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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are devoted to investigating the blow-up criteria for the three dimensional nematic liquid crystal flows. More precisely, we proved that the smooth solution $(u, d)$ can be extended beyond T, provided that $\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p-3}{p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{\frac{2 q}{q-3}}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty, \frac{3}{2}<p \leq \infty, 3<q \leq \infty$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the following hydrodynamic system modeling the flow of the nematic liquid crystal materials in 3-dimensions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u+u \cdot \nabla u-\mu \Delta u+\nabla p=-\lambda \nabla \cdot(\nabla d \odot \nabla d)  \tag{1.1}\\
\partial_{t} d+u \cdot \nabla d=\gamma\left(\Delta d+|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \\
\nabla \cdot u=0,|d|=1, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), d(x, 0)=d_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u$ is the velocity field, $d$ is the macroscopic average of molecular orientation field and $p$ represents the scalar pressure. And $\mu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $\lambda$ is the competition between the kinetic and potential energies, and $\gamma$ is the microscopic elastic relation time for the molecular orientation field. The notation $\nabla d \odot \nabla d$ represents the $3 \times 3$ matrix, of which the $(i, j) t h$ component can be denoted by $\partial_{i} d_{k} \partial_{j} d_{k}(i, j \leq 3)$.

The model of the hydrodynamic theory for liquid crystals was established by Ericksen and Leslie [8, 12, 13], and the system (1.1) was first introduced by Lin [14] as a simplified version to the

Ericksen-Leslie system describing the flow of nematic liquid crystals. Later, Lin and Liu had done many significant works such as $[15,16]$.

When the orientation field $d$ equals a constant, the above equations become the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Many regularity results on the weak solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations have been well studied, for example see [3-7,9, 17, 18, 21-23, 30, 32, 33], and references therein, where they have proved that the solution is a smooth one if the velocity, or vorticity, or the gradient of velocity, or their components are regular. In their famous work [2], J. Beale et al. proved that the smooth solution $u$ blows up at a finite time $t=T^{*}$ for the 3D Euler equations, if $\int_{0}^{T^{*}}\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathrm{d} t=\infty$, which also holds for the Navier-Stokes equations. In [31], Zhang has investigated a regularity criterion via one velocity and one vorticity component. On the other hand, when the velocity field $u=0$, the system (1.1) becomes to the heat flow of harmonic maps onto a sphere. Wang proved in [24] that, if $0<T^{*}<\infty$ is the maximal time for the unique smooth solution $d \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ;\left(0, T^{*}\right]\right)$, then $\|\nabla d\|_{L^{n}}$ blows up as time $t$ tends to $T^{*}$. Motivated by these developments, the global smooth solution on the nematic liquid crystal model (1.1) are studied in a series papers [10, 19, 20, 26-29]. Huang and Wang [10] established a BKM type blow-up criterion for the system (1.1). That is, if $T^{*}$ is the maximal time, $0<T^{*}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T^{*}}\left(\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}+\|\nabla d\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is improved by Zhao [29] via two velocity components and molecular orientations. More precisely, the smooth solution (u, d) of the system (1.1) blows up at time $t=T^{*}<\infty$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T^{*}}\left(\left\|\nabla_{h} u^{h}\right\|_{\dot{B}_{p, 2 p}^{3}}^{q}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty \text {, with } \frac{3}{p}+\frac{2}{q}=2, \frac{3}{2}<p \leq \infty \text {. } \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, Yuan and Wei [27] consider the blow-up criterion in terms of the vorticity in Besov space of negative index and the orientation field in the homogeneous Besov space. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\omega\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{2-r}}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty, 0<r<2 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution $(u, d)$ can be extended smoothly beyond $T$.
Inspired by [27] and [31], we are aimed to replace the gradient of velocity in (1.3) and the vorticity in (1.4) by one velocity and one vorticity component. Our main results are stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Assume the initial data $u_{0} \in H^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$, and $d_{0} \in H^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{S}^{2}\right),(u, d)$ is a smooth solution to the equations of (1.1) on $[0, T)$ for some $0<T<\infty$. Then (u,d) can be extended beyond $T$, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p}{p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{\frac{2 q}{q-3}}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\right) d t<\infty, \text { with } \frac{3}{2}<p \leq \infty, 3<q \leq \infty . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. As we know, if the initial data $u_{0} \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$ and $d_{0} \in H^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ for $s \geq n$, then there exists a positive time $T$ depending only on the initial value such that system (1.1) has a unique smooth solution $(u, d) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ satisfying (see for example [25])

