Projective class rings of the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the 2-rank Taft algebra
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Abstract: In this paper, all simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules and indecomposable projective Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the 2-rank Taft algebra $\bar{A}$ are constructed and classified by Radford’s method of constructing Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a Hopf algebra. Furthermore, the projective class ring of the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$ is described explicitly by generators and relations.
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1. Introduction

In 1998, Andruskiewitsch and Schneider (see [1]) introduced the lifting method which was extensively used in the classification of finite dimensional pointed and copointed Hopf algebras. In this process, Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a Hopf algebra (see [2]) play important roles. See for example [3–12]. Therefore, constructing Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a particular interesting Hopf algebra is very important.

In 2003, Radford [13] introduced a general technique to construct simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules. By this idea, one can get all simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. For example, Zhu and Chen [14] described all simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the Hopf Ore extension of the dihedral group $D_n$. Xiong [15] (see also Zhang [16] in somewhat different idea) constructed all simple (resp. indecomposable projective) Yetter-Drinfeld modules and then described their corresponding projective class rings over a non-semisimple and non-pointed Hopf algebra $H_{4n}$ which was firstly introduced in [17] and reconstructed via Hopf Ore extension of automorphism type in [18] by Yang and Zhang. It is remarked that all finite dimensional Hopf algebras over $H_8$ and $H_{12}$ were given in [7] and [8] respectively. In [19], all Yetter-Drinfeld modules and their corresponding Grothendieck rings for a 2$n^2$-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra $H_{2n^2}$ of Kac-Paljutkin type were constructed. In [20], all simple (resp. indecomposable projective) Yetter-Drinfeld modules and their corresponding projective class rings for a family of non-semisimple 8$m$-dimensional Hopf algebra $H_{8m}$
of tame type with even number $m \geq 2$.

Motivated by the above works, we will firstly construct and classify all simple (resp. indecomposable projective) Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$ by the Radford technique where $\bar{A}$ is the 2-rank Taft algebra which Green ring was constructed in [21]. It is remarked that $\bar{A}$ is isomorphic to $H_2(0, -1)$ as Hopf algebras given by $f(x_1) = b, f(x_2) = c, f(y_1) = ab, f(y_2) = cd$ where $a, b, c, d$ are generators of $H_n(p, q)$. Here, $H_n(p, q)$ is firstly constructed in [22] which is a family of $n'$-dimensional Hopf algebras where $n \geq 2$ is an integer, $p$ and $q$ are scalars in the ground field and $q$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity. The second task of this paper is to describe the projective class rings of the category of the Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$. It is pointed that the projective class rings of $H_n(0, q)$ and $H_n(1, q)$ for $n > 2$ in [23] are described. Also, we note that the $n$-rank Taft algebra $\bar{A}_q(n)$ based on the work in [24] was firstly introduced in [25] in which its Drinfeld double $D(\bar{A}_q(n))$ as well as all simple modules of $D(\bar{A}_q(n))$ by the different method from the Radford technique, were constructed.

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results. In Section 3, all simple (or indecomposable projective) Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$ are constructed. In Section 4, the indecomposable projective Yetter-Drinfeld modules corresponding to the simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules of $\bar{A}$ are constructed and the tensor products of arbitrary simple and indecomposable projective Yetter-Drinfeld modules are established. Furthermore, the projective class ring of the category of the Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$ is described.

We firstly fix some notations. Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{K}$ is assumed to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $[[a, b]] = \{a, a + 1, \cdots, b\}$ for $a \leq b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Sweedler notation

$$\Delta(h) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$$

for a Hopf algebra is used and some other notations are referred to [26].

2. Preliminaries

The 2-rank Taft algebra $\bar{A}$ was introduced in [21, 22] which is generated by $x_i, y_j, i, j = 1, 2$, subject to the relations

$$x_i^2 = 1, \quad y_j^2 = 0, \quad x_1x_2 = x_2x_1, \quad y_1y_2 = -y_2y_1, \quad x_1y_j = -y_jx_i.$$

$\bar{A}$ is a Hopf algebra with the coalgebra structure and the antipode given by

$$\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes x_i, \quad \epsilon(x_i) = 1, \quad S(x_i) = x_i,$$
$$\Delta(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + x_i \otimes y_i, \quad \epsilon(y_i) = 0, \quad S(y_i) = y_ix_i.$$

Note that $\dim \bar{A} = 16$ and $\{x_1^l x_2^s y_1^t y_2^r | k, l, s, t = 0, 1\}$ forms a $\mathbb{K}$-basis for $\bar{A}$.

For the definition of $n$-rank Taft algebra $\bar{A}_q(n)$, the authors are referred to [25]. It is well-known that among $n$-rank Taft algebras, the only 2-rank one is of tame type. From the viewpoint of representation theory, we are more interested in describing all simple (resp. indecomposable projective) modules in $\bar{A}YD$. Note that $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_{-1}(2)$. 

Lemma 2.1. [21] There are 4 non-isomorphic 1-dimensional simple $\bar{A}$-modules $S(s_1, s_2)$ with the basis $\{v^{s_1, s_2}\}$. The actions are given by

$$x_i \cdot v^{s_1, s_2} = v^{s_1, s_2}$$
$$y_i \cdot v^{s_1, s_2} = 0$$

where $i = 1, 2$ and $s_1, s_2 = \pm 1$.

Let $\mu M$ be the category of left $H$-modules. Recall that a left Yetter-Drinfeld $H$-module $M$ for a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $H$ is a left $H$-module $(M, \cdot)$ and a left $H$-comodule $(M, \rho)$ satisfying

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = \sum h_{(1)} m_{(-1)} S(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot m_{(0)}, \quad \forall m \in M, h \in H$$

where $S$ is the antipode of $H$ and $\rho(m) = \sum m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$.

The category of left Yetter-Drinfeld $H$-modules is denoted by $H_H YD$, whose morphisms are both $H$-linear and $H$-colinear maps (see [2]). Let $V \in H_H YD$, the left dual $V^*$ is defined by

$$\langle h \cdot f, v \rangle = \langle f, S(h)v \rangle, \quad f_{(-1)}(f_{(0)}, v) = S^{-1}(v_{(-1)})(f, v_{(0)}).$$

(2.1)

According to Radford’s results in [13], we have the following results.

Proposition 2.2. [13] If $V, W \in H_H YD$ then $V \otimes W \in H_H YD$. The actions and coactions are as follows:

$$h \cdot (v \otimes \omega) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \cdot v \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot \omega, \quad \rho(v \otimes \omega) = \sum_{(\omega),(\omega)} v_{(-1)} \omega_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \omega_{(0)}$$

where $v \in V, \omega \in W, h \in H$.

