RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE DERIVATIVE OVER THE SPACE OF INTEGRABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

María Guadalupe Morales* and Zuzana Došlá

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

Francisco J. Mendoza

Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas
Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla
Av. San Claudio y 18 Sur S/N, Puebla, Puebla, 72570, México

(Communicated by Abdon Atangana)

Abstract. In this paper, we generalize the Riemann-Liouville differential and integral operators on the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable distributions, D_{HK}. We obtain new fundamental properties of the fractional derivatives and integrals, a general version of the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus, semigroup property for the Riemann-Liouville integral operators and relations between the Riemann-Liouville integral and differential operators. Also, we achieve a generalized characterization of the solution for the Abel integral equation. Finally, we show relations for the Fourier transform of fractional derivative and integral. These results are based on the properties of the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral and convolution.

1. **Introduction.** Fractional calculus is devoted to studying the different possibilities of defining the differential operator of arbitrary order, properties, possible relations with the integral operator, and applications. There exist several classical definitions for fractional derivatives, for example, Caputo, Riemann-Liouville, Marchaud, Hadamard and the Weyl derivatives, among others. In recent years with the intention of solving some problems that the classical fractional derivatives do not achieve and as a consequence of those, new fractional derivatives have been defined combining power law, exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler kernel; among them those of Liouville-Caputo, Atanga-Caputo, Atanga-Gómez and Atanga-Baleanu derivatives, see [2], [3], [5], [6] and [16].

This branch of mathematics is one of the most powerful modeling tools applied in many fields of science, physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, see e.g. [2], [3], [5], [9], [15], [17], [23], [27] and [35]. In general, fractional calculus has been developed in the context of the Lebesgue integral, see e.g. [12], [20], [22], [30] and [39].

In this article, we use more general integrals than the Lebesgue integral, expanding the space of functions where it is possible to apply fractional calculus. Although our study is theoretical, we primarily pursue an aim partially similar to those developed in [3], [4], [7], [16] and [38]. For example, the use of generalized integration helps us to recover the initial function, a fact searched in the above references.

The basic idea behind fractional calculus is the fundamental theorem of calculus (FTC). First, we denote by J_a the operator that maps a integrable function f (in some sense, for example Riemann integrable in the compact interval $[a, b]$), onto its primitive centered at a. We denote by D the operator that maps a differentiable function onto its derivative, $Df := f'(x)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, J_a^n and D^n denote the n-fold iterates of J_a and D, respectively. Therefore, using this notation the FTC reads as

$$DJ_a f(x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad [a, b],$$

and this implies that

$$D^n J_a^n f(x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad [a, b], \tag{1}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it is possible to obtain by induction that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and f Riemann-integrable function, J_a^n is given as

$$J_a^n f(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f(t) \, dt,$$

where $a \leq x \leq b$. Using the Riemann-Liuoville fractional derivative the equality (1) holds for any $n > 0$, even non-integer, see e.g. [12], [20] and [30]. This means that we have a version of the FTC in a fractional sense. Besides, according to this fractional derivative, an arbitrary function does not need to be continuous nor be differentiable in the usual sense. Thus, this definition is an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of several materials and processes, [20], [30] and [39].

On the other hand, the integration theory continues developing. For example, in the last century R. Henstock and J. Kurzweil introduced a generalized integral, it is known as Henstock-Kurzweil integral, see e.g. [8] and [31]. Later, E. Talvila obtained fundamental properties about the Fourier transform using the Henstock-Kurzweil integral, see [33]. Moreover, the Henstock-Kurzweil integral can be generalized in various ways, for example, one can consider Henstock-Kurzweil-Stieljtes type integrals, see [26]. Another possible direction is the distribution theory, in [32] and [34] E. Talvila extended this integral in a distributional sense and achieved new properties for the convolution in a generalized sense. Thus, with the introduction of new integration theories, the possibility to extend fundamental results arises. The following relations are well known,

$$L^1[a, b] \subsetneq HK[a, b] \subsetneq \widehat{HK[a, b]} \simeq D_{HK}, \tag{2}$$

where $[a, b]$ is any compact interval, the space of Lebesgue integrable functions is denoted by $L^1[a, b]$ and the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function by $HK[a, b]$. $\widehat{HK[a, b]}$ denotes the completion of $HK[a, b]$ with respect to the Alexiewicz norm, and D_{HK} the space of all distributions each of which is the distributional derivative of a continuous function. The last relation in (2) means that the completion of $HK[a, b]$ is isometrically isomorphic to D_{HK}, see [10] and [11]. The subsets of integrable functions are strictly contained, and the values of the integrals

coincide; see [21] , [24] and [25]. The Riemann, Lebesgue, Henstock-Kurzweil, Perron, Denjoy, and improper integrals are special cases contained in the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Moreover, it is valid on unbounded intervals, see [34].

Motivated by the suitability and applicability of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, and the generality offered by the distributional integral, we define the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and differential operators in the context of the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Also, we extend fundamental properties (see e.g., Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3) and obtain new relations between the fractional integral and differential operators, see Theorem 4.2. In particular, we prove that the fractional differential operator inverts the fractional integral in a distributional sense, see Theorem 4.6. Finally, we show some applications of the fractional derivative, for example, a general characterization of the solution for the Abel integral equation and new properties of the Fourier transform for the fractional integral and derivative.

2. **Preliminaries.** Following the notation from [12], we introduce the Riemann-Liouville integral and differential operators.

First, we recall that function $\Gamma : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt, \tag{3}$$

is Euler's Gamma function. Let n be a positive number. We define $m = \lceil n \rceil$ as the least integer greater than or equal to n.

Definition 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$. The operator J_a^n is defined in $L^1[a, b]$ by

$$J_a^n f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n-1} f(t) \, dt \tag{4}$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$, is called the *Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order n*. For $n = 0$, we set $J_a^0 := I$, the identity operator.

Note that for any $f \in L^1[a, b]$ and $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, the operator $J_a^n f(x)$ exists for almost every $x \in [a, b]$ and is also an element of $L^1[a, b]$, it means for any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$J_a^n(L^1[a, b]) \subset L^1[a, b],$$

see e.g. [12, Theorem 2.1].

Definition 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $m = \lceil n \rceil$. The operator D_a^n is defined by

$$D_a^n f := D^m J_a^{m-n} f, \tag{5}$$

when $D_a^n f$ exists a.e. on $[a, b]$ is called the *Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator of order n*, where D^m denotes the $m-$folds iterates of the derivative. For $n = 0$, we set $D_a^0 := I$, the identity operator.

Note than $D_a^n f$ might not exist. However, there are sufficient conditions for the existence of fractional derivatives provided e.g. in [12], [20] and [30]. In [12, Theorem 2.14] it is shown that the Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator inverts the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator over $L^1[a, b]$, where $[a, b]$ is any compact interval. This means that the FTC holds over $L^1[a, b]$ considering the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. This result is known as the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus.

