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Regulatory role of FOXQ1 gene and its target genes in colorectal cancer
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Abstract: Forkhead box Q1 (FOXQ1) is a nuclear transcription factor that controls the transcriptional activity of downstream genes to exert biological effects. Since its regulatory role in colorectal cancer (CRC) is unknown, we correlated RNA-seq and ATAC-seq sequencing data from the Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA), the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), and other databases with FOXQ1 knockdown data from our group and normal DLD1-CRC cell lines. First, we analyzed FOXQ1 gene expression across multiple databases and datasets and found significant differences in FOXQ1 gene expression in most tumors. In addition, we performed an extension analysis of the FOXQ1 gene at different levels and found a close relationship between the FOXQ1 gene and immunity. Then, based on the FOXQ1 gene, we collected its target genes and obtained a total of 107 genes that met the regulatory trend. After a series of target gene analyses, we obtained three key genes (SERPINA1, MYL9, and CFTR). Moreover, an enrichment analysis, an independent prognostic analysis, and an immune-related analysis were performed around FOXQ1 and its target genes. After knocking down FOXQ1 protein in SW480 cells, the SERPINA1 and MYL9 proteins were significantly altered, though the CFTR protein was not significantly changed. These results provide bioinformatics data that support studying and exploring the FOXQ1 gene and its target genes in CRC.
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1. Introduction

Colorectal Cancer (CRC), a malignant gastrointestinal tumor caused by multiple pathogenic factors with poor prognosis, is the third most common tumor in the world, and has the fourth highest mortality rate among all cancers (after lung, liver, and stomach cancers) [1].
Forkhead box Q1 (FOXQ1) is a member of the Forkhead box (FOX) gene family. It is located at 6p23–25 and encodes a 403 amino acid protein, named FOXQ1, which is a class of nuclear transcription factors stably bind to core elements such as GC boxes in the promoter regions of target genes and controls the transcriptional activity of downstream genes to exert biological effects [2]. Several studies have demonstrated that FOX transcription factors are associated with the initiation, progression, and metastasis of human cancers. In recent years, FOXQ1 has been connected with the promotion of tumor cell proliferation through the upregulation of downstream genes that encode proliferation-related proteins [3–6]. Christensen et al. observed that downregulation of FOXQ1 expression decreased the angiogenic ability of SW480 colorectal cancer cells by modulating Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is an angiogenic activator secreted by tumor cells [7–8]. The FOXQ1 protein positively regulates Laminin Subunit Alpha 4 (LAMA4) expression, which promotes the development of micrometastasis and tumor reinitiation in vivo [9]. Down-regulation of FOXM1 expression by short interfering RNA (siRNA) decreases cell proliferation and metastasis. The tumor microenvironment can be modified by versican V1, a direct transcriptional target of FOXQ1, which has a role in promoting hepatocellular carcinoma cell metastasis and facilitating macrophage recruitment. During FOXQ1-regulated Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT), the levels mesenchymal cell markers such as E-cadherin (E-cad) increase, whereas the levels epithelial cell markers such as Vimentin (VIM) decrease [10]. After a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) transfection with a eukaryotic expression vector (FOXQ1-shRNA) that targeted the human FOXQ1 gene, the EMT of tumors is reversed. Several studies have shown that FOXQ1 promotes resistance to chemotherapeutic agents [11–12]. Anuradha et al. reported that the inhibition of FOXQ1 facilitated isothiocyanate benzyl ester-mediated inhibition of cell migration [13]. Guidance for disease treatments may be obtained from studies on the mechanism of action of FOXQ1 in CRC.

An innovative epigenetic research method called the Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) uses transposases to cleave nuclear chromatin regions open at a specific spatial and temporal location. This obtains the regulatory sequences of all active transcripts in the genome at the specific spatial and temporal locations [14–15].

This study proposes to jointly apply RNA-seq and ATAC-seq to identify genes with altered expressions due to altered chromatin accessibility caused by a FOXQ1 knockdown, to identify FOXQ1-regulated target genes, to develop a prognostic model, and to provide additional theoretical guidance for the treatment of CRC with FOXQ1 as a target.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data source

The TCGA-COADREAD dataset from the Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) was used as the master dataset for the survival analysis and other primary analyses for the CRC samples in this study: 51 cases were normal samples; and 616 cases were cancer samples, where 590 samples had available Overall Survival (OS) survival data and 514 samples had available Disease-Free Survival (DFS) survival data. Hence, only the corresponding number of samples were used for analyses that involved OS and DFS survival-related analyses. Additionally, three additional datasets from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) were used. The GSE164191 dataset was used for the expression
analysis. The normal samples had 62 cases, while the cancer samples had 59 cases. The GSE87211 dataset was used for the expression analysis, where the normal samples had 106 cases and the cancer samples had 203 cases. The GSE44076 dataset was used for the expression analysis, where the normal samples had 50 cases and the cancer samples had 98 cases. The GSE44076 dataset was used for the expression analysis, where the normal samples had 50 cases and the cancer samples had 98 cases. Moreover, RNA-seq and ATAC-seq sequencing data for the FOXQ1 knockdown and normal DLD1-CRC cell lines from our group were used.