Lemma 2.3. [13] Let $L \in \mu M$. Then, we have

(1) $H \otimes L \in H_H YD$, the module and comodule actions are given by

$$g \cdot (h \otimes l) = \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} hS(g_{(3)}) \otimes g_{(2)} \cdot l, \quad \rho(h \otimes l) = \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes l$$

(2.2)

$$\forall g \in H, l \in L.$$

(2) If $M$ is a simple Yetter-Drinfeld $H$-module, then $M = H \cdot N$ for some simple subcomodule $N$ of $H \otimes L$ where $L$ is a simple left $H$-module.

Applying Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we can construct all simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a specific Hopf algebra $H$, in particular, for $H = \bar{A}$.

3. Simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\bar{A}$

Let $U(k, l, s_1, s_2) := \mathbb{K} \{x^k_1 x^l_2 \otimes v^{s_1, s_2}\}$ where $s_1, s_2 = \pm 1, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. We have

Lemma 3.1. For $s_1, s_2 = \pm 1$, the set

$$\{U(k, l, s_1, s_2) | k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$$

forms a complete set of all simple subcomodules of $\bar{A} \otimes S(s_1, s_2)$.
Proof. Since \( \dim(S(s_1, s_2)) = 1 \), \( \bar{A} \otimes S(s_1, s_2) \cong \bar{A} \) as (left) \( \bar{A} \)-comodules. Since \( \bar{A} \) is pointed, any simple subcomodule of \( \bar{A} \) is equal to \( K \cdot g \) for some group-like element \( g \) in \( \bar{A} \) by [26, Corollary 5.1.8]. Thus, the lemma follows.

Let

\[ I = \{(k, l, s_1, s_2)| k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, s_1, s_2 = \pm 1 \} \]

and

\[ I_0 = \{(k, l, s_1, s_2)|(k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I, s_1 = s_2 = (-1)^{k+l}\} \]

\[ I_1 = \{(k, l, s_1, s_2)|(k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I, s_1 = -s_2 = (-1)^{k+l}\} \]

\[ I_2 = \{(k, l, s_1, s_2)|(k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I, s_1 = -s_2 = (-1)^{k+l+1}\} \]

\[ I_3 = \{(k, l, s_1, s_2)|(k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I, s_1 = s_2 = (-1)^{k+l+1}\} \]

It’s easy to see that \( I = \bigcup_{i=0}^{3} I_i \).

Now, we consider the Yetter-Drinfeld module \( \bar{A} \cdot U(k, l, s_1, s_2) \) by the rules given in Lemma 2.3 where \((k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I \).

(a) Assume that \((k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I_0 \). In this case

\[ x_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = s_1 x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \]

\[ y_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = 0 \]

\[ \rho \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

We get 1-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules \( M_0(k, l) := \mathbb{K}\{\theta^{ij}\} \) where \( \theta^{ij} = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{(-1)^{k+1}(-1)^{i+1}}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). The actions and the coactions are given by

\[ x_i \cdot \theta^{ij} = (-1)^{k+l}\theta^{ij}, \quad y_i \cdot \theta^{ij} = 0, \quad \rho(\theta^{ij}) = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes \theta^{ij} \]

where \( i = 1, 2 \).

(b) Assume that \((k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I_1 \). In this case

\[ x_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = (-1)^{k+l+1} x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

\[ y_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = \left( 1 + (-1)^i \right) (-1)^{k+l} x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_i \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

\[ x_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = (-1)^{k+l+1} x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

\[ y_i \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = 0 \]

\[ \rho \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

\[ \rho \left( x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes u^{i_1,-s_2} \right) = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} + x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2} \]

We get 2-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules \( M_1(k, l) := \mathbb{K}\{\mu^{ij}_0, \mu^{ij}_1\} \) where \( \mu^{ij}_0 = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2}, \mu^{ij}_1 = x_{1}^{k} x_{2} y_2 \otimes u^{s_1,-s_2}, s_1 = -s_2 = (-1)^{k+l}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). The actions and the coactions are given by

\[ x_i \cdot \mu^{ij}_0 = (-1)^{k+l+1} \mu^{ij}_0, \quad y_i \cdot \mu^{ij}_0 = 2\delta_{i,2}(-1)^{k+l} \mu^{ij}_1, \quad x_i \cdot \mu^{ij}_1 = \mu^{ij}_1, \quad y_i \cdot \mu^{ij}_1 = 0 \]

We get 4-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules where actions and the coactions are given by

\[
x_i \cdot \mu^{kl}_j = (-1)^{k+i} \mu^{kl}_i,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \mu^{kl}_j = 0,
\]
\[
\rho(\mu^{kl}_0) = x^k_i x^{k}_{12} \otimes \mu^{kl}_0,
\]
\[
\rho(\mu^{kl}_1) = x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \mu^{kl}_0 + x^k_i x^{k+1}\cdot x^l_{y1} \otimes \mu^{kl}_1.
\]

where \(i = 1, 2\).

Similarly, assume that \((k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I_2\) and we get 2-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules \(M_2(k, l) := \mathbb{K}\{\nu^{kl}_0, \nu^{kl}_1\}\) where \(\nu^{kl}_0 = x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, \nu^{kl}_1 = x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, s_1 = -s_2 = (-1)^{k+i+1}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2\). The actions and the coactions are given by

\[
x_i \cdot \nu^{kl}_0 = (-1)^{k+i} \nu^{kl}_0,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \nu^{kl}_0 = 2\delta_{i,1}(-1)^{k+l} \nu^{kl}_1,
\]
\[
x_i \cdot \nu^{kl}_1 = (-1)^{k+i-1} \nu^{kl}_1,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \nu^{kl}_1 = 0,
\]
\[
\rho(\nu^{kl}_0) = x^k_i x^{k}_{y2} \otimes \nu^{kl}_0,
\]
\[
\rho(\nu^{kl}_1) = x^k_i x^{k}_{y2} \otimes \nu^{kl}_0 + x^{k+1}\cdot x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{kl}_1.
\]

where \(i = 1, 2\).