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{s-2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right), \\
d \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

In the following part, we shall use simplified notations. we shall use the letter $C$ to denote a generic constant which may be different from line to line, and write $\partial_{t} u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$. Since the concrete values of the constants $\mu, \lambda, \gamma$ play no role in our discussion, to simplify the presentation, we shall assume that $\mu=\lambda=\gamma=1$ in this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall recall the interpolation inequality in [1] and the commutator estimate in [11], which will be used in the process of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. (Page 82 in [1]). Let $1<q<p<\infty$ and $\alpha$ be a positive real number. Then there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\alpha, \alpha}^{\alpha},}^{1-\theta}\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{q, q}^{\beta}}^{\theta}, \text { with } \beta=\alpha\left(\frac{p}{q}-1\right), \theta=\frac{q}{p} .
$$

In particular, when $\beta=1, q=2$ and $p=4$, we have $\alpha=1$ and

$$
\|f\|_{L^{4}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}, \infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{H^{1}}^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. (Commutator estimate [11]). Let $s>0,1<p<\infty$, and $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}=\frac{1}{p_{3}}+\frac{1}{p_{4}}$ with $p_{2}$, $p_{3} \in(1,+\infty)$ and $p_{1}, p_{4} \in[1,+\infty]$. Then,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\Lambda^{s}(f g)\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\left(\|g\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|\Lambda^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}+\left\|\Lambda^{s} g\right\|_{L^{p_{3}}}\|f\|_{L_{p_{4}}},\right. \\
\left\|\left\|\left[\Lambda^{s}, f \cdot \nabla\right] g \cdot\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\left(\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\left\|\Lambda^{s} g\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}}+\left\|\Lambda^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p_{3}}}\|\nabla g\|_{L^{p_{4}}}\right) .\right.
\end{array}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by energy methods. Under the condition (1.5), it suffices to show that, there exists a constant C such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\omega\|_{L^{\infty}}+\|\nabla d\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t<C \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is enough to guarantee the extension of smooth solution $(u, d)$ beyond the time $T$, for details refer to [10].

Firstly, taking the $L^{2}$ inner product with $u$ and $-\Delta d$ to the equations $(1.1)_{1}$ and $(1.1)_{2}$ respectively, and adding them together, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla d|^{2} d \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|d \Delta d|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the facts $|d|=1,|\nabla d|^{2}=-d \cdot \Delta d$, and the following equalities, due to $\nabla \cdot u=0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u \mathrm{~d} x=0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla p \cdot u \mathrm{~d} x=0,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[(u \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \Delta d-\nabla \cdot(\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \cdot u] \mathrm{d} x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(u_{i} \partial_{i} d \partial_{j} \partial_{j} d-\partial_{i} d \partial_{j} \partial_{j} d u_{i}-\partial_{i} \partial_{j} d \partial_{j} d u_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}-\partial_{i}\left(\frac{\left|\partial_{j} d\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (3.2) in time, we get

$$
\sup _{0<t<T}\left(\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla d(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{T}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla d_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