Now we introduce the definition of the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Recall, the Lebesgue integral is characterized in terms of absolutely continuous functions, AC. In the case of Henstock-Kurzweil integral, there is an analogous characterization in terms of generalized absolutely continuous functions in the restricted sense ACG_*. This means, $F \in ACG_*$ if and only if there exists $f \in HK[a, b]$ such that $F(x) = \int_a^x f + F(a)$, hence $F' = f$ a.e., see [18]. However, if F is a continuous function, then the generalized function and the distributional derivative are needed because there are continuous functions that are differentiable nowhere.

Let (a, b) be an bounded open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\mathcal{D}(a, b) := \{\phi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R} \mid \phi \in C^\infty \text{ and } \phi \text{ has a compact support in } (a, b)\}.$$

Moreover, it is said that a sequence $(\phi_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ converges to $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ if there is a compact set $K \subset (a, b)$ such that all ϕ_n have support in K and for each integer $m \geq 0$, the sequence of derivatives $(\phi_n^{(m)})$ converges to $\phi^{(m)}$ uniformly on K, see e.g. [19].

The dual space of $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(a, b)$ and is the space of continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$. This refers to the distributions on (a, b). Let

$$C_0 := \{F \in C[a, b] : F(a) = 0\}.$$

It is well known that C_0 is a Banach space with the uniform norm, $||F||_\infty := \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |F(t)|$.

We will follow the notation from [36] to introduce the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral.

Definition 2.3. A distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(a, b)$ is said to be a Henstock-Kurzweil integrable distribution on $[a, b]$ if there exists a continuous function $F \in C_0$ such that $F' = f$ (the distributional derivative of F is f). In other words, F is the primitive of f. The distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral of f on $[a, b]$ is denoted by

$$\int_a^b f(t)\, dt := F(b) - F(a).$$

We set $DF := F'$ in a distributional sense. The space of all the Henstock-Kurzweil integrable distributions on $[a, b]$ is denoted by D_{HK}. For $f \in D_{HK}$, we define the Alexiewicz norm in D_{HK} as

$$||f||_A := ||F||_\infty,$$

where $DF = f$. In particular, if $f \in HK[a, b]$, then $||f||_A := \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |\int_a^x f|$. Now let us consider $f \in D_{HK}$ and $(f_k) \subset HK[a, b]$ such that $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. Let us denote for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, F_k as the primitive of f_k $(F_k'(x) = f_k(x)$ a.e.$)$. Since $(f_k) \subset HK[a, b]$, then $\langle f_k, \phi \rangle = \int_a^b f_k(t)\phi(t)\, dt$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ and

$$\langle f_k, \phi \rangle := \int_a^b f_k(t)\phi(t)\, dt = -\int_a^b F_k \phi'.$$

On the other hand, since $||f - f_k||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, (F_k) is a Cauchy sequence in $C[a, b]$. Therefore, exists $F \in C[a, b]$ such that $F_k(x) \to F(x)$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \langle f_k, \phi \rangle = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^b F_k \phi' = -\int_a^b F\phi' = -\langle F, \phi' \rangle = \langle F', \phi \rangle.$$

Thus, in the sense of distributions (f_k) converges (weakly) to F'. Now, by Hölder inequality, [34, Theorem 7],

$$\left| \int_a^b (f_k - f)\phi \right| \leq 2\|f_k - f\|_A \|\phi\|_{BV} \text{ for each } \phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b).$$

Hence $f_k \to f$, in the distributions sense. Therefore $f = F'$.

Note that it does not depend on the Cauchy sequence because the set of continuous functions with uniform norm is a Banach space. Moreover, if $f \in D_{HK}$, then f has many primitives in $C[a, b]$, all differing by a constant. Nevertheless, f has exactly one primitive in C_0, see [11, Theorem 6, ii)].

By [34, Theorem 2, Theorem 3], D_{HK} is a Banach space and is a separable space with respect to the Alexiewicz norm, respectively. On the other hand, in [10] and [11] it is shown that the completion of the Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions space, $\widehat{HK[a,b]}$, is isomorphic to D_{HK}. Furthermore, in [11], [34] and [37] the following result is proved.

Theorem 2.4. D_{HK} *is isomorphic to the space* C_0.

Another important fact is that the Banach dual of $HK[a, b]$ is isomorphic to the space $BV[a, b]$ of all functions of bounded variations on $[a, b]$. Moreover, $HK[a, b]^* = D_{HK}^* = BV[a, b]$, see [1]. To consult the formal definitions, see [18]. Also there exists a version of FTC in the Henstock-Kurzweil distributional sense.

Theorem 2.5. ([34, Theorem 4] Fundamental theorem of calculus)

(i) *Let* $f \in D_{HK}$ *and* $F(x) := \int_a^x f$. *Then* $F \in C_0$ *and* $DF = f$.
(ii) *Let* $F \in C[a, b]$. *Then* $\int_a^x DF = F(x) - F(a)$ *for all* $x \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.6. Let f and (f_k) in D_{HK}.

(i) (f_k) converges in Alexiewicz norm to f if $\|f_k - f\|_A \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.
(ii) (f_k) converges weakly to f in $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ if $\langle f_k - f, \phi \rangle = \int_a^b (f_k - f)\phi \to 0$ $(k \to \infty)$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$.
(iii) (f_k) converges weakly to f in BV if $\langle f_k - f, g \rangle = \int_a^b (f_k - f)g \to 0$ $(k \to \infty)$ for each $g \in BV[a, b]$.

In [34] is proved the following result.

Theorem 2.7. *We have*

(i) *Convergence in Alexiewicz norm implies weak convergence in* $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ *and* $BV[a, b]$.
(ii) *Weak convergence in* $BV[a, b]$ *implies weak convergence in* $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$.
(iii) *Nevertheless, weak convergence in* $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ *does not imply weak convergence in* $BV[a, b]$ *or weak convergence in* $BV[a, b]$ *does not imply convergence in Alexiewicz norm.*

Consider

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \phi \in C^\infty \text{ and } \phi \text{ has a compact support on } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We say that a sequence $(\phi_n) \subset \mathcal{D}$ converges to $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ if there is a compact set K such that all ϕ_n have support in K and for each integer $m \geq 0$, the sequence of derivatives $(\phi_n^{(m)})$ converges to $\phi^{(m)}$ uniformly on K, see e.g. [19]. The space of

distributions on $\mathcal{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'$. The space of Denjoy integrable distributions is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_C := \{f \in \mathcal{D}' \mid f = F' \text{ for } F \in \mathcal{B}_C\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_C := \{F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid F \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}}), F(-\infty) = 0\}.$$

We denote by $C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ the continuous functions such that the limits $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x)$ and $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x)$ exist in $\mathbb{R}$. Setting $F(\pm\infty) := \lim_{x \to \pm\infty} F(x)$.

Denote

$$BV := \{g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid Vg < \infty\}$$

where $Vg := \sup \Sigma |g(x_i) - g(y_i)|$ and the supremum is taken over all disjoint intervals $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$.