(c) Assume that \((k, l, s_1, s_2) \in I_3\). In this case

\[
x_i (x^k_i x^{k}_{2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = (-1)^{k+i+1} x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
y_i (x^k_i x^{k}_{2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = 2(-1)^{k+i+1} x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
x_i (x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = (-1)^{k+i} x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
y_i (x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = 2(-1)^{k+i+1} x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
x_i (x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = (-1)^{k+i} x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
y_i (x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = 0,
\]
\[
\rho(x^k_i x^{k}_{y2}) = x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
\rho(x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2} + x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
\rho(x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2} + x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2},
\]
\[
\rho(x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}) = x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2} - x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}
\]
\[+ x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2} + x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}.
\]

We get 4-dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld modules \(M_3(k, l) := \mathbb{K}\{\eta^{kl}_0, \eta^{kl}_1, \eta^{kl}_2, \eta^{kl}_3\}\) where \(\eta^{kl}_0 = x^k_i x^{k}_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, \eta^{kl}_1 = x^k_i x^l_{y1} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, \eta^{kl}_2 = x^k_i x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, \eta^{kl}_3 = x^k_i x^l_{y1} x^l_{y2} \otimes \nu^{s_1\cdot s_2}, s_1 = (-1)^{k+i+1}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2\). The actions and the coactions are given by

\[
x_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_0 = (-1)^{k+i+1} \eta^{kl}_0,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_0 = 2(-1)^{k+i} \eta^{kl}_1,
\]
\[
x_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_1 = (-1)^{k+i} \eta^{kl}_1,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_1 = 2\delta_{i,2}(-1)^{k+i+1} \eta^{kl}_3,
\]
\[
x_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_2 = (-1)^{k+i} \eta^{kl}_2,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_2 = 2\delta_{i,1}(-1)^{k+i} \eta^{kl}_3,
\]
\[
x_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_3 = (-1)^{k+i+1} \eta^{kl}_3,
\]
\[
y_i \cdot \eta^{kl}_3 = 0,
\]
\[
\rho(\eta^{kl}_0) = x^k_i x^{k}_{y2} \otimes \eta^{kl}_0.
\]
\[
\rho \left( u_1^{k,l} \right) = x_1^{k,l} y_1 \otimes \eta_0^{k,l} + x_1^{k+1,l} \otimes \eta_1^{k,l},
\]
\[
\rho \left( u_2^{k,l} \right) = x_1^{k,l} y_2 \otimes \eta_0^{k,l} + x_1^{k+1,l} \otimes \eta_2^{k,l},
\]
\[
\rho \left( u_3^{k,l} \right) = x_1^{k,l} y_1 y_2 \otimes \eta_0^{k,l} + x_1^{k+1,l} y_1 \otimes \eta_2^{k,l} + x_1^{k+1,l+1} \otimes \eta_3^{k,l}
\]

where \(i = 1, 2, 3\).

In summary, we have

**Theorem 3.2.** The set

\[\{ M_i(k, l) \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i \in \{0, 3\} \}\]

forms a complete list of non-isomorphic simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over \(\mathcal{A}\).

**Proof.** (1) Let \(f_0 : M_0(k, l) \to M_0(k', l')\), \(\theta^{k,l} \mapsto a \theta^{k',l'}\) be a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphism where \(k, l, k', l' \in \mathbb{Z}_2\) and \(0 \neq a \in \mathbb{K}\). Then, for \(i = 1, 2\) we have

\[x_1 \cdot f_0(\theta^{k,l}) = (-1)^{k+l} a \theta^{k',l'}, \quad f_0(x_1 \cdot \theta^{k,l}) = (-1)^{k+l} a \theta^{k',l'},\]

\[(\text{id} \otimes f_0)(\rho(\theta^{k,l})) = a x_1^{k,l} \otimes \theta^{k',l'} = \rho(f_0(\theta^{k,l})) = a x_1^{k',l'} \otimes \theta^{k',l'}.\]

Hence, \(k = k', l = l'\). Therefore, \(M_0(k, l) \cong M_0(k', l')\) if and only if \(k = k', l = l'\).

(2) Let \(0 \neq \nu \in M_1(k, l)\) and \(0 \neq \nu = a_0 \mu_0^{k,l} + a_1 \mu_1^{k,l} \in L\) where \(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{K}\). Then, we have

\[\rho(\nu) = (a_0 x_1^{k,l} + a_1 x_1^{k,l+1}) \otimes \mu_0^{k,l} + a_1 x_1^{k,l+1} \otimes \mu_1^{k,l}.
\]

Since \(a_0 x_1^{k,l} + a_1 x_1^{k,l+1}\) and \(x_1^{k,l+1}\) are linearly independent, \(\mu_0^{k,l} \in L\). Moreover,

\[y_2 \cdot \mu_0^{k,l} = 2(-1)^{k+l} \mu_1^{k,l} \in L.
\]

Thus, \(L = M_1(k, l)\). Therefore, \(M_1(k, l)\) is a simple Yetter-Drinfeld module. Similarly, \(M_2(k, l)\) is also a simple Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Let

\[f_1 : M_1(k, l) \to M_1(k', l')\]

\[\mu_0^{k,l} \mapsto b_0 \mu_0^{k',l'} + b_1 \mu_1^{k',l'}\]

\[\mu_1^{k,l} \mapsto b_2 \mu_0^{k',l'} + b_3 \mu_1^{k',l'}\]

be a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphism where \(k, l, k', l' = 0, 1\) and \(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{K}\). Then, we have

\[y_2 \cdot f_1(\mu_0^{k,l}) = 2(-1)^{k+l} b_2 \mu_1^{k',l'} = f_1(y_2 \cdot \mu_1^{k,l}) = 0,
\]

\[(\text{id} \otimes f_1)(\rho(\mu_0^{k,l})) = x_1^{k,l} \otimes (b_0 \mu_0^{k',l'} + b_1 \mu_1^{k',l'}) = \rho(f_1(\mu_0^{k,l})) = (b_0 x_1^{k',l'} + b_1 x_1^{k',l'} \otimes \mu_0^{k',l'} + b_1 x_1^{k',l'} \otimes \mu_1^{k',l'}).
\]

Hence, \(b_1 = b_2 = 0, b_0, b_3 \neq 0, k = k', l = l'\). Therefore, \(M_1(k, l) \cong M_1(k', l')\) if and only if \(k = k', l = l'\).