2.2. Analysis method

A pan-cancer analysis of the FOXQ1 gene expression was performed using the oncoming database. The differences in FOXQ1 gene expression of TCGA-CRC tumor tissues and normal tissues were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The Kaplan-Meier method was utilized to analyze the survival differences between high and low FOXQ1 expression groups. An analysis of the relationship between FOXQ1 and changes in the transcriptional mechanism was performed by measuring methylation differences, non-coding regulation, copy number alteration differences, mutation differences, and performing a transcription factor analysis. A target gene prediction for FOXQ1 was performed by differential gene expression and differential chromatin open region and association analyses. The Clusterprofiler package was used to analyze Gene Ontology (GO)/Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment of the FOXQ1 target genes. A Protein protein interaction (PPI) analysis, a survival analysis, an independent prognosis, and a drug prediction study were performed based on target genes.

2.3. Construction of stable cell lines with low expression of FOXQ1 gene

Three pairs of shRNA interference sequences, named shRNA-A, shRNA-B and shRNA-C, and a control sequence, named shRNA-control, were designed and synthesized. the lenti-shFOXQ1 lentivirus was constructed by applying the pSPAX2 lentiviral packaging system of Addgene, and the PLKO.1-puro-shFOXQ1 plasmid was packaged to obtain the lenti-shFOXQ1 lentivirus. DLD1 and SW480 cells were infected and screened with a medium containing 1 μg/mL puromycin to obtain positive cells. Finally, a qRT-PCR and Western Blots were performed to verify the knockdown efficiency of the FOXQ1 gene.

2.4. Western blot

SW480 cells were purchased from the Cell Resource Centre of Shanghai Academy of Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Anti-FOXQ1(abcam, ab194564), Alpha 1 Antitrypsin Monoclonal antibody (SERPINA1; Proteintech, 66135-1-Ig), MYL9 Polyclonal antibody (Proteintech, 15354-1-AP), CFTR Monoclonal antibody (Proteintech, 66928-1-Ig), Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody (CST, 7044s), Anti-mouse IgG, and HRP-linked Antibody (CST, 7076s) were utilized.
**Figure 1.** FOXQ1 gene expression in pan- and colorectal cancers. (A) Expression of FOXQ1 gene in pan-cancer in the TIMER database (where horizontal coordinates represent different cancer types, vertical coordinates represent expression, and differences between normal and disease groups are indicated by asterisks). (B) Comparison table of cancer name abbreviations and FOXQ1 gene expression in pan-cancer in the GEPIA database (horizontal coordinates represent different cancer types, vertical coordinates represent expression). (C–F) Expression of FOXQ1 gene in colorectal cancer in TCGA database and GEO’s GSE164191 database, GSE87211 database, GSE44076 database. * indicates $P < 0.05$, ** indicates $P < 0.01$, *** indicates $P < 0.001$.

3. **Results**

3.1. **Expression of FOXQ1 gene in pan-cancer**

Figures 1A and 1B show the expression of the FOXQ1 gene in the pan-cancer analysis of different databases, including the Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) (http://timer.cistrome.org/) and Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) databases (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/). The TIMER database contains a correlation analysis of immune infiltration, whereas the GEPIA2 database supports the analysis of immune infiltration. Additionally, the GEPIA2 database supports the analysis of specific cancer subtypes and comparisons between subtypes.

The FOXQ1 gene had significant expression changes in most tumors and could promote the immune infiltration of tumors, as shown by the expression of the FOXQ1 gene in the pan-cancer analysis of both databases. This suggests an association between the FOXQ1 gene and tumor formation.

3.2. **FOXQ1 gene and colorectal cancer**

3.2.1. **FOXQ1 gene expression in colorectal cancer**

We analyzed the expression of FOXQ1 in colorectal cancer in the TCGA database and the three GEO databases using a rank sum test and bee colony plot to visualize the results. The FOXQ1 gene showed significant upregulation in the cancer samples (Figure 1C–F).