The convolution of $g, f : B \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g * f(x) := \int_B g(x - y) f(y) \, dy \tag{6}$$

always that the integral (6) exists in some sense. It is well known that if $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the convolution of g and f belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, [29]. Also, the convolution of $g \in BV$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_c$ is defined with respect to the Henstock-Kurzweil distributional integral. On the other hand, when $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_c$, and knowing that $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_c$, their convolution is defined by

$$g * f(x) := \lim_{k \to \infty} g * f_k(x),$$

where $(f_k) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. Talvila [32, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.4] proved the following result.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $(g, f) \in BV \times \mathcal{A}_C$. Then*

(i) $g * f(x)$ exists for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g * f$ belongs to $C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$;
(ii) $f * g(x) = g * f(x)$;
(iii) $||g * f||_\infty \leq ||f||_A ||g||_{BV}$ where $||g||_{BV} := Vg + |g(-\infty)|$.
Moreover, if $(g, f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{A}_C$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

(i') $g * f \in \mathcal{A}_c$;
(ii') $||g * f||_A \leq ||f||_A ||g||_1$;
(iii') $h * (g * f)(x) = (h * g) * f(x)$.

Note that, any $f \in D_{HK}$ can be considered as an element in $\mathcal{A}_C$, because of its primitive $F \in C_0$ can be continuously extended to a function in $C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$.

3. **Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator on D_{HK}.** In this section, we extend the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator over Henstock-Kurzweil integrable distributions and we prove fundamental properties, including the semigroup property.

In accordance with the convolution definition we set the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and

$$\phi_n(u) := \begin{cases} u^{n-1} & \text{if } 0 < u \leq b - a, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

The *Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator of order n* is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \phi_n * f(x),$$

for $n \geq 1$

$$\phi_n * f(x) := \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f(t) \, dt,$$

and for $0 < n < 1$

$$\phi_n * f(x) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f_k(t) \, dt,$$

whereby $a \leq x \leq b$, $(f_k) \subset L^1[a,b]$ such that $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{J}_a^0 f := I$, the identity operator.

Remark 1. Note that if $n \geq 1$, then ϕ_n is increasing, non-negative and bounded on $[0, b-a]$. Thus, ϕ_n is a function of bounded variation on $\mathbb{R}$. When $0 < n < 1$, the function ϕ_n belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, but it is not a bounded variation function (is unbounded at $u = 0$). Observe that if f is in $L^1[a,b]$, then $\mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) = J_a^n f(x)$, since the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral contains the Lebesgue integral. Via the Hölder inequality, [34] and [37], it is easy to see that $\mathcal{J}_a^n f$ is a temperate distribution for any $f \in D_{HK}$ and $n \geq 0$.

In case $(a,b) = \mathbb{R}$, the fractional integral over the real axis is defined analogously, see [30]. Note that for any $n > 0$, ϕ_n is not Lebesgue integrable nor bounded on $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, convolution definition (Definition 3.1) is not suitable for $f \in \mathcal{A}_c$ and ϕ_n. On the other hand, $\phi_n \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, then ϕ_n defines a regular distribution,

$$\langle T_{\phi_n}, \phi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(x) \phi(x) dx,$$

$\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. The convolution of two distributions S and T is defined as

$$\langle S * T, \phi \rangle = \langle S(\phi), \langle T(x), \phi(x-y) \rangle \rangle, \tag{8}$$

see for example [14]. However, the inner pairing $\langle T(x), \phi(x-y) \rangle$ produces a function of y which might not be a test function. Then the distributions must satisfy one of the following conditions: (a) either S or T has bounded support, (b) the supports of S and T are bounded on the same side. In the fractional integral case, these constraints force the distribution f to have compact support. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative defined as convolution of generalized functions with the supports bounded on the same side is studied in [22]. Then, we will analyze the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral in the sense of the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral, according to Definition 3.1.

Now, we will prove some fundamental properties of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $f \in D_{HK}$ *and* $\mathcal{J}_a^n f(x)$ *as in the Definition* 3.1. *Then,*

(i) $\mathcal{J}_a^n : D_{HK} \to D_{HK}$;

(ii) $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ *is a bounded linear operator with respect to the Alexiewicz norm;*

(iii) *for* $(f_k) \subset D_{HK}$ *which converges in the Alexiewicz norm to* f, *we have that* $(\mathcal{J}_a^n f_k)$ *convergences in the Alexiewicz norm to* $\mathcal{J}_a^n f$.

(iv) *Moreover, if* $n \geq 1$, *then*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_n * f_k(x)$$

on D_{HK} *and as well on* $C[a,b]$, *where* $(f_k) \subset L^1[a,b]$ *such that* $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, *as* $k \to \infty$.

Proof. In the case $n = 0$, claims $i), ii)$ and $iii)$ are trivial. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed and $f \in D_{HK}$. By Theorem 2.8 we have that $\mathcal{J}_a^n f(x)$ exists for every $x \in [a, b]$, and $\mathcal{J}_a^n f$ is an element in C_0, thus $\mathcal{J}_a^n : D_{HK} \to C_0 \subset D_{HK}$. Now we will show that is a bounded linear operator with respect to the Alexiewicz norm. By Theorem 2.8 (iii)

$$\left| \int_a^y \mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_a^y ||\mathcal{J}_a^n f||_\infty dx$$
$$\leq (y - a) \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} ||f||_A ||\phi_n||_{BV}.$$

Taking supreme when $y \in [a, b]$ we get

$$||\mathcal{J}_a^n f||_A \leq \frac{(b - a)}{\Gamma(n)} ||f||_A ||\phi_n||_{BV}.$$

Now let $0 < n < 1$ be fixed. From Definition 3.1 and Theorem 2.8 we have $\phi_n * f \in \mathcal{A}_c$. Since f and ϕ_n have compact support, we get that $\mathcal{J}_a^n : D_{HK} \to D_{HK}$. It is clear that for any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ is a linear operator on D_{HK}, because of the linearity of the integral. By [28, Theorem 1.32] we have (iii).