Similarly, we have \(M_2(k, l) \cong M_2(k', l')\) if and only if \(k = k', l = l'\).
Let

\[
f_2 : M_1(k, l) \to M_3(k', l')
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_0^{k,l} & \mapsto c_0 y_0^{k',l'} + c_1 y_1^{k',l'} \\
\mu_1^{k,l} & \mapsto c_2 y_0^{k',l'} + c_3 y_1^{k',l'}
\end{align*}
\]

be a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphism where \(k, l, k', l' = 0, 1\) and \(c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{K}\). Then, for \(i = 1, 2\) we have

\[
y_1 \cdot f_2(\mu_1^{k,l}) = 2(-1)^{k+l} y_2 y_1^{k',l'} = f_2(y_1 \cdot \mu_1^{k,l}) = 0,
\]

\[
(id \otimes f_2)\rho(\mu_0^{k,l}) = x_1^k x_2^l \otimes (c_0 y_0^{k',l'} + c_1 y_1^{k',l'}) = \rho(f_2(\mu_0^{k,l}))
\]

\[
= \left( c_0 x_1^k x_2^l + c_1 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \right) \otimes y_0^{k',l'} + c_1 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \otimes y_1^{k',l'}.
\]

Hence, \(c_1 = c_2 = 0\) and

\[
(id \otimes f_2)\rho(\mu_1^{k,l}) = c_0 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \otimes y_0^{k',l'} + c_2 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \otimes y_1^{k',l'} = \rho(f_2(\mu_1^{k,l}))
\]

\[
= c_3 \left( x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \otimes y_0^{k',l'} + x_1^k x_2^l y_1 \otimes y_1^{k',l'} \right).
\]

Then, \(c_0 = c_3 = 0\). Therefore, \(M_1(k, l) \cong M_3(k', l')\) for \(k, l, k', l' \in \mathbb{Z}_2\).

(3) Let \(0 \neq U\) be a simple Yetter-Drinfeld submodule of \(M_3(k, l)\) and \(0 \neq u = \sum_{i=0}^3 d_i \eta_i^{k,l} \in U\) where \(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{K}, k, l = 0, 1\). Then,

\[
\rho(u) = (d_0 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 + d_1 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 + d_2 x_1^k x_2^l y_1 + d_3 x_1^k x_2^l y_1) \otimes \eta_0^{k,l}
\]

\[
+ (d_1 x_1^{k+1} x_2^l + d_3 x_1^{k+1} x_2^l) \otimes \eta_1^{k,l}
\]

\[
+ (d_2 x_1^{k+1} x_2^l - d_3 x_1^{k+1} x_2^l) \otimes \eta_2^{k,l}
\]

\[
+ d_3 x_1^{k+1} x_2^l \otimes \eta_3^{k,l}.
\]

Since \(u \neq 0\), the vector \((d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3) \neq 0\), we have \(\eta_i^{k,l} \in U\). Hence for \(i = 1, 2, y_i \cdot \eta_i^{k,l} = 2(-1)^{k+l} \eta_i^{k,l} \in U\) and \(y_1 \cdot \eta_2^{k,l} = 2(-1)^{k+l} \eta_3^{k,l} \in U\). Therefore, \(U = M_3(k, l)\) and \(M_3(k, l)\) is a simple Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Let \(f_3 : M_3(k, l) \to M_3(k', l'), \eta_i^{k,l} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^3 c_{s,i} \eta_i^{k',l'}\) be a Yetter-Drinfeld module isomorphism where \(s \in [0, 3]\), \(k, l, k', l' \in \mathbb{Z}_2\) and \(c_{s,i} \in \mathbb{K}\). Hence, for \(i = 1, 2\) we have

\[
y_i \cdot f_3(\eta_0^{k,l}) = f_3(y_i \cdot \eta_0^{k,l}), \quad y_i \cdot f_3(\eta_1^{k,l}) = f_3(y_i \cdot \eta_1^{k,l}), \quad (id \otimes f_3)\rho(\eta_i^{k,l}) = \rho(f_3(\eta_i^{k,l})).
\]

By (3.1) we have

\[
y_i f_3(\eta_0^{k,l}) = y_i \sum_{i=0}^3 c_{0,i} \eta_i^{k',l'} + c_{0,0} 2(-1)^{k+l} \eta_0^{k',l'} + \left( c_{0,1} 2(-1)^{k+l+1} (i - 1) + c_{0,2} 2(-1)^{k+l+1} (2 - i) \right) \eta_3^{k',l'}
\]
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Then,

\[ c_{1,0} = c_{1,2} = c_{2,0} = c_{2,1} = 0, \quad c_{0,0}(-1)^{k+l} = c_{1,1}(-1)^{k+l} = c_{2,2}(-1)^{k+l}, \]
\[ c_{0,2}(-1)^{k+l} = c_{1,3}(-1)^{k+l}, \quad c_{0,1}(-1)^{k+l+1} = c_{2,3}(-1)^{k+l}. \]

By (3.2) we have

\[ y_i \cdot f_3(\eta_i^k) = c_{1,1}2(-1)^{k+l+1}(i-1)\eta_i^k = f_3 \left( y_i \cdot \eta_i^k \right) = 2(-1)^{k+l+1}(i-1) \sum_{r=0}^{3} \eta_i^r. \]

Then,

\[ c_{3,0} = c_{3,1} = c_{3,2} = 0, \quad c_{1,1}(-1)^{k+l} = c_{3,3}(-1)^{k+l}. \]

By (3.3) we have

\begin{align*}
(id \otimes f_3)\rho \left( \eta_i^k \right) &= c_{0,0}x_1^k x_2^k y_1 \otimes \eta_i^k + \left( c_{0,1}x_1^k x_2^k x_1 y_1 + c_{1,1}x_1^{k+1} x_2 \right) \otimes \eta_i^k \\
&+ c_{0,2}x_2^k y_1 \otimes \eta_i^k + \left( c_{0,3}x_2^k x_2^k y_1 + c_{1,3}x_1^{k+1} x_2 \right) \otimes \eta_i^k \\
&= \rho \left( f_3(\eta_i^k) \right) \\
&= \left( c_{1,1}x_1 x_2 y_1 + c_{1,3}x_1^{k+1} x_2 y_1 y_2 \right) \otimes \eta_i^k + \left( c_{1,1}x_1 x_2 + c_{1,3}x_1^{k+1} x_2 \right) \otimes \eta_i^k \\
&- c_{1,3}x_1^{k+1} x_2 y_1 \otimes \eta_i^k + c_{1,3}x_1^{k+1} x_2 \otimes \eta_i^k.
\end{align*}

Then, \( c_{0,1} = c_{0,2} = c_{0,3} = c_{1,3} = c_{2,3} = 0, c_{0,0} = c_{1,1} = c_{2,2} = c_{3,3} \neq 0, k = k', l = l'. \) Therefore, \( M_3(k, l) \cong M_3(k', l') \) if and only if \( k = k', l = l'. \)