3.2.2. **FOXQ1 gene survival analysis**

We performed six survival analyses based on the OS and DFS data of the TCGA dataset, which was further divided into colon, rectal, and CRC. The alteration of FOXQ1 gene expression can significantly affect the OS and DFS states of colon, rectal, and CRC (Figure 2A).
Figure 2. Comparison of KM survival curves, gene waterfall plots and ssGSEA immune infiltration with immunotherapy response for FOXQ1 gene (TIDE algorithm). (A) KM survival curves of FOXQ1 gene in colon cancer, rectal cancer, colorectal cancer-OS, and DFS (vertical coordinates indicate survival rate and horizontal coordinates indicate survival time; red curves indicate gene high expression group and blue curves indicate gene low expression group). (B) FOXQ1 gene waterfall plot (2 mutation types such as Amplification and Deep Deletion were counted, and the percentages on the right side indicate the mutation frequency of the gene). (C) Heat map of imputed different cell contents (each small square indicates the content of different cells in each sample, and its color indicates the amount of content, the more content the redder the color, the less content the bluer) and the box line plot of the imputed cell content between high and low expression groups. (D) Box line plot of TIDE predicted response to immunotherapy in high and low groups. * indicates $P < 0.05$, ** indicates $P < 0.01$, *** indicates $P < 0.001$.

3.3. Exploration of the mechanism of FOXQ1

3.3.1. FOXQ1 genetic mutations

We analyzed the genetic mutations of the FOXQ1 gene in CRC on the cbioportal website (https://www.cbioportal.org/) to study FOXQ1 gene mutations. Figure 2B shows that the FOXQ1 gene was mutated in TCGA CRC in only two samples, one with an amplification and one with a deletion. This indicates that the FOXQ1 gene has fewer mutations at the nucleic acid level.

3.3.2. Immunology correlation analysis

There was a significant difference in the levels of 19 of the 28 cells between the FOXQ1 high and low expression groups (Figure 2C). Moreover, a Tracking of Indels by Decomposition (TIDE) analysis (Figure 2D) predicted the likelihood of a highly significant difference between the high and low FOXQ1 expression groups in terms of an immunotherapy response.

3.4. Target genes of the FOXQ1 gene

3.4.1. Results of knocking down FOXQ1 gene in DLD1 cell line

The FOXQ1 gene expression was higher in the DLD1 cell line compared to any other cell line from the group’s previous study; therefore, a FOXQ1 knockdown was performed on the DLD1 cell line. We conducted a differential analysis between the DLD1-shControl and DLD1-shFOXQ1 groups, with the differential screening conditions set at a P value < 0.05 and a $|\log2FC| > 1$. By counting, there were 346 significantly differentially expressed genes in the DLD1-shControl and DLD1-shFOXQ1 samples, where 215 were up-regulated genes and 131 were down-regulated genes (Figure 3A left). The heat map in Figure 3A right demonstrates the expression of the top 100 differentially expressed genes in the DLD1-shControl and DLD1-shFOXQ1 samples.
Figure 3. DLD1-shFOXQ1/DLD1-shControl gene volcano map and differential gene heat map, results of ATAC-seq data analysis and intersection results obtained with RNA-seq data. (A) Volcano plot of different gene expression in cells after knockdown of FOXQ1 gene (red dots indicate up-regulation of their gene expression, blue dots indicate down-regulation of expression, and gray dots indicate no significant difference) versus heat map (each small square indicates each gene, and its color indicates the size of the gene, the higher the expression, the darker the color, red is high expression, blue is low expression). (B) ATAC-seq data analysis results (enhanced left, attenuated right). (C) Gene Venn diagram of up (left)/down (right) regulated genes with regions of enhanced (left)/diminished (right) chromatin opening.
3.4.2. Results of ATAC-seq experiments

Combining RNA-seq data with the results of the ATAC-seq analysis allowed researchers to determine whether open chromatin areas either promoted or repressed downstream gene expression. The results are shown in Figure 3B. The analysis of the region of a greater chromatin opening in CDLD1-shFOXQ1 compared with DLD1-shCtrl using differential genes of the DLD1 RNA-seq data revealed that the differential open chromatin region has a facilitative function on gene regulation (Figure 3C left). Based on the analysis of the differential genes in the region of a diminished chromatin opening of DLD1-shFOXQ1 compared to the DLD1-shCtrl and DLD1 RNA-seq data, the differential open chromatin region has a repressive function on gene regulation (Figure 3C, right).