In the case $n \geq 1$, ϕ_n is of bounded variation on $[0, b - a]$ and belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let us take a sequence (f_k) in $L^1[a, b]$ such that $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. By Theorem 2.8 (iii) and (i'), we have

$$||\phi_n * f - \phi_n * f_k||_A \leq ||f - f_k||_A ||\phi_n||_1 \quad \text{and}$$
$$||\phi_n * f - \phi_n * f_k||_\infty \leq ||f - f_k||_A ||\phi_n||_{BV}.$$

Therefore, (iv) holds. $\qquad\square$

We will prove the semigroup property for the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $f \in D_{HK}$. Then*

(i) *$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f = \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f$ in D_{HK}; Moreover, if $m \geq 1$ or $n \geq 1$, then the identity holds everywhere in $C[a, b]$;*

(ii) *$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f = \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^m f$ in D_{HK};*

(iii) *the set $\{\mathcal{J}_a^n : D_{HK} \to D_{HK}, n \geq 0\}$ forms a commutative semigroup with respect to concatenation. The identity operator $\mathcal{J}_a^0$ is the neutral element of this semigroup.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in D_{HK}$. By Theorem 3.2 (iii) we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k = \mathcal{J}_a^n f,$$

where $(f_k) \subset L^1[a, b]$ such that $||f - f_k||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. For any $n, m \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f_k(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b], \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^m f_k(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b], \tag{10}$$

see [12]. Since the composition of bounded operators is bounded, by (9) we have

$$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f = \mathcal{J}_a^m \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f_k = \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f \quad \text{in } D_{HK}.$$

If $n \geq 1$, then we have $\mathcal{J}_a^n f, \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f, \mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f \in C[a, b]$. By Theorem 3.2 (iv)

$$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^{m+n} f(x) \quad \text{on } [a, b]$$

and we obtain (i). Claim (ii) follows from (10) and the continuity condition (Theorem 3.2 (iii))

$$\mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^m \mathcal{J}_a^n f_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^m f_k = \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^m f \quad \text{in } D_{HK}.$$

Finally (iii) follows from the associative property (Theorem 2.8 (ii')). $\square$

Analogously, let us define the right-sided *Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order n* on D_{HK}.

Definition 3.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and

$$\psi_n(u) \quad := \quad \begin{cases} (-u)^{n-1} & \text{if } 0 < -u \le b - a, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

The *right-side fractional integral of order n* is

$$\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \psi_n * f(x),$$

for $n \ge 1$,

$$\psi_n * f(x) := \int_x^b (t - x)^{n-1} f(t) \, dt,$$

and for $0 < n < 1$,

$$\psi_n * f(x) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n-1} f_k(t) \, dt,$$

whereby $a \le x \le b$, $(f_k) \subset L^1[a, b]$ such that $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^0 := I$, the identity operator.

Remark 2. It is clear that if $n \ge 1$, then ψ_n is of bounded variation and belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n : D_{HK} \to C_0 \subset D_{HK}$, and for any $f \in D_{HK}$

$$\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n f(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n f_k(x),$$

in D_{HK}, where $(f_k) \subset L^1[a, b]$ such that (f_k) converges in Alexiewicz norm to f. Analogously, we have $||\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n f||_\infty \le ||f||_A ||\psi_n||_{BV} / \Gamma(n)$, when $n \ge 1$. Moreover, we get that $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n$ is a bounded linear operator of D_{HK} into D_{HK}, because of $||\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n f||_A \le ||f||_A ||\psi_n||_{BV} (b-a) / \Gamma(n)$. If $0 < n < 1$, then $\psi_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n : D_{HK} \to D_{HK}$; by Theorem 2.8 we get that $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n$ is a bounded linear operator with respect to the Alexiewicz norm. Similarly, the semigroup property for the operators $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n$ is obtained.

4. **Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator on** D_{HK}**.** Now, we will extend the Riemann-Liouville differential operator (Definition 2.2) in distributional sense to get new fundamental properties between the fractional integral and differential operators. Moreover, we shall prove the fundamental theorem of fractional calculus on the space D_{HK}.

Definition 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $m := \lceil n \rceil$ and $f \in D_{HK}$. The *Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator of order n* is

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n f := D^m \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f,$$

where D^m denotes the m-fold iterates of the distributional derivative. For $n = 0$, we set $\mathcal{D}_a^0 := I$, the identity operator.

Remark 3. Observe that the operator $\mathcal{D}_a^n$ is well defined, since $\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n}f \in D_{HK}$, and the distributional derivative of a distribution is a distribution, see [19]. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n : D_{HK} \to \mathcal{D}'(a,b).$$

Remark 4. The Caputo derivative on $\mathbb{R}$ is given as

$$^{C}D^n f(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{m-n-1}}{\Gamma(m-n)} f^{(m)}(t)dt, \tag{12}$$

where $m := \lceil n \rceil$. According to the convolution definition for distribution (8), if f is any distribution with bounded support, the Caputo and Riemann-Liouville derivatives define the same functional in distributional sense, see [22]; in particular it is valid when f is induced by a function in $C^\infty[a,b]$. In our study any distribution f in D_{HK} is considered. This means that f might not be induced by a locally Lebesgue integrable function.

We will show that for any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f \in D_{HK}$, the Riemann-Liouville integral operator can be written via the primitive of f. This is a new property even for Lebesgue integrable functions.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $f \in D_{HK}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n f = D(\mathcal{J}_a^n F), \tag{13}$$

where $F \in C_0$ and is the primitive of f. In consequence, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$, then

$$\langle D^j(\mathcal{J}_a^n f), \phi \rangle = (-1)^{j+1}\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n F, \phi^{(j+1)} \rangle. \tag{14}$$

Moreover, if $m = \lceil n \rceil$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n f = D^{m+1} \mathcal{J}^{m-n} F. \tag{15}$$

For $0 < n < 1$, $\mathcal{D}_a^n F$ is a temperate distribution and

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n F = \mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f. \tag{16}$$

Proof. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be fixed, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$. By Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n f, \phi \rangle &:= \int_a^b \mathcal{J}_a^n f(x)\phi(x)\,dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f(t)\,dt\,\phi(x)\,dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f_k(t)\phi(x)\,dt\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(f_k) \subset L^1[a,b]$ such that $\|f_k - f\|_A \to \infty$, as $k \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{J}_a^n f_k$ converges in the Alexiewicz norm to $\mathcal{J}_a^n f$ (Theorem 3.2), by Theorem 2.7, the Fubini Theorem and integration by parts we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f_k(t)\phi(x)\,dt\,dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b f_k(t) \int_t^b (x-t)^{n-1} \phi(x) \, dx \, dt, \\
&= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b f_k(t) \int_t^b \frac{(x-t)^n}{n} \phi'(x) \, dx \, dt \\
&= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b \phi'(x) \int_a^x F_k(t)(x-t)^{n-1} \, dt \, dx \\
&= -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^b \phi'(x) \mathcal{J}_a^n F_k(x) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_k(t) = \int_a^t f_k(r) \, dr$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we have that for k, k' large enough

$$||F_k - F_{k'}||_\infty = ||f_k - f_{k'}||_A < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, there exists $F \in C_0$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} F_k(x) = F(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and for a k large enough we have $||F_k - F||_\infty < \varepsilon$. We will show that $||\mathcal{J}_a^n F_k - \mathcal{J}_a^n F||_A < \varepsilon$, for a k large enough.