By (1)–(3), the set

\[ \{ M_i(k, l) | k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i \in [0, 3] \} \]

forms a complete list of non-isomorphic simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Corollary 3.3.**

1. \( M_0(k, l)^* = M_0(k, l), \ M_i(k, l)^* = M_i(k + i - 1, l + i) \) for \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i = 1, 2. \)
2. \( M_3(k, l)^* = M_3(k + 1, l + 1) \) for \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \)

**Proof.** We only give the proof of (2), the other cases are similar.

Let \( \{ \varphi_i^j | t \in [0, 3] \} \) be the dual basis of \( \{ \eta_i^j | t \in [0, 3] \} \) such that

\begin{align*}
\varphi_0^0(\eta_0^0) &= 0, \quad \varphi_0^0(\eta_1^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_0^0(\eta_2^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_0^0(\eta_3^0) = 1, \\
\varphi_1^0(\eta_0^0) &= 0, \quad \varphi_1^0(\eta_1^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_1^0(\eta_2^0) = (-1)^{k+l}, \quad \varphi_1^0(\eta_3^0) = 0, \\
\varphi_2^0(\eta_0^0) &= 0, \quad \varphi_2^0(\eta_1^0) = (1)^{k+l-1}, \quad \varphi_2^0(\eta_2^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_2^0(\eta_3^0) = 0, \\
\varphi_3^0(\eta_0^0) &= 1, \quad \varphi_3^0(\eta_1^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_3^0(\eta_2^0) = 0, \quad \varphi_3^0(\eta_3^0) = 0.
\end{align*}
By (2.1) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
    x_i \cdot \varphi_{0}^{k,l} &= (-1)^{k+l+1} \varphi_{0}^{k,l}, & y_i \cdot \varphi_0^{k,l} &= 2(-1)^{k+l} \varphi_0^{k,l}, \\
    x_i \cdot \varphi_1^{k,l} &= (-1)^{k+l} \varphi_1^{k,l}, & y_i \cdot \varphi_1^{k,l} &= 2\delta_{i,2}(-1)^{k+l+1} \varphi_3^{k,l}, \\
    x_i \cdot \varphi_2^{k,l} &= (-1)^{k+l} \varphi_2^{k,l}, & y_i \cdot \varphi_2^{k,l} &= 2\delta_{i,1}(-1)^{k+l} \varphi_3^{k,l}, \\
    x_i \cdot \varphi_3^{k,l} &= (-1)^{k+l+1} \varphi_3^{k,l}, & y_i \cdot \varphi_3^{k,l} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
where $i = 1, 2$. Hence $M_3(k, l)^* = M_3(k + 1, l + 1)$.

4. Projective class rings of $\mathcal{A}^* YD$

Assume that $H$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Let $F(H)$ be the free abelian group generated by the isomorphic classes $[M]$ of $H$-modules $M$. Then, the abelian group $F(H)$ becomes a ring equipped with a multiplication given by the tensor product $[M][N] = [M \otimes N]$. The Green ring $r(H)$ is defined to be the quotient ring of $F(H)$ module the relations $[M \otimes N] = [M] + [N]$. The projective class ring of $H$ is the subring of $r(H)$ generated by its simple and projective modules. As is known, $H_\mathcal{A}^* YD \cong \mathcal{D}(H^{\text{cop}})$. For this reason, we have the projective class ring of $H_\mathcal{A}^* YD$ which is denoted by $r_\mathcal{A}^* YD$.

In this section, we briefly set $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{cop}})$. Let $\mathcal{P}(V)$ be the projective cover of a simple $\mathcal{D}$-module $V$, or equivalently, a simple Yetter-Drinfeld module $V \in \mathcal{A}^* YD$. Let $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{D})$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{D}$-modules. One sees that
\[
\mathcal{D} \cong \bigoplus_{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{P}(V)^{\text{dim}V}
\]
and $\mathcal{D}$ is unimodular and quasi-triangular (see [26, 27]).

For convenience, we let
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta_{i,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta_{i,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{i,2} & \delta_{i,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ y_1y_2 & x_1y_2 & -x_2y_1 & x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1x_2y_1y_2 & 0 & -x_1y_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2y_1y_2 & 0 & y_1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
F = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1x_2y_1 & -x_1y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_1y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2y_2y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2y_1y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1y_1y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1y_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
By a complex computation, we can obtain the following three classes of Yetter-Drinfeld modules for $\tilde{A}$.

1. $\mathcal{P}_0(k,l), k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_2$: it is of a basis $\{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{15}\}$, the matrices of the action and coaction of $\tilde{A}$ on $\mathcal{P}_0(k,l)$ are given as follows.

$$[x_i] = (-1)^{k+l} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad [y_i] = 2(-1)^{k+l} \begin{pmatrix} -C_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta_{i,1}B & C_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{i,2}B & \delta_{i,1}B & -C_i \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(W) = x_1^k x_2^l E x_2 D 0 0 0 \otimes W$$

where $W = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{15})^T$.

2. $\mathcal{P}(k,l,j), k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, j \in \{1,2\}$: it is of a basis $\{p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_7\}$, the matrices of the action and coaction of $\tilde{A}$ on $\mathcal{P}(k,l,j)$ are given as follows.

$$[x_i] = (-1)^{k+l+i+j} \begin{pmatrix} -A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}, \quad [y_i] = (-1)^{k+l} \begin{pmatrix} 2\delta_{i,3-j}A' & \delta_{i,j}C' \\ 0 & 2\delta_{i,3-j}A' \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(P) = x_1^k x_2^l \begin{pmatrix} B'_j & 0 \\ 0 & D'_j \end{pmatrix} \otimes P$$

where $P = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_7)^T$.

3. $T_j(k,l), k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, j \in \{1,2\}$: it is of a basis $\{v_0^j, v_1^j, v_2^j, v_3^j\}$, the matrices of the action and coaction of $\tilde{A}$ on $T_j(k,l)$ are given as follows.

$$[x_i] = (-1)^{k+l-i} A, \quad [y_i] = \delta_{i,3-j}(-1)^{k+l} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(U) = x_1^k x_2^l \begin{pmatrix} x_{3-j} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{3-j} y_{3-j} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_{3-j} & x_{3-j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes U$$
where $U = (v^j_0, v^j_1, v^j_2, v^j_3)^T$.

