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Abstract: Biogas is a cost-effective, efficient, environmentally friendly, and renewable alternative energy source. While biogas contains CH₄, it also contains impurities in the form of 27–45% CO₂ gas. Therefore, it is necessary to purify biogas by removing CO₂ gas as it can reduce the calorific value of CH₄, the main component of biogas. The process of methane purification can be achieved through adsorption. Bottom ash, derived from palm oil mill waste, shows great potential for methane purification by effectively adsorbing CO₂. This research simulated the methane purification process using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) method with the student version of the ANSYS R20 software. The study utilized an adsorbent made from bottom ash obtained from palm oil mill waste. The main objective was to investigate the performance of bottom ash as an adsorbent for removing CO₂ gas in a continuous gas flow within an adsorption column. The study involved varying the column bed height (4 cm, 8 cm, 12 cm) and gas flow rate (10 L/min, 15 L/min, 20 L/min). The results showed that the highest efficiency in removing CO₂ gas was 84.53% with a bed height of 12 cm and a flow rate of 10 L/min, while the lowest efficiency was 47.87% with a bed height of 4 cm and a flow rate of 20 L/min. Furthermore, the highest adsorption capacity for CO₂ gas was 1.64 mg/g with a bed height of 12 cm and a flow rate of 10 L/min, while the lowest capacity was 0.93 mg/g with a bed height of 4 cm and a flow rate of 20 L/min. The linearization of adsorption isotherm data indicated that the CO₂ gas adsorption process using bottom ash adsorbent followed the Langmuir model.
Keywords: adsorption; bottom ash; adsorption capacity; removal efficiency

Mathematics subject classification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$</td>
<td>mg/g</td>
<td>Sorption capacity</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>Fluid density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_0$</td>
<td>g/L</td>
<td>Initial concentration</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>m$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Viscous resistance coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_e$</td>
<td>g/L</td>
<td>Final concentration</td>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>m sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gas velocity (x-direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>m sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gas velocity (y-direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Inertial resistance</td>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>m sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gas velocity (z-direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Ns m$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Fluid viscosity</td>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bed voidage fraction (Porosity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Introduction

The rapid development of technology and population growth have led to a significant increase in energy demand. However, due to the limited availability of fossil energy sources, this can result in an energy crisis and unmet energy needs. An indication of this energy crisis is the recent scarcity of oil, such as kerosene, petrol, and diesel. This scarcity is a result of the high and ever-increasing fuel demand each year. As a consequence, the price of crude oil worldwide continues to rise annually. Resolving this conflict requires serious attention. Therefore, there is a need for alternative renewable energy sources that are cost-effective, efficient, and environmentally friendly [1].

One such alternative energy source that meets these criteria is biogas. Biogas is not only rich in methane gas, but also has a simple and environmentally friendly production process. It is produced through the fermentation of organic waste, such as garbage, food scraps, animal feces, and waste from the food industry. Methane gas (CH$_4$), carbon dioxide gas (CO$_2$), oxygen gas (O$_2$), hydrogen sulfide gas (H$_2$S), hydrogen gas (H$_2$), and other gases are the components of biogas. Among these elements, methane gas (CH$_4$) and carbon dioxide gas (CO$_2$) play a crucial role in determining the quality of biogas. A high content of CH$_4$ results in a high calorific value of the biogas, while a high content of CO$_2$ leads to a low calorific value. Therefore, in order to increase the calorific value of biogas, the CO$_2$ content must be removed [2,3].

Various methods have been employed to reduce CO$_2$ emissions, including physical and chemical absorption, cryogenics, membrane separation, microalgae bio-fixation, and adsorption. Among these methods, the sorption method that utilizes amine compounds is commonly used to separate the gas component from the gas mixture by passing it through a liquid. However, this separation process has its drawbacks, such as amine mixture degradation due to impurities in the gas phase at low temperatures (below 50°C), equipment corrosion, high energy requirements for regeneration, degradation of solvent quality due to impregnation with other products, and amine reduction through evaporation. Among these methods, the adsorption method stands out as the most favorable due to its economic viability, ability to remove organic matter, and absence of toxic side effects. Furthermore,
the adsorption method shows promise as an alternative for CO₂ sequestration, as it is relatively inexpensive, simpler, and does not produce liquid waste [4–6].

Removing CO₂ gas levels can be achieved using biosorbents made from boiler bottom ash. Palm oil mill boiler bottom ash is one type of adsorbent that can be used for gas purification because it contains carbon (C), oxygen (O), magnesium (Mg), aluminium (Al), silica (Si), phosphorus (P), sulfur (S), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and iron (Fe). However, the primary components are carbon (C), oxygen (O), and silica (Si). It has been discovered that bottom ash contains the silica oxide (SiO₂) compound, which has demonstrated excellent activity in the CO₂ adsorption process [7–10].