Since (F_k) and F belong to C_0, we have

$$||F_k - F||_A = \sup_{y \in [a,b]} \left| \int_a^y F_k(t) - F(t) dt \right| \le \sup_{y \in [a,b]} \int_a^y ||F_k - F||_\infty dt \le \varepsilon(b-a),$$

for a k large enough, i.e. F_k converges in the Alexiewicz norm to F. By the continuity property (Theorem 3.2 (iii)) we get that $\mathcal{J}_a^n F_k$ converges to $\mathcal{J}_a^n F$ in the Alexiewicz norm as well. Thus, by Theorem 2.7 we have

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n f, \phi \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n F, \phi' \rangle = \langle (\mathcal{J}_a^n F)', \phi \rangle,$$

and (13) holds. From (13) and the definition of derivative in the distributional sense we get (14). By definition of fractional derivative we have $\mathcal{D}_a^n f = D^m \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f$, from where (15) follows. Since $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f$ is a temperate distribution and $\mathcal{D}_a^n F = D(\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} F)$, we have (16). $\square$

Corollary 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m := \lceil n \rceil$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $F \in C_0$ the primitive of f. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n F = D^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f. \tag{17}$$

Proof. The equality (17) follows from expression (14). $\square$

The following example shows that, although F is differentiable nowhere, its fractional derivative of any order is well defined. Moreover, the fractional integral and derivative of arbitrary order of F' are always well defined in the distributional sense.

Example 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $F \in C_0$ such that $F'(x)$ does not exists for any $x \in [a, b]$. Note that $F \notin ACG_*(I)$ for any $I \subset [a, b]$, hence F' does not belong to $HK(I)$ nor $L^1(I)$. However, $F' \in D_{HK}$ and $\int_a^x DF = F(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. By Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n F'(\phi) = T'_{\mathcal{J}_a^n F}(\phi) = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{J}_a^n F(x) \phi'(x) dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n F'(\phi) = T^{(m+1)}_{\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F}(\phi) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F(x) \phi^{(m+1)}(x) dx,$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ where $\mathcal{J}_a^n F$ defines an absolutely continuous functions for any $n > 0$ and $T^{(m)}$ denotes the $m-th$ distributional derivative of the distribution T. This means, the fractional integral and derivative of any order of F' exist, even

though F' is not a Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function and F is differentiable nowhere, respectively. In particular, for $n \geq 1$, we have that $\mathcal{J}_a^n F' \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. On the other hand, by Definition 4.1 and Corollary 1 for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n F(\phi) = (-1)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F(x) \phi^{(m)}(x) dx = (-1)^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F'(\phi^{(m-1)}).$$

Lemma 4.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ we have that*

$$\langle D^j(\mathcal{J}_a^n f), \phi \rangle = \langle D^j f, \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi \rangle, \tag{18}$$

where j is any positive integer or zero.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ and $f \in D_{HK}$. By Theorem 3.2, Theorem 2.7 and the Fubini Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n f, \phi \rangle &:= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^b f_k(t) \int_t^b (x-t)^{n-1} \phi(x) \, dx \, dt, \\
&= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^b f_k(t) \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi(t) \, dt. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$, $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi \in AC[a, b] \subset BV[a, b]$. Since (f_k) converges in the Alexiewicz norm to f, applying Theorem 2.7 in (19) we get

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n f, \phi \rangle = \int_a^b f(t) \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi(t) dt = \langle f, \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi \rangle.$$

From here and by the definition of derivative in distributional sense, (18) holds.   □

Applying integration by parts, semigroup property [30], and FTC, is easy to see that $J_{b_-}^n \phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi = J_{b_-}^n \phi$, (18) is well defined. Now we will show a version of the integration by parts formula for $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ on D_{HK}.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $\phi \in BV[a, b]$. Then,*

$$\int_a^b \phi(x) \mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) \, dx = \int_a^b f(t) \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi(t) \, dt. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $\phi \in BV[a, b]$. Analogously, as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b \phi(x) \mathcal{J}_a^n f(x) \, dx &= \int_a^b \phi(x) \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f_k(t) \, dt \, dx \\
&= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^b f_k(t) \mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi(t) \, dt,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(f_k) \subset L^1[a, b]$ and $||f_k - f||_A \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. Since $\phi \in BV[a, b]$, we have that $\mathcal{J}_{b_-}^n \phi \in AC[a, b]$ and $AC[a, b] \subset BV[a, b]$. By Theorem 2.7 we have (20).   □

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $g \in D_{HK}$ and $f = \mathcal{J}_a^{n_1+n_2} g$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_a^{n_1} \mathcal{D}_a^{n_2} f = \mathcal{D}_a^{n_1+n_2} f.$$

Proof. We proceed as in [12, Theorem 2.13].   □

Remark 5. Note that $\mathcal{D}_a^{n_2} \mathcal{D}_a^{n_1} f = \mathcal{D}_a^{n_2+n_1} f = \mathcal{D}_a^{n_1+n_2} f = \mathcal{D}_a^{n_1} \mathcal{D}_a^{n_2} f$, for $g \in D_{HK}$ and $f = \mathcal{J}_a^{n_1+n_2} g$.

Now we will prove the fundamental theorem of calculus in the distributional sense, it means, $\mathcal{D}_a^n$ inverts $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ on D_{HK} and for any $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$. Then, for every $f \in D_{HK}$,*

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^n f = f.$$

Proof. In the case $n = 0$, the statement is trivial because $\mathcal{D}_a^n$ and $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ are both the identity operator. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $m := \lceil n \rceil$. Then, by the definition of $\mathcal{D}_a^n$, the semigroup property of the integral operators and the fundamental theorem of calculus (Theorem 2.5),

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^n f := D^m \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} \mathcal{J}_a^n f = D^m \mathcal{J}_a^m f = f.$$

$\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let f_1, f_2 in D_{HK}, $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{D}^n(c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2) = c_1 \mathcal{D}^n f_1 + c_2 \mathcal{D}^n f_2.$$

Proof. The linearity property follows from the linearity of the derivative. $\square$

Now we will show some relations between Riemann-Liouville integrals and derivatives.

Corollary 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$. If there exists some $g \in D_{HK}$ such that $f = \mathcal{J}_a^n g$, then*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f = f.$$

Proof. It follows from definition of f and Theorem 4.6,

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f = \mathcal{J}_a^n [\mathcal{D}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^n g] = \mathcal{J}_a^n g = f.$$

$\square$

Corollary 3. *Let $0 < n < 1$. Assume that f is such that $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C_0$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f = f. \tag{21}$$

In particular, if $f \in C_0$, then (21) holds as well.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C_0$. Since C_0 is isometrically isomorphic to D_{HK} (Theorem 2.4) there exists $\phi \in D_{HK}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f := \mathcal{J}_a^1 \phi. \tag{22}$$

Applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_a^{1-n}$ in (22) by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.6,

$$f = \mathcal{J}_a^n \phi.$$

It follows from Corollary 2. Now let us consider $f \in C_0$, then $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C_0$ it follows in an analogous way. $\square$

We have that the differential operator D is the inverse operator $\mathcal{J}_a^1$. This means,

$$D \mathcal{J}_a^1 f = f,$$

for any $f \in D_{HK}$. Analogously, for $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f \in D_{HK}$

$$\mathcal{D}_a^n \mathcal{J}_a^n f = f.$$

Now, let us consider $F \in C[a, b]$. In general, $\int_a^x DF(t)dt \neq F(x)$ because of the appearance of the constant $F(a)$. We will show that in general, $\mathcal{J}_a^n$ does not inverts D_a^n. Even more, we provide an explicit expression.