**Lemma 4.1.** For $k, l, j \in [1, 2], \mathcal{P}_0(k, l), \mathcal{P}(k, l, j)$ and $T_j(k, l)$ are indecomposable Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\mathcal{A}$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(k, l, j)$ is not indecomposable. Then, there exist two non-trivial submodules $M$ and $N$ such that $\mathcal{P}(k, l, j) = M \oplus N$. We claim that $p_7 \notin M$ and $p_7 \notin N$. If $p_7 \in M$ then $p_3, p_5, p_6 \in M$ since

$$\rho(p_7) = -x^k_1 x^j_2 y_{3-j} \otimes p_4 + x^k_1 x^l_2 y_j \otimes p_5 - x^l_1 x^j_2 y_{3-j} \otimes p_6 + x^k_1 x^l_2 y_j \otimes p_7$$

which implies that

$$p_0 = \frac{(-1)^{k+l}}{2} y_j \cdot p_4 \in M,$$

$$p_1 = \frac{(-1)^{k+l-1}}{2} y_j \cdot p_5 \in M,$$

$$p_2 = (-1)^{k+l} y_j \cdot p_6 \in M,$$

$$p_3 = (-1)^{k+l-1} y_j \cdot p_7 \in M.$$ 

Then, $M = \mathcal{P}(k, l, j)$. It’s a contradiction. Similarly, if $p_7 \in N$ then $N = \mathcal{P}(k, l, j)$, a contradiction too.

Therefore, we may assume $p = \sum_{i=0}^6 \alpha_i p_i + p_7 \in M$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}, i \in \{0, 6\}$. Then,

$$\rho(p) = x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i \otimes (\alpha_0 p_0 + \alpha_5 p_6) - x^k_1 x^j_2 y_{3-j} \otimes (\alpha_1 p_0 + p_6) + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_j \otimes \alpha_2 p_0 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_{3-j} \otimes \alpha_3 p_0 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_j \otimes \alpha_4 p_1 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_{3-j} \otimes \alpha_5 p_1 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_j \otimes \alpha_6 p_4 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_{3-j} \otimes p_5 + x^k_1 x^j_2 x_i y_j \otimes p_7.$$

Hence, $p_5, \alpha_1 p_1 + p_7 \in M$ which implies that

$$p_7 = (\alpha_1 p_1 + p_7) - \alpha_1 p_1 = (\alpha_1 p_1 + p_7) - \frac{(-1)^{k+l-1}}{2} \alpha_1 y_j \cdot p_5 \in M.$$ 

It is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(k, l, j)$ is an indecomposable Yetter-Drinfeld module over $\mathcal{A}$. Similarly, one can check that $\mathcal{P}_0(k, l)$ and $T_j(k, l)$ are also indecomposable Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $\mathcal{A}$.

The results are followed.

It is easy to see $\mathcal{P}(k, l, j), \mathcal{P}_0(k, l)$ and $T_j(k, l), k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, j = 1, 2$ are non-isomorphic to each other. Denote $\mathcal{P}_i(k, l) = \mathcal{P}(k + i, l + i - 1, i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

**Lemma 4.2.** For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i \in \{0, 2\}$, $\mathcal{P}(M_i(k, l)) \cong \mathcal{P}_i(k, l)$ and $\mathcal{P}(M_3(k, l)) \cong M_3(k, l)$.

**Proof.** It is well known that $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric algebra [28] and every projective module is injective. Then, $\mathcal{P}(M_0(k, l)) = E(M_t(k, l))$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and the socle and top of $\mathcal{P}(M_0(k, l))$ coincides. Therefore,
Lemma 4.4. For $k, k', l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have

1. $M_0(k, l) \otimes M_i(k', l') \cong M_i(k + k', l + l')$ where $t \in \{0, 3\}$.
2. $M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k, l') \cong \mathcal{P}_1(k + k', l + l')$ where $i \in \{0, 2\}$.

Proof. (1) The results of (1) can be obtained by a direct computation.

(2) By (1) we have

$$\mathcal{P}_0(k + k', l + l') = \mathcal{P}(M_0(k, l) \otimes M_0(k', l')) \subseteq M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k', l').$$

By Lemma 4.2 we have $\dim \mathcal{P}_0(k + k', l + l') = \dim (M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k', l'))$ and $M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k', l')$ is projective. Hence, $M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}(k + k', l + l')$. Similarly, we have $M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_1(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}_1(k + k', l + l')$ and $M_0(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_2(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}_2(k + k', l + l')$.

Lemma 4.5. For $k, k', k'', l, l', l'' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

1. $M_i(k, l) \otimes M_2(k', l') \cong M_3(k + k', l + l')$.
2. $M_i(k, l) \otimes M_2(k', l') \cong T_i(k + k', l + l')$.
3. $M_i(k, l) \otimes M_2(k', l') \otimes M_3(k''', l''')$ (in $\mathbb{Z}_2$)
   $$\cong M_i(k + k' + k'' + i - 1, l + l' + l'' + i)^{\otimes 2} \oplus M_i(k + k', l + l' + l'')^{\otimes 2}.$$
4. $M_i(k, l) \otimes M_3(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(k + k' + i - 1, l + l' + i)$.
5. $M_i(k, l) \otimes M_3(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}_0(k + k' + 1, l + l' + 1)$.

Proof. The statements (1), (2) can be obtained by a direct computation.

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.4 (1) it suffices to prove the cases for $M_1(0, 0)^{\otimes 3}$, $M_1(0, 0) \otimes M_3(0, 0)$, and $M_3(0, 0) \otimes M_3(0, 0)$. 

Electronic Research Archive
Volume 31, Issue 8, 5006–5024.
For the statement (3), note that $T_j(0,0) = M_j(0,0) \otimes M_j(0,0), j = 1, 2$. Let $\{u'_0, u'_1\}$ be a basis of $M_j(0,0)$. The actions on the basis $\{u'_0, u'_1\}$ and the coactions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_i \cdot u'_0 &= (-1)^{i+j} u'_0, \\
y_i \cdot u'_0 &= 2 \delta_{i,3-j} u'_1, \\
x'_i \cdot u'_1 &= (-1)^{i+j-1} u'_1, \\
y'_i \cdot u'_1 &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

and $\rho(u'_0) = 1 \otimes u'_0, \quad \rho(u'_1) = y_{3-j} \otimes u'_0 + x_{3-j} \otimes u'_1$.