3.4.3. Target gene collection of FOXQ1 gene

The genes regulated by the FOXQ1 gene, known as the target genes of the FOXQ1 gene, can be derived by combining the results from 3.1 and 3.2. Based on the ATAC-seq and RNA-seq regulatory trends, it is necessary to use the up-regulated genes in 3.1 to intersect with the genes in the region with an enhanced chromatin opening in 3.2, and the down-regulated genes in 3.1 to intersect with the genes in the region with a reduced chromatin opening in 3.2. Finally, 107 target genes of the FOXQ1 gene were obtained; in what follows, these genes will be referred to as target genes.

3.5. Analysis of the target genes of the FOXQ1 gene

3.5.1. Target gene Metascape enrichment analysis

We enriched 107 genes, with a total of 129 terms, including the GO, KEGG, and Reactome databases; then, these genes were visualized using the network form (Figure 4A), where the top 20 are shown in Figure 4B. The DisGeNET database was also used to predict the disease target, and 199 results were enriched, with the top 20 shown in Figure 4C.

3.5.2. PPI network interaction analysis

Using the STRING (https://string-db.org) website, we constructed PPI networks for 107 target genes to investigate whether there is a reciprocal relationship between the 107 target genes. The confidence level was set to 0.15 (Confidence = 0.15), and 17 discrete proteins were found, which resulted in a reciprocal network of 90 proteins. Meanwhile, using the above 90 nodes, the Minimal Common Oncology Data Elements (MCODE) was analyzed by setting Degree > 4, and a total of 5 MCODEs were obtained. Then, a KEGG enrichment analysis was performed for the genes of each MCODE; however, only the MCODE4 had enrichment in the results. Finally, by sorting the Maximum Clique Centrality (MCC), Neighborhood Component Centralit (MNC), Degree, and Closeness, we took the intersection of the genes obtained by each of these algorithms (Figure 4D). A total of 8 genes were obtained after counting: IGF1, EGR1, CFTR, BDNF, SERPINA1, IL1RN, SAA1, and MYL9.
3.5.3. Target gene survival analysis

We conducted a survival analysis based on the OS of the TCGA dataset for 8 key genes. After analysis, we obtained 3 key genes with significant differences in survival: SERPINA1, MYL9, and CFTR. Figure 5A shows the survival curves of these three genes, which serve as the key genes in this study.

3.5.4. Immune checkpoints

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI genes) play different essential roles in immune functions and have clinical implications in immunotherapy. Therefore, we evaluated the potential correlation between disease and the expression of immune checkpoint molecules.

We examined the expression of several key immune checkpoints (Figure 5B), particularly IDO1, PD-L1 (CD274), PD-L2 (PDCD1LG2), TIM-3 (HAVCR2), TIGIT, PD-1 (PDCD1), LAG3, ICOS, and CD27. The whole spectrum of immune checkpoint molecules was observed in the high and low FOXQ1 expression groups. The expression of immune checkpoint molecules was lower in the high FOXQ1 expression group and all immune checkpoint molecules demonstrated significant differences between the high and low FOXQ1 expression groups.
3.5.5. Independent prognostic analysis

To explore the independent prognosis of the expression model and clinicopathological factors, we performed an independent prognostic analysis of OS for the three key genes in the TCGA dataset and included the clinicopathological factors and expression data of the three key genes in the TCGA samples in the Cox analysis (Figure 5C).

Among the univariate Cox analysis results (Figure 5C Upper), the factors with \( P < 0.05 \) were included in the multifactor Cox analysis. A total of STAGE, M, T, N, age, and CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) were significant.

The factors that were significant under the univariate analysis were included in the multifactor Cox analysis (Figure 5C lower), and the results were obtained from the multifactor Cox analysis. A total of M, T, N, age, and CFTR appeared in the multifactor results, indicating that M, T, N, age, and CFTR are independent prognostic factors. This implies that, among the key genes, CFTR is an independent prognostic factor, while the remaining two are not.

3.5.6. ESTIMATE analysis

The R’s estimate package was used in the analysis of the immune score, stromal score, ESTIMATE score, and tumor purity for each sample. Then, the key genes were correlated (spearman) with the immune score, stromal score, ESTIMATE score, and tumor score, which were visualized as a heat map (Figure 5D).