Definition 4.8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is said that $F \in \mathcal{C}^n[a, b]$ if and only if $F^{(n-1)} \in C[a, b]$ in the classical sense, it means, $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-1} F(x) = F^{(n-1)}(x)$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{C}^1[a,b] = C[a,b]$. Note that if $F^{(n-1)} \in C[a,b]$, then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$ we have

$$\langle F^{(n-1)}, \phi \rangle = (-1)^{n-1} \langle F, \phi^{(n-1)} \rangle.$$

Moreover, if $F \in \mathcal{C}^n[a,b]$, then $F, F^{(1)}, ..., F^{(n-1)} \in C[a,b]$ and $F^{(k-1)}$ is the primitive of $F^{(k)}$, therefore

$$F^{(k-1)}(x) = \int_a^x F^{(k)}(t)dt + F^{(k-1)}(a),$$

with another notation

$$D^{k-1}F = F^{(k-1)},$$

where $k = 1, 2, ..., n-1$. For $k = n$ we have $D^n F = \phi$ for some $\phi \in D_{HK}$.

Lemma 4.9. *The space $\mathcal{C}^n[a,b]$ consists of those and only those functions $f(x)$, which are represented in the form*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} \phi(t)\, dt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k(x-a)^k,$$

where $\phi \in D_{HK}$, c_k being arbitrary constants.

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.8, characterization of $C[a,b]$ in terms of distributional integral and Definition 3.1. Moreover, $\phi = D^n f$ and $c_k = f^{(k)}(a)/k! = D^k f(a)/k!$. □

Let n be a positive number. We define $\lfloor n \rfloor$ as the greatest integer less than or equal to n.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $m := \lfloor n \rfloor + 1$. Assume that f is such that $\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f \in \mathcal{C}^m[a,b]$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x-a)^{n-k-1}}{\Gamma(n-k)} D^{m-k-1} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(a).$$

In particular, if $0 < n < 1$ we have

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f(x) = f(x) - \frac{(x-a)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} \mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f(a).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f \in C^m$, by Theorem 2.4 there exits $\phi \in D_{HK}$ such that

$$D^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f = \mathcal{J}_a^1 \phi + D^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(a).$$

By Lemma 4.9 we have that

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^m \phi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x-a)^k}{k!} D^k \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(a). \tag{23}$$

By definition of $\mathcal{D}_a^n$, the expression (23) and Theorem 3.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}_a^n f(x) &:= \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}^m \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(x) \\
&= \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}^m \left[\mathcal{J}_a^m \phi + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x-a)^k}{k!} D^k \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(a) \right] \\
&= \mathcal{J}_a^n \mathcal{D}^m \mathcal{J}_a^m \phi + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\mathcal{J}_a^n D^m (\cdot - a)^k(x)}{k!} D^k \mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f(a) \\
&= \mathcal{J}_a^n \phi. \tag{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying the operator $\mathcal{D}_a^{m-n}$ to both sides of (23) and by Theorem 3.3 we have that

$$f(x) = \mathcal{D}_a^{m-n}\mathcal{J}_a^m\phi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\mathcal{D}_a^{m-n}[(\cdot - a)^k](x)}{k!}D^k\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n}f(a).$$

By definition of derivative, Theorem 3.3 and Example 2.4 in [12],

$$f(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^n\phi(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x-a)^{k+n-m}}{\Gamma(k+n-m+1)}D^k\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n}f(a). \tag{25}$$

So, we substitute k by $m - k - 1$ in (25) and by the expression (24), we obtain the result. $\square$

Following the idea that the primitives of an element in D_{HK} differ by a constant, we will generalize the following results, [30, Lemma 2.1]. Let $0 < n < 1$ and $F \in AC[a,b]$. Then $J_a^{1-n}F \in AC[a,b]$ and

$$J_a^{1-n}F(x) = \frac{F(a)(x-a)^{-n+1}}{\Gamma(-n+2)} + J_a^{2-n}F'(x).$$

Furthermore, under the same assumptions of [12, Lemma 2.12] we have

$$D_a^nF(x) = \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(1-n)(x-a)^n} + J_a^{1-n}F'(x).$$

Now we give the corresponding generalizations in the distributional sense.

Corollary 4. *Let $0 < n < 1$ and $F \in C[a,b]$. Then $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n}F \in C[a,b]$ and*

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n}F(x) = \frac{F(a)(x-a)^{-n+1}}{\Gamma(-n+2)} + \mathcal{J}_a^{2-n}f(x)$$

for $f \in D_{HK}$ such that $f = DF$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{D}_a^nF(x) = \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(1-n)(x-a)^n} + \mathcal{J}_a^{1-n}f(x).$$

Proof. Let $F \in C[a,b]$. By Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 we have that

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(z)dz + F(a) = \int_a^x DF + F(a),$$

for some $f \in D_{HK}$ such that $f = DF$. Let $0 < n < 1$ be fixed. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n}F(x) \quad := \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)}\int_a^x (x-t)^{-n}F(t)\,dt$$

$$= \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)}\int_a^x (x-t)^{-n}\left(F(a) + \int_a^t f(z)dz\right)dt. \tag{26}$$

Let us consider $f \in D_{HK}$, $h(u) = u^{-n}$ if $0 < u \le b - a$ and 0 else, and let us define their definite integrals as

$$F_0(t) = \int_a^t f, \quad t \ge a \quad \text{and} \quad H_0(x) = \int_0^x h, \quad x \ge 0.$$

We emphasize with the subindex zero that the definite integrals F_0 and H_0 are in C_0 and $AC[a,b] \cap C_0$, respectively. It is clear that f can be considered as an element

of $\mathcal{A}_c$ and h belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. By [32, Theorem 4.4] we have that $H_0 * f \in C_0$ and $H_0 * f(x) = h * F_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x-t)F_0(t)\,dt &= \int_a^x h(x-t)F_0(t)\,dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_0(x-t)f(t)\,dt \\
&= \int_a^x H_0(x-t)f(t)\,dt, \quad\quad (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \le x \le b$. On the other hand,

$$
H_0 * f(x) = \int_a^x \frac{(x-t)^{-n+1}}{-n+1} f(t)\,dt. \quad\quad (28)
$$

By expressions (26), (27), (28) and properties of gamma function we have that

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-n}\left(F(a) + \int_a^t f(z)dz \right) dt
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(2-n)}(x-a)^{1-n} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)} h * F_0(x) \\
&= \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(2-n)}(x-a)^{1-n} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-n)} H_0 * f(x) \\
&= \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(2-n)}(x-a)^{1-n} + \mathcal{J}_a^{2-n} f(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} F \in C[a,b]$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_a^n F(x) &:= D\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} F(x) \\
&= D\left[\frac{F(a)(x-a)^{-n+1}}{\Gamma(-n+2)} + \mathcal{J}_a^{2-n} f(x) \right] \\
&= \frac{F(a)}{\Gamma(1-n)(x-a)^n} + D\mathcal{J}_a^{2-n} f(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 4.2, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.6 we have

$$
D\mathcal{J}_a^{2-n} f(x) = DD\mathcal{J}_a^{2-n} F_0(x) = D\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} F_0(x) = \mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f(x).
$$