where $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$
\lambda'_0 = u'_0 \otimes v'_0, \quad \lambda'_1 = u'_1 \otimes v'_0,
$$

$$
\lambda'_2 = u'_0 \otimes v'_1 + u'_1 \otimes v'_0, \quad \lambda'_3 = u'_1 \otimes v'_1,
$$

$$
\lambda'_4 = u'_0 \otimes v'_2, \quad \lambda'_5 = u'_1 \otimes v'_2 - u'_0 \otimes v'_0,
$$

$$
\lambda'_6 = u'_1 \otimes v'_2 - u'_0 \otimes v'_1 - u'_1 \otimes v'_0,
$$

and $L_2 = \mathbb{K} \lambda'_3 + \mathbb{K} \lambda'_{2t+1}$ where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. By a direct computation, we have $L_0 \cong L_3 \cong M_j(j-1, j), L_1 \cong L_2 \cong M_j(0,0)$. Therefore,

$$
M_j(0,0)^{\oplus 3} \cong M_j(j-1, j)^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_j(0,0)^{\oplus 2}.
$$

For the statement (4), we have

$$
\text{Hom}_D(M_1(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0), M_{3-\ell}(k, l)) \cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_{3-\ell}(k, l) \otimes M_1(0,0)^*)
$$

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_{3-\ell}(k, l) \otimes M_i(1, i))
$$

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_3(k+i-1, l+i))
$$

where $i = 1, 2$. By Schur’s lemma, $\text{Hom}_D(M_1(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0), M_{3-\ell}(k, l)) \neq 0$ if and only if $k = i-1, l = i$. Since $M_1(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0)$ is projective and $\dim(M_1(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0)) = \dim \mathcal{P}_{3-\ell}(0, 1) = 8$, we get that $M_1(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0) \cong \mathcal{P}_{3-\ell}(i-1, i)$.

Similarly for the statement (5) we have

$$
\text{Hom}_D(M_2(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0), M_0(k, l)) \cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_0(k, l) \otimes M_3(0,0)^*)
$$

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_0(k, l) \otimes M_3(1, 1))
$$

$$
\cong \text{Hom}_D(M_3(0,0), M_3(k+1, l+1)).
$$

Hence, $M_3(0,0) \otimes M_3(0,0) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(1, 1)$.

**Lemma 4.6.** For $k, k', l', l'' \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i = 1, 2$ we have

1. $M_i(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_i(k', l') \cong \mathcal{P}_i(k + k' + i - 1, l + l' + i)$.
2. $M_i(k, l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(k', l') \cong M_3(k + k', l + l')^{\oplus 2} \oplus M_3(k + k' + i - 1, l + l' + i)^{\oplus 2}$.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the lemma for $M_i(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_i(0, 0)$ and $M_i(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(0, 0)$ by Lemma 4.4 (1) (2), where $i = 1, 2$.

1. We have
\[
\text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(M_i(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_i(0,0), M_0(k,l)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_i(0,0), M_0(k,l) \otimes M_i(0,0)^*) \\
\cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_i(0,0), M_0(k,l) \otimes M_i(-i,1)) \\
\cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{P}_i(0,0), M_i(k+i-1,l+i)).
\]

Hence, \( M_i(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_i(0,0) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(i-1,i) \).

(2) Let \( N_{-1} = 0, N_i = \sum_{s=0}^{l} \mathbb{K}\mathcal{V}_s \) for \( t \in [0,3] \), where \( i \in [1,2] \). One can check that

\[
0 = N_{-1} \subset N_0 \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N_3 = T_i(k,l)
\]
is a Yetter-Drinfeld submodules chain of \( T_i(k,l) \) such that \( N_i/N_{i-1} \) is a one dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module and

\[
N_0 \cong N_3/N_2 \cong M_0(k+i-1,l+i), \quad N_1/N_0 \cong N_2/N_1 \cong M_0(k,l).
\]

Hence, by Lemma 4.5 (4) we have

\[
M_i(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(0,0) \cong M_i(0,0) \otimes M_i(0,0) \otimes M_i(i-1,i) \cong T_i(0,0) \otimes M_i(i-1,i) \\
\cong (M_0(i-1,i)^{\mathcal{D}_2} \oplus M_0(0,0)^{\mathcal{D}_2}) \otimes M_3(i-1,i) \\
\cong M_0(i-1,i)^{\mathcal{D}_2} \oplus M_3(i-1,i) \oplus M_3(i-1,i)^{\mathcal{D}_2}.
\]

**Lemma 4.7.** For \( k, k', k'', l, l', l'' \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i = 1,2 \) we have

1. \( M_3(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(k',l') \cong M_{3-i}(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k'+1,l'+1) \)

\[
\cong \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(k+k'+1,l+l'+1)^{\mathcal{D}_2} \oplus \mathcal{P}_{3-i}(k+k'+i-1,l+l'+i)^{\mathcal{D}_2}.
\]

2. \( \mathcal{P}_i(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_i(k',l') \cong \mathcal{P}_0(k+k'+1,l+l'+1)^{\mathcal{D}_2} \oplus \mathcal{P}_0(k+k'+i-1,l+l'+i)^{\mathcal{D}_2}.
\]

3. \( \mathcal{P}_1(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_2(k',l') \cong M_3(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k',l') \cong \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^{1} M_3(s,t)^{\mathcal{D}_4}.
\]

4. \( \mathcal{P}_i(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k',l') \cong \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^{1} \mathcal{P}_i(s,t)^{\mathcal{D}_4}.
\]

5. \( \mathcal{P}_0(k,l) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(k',l') \cong \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^{1} \mathcal{P}_0(s,t)^{\mathcal{D}_4}.
\]

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the lemma for \( M_3(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(0,0), M_3(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(0,0), \mathcal{P}_0(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(0,0), \mathcal{P}_3(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(0,0), \mathcal{P}_3(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(0,0) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_0(0,0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(0,0) \) by Lemma 4.4 (1) (2).