Next, we are devoted to obtaining the the $H^{1}$ estimate of $u$ and $\nabla d$. Applying $\Delta$ to the Eq. $(1.1)_{2}$, and taking the inner product with $\Delta d$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta(u \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \cdot \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $-\Delta u$, and integrating by parts, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \cdot(\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up (3.3) and (3.4) , it could be derived that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \cdot(\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x \\
- & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta(u \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \cdot \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
:= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For the term $I_{1}$ one may refer to [31], for the completeness, We here give the deduction as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} u_{j} \partial_{j} u_{i} \partial_{k} \partial_{k} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{j} u_{i} \partial_{k} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We classify the the terms $\partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{j} u_{i} \partial_{k} u_{i}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$ as
(1) If $k=j=3$, or $j=i=3$, or $k=i=3$, we then invoke the divergence free condition to replace $\partial_{3} u_{3}$ by $-\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}$;
(2) Otherwise, at least two indices belong to $\{1,2\}$. Thus $I_{1}$ will be

$$
I_{1}=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{11 i j k l} \partial_{1} u_{1} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l}+\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{12 i j k l} \partial_{1} u_{2} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{21 i j k l} \partial_{2} u_{1} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k}+\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{22 i j k l} \partial_{2} u_{2} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} \\
& =I_{11}+I_{12}+I_{21}+I_{22},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{m n i j k l}, 1 \leq m, n \leq 2,1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 3$, are suitable integers. Next, we want to represent $\partial_{m} u_{n}, 1 \leq m, n \leq 2$ by $u_{3}$ and $\omega_{3}$. Denoting by $\Delta_{h}=\partial_{1} \partial_{1}+\partial_{2} \partial_{2}$ the horizontal Laplacian, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{h} u_{1} & =\partial_{1} \partial_{1} u_{1}+\partial_{2} \partial_{2} u_{1} \\
& =\partial_{1}\left(-\partial_{2} u_{2}-\partial_{3} u_{3}\right)+\partial_{2} \partial_{2} u_{1} \\
& =-\partial_{2}\left(\partial_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{2} u_{1}\right)-\partial_{1} \partial_{3} u_{3} \\
& =-\partial_{2} \omega_{3}-\partial_{1} \partial_{3} u_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta_{h} u_{2}=\partial_{1} \omega_{3}-\partial_{2} \partial_{3} u_{3}
$$

Based on the computations above, we can use the two-dimension Riesz transformation $\mathfrak{R}_{m}=\frac{\partial_{m}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}}}$ to denote the term $\partial_{m} u_{n}, 1 \leq m, n \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{m} u_{1}=\frac{\partial_{2}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}}} \frac{\partial_{m}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}}} \omega_{3}+\frac{\partial_{1}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}}} \frac{\partial_{m}}{\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}}} \partial_{3} u_{3}=\mathfrak{R}_{2} \mathfrak{R}_{m} \omega_{3}+\mathfrak{R}_{1} \Re_{m} \partial_{3} u_{3}  \tag{3.6}\\
\partial_{m} u_{2}=\mathfrak{R}_{1} \mathfrak{R}_{m} \omega_{3}+\mathfrak{R}_{2} \mathfrak{R}_{m} \partial_{3} u_{3} . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.6), the term $I_{11}$ could be turned into

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & =\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{11 i j k l} \partial_{1} u_{1} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{11 i j k l}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2} \mathfrak{R}_{1} \omega_{3}+\mathfrak{R}_{1} \mathfrak{R}_{1} \partial_{3} u_{3}\right) \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{11 i j k l} \mathfrak{R}_{2} \mathfrak{R}_{1} \omega_{3} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha_{11 i j k l} \mathfrak{R}_{1} \mathfrak{R}_{1} u_{3}\left(\partial_{3} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{l}+\partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{l}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the Riesz transformation being bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$, and using Hölder and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq C\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2 p}}^{2}+C\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{2 q}{p-2}}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2 p-3}{p}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{p}}+C\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{q-3}{q}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{q+3}{q}} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p}{p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{\frac{2 q}{q-3}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{32}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates of terms $I_{12}, I_{21}, I_{22}$ are similar to $I_{11}$, thus we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq C\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{\frac{2 q}{(-3}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we estimate the terms $I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}+I_{3} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{j}\left(\partial_{i} d_{k} \partial_{j} d_{k}\right) \partial_{l l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{l l}\left(u_{i} \partial_{i} d_{k}\right) \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} d_{k} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \partial_{l l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{l}\left(u_{i} \partial_{i} d_{k}\right) \partial_{l} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \partial_{l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} d_{k} \partial_{l} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \partial_{l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{l} u_{i} \partial_{i} d_{k} \partial_{l} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{l} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \partial_{l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{l} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \partial_{l} u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{l} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{l} d_{k} \partial_{j j} d_{k} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce from the Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}+I_{3} & \leq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq C\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