$\square$

Example 2. Let $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
f(t) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+1} 2^k k^{-1} & \text{if } t \in [c_{k-1}, c_k) \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 1, \end{cases}
$$

where $c_i = 1 - 2^{-i}$, $i = 0,1,2,...$ It is well known that $f \in HK[0,1] \setminus L^1[0,1]$, see [8]. Therefore, $F(t) := \int_0^t f$ belongs to $ACG_*[0,1] \setminus AC[0,1]$, see e.g., [18]. Since F is in C_0, we have that $\mathcal{J}_0^{1-n} F$ belongs to $AC[0,1]$ for $0 < n < 1$, hence $\mathcal{J}_0^{1-n} F$ is differentiable a.e. Taking $n = 1/2$ and applying Corollary 4 we can calculate $\mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f$, even though $f \notin L^1[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f(x) &= \mathcal{D}_0^{1/2} F(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} + 2c_{n-1}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \right] x^{-1/2} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1} 2^{n+1}}{n\pi} x^{1/2},
\end{aligned}
$$

when $x \in (c_{n-1}, c_n)$, in case $x = c_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathcal{D}_0^{1/2} F(x)$ does not exists. This example illustrates that f does not need to be Lebesgue integrable to achieve its fractional integral pointwise a.e. Moreover, according to Definition 4.1 and equality (13) from Theorem 4.2 the operators $\mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^{1/2} F$ are distributions given by the same regular distribution, this means that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$

$$\mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f(\phi) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} F(x) \phi'(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f(x) \phi(x) dx = \mathcal{D}_0^{1/2} F(\phi),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{1/2} f(\phi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} F(x) \phi''(x) dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_0^{1/2} f(x) \phi'(x) dx.$$

5. **Some applications.** The integral equation

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n-1} \varphi(t) dt = f(x), \tag{29}$$

where $0 < n < 1$, f is a known function and φ is the unknown function, is called the Abel integral equation. Assume this equation is considered on a compact interval $[a, b]$. We will show a generalization of the solution of (29).

Theorem 5.1. *The Abel integral equation (29) is solvable in D_{HK} if and only if $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C[a, b]$ and $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f(a) = 0$.*

Proof. Necessity. Let $0 < n < 1$ be fixed. Assume that the Abel integral equation (29) has a solution in D_{HK}. It means, given $f \in D_{HK}$ there exists $\varphi \in D_{HK}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_a^n \varphi(x) = f(x).$$

Applying $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n}$, by Theorem 3.3 we get

$$\mathcal{J}_a^1 \varphi(x) = \int_a^x \varphi(t)\, dt = \mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f(x).$$

By hypothesis $\varphi \in D_{HK}$, thus $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C_0$. Since the Abel integral equation has a solution, it must be $\varphi = \mathcal{D}_a^n f$.

Sufficiency. Assume that there exists $f \in D_{HK}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f \in C_0$. Then there exists $\varphi_1 \in D_{HK}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{1-n} f(x) = \int_a^x \varphi_1(t)\, dt.$$

We will prove that φ_1 is solution of (29). Applying $\mathcal{D}_a^{1-n}$ by Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 3.3 we have

$$f = \mathcal{J}_a^n \varphi_1.$$

$\square$

Remark 6. It is possible to prove this result using the associative property of the convolution, change of variable and the isomorphism between D_{HK} and C_0.

The Fourier transform of a distribution T is defined as

$$\langle \widehat{T}, \phi \rangle = \langle T, \widehat{\phi} \rangle,$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, the set of test functions, and the Fourier transform of g at x is

$$\widehat{g}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \exp(-2\pi i t x) dt.$$

Thus, we obtained the following properties of the Fourier transform for the Riemann-Liouville integral and derivative.

Proposition 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $F \in C_0$ and $f \in D_{HK}$ such that $DF = f$. Then*

(i)

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n F}, \phi \rangle,$$

where $\eta = 2\pi i s$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$.

(ii) *For $m - 1 \leq n < m$,*

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta^m \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} f}, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta^{m+1} \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F}, \phi \rangle.$$

(iii) *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\langle (D^k \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}), \phi \rangle = \langle (-\eta)^k \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle,$$

where D^k denotes the $k-$folds iterates of the derivative in distributional sense.

Proof. By (13) from Theorem 4.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{J}_a^n f, \widehat{\phi} \rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n F, (\widehat{\phi})' \rangle \\
&= -\langle \mathcal{J}_a^n F, \widehat{\psi} \rangle \\
&= \langle \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n F}, \eta \phi \rangle \\
&= \langle \eta \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n F}, \phi \rangle,
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

where $\psi = -2\pi s \phi(s)$. Analogously, by definition of distributional derivative and (30) we obtain (ii). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see

$$\langle D(\widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}), \phi \rangle = \langle -\eta \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle.$$

Applying the same argument $k - 1$ times we get the result. $\qquad \square$

Also, if T is a distribution and ψ is a test function, then the convolution of $\psi * T$ is defined as

$$\langle \psi * T, \phi \rangle = \langle T, \psi^- * \phi \rangle,$$

where $\psi^-(x) = \psi(-x)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$. Additionally, the convolution theorem holds in distributional sense, see [13]: if T is a temperate distribution and ψ a distribution with compact support, then

$$\widehat{\psi * T} = \widehat{\psi} \cdot \widehat{T},$$

where the right side is the product of a distribution and a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, which defines a temperate distribution. From the previous proposition we obtained the following relations. Note that $\mathcal{J}_a^n f$ is a temperate distribution when $f \in D_{HK}$ and $n \geq 0$, see [34], [37].

Proposition 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, $F \in C_0$, $f \in D_{HK}$ such that $DF = f$, and $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{D}(a,b)$.*

(i) *Then*

$$\langle \widehat{\psi * \mathcal{J}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^n F} \cdot \widehat{\psi}, \phi \rangle.$$

(ii) *If $\mathcal{D}_a^n f$ is a temperate distribution,*

$$\langle \widehat{\psi * \mathcal{D}_a^n f}, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta^{m+1} \widehat{\mathcal{J}_a^{m-n} F} \cdot \widehat{\psi}, \phi \rangle,$$

where $m - 1 < n \leq m$.

Conclusions. In this work, we present an extension of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative defined over the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable distributions. Thus, we obtain a generalization of classical results and new relations between the fractional derivative and integral operators. These results enable to achieve expressions for the fractional integral (of arbitrary order) of any integrable distribution f, even though f is not induced by a Lebesgue integrable function; and for the fractional derivative of any order of f', though f is continuous and differentiable nowhere, see Example 1 and Example 2. Hence, an advantage of this extension is that the fractional derivative of any order $n > 0$ (integer or non-integer) is always well defined over D_{HK}, which contains the spaces of Lebesgue, Henstock-Kurzweil and improper integrable functions. Thus, it is not necessary to constrain the fractional derivative D^n over AC^n. We believe that our results might contribute to modeling real-world problems.