Studying the adsorption process of CO₂ is of great interest, both experimentally and through simulation. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is a simulation method that is known for its cost-effectiveness and ability to validate experimental data. CFD is a field within fluid mechanics that employs numerical methods and algorithms to solve and analyze problems related to fluid flow, heat transfer, and chemical reactions through computer simulations [7,11].

Building upon the aforementioned information, this research involves adsorption utilizing a fixed-bed column system with adsorbents created from palm oil mill boiler waste, specifically bottom ash. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) with Ansys 2020 R2 commercial software was employed in this study. CO₂ gas was introduced into the fixed-bed column, with a predetermined CO₂ composition. The height of the adsorbent bed and the incoming gas flow rate were varied. Figure 1 illustrates the research scheme. The simulation results were analyzed, and the impact of separation factors under different conditions was calculated. The objective of this study was to analyze the influence of bed height and the incoming gas flow rate on sorption capacity, sorption efficiency, and adsorption isotherms [8].

![Figure 1. The mechanism of fixed-bed column simulation in ANSYS.](image-url)
2. Research and method

2.1. Model geometry

The 3-D model geometry for the simulation in this study was developed with the help of design modeling using the application integrated in ANSYS Fluent. This was used to model a fixed-bed column to analyze the dynamic behavior of the adsorption process. For this study, the length of the cylinder was fixed at a 30-cm height and 6.4-cm diameter. The 3-D design for the fixed-bed column is illustrated in Figure 2. The boundary conditions of the study are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2. The fixed-bed column geometry.](image)

![Figure 3. The boundary condition geometry.](image)

2.1.1 Mesh generation

Before conducting simulations on the fixed-bed column geometry, it is crucial to determine the mesh value as it significantly impacts the generated cells. The assessment of mesh quality is carried
out to identify the appropriate mesh size that balances computation time and result accuracy [12]. The accuracy value can be seen in Figure 4. As for this study, when viewed from the quality of the mesh through the skewness mesh metrics spectrum, the quality value is obtained in the range of 0.00049633–0.355 with a total shell of 600,000. The mesh method used in this study is multizone with a prism mesh type. From the value range obtained before entering the post-processing stage, the mesh geometry value prepared is classified as very good. For a view of the fixed-bed column geometry at the mesh stage, see Figure 5.

![Image of mesh value](image)

**Figure 4.** The mesh value of the fixed-bed column geometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh Value</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Very good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-0.25</td>
<td>0.25-0.50</td>
<td>0.50-0.80</td>
<td>0.80-0.94</td>
<td>0.95-0.97</td>
<td>0.98-1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthogonal Quality mesh metrics spectrum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** The validity of the mesh quality.

### 2.2. Mathematical model

The dynamic process of an adsorption column can be modeled and characterized using an integrated CFD model. To formulate a general adsorption model to fit the adsorption mechanism, the following assumptions are made [6]:

- Heat transfer within the bed is negligible;
- Competitive adsorption between CO₂ and N₂ is assumed with a mixtures model to represent mass transfer into the bed pellets;
- The mass transfer coefficient is equated to the external fluid film resistance and macropore diffusion;
- Porosity is uniform and constant;
- The equation used in the adsorption column is the continuity equation.
The generalized 3-D continuity equation for unsteady-state fluid flow is shown in Eq 1 [11,13,14]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial z} = 0$$

(1)

The Navier-Stokes equations for fluid flow through porous media where heat sources $S_{ix}, S_{iy}$, and $S_{iz}$ are added to Eqs 2–4 to model flow resistance in 3-D dimensions [12,15] are:

Navier-Stokes equation in the $x$-direction

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho uu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho uv)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho uw)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right] + S_{ix}$$

(2)

Navier-Stokes equation in the $y$-direction

$$\frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho vv)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho uv)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho vw)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\mu \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right] + S_{iy}$$

(3)

Navier-Stokes equation in the $z$-direction

$$\frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho wv)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (\rho uw)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho vw)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right] + S_{iz}$$

(4)

The $S_i$ porous media momentum source can calculate the pressure gradient in the packed bed and generate a pressure drop proportional to the fluid velocity, as shown in Eq 5 [12]:

$$S_i = \frac{\mu}{\alpha} \mu_i + C_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho u |u| \right)$$

(5)

where

$$C_2 = \frac{1.75 \ (1 - \varepsilon)}{D_p \ \varepsilon^3}$$

(6)

$$\alpha = \frac{D_p^2 \ \varepsilon^3}{150 \ (1 - \varepsilon)^2}$$

(7)

where $C_2$ and $\alpha$ are the inertial resistance and viscous resistance, which are estimated by Eqs 6 and 7. We can calculate the percent absorption and absorption capacity ($q_e$) using the following Eq 8 [7]:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}$$

(8)

$$removal \% = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{C_0}$$

(9)

where $q_e$ is the amount of heavy metal adsorbed (mg/g), $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the initial and final
concentrations of gas, respectively, \( V \) is the volume of solution (L), and \( m \) is the mass of adsorbent (g).