For $I_{4}$, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{4} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \cdot \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla d|^{2} \nabla d+d \nabla d \nabla^{2} d\right) \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla d|^{2} \nabla^{2} d \Delta d-d \nabla d \nabla^{2} d \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C \mid \nabla \nabla d\left\|_{L^{4}}^{2}\right\| \Delta d\left\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+C\right\| \nabla d\left\|_{L^{4}}\right\| \Delta d\left\|_{L^{4}}\right\| \nabla \Delta d \|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla d\|_{L^{4}}^{2}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq C\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{8}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right. & +\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{q}}^{\frac{2 q}{-3}}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2}\right)\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & +\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \exp \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\omega_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{\frac{2 p}{p-3}}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2 q}{q-3}}+\|\nabla d\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{0}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta d_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& <C
\end{align*}
$$

At last, under the $H^{1}$ estimates of $\nabla u$ and $\Delta d$, we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t<C, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is a constant.
Applying $\Delta$ and $\nabla \Delta$ to the Eqs. $(1.1)_{1}$ and $(1.1)_{2}$ respectively, and taking the $L^{2}$ inner product with $(\Delta u, \nabla \Delta d)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta\left(\nabla d_{j} \cdot \Delta d_{j}\right) \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x \\
- & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \Delta(u \cdot \nabla d) \cdot \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \cdot \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
:= & J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}+J_{4} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality (3.11) and commutator estimate, $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[\Delta, u \cdot \nabla] u \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\|[\Delta, u \cdot \nabla] u\|_{L^{4}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{4}}+\|\Delta u\|_{L^{4}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\Delta u\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{4}}^{2}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq C\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
J_{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla\left(\nabla d_{j} \cdot \Delta d_{j}\right) \nabla \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \left\|\Delta\left(\nabla d_{j} \cdot \Delta d_{j}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & \left(\|\nabla d\|_{L^{4}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}\right)\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & C\left(\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}\right)\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq & C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+C\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{4}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
\leq & C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}+C\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & C\left(\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
J_{3} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[\nabla \Delta, u \cdot \nabla] d \cdot \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\|[\nabla \Delta, u \cdot \nabla] d\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}+\|\nabla d\|_{L^{4}}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}+\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

To bound $J_{4}$, by the facts $|d|=1,|\nabla d|^{2}=-d \cdot \Delta d$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{4} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \cdot \nabla \Delta d \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2} d\right) \Delta^{2} d \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[\Delta\left(|\nabla d|^{2}\right) d+2 \nabla|\nabla d|^{2} \nabla d+|\nabla d|^{2} \Delta d\right] \Delta^{2} d \\
& \leq C\left(\|\Delta d \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}+\|\nabla d \nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}+\|\nabla d \nabla d \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}+\|d \Delta d \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}\right)\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\Delta d\|_{L^{4}}^{2}+\|\nabla d\|_{L^{4}}\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{4}}\right)\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq C\|\Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{5}{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the estimates (3.14)-(3.17) to (3.13), we get

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\Delta^{2} d\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C\left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \Delta d\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right)
$$

which gives us the desired result (3.12) by the Gronwall inequality. Finally, by using the Sobolev embedding $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, (3.12) leads to the BKM's criterion (3.1) immediately, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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