Perspectives. In the classic fractional calculus theory there is a version of Leibniz' formula. This means, it is assumed that the functions f, g are analytic functions in order to obtain an explicit expression of the fractional derivative of the product fg, see e.g. [12, Theorem 2.18]. In the distribution theory the product of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'(a, b)$ and $g \in C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is defined as

$$fg(\phi) := f(g\phi),$$

for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(a, b)$. In particular, if $f \in D_{HK}$ and $g \in BV[a, b]$, then the product belongs to D_{HK} and

$$\int_a^b fg = F(b)g(b) - \int_a^b F \, dg,$$

where $F \in C_0$ and $DF = f$, see [34]. In this paper we extended the integral and differential concepts of arbitrary positive order in the distributional sense. Thus a natural question is: Under what conditions is it possible to get an explicit expression of $\mathcal{D}^n[fg]$, where $n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in D_{HK}$ and $g \in BV[a, b]$?

Here we established fundamental results for fractional calculus in the sense of the distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. On the other hand, the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative seems to be the most suitable according to theoretical and applied studies, see [6]. Nevertheless, many numerical approximations of the fractional derivative were made considering the Caputo derivative and the Lebesgue integral. Thus, the future possible research is rich and has several directions, for example, differential equations, generalized differential equations, mathematical modeling and numerical approximation, where integration techniques play an important role, see e.g., [2], [5], [6], [7], [9], [15], [20], [27], [31], [35], [38] and [39], among others.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Alexiewicz, Linear functionals on Denjoy-integrable functions, *Colloquium Math.*, **1** (1948), 289–293.

[2] A. Atangana, Non validity of index law in fractional calculus: A fractional differential operator with Markovian and non-Markovian properties, *Phys. A.*, **505** (2018), 688–706.

[3] A. Atangana and J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, Decolonisation of fractional calculus rules: Breaking commutativity and associativity to capture more natural phenomena, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **133** (2018), 22 pp.

[4] A. Atangana and J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, Fractional derivatives with no-index law property: Application to chaos and statistics, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **114** (2018), 516–535.

[5] A. Atangana and J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, Numerical approximation of Riemann-Liouville definition of fractional derivative: From Riemann-Liouville to Atangana-Baleanu, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations*, **34** (2018), 1502–1523.

[6] A. Atangana and S. Qureshi, Modeling attractors of chaotic dynamical systems with fractal-fractional operators, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **123** (2019), 320–337.

[7] A. Atangana and A. Shafiq, Differential and integral operators with constant fractional order and variable fractional dimension, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **127** (2019), 226–243.

[8] R. G. Bartle, *A Modern Theory of Integration*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[9] D. A. Benson, *The Fractional Advection-Dispersion Equation: Development and Application*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Nevada in Reno, 1998.

[10] B. Bongiorno, Relatively weakly compact sets in the Denjoy space, *J. Math. Study*, **27** (1994), 37–44.

[11] B. Bongiorno and T. V. Panchapagesan, On the Alexiewicz topology of the Denjoy space, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **21** (1995/96), 604–614.

[12] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations. An Application-oriented Exposition Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2004. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[13] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk, *Distributions. Theory and Applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.

[14] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions, Volume 1: Properties and Operations*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.

[15] W. G. Glöckle and T. F. Nonnenmacher, A fractional calculus approach to self-similar protein dynamics, *Biophys. J.*, **68** (1995), 46–53.

[16] J. F. Gómez-Aguilar and A. Atangana, New insight in fractional differentiation: Power, exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler laws and applications, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **132** (2017), 21 pp.

[17] J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, H. Yépez-Martínez, R. F. Escobar-Jiménez, V. H. Olivares-Peregrino, J. M. Reyes and I. O. Sosa, Series solution for the time-fractional coupled mKdV equation using the homotopy analysis method, *Math. Probl. Eng.*, **2016** (2016), Art. ID 7047126, 8 pp.

[18] R. A. Gordon, *The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 4, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

[19] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. I. Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*, 2nd edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[20] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.

[21] D. S. Kurtz and C. W. Swartz, *Theories of Integration. The Integrals of Riemann, Lebesgue, Henstock-Kurzweil, and Mcshane*, Series in Real Analysis, 9. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, N.J., 2004.

[22] C. K. Li, Several results of fractional derivatives in $D'(R_+)$, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **18** (2015), 192–207.

[23] R. Marks and M. Hall, Differintegral interpolation from a bandlimited signal's samples, *IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing*, **29** (1981), 872–877.

[24] R. M. McLeod, *The Generalized Riemann Integral*, Carus Math. Monographs, 20. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1980.

[25] E. J. McShane, A unified theory of integration, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **80** (1973), 349–359.

[26] G. A. Monteiro, A. Slavík and M. Tvrdý, *Kurzweil-Stieltjes Integral. Theory and Applications*, Series in Real Analysis, 15. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2019.

[27] V. F. Morales-Delgado, M. A. Taneco-Hernández and J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, On the solutions of fractional order of evolution equations, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **132** (2017), 14 pp.

[28] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Düsseldorf-Johannesburg, 1973.

[29] W. Rudin, Representation of functions by convolutions, *J. Math. Mech.*, **7** (1958), 103–115.

[30] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.

[31] Š. Schwabik, *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, Series in Real Analysis, 5. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, N.J., 1992.

[32] E. Talvila, Convolutions with the continuous primitive integral, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2009** (2009), Art. ID 307404, 18 pp.

[33] E. Talvila, Henstock-Kurzweil Fourier transforms, *Illinois J. Math.*, **46** (2002), 1207–1226.

[34] E. Talvila, The distributional Denjoy integral, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **33** (2008), 51–82.

[35] A. A. Tateishi, H. V. Ribeiro and E. K. Lenzi, The role of fractional time-derivative operators on anomalous diffusion, *Front. Phys.*, **5** (2017), 9 pp.

[36] G. J. Ye and W. Liu, The distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral and applications, *Monatsh. Math.*, **181** (2016), 975–989.

[37] G. J. Ye and W. Liu, The distributional Henstock-Kurzweil integral and applications: A survey, *J. Math. Study*, **49** (2016), 433–448.

[38] H. Yépez-Martínez, J. F. Gómez-Aguilar, I. O. Sosa, J. M. Reyes and J. Torres-Jiménez, The Feng's first integral method applied to the nonlinear mKdV space-time fractional partial differential equation, *Rev. Mex. Fís.*, **62** (2016), 310–316.

[39] Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, N.J., 2014.

E-mail address: maciasm@math.muni.cz
E-mail address: dosla@math.muni.cz
E-mail address: jmendoza@fcfm.buap.mx