(1) Let \( V_{-1} = 0, V_t = \sum_{s=0}^{l} (\mathbb{K}p_{2s} + \mathbb{K}p_{2s+1}) \) for \( t \in [0,3] \). One can check that

\[
0 = V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 = \mathcal{P}(k,l,i) = \mathcal{P}_i(k+i,l+i-1)
\]
is a Yetter-Drinfeld submodules chain of \( \mathcal{P}_i(k+i,l+i-1) \) such that \( V_t/V_{t-1} \) is a two dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module and

\[
V_0 \cong V_3/V_2 \cong M_i(k+i,l+i-1), \quad V_1/V_0 \cong V_2/V_1 \cong M_i(k,l).
\]
Hence,
\[ M_3(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \cong M_3(0, 0) \otimes (M_l(0, 0) \otimes M_l(i, i - 1) \otimes \mathcal{P}_l(i - 1, i) \otimes \mathcal{P}_l(1, 1)) \cong \mathcal{P}_l(i - 1, i) \cong \mathcal{P}_l(1, 1) \cong \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0). \]

Therefore,
\[ M_3(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \cong M_0(i, i - 1) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(i - 1, i) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(1, 1) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0). \]

since
\[ \mathcal{P}_3(i - 1, i) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(i - 1, 1) \cong M_0(i, i - 1) \otimes (\mathcal{P}_3(i - 1, 1) \otimes \mathcal{P}_3(1, 1)) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(1, 1) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0). \]

(2) By Lemma 4.6 (1), we have
\[ \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \cong \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \otimes (M_0(i, 0) \otimes M_0(i - 1, 1)) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0). \]

(3) By Lemma 4.5 (1) (4) (5) and Lemma 4.6 (2), we have
\[ \mathcal{P}_1(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_2(0, 0) \cong \mathcal{P}_1(0, 0) \otimes (M_0(i, 0) \otimes M_0(1, 1)) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0). \]

(4) By (1), we have
\[ \mathcal{P}_l(0, 0) \cong (M_0(i, 0) \otimes M_0(1, 1)) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0) \cong \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^1 \mathcal{P}_l(s, t). \]

(5) Let
\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_0 &= u_3 - u_6 + u_9 + u_{12}, & \kappa_1 &= u_5 + u_{13}, & \kappa_2 &= u_{11} + u_{14}, & \kappa_3 &= u_{15}, \\
\kappa_4 &= u_1 - u_{14}, & \kappa_5 &= u_5, & \kappa_6 &= u_8 - u_2, & \kappa_7 &= u_{10}, \\
\kappa_8 &= u_9, & \kappa_9 &= u_6 - u_3, & \kappa_{10} &= u_3 + u_9, & \kappa_{11} &= u_{11} - u_{14}, \\
\kappa_{12} &= u_1, & \kappa_{13} &= u_2, & \kappa_{14} &= u_7, & \kappa_{15} &= u_6,
\end{align*}
\]

and \( K_{-1} = 0, K_t = \sum_{s=0}^t K_s \) for \( t \in [0, 15] \). One sees that
\[
0 = K_{-1} \subset K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \ldots \subset K_{15} = \mathcal{P}_0(k, l)
\]
is a Yetter-Drinfeld submodule chain of \( \mathcal{P}_0(k, l) \) such that \( K_s/K_{s-1} \) is a one dimensional Yetter-Drinfeld module and
\[
\begin{align*}
K_s/K_{s-1} &= M_0(k, l), & & \text{if } s = 0, 9, 10, 15; \\
K_s/K_{s-1} &= M_0(k, l+1), & & \text{if } s = 2, 4, 11, 12;
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
K_s/K_{s-1} &= M_0(k+1, l), & & \text{if } s = 1, 6, 13, 14; \\
K_s/K_{s-1} &= M_0(k+1, l+1), & & \text{if } s = 3, 5, 7, 8.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence,
\[ \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0) \otimes \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0) \cong \mathcal{P}_0(0, 0) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^1 M_0(s, t) \right) \cong \bigoplus_{s,t=0}^1 \mathcal{P}_0(s, t). \]

The proof is finished.
This means the projective class rings of $^{\bar{Y}}_{\bar{Y}}D$.

Let $a_1 = [M_0(0, 1)], a_2 = [M_0(0, 1)], b_1 = [M_1(0, 0)], b_2 = [M_2(0, 0)].$

**Lemma 4.8.** The following statements hold in $r_p(\bar{Y}D)$.

1. For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2, i = 1, 2$,
   \[
   [M_0(k, l)] = a_1^i a_2^l, \quad [M_1(k, l)] = a_1^i a_2^l b_1, \quad [M_2(k, l)] = a_1^i a_2^l b_1 b_2, \quad [P_0(k, l)] = a_1^{k+i} a_2^{l+i} b_1 b_2^3.
   \]

2. For $i = 1, 2$,
   \[
   a_1^2 = 1, \quad b_1^3 = 2(1 + a_1^{-1} a_2^3) b_1.
   \]

*Proof.* The results are easy to get from Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

**Corollary 4.9.** The following set is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $r_p(\bar{Y}D)$:

\[
\{a_1^i a_2^l b_1^s b_2^t | k, l \in \{0, 1\}, s, t \in \{0, 2\}\}.
\]

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.8(2), $a_1^2 = 1$ and $b_1^3 = 2(1 + a_1^{-1} a_2^3) b_1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By Lemma 4.5(2) we have $b_1^3 = 2(1 + a_1^{-1} a_2^3) b_1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, the highest degree of $b_1^3$ is 2. It is easy to check that the set

\[
\{a_1^i a_2^l b_1^s b_2^t | k, l \in \{0, 1\}, s, t \in \{0, 2\}\}
\]

is an independent set since $\#(a_1^i a_2^l b_1^s b_2^t | k, l, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_2) = 36$, the number of $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $r_p(\bar{Y}D)$. Therefore,

\[
\{a_1^i a_2^l b_1^s b_2^t | k, l \in \{0, 1\}, s, t \in \{0, 2\}\}
\]

is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $r_p(\bar{Y}D)$.

The results of this section is as follows.

**Theorem 4.10.** The projective class ring $r_p(\bar{Y}D)$ is isomorphic to the quotient ring of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$ module the ideal $I$ generated by the following elements

\[
x_1^2 - 1, \quad y_1^3 - 2(1 + x_1^{-1} x_2^3) y_2, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.9, there is a unique ring epimorphism

\[
\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2] \to r_p(\bar{Y}D)
\]

such that $\Phi(x_i) = a_i, \Phi(y_i) = b_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 4.8(2) we have

\[
\Phi(x_i^2 - 1) = a_i^2 - 1 = 0,
\]

\[
\Phi(y_i^3 - 2(1 + x_1^{-1} x_2^3) y_2) = b_i^3 - 2(1 + a_1^{-1} a_2^3) b_1 = 0.
\]

It follows that $\Phi(I) = 0$ and hence $\Phi$ induces a natural ring epimorphism

\[
\overline{\Phi} : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]/I \to r_p(\bar{Y}D)
\]

such that $\overline{\Phi}(\overline{v}) = \Phi(v)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$, where $\overline{v} = v + I$. It is straightforward to check that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]/I$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-spanned by

\[
\{x_1^{k, l} x_2^{s, t} y_1^{s, t} | k, l \in \{0, 1\}, s, t \in \{0, 2\}\}.
\]

This means the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]/I$ is 36. Hence, we get the ring isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}$. 
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