2.3. Boundary conditions

The adsorbent material used in this study is bottom ash, with a particle size of 46 \( \mu \)m. The gas employed is a mixture of CO\(_2\) and N\(_2\), with variable flow rates when passed through the fixed-bed column. The experimental procedure is outlined in Figure 1. The mole fraction of CO\(_2\) and N\(_2\) in the gas mixture is 95\% and 5\%, respectively. The system operates at a pressure of 1 atm and a temperature of 25 \(^\circ\)C. Detailed specifications for the gas flow rate and bed height are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Conditions</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet gas flow rate (L/min)</td>
<td>10, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed height (cm)</td>
<td>4, 8, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet gas composition</td>
<td>95 % CO(_2); 5 % N(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column height (cm)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity, ( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Simulation data of the adsorption process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variabel</th>
<th>Discrete scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-velocity coupling</td>
<td>Coupled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Presto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Quick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum</td>
<td>Second order upwind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Second order upwind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Second order upwind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The spatial discretization schemes.

3. Results

3.1. The effect of bed height and flow rate on CO\(_2\) removal efficiency

The impact of flow rate on CO\(_2\) removal efficiency is illustrated in Figure 6(a). The findings demonstrate that a higher flow rate corresponds to a lower CO\(_2\) removal efficiency. This is attributed to the fact that a higher CO\(_2\) gas flow rate reduces the contact time between CO\(_2\) and the adsorbent, thereby leading to a decrease in the percentage of CO\(_2\) removal efficiency [16–18]. Similar findings were also observed in a study conducted by Dupre et al. [19], wherein the flow rate significantly influenced the results of CO\(_2\) gas absorption. Decreasing the flow rate extends the breakthrough time. By employing a low flow rate, an extended breakthrough time can be achieved, which in turn enhances the contact time and mass transfer of CO\(_2\) gas or the diffusion process on the adsorbent [11].
Based on Figure 6(b), it is evident that as the height of the adsorbent bed in the adsorption column increases, the efficiency of CO$_2$ removal also increases. This correlation can be attributed to the surface area and active sites of the adsorbent, where taller beds provide a larger surface area and greater adsorption capacity. Additionally, the highest bed contains a larger quantity of adsorbent particles, thereby facilitating enhanced contact between the solid and CO$_2$ gas as it flows through the column [14]. A higher height of the bed can increase the contact time or delay the breakthrough time of the adsorbent, which means the bed is able to be operated for a longer period of time without changing the type of adsorbent being used. Instead, for a lower bed, the breakthrough time will increase or approach faster. Therefore, the performance decreases [4]. The results of this study can be seen in Figures 6 and 7, where the highest CO$_2$ removal efficiency was obtained in a bed with a height of 12 cm by 84.53% with a flow rate of 10 L/min, while the lowest CO$_2$ removal efficiency was obtained 47.87% in a bed with a height of 4 cm with a flow rate of 20 L/min [16,17].

3.2 The effect of flow rate and bed height on CO$_2$ adsorption capacity

Based on Figure 7 (a), (b), it is evident that the flow rate has an impact on the adsorption capacity of CO$_2$ gas. Specifically, when the flow rate in the adsorption column is higher, the absorption capacity of CO$_2$ gas decreases. This can be attributed to the fact that increasing the flow rate reduces the contact time and mass transfer between the adsorbent and CO$_2$. Additionally, a higher flow rate reduces the amount of time the adsorbate (CO$_2$) spends within the adsorbent, leading to a lower adsorption capacity for CO$_2$ gas. Conversely, decreasing the flow rate of the incoming gas enhances the contact time and mass transfer between the adsorbent and CO$_2$. In other words, a lower flow rate within the adsorption column allows for a longer residence time of CO$_2$ molecules, leading to a higher amount of CO$_2$ being adsorbed and more time for the adsorbate (CO$_2$) to be absorbed by the adsorbent. Ultimately, this results in an increased CO$_2$ adsorption capacity [1]. The highest adsorption capacity was obtained at a flow rate of 10 L/min with a bed height of 12 cm with an adsorption capacity of 1.64 mg/g, while the lowest adsorption capacity was at a rate of 20 L/min with a bed height of 4 cm with an adsorption capacity of 0.93 mg/g [3,6,18–21].
3.3. The contour of CO$_2$ removal by the simulation result

