-point distortion theorems for certain families of analytic functions in the unit disc*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **66** (2003) 4183–4193.
21. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1969.



AIMS Press

©2020 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)