3.5.7. Key genetic drug prediction

After obtaining the key genes, we focused on which drugs would be affected by diagnostic markers and used the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD) database (http://ctdbase.org/) to predict the drug interactions for the 3 key genes and the FOXQ1 gene in human clinics. For a total of 126 drugs, we selected the drugs that had the relationship pairs of increases\(^\text{expression}\) and decreases\(^\text{expression}\) with the genes (Figure 5E).
3.5.8. Changes in three key genetic proteins

To explore the expression of the three key gene proteins, we knocked down the FOXQ1 protein in SW480 cells and observed significant changes for the MYL9 and SERPINA1 proteins, but not for the CFTR protein (Figure 6). This indicates that, at the protein level, the MYL9 and SERPINA1 proteins are highly correlated with FOXQ1.

Figure 6. Changes in three key proteins after knockdown of FOXQ1 protein. (A, B) Stable knockdown of FOXQ1 protein using shRNA with lentivirus in SW480 cells. (FOXQ1 shRNA A knockdown cell lines were selected for subsequent experiments). (C, D) Reduced FOXQ1 expression resulted in elevated MYL9 and SERPINA1 proteins, but no significant changes in CFTR protein. **P < 0.01.

4. Conclusions

We first performed FOXQ1 gene expression analyses in various databases (TIMER, GEPIA) and datasets (TCGA, GSE164191, GSE87211, and GSE44076), and conducted six survival analyses in different diseases based on TCGAOS and DFS data. We further performed different levels of extension analyses for the FOXQ1 gene (SNP, CNV, ceRNA, TF network, etc.) and an immune correlation analysis, which included immune infiltration and TIDE. Then, we divided FOXQ1 into high and low expression groups for the rank sum test, where the results showed a strong correlation between the FOXQ1 gene and immunity.

We used the DLD1 cell line knockdown sequencing and ATAC-seq data to first calculate a total of 346 differential genes between the knockdown and non-knockdown groups of the DLD1 cell line. Then, we used ATAC-seq data to obtain the regions with an enhanced chromatin opening and with a
reduced chromatin opening. We were finally able to obtain a list of up-regulated genes and regions with an enhanced chromatin opening and genes of down-regulated genes. The regions with a reduced chromatin opening were obtained by combining the knockdown sequencing data of the DLD1 cell line and ATAC-seq data. Finally, the DLD1 cell line knockdown sequencing and ATAC-seq data were combined to obtain a list of up-regulated genes and the genes in the regions with an enhanced chromatin opening. The down-regulated genes, the regions with a reduced chromatin opening, and the intersection were taken to obtain 107 genes that meet the regulatory trend, which were called the target genes of the FOXQ1 gene.

We performed several analyses for the target genes, including an enrichment analysis, a PPI analysis, and a survival analysis after obtaining the target genes. After a series of analyses, we obtained 3 genes—SERPINA1, MYL9, and CFTR—which were considered the key genes in this study. Then, an analysis of the key genes was performed at the immune level, where the results indicated some correlation between the key genes with immunity. Then, an independent prognostic analysis was performed, and the results showed that CFTR was an independent prognostic factor, while the remaining two were not. Finally, an extended analysis of the key genes was performed at the estimate and drug levels.

However, in the SW480 cells with a knockdown of FOXQ1, only the SERPINA1 and MYL9 proteins showed significant expression changes, while the CFTR protein showed no significant changes, which may be due to the fact that FOXQ1 affects the downstream regulation by directly controlling the expression of the SERPINA1 and MYL9 proteins (e.g., SERPINA1 is the inhibitor of serine proteases, which controls the activity of various proteases in the intestine) [16]. MYL9 is a myosin that controls intestinal peristalsis [17]. Moreover, FOXQ1 may be possible to control intestinal chloride and transmembrane substance transport through a direct interaction with CFTR rather than affecting protein expression [18].

The above analyses yielded three target genes of the FOXQ1 gene in CRC. An enrichment analysis, an independent prognostic analysis, and an immune-related analysis were performed around the FOXQ1 gene and its target genes, and the expression changes of the three key genes were preliminarily explored after knocking down the FOXQ1 protein, which provided bioinformatics data to support the research and exploration of the roles of the FOXQ1 gene and its target genes in CRC.

Author contributions

Yuxiang Zou, Jialong Qi and Hui Tang conceived and designed the study. Jialong Qi and Hui Tang were responsible for data analysis, and data interpretation. Yuxiang Zou wrote the article. Yuxiang Zou, Jialong Qi and Hui Tang revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.
Availability of data and materials


Ethics approval of research and informed consent

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by TCGA and GEO. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge TCGA and GEO database for providing their platforms and contributors for uploading their meaningful datasets.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)