The simulated fixed-bed column was made with a cylindrical geometry, with the flow entering evenly from the bottom and exiting at the top during the adsorption process [7,17]. Figure 8 shows the schematic geometry of the fixed-bed column, shaft zone, and gas mixture inflow and outflow. A two-dimensional (2-D) axisymmetric CFD model was created to view the contours of concentration and velocity in the fixed-bed column, which can be seen in Figure 9 for concentration and Figure 10 for velocity.
Figure 9. The contours of CO$_2$ concentration distribution with flow rate and bed height: (a) 10 L/m at 4 cm, (b) 15 L/m at 4 cm, (c) 20 L/m at 4 cm, (d) 10 L/m at 8 cm, (e) 15 L/m at 8 cm, (f) 20 L/m at 8 cm, (g) 10 L/m at 12 cm, (h) 15 L/m at 12 cm, and (i) 20 L/m at 12 cm.

Based on Figure 9, it is evident that the contour results show a significant decrease in CO$_2$ gas concentration when passing through the bottom ash adsorbent. Figure 10 illustrates the changes in concentration that occur in the porous zone. In this zone, a portion is visualized as an adsorbent bed that is responsible for absorbing CO$_2$ gas. The decrease in concentration is a result of the CO$_2$ gas being absorbed through the contact between CO$_2$ and bottom ash. This absorption process takes place in the porous zone area, which has a surface area of 0.93 m$^2$/g and a pore volume of 0.12 cm$^3$/g [3,10,19,22]. Bottom ash has 3 types of pores, namely, macropore (55 nm and > 55 nm), mesopore (7 nm and 8 nm), and micropore (< 1 nm) [4,6,8,9,16,17,20]. Gas adsorption capability is dependent on the texture of the adsorbent. CO$_2$ gas adsorption requires adsorbents with micropore size (<1 nm) because the gas has a small molecular size of <4 nm. The decrease in CO$_2$ gas concentration in the porous zone also occurs because it is dependent on Vanderwaals interactions related to the polarity of adsorbate and adsorbent molecules [5,7,9,10,13,15,18,20,21].

Figure 10. CO$_2$ velocity contours with flow rate and bed height: (a) 10 L/m at 4 cm, (b) 10 L/m at 8 cm, (c) 10 L/m at 12 cm, (d) 15 L/m at 4 cm, (e) 15 L/m at 8 cm, (f) 15 L/m at 12 cm, (g) 20 L/m at 4 cm, (h) 20 L/m at 8 cm, and (i) 20 L/m at 12 cm.
Based on the contours results in Figure 10, it can be seen that the higher the bed, the lower the CO₂ velocity. The decrease in velocity in the bed section is because the greater the gas velocity, the greater the pressure drop that occurs [9,11–13,15–17,21,23].

3.4. Adsorption isotherms

According to Table 3 of the Langmuir isotherm, the $R^2$ value is 0.995, the $q_m$ value is 0.567, and the Langmuir constant is -2.694. In contrast, the Freundlich isotherm yields an $R^2$ value of 0.965. Based on the obtained y-value, the $n$-value is -1.19, and the Freundlich constant is 11.74. By comparing the determination values of $R$ in the Langmuir isotherm and the Freundlich isotherm, this study suggests that the bonding of CO₂ with the bottom ash adsorbent follows the Langmuir model. This indicates that the absorption process occurs in a monolayer, as supported by the coefficient of determination $R$ being closest to 1 [1,2,4,7,12,13,16,18,21].

**Table 3.** The adsorption isotherm model at 1 atm pressure and 25 °C temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Equation Nonlinear</th>
<th>Linear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>$q = \frac{q_m \times k \times C}{1 + k \times C}$</td>
<td>$C = \frac{1}{q \times q_m \times k} + \frac{C}{q_m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>-2.694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>$q = k \times C^n$</td>
<td>$\log q = \log k + \frac{1}{2} \log C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Conclusions

The study found that increasing the height of the bed resulted in higher efficiency and capacity for removing CO₂ gas. However, as the flow rate increased, the efficiency and capacity decreased. The highest CO₂ gas removal efficiency was 84.53% with a bed height of 12 cm and a flow rate of 10 L/min, while the lowest was 47.87% with a bed height of 4 cm and a flow rate of 20 L/min. Similarly, the highest CO₂ gas adsorption capacity was 1.64 mg/g with a bed height of 12 cm and a flow rate of 10 L/min, and the lowest was 0.93 mg/g with a bed height of 4 cm and a flow rate of 20 L/min. The results also showed that the adsorption process using bottom ash as an adsorbent following the Langmuir model, indicating that it occurred in a monolayer manner. Bottom ash, a waste product from palm oil mill boilers, can be used as an alternative adsorbent for CO₂ adsorption. In future research, a fixed-bed column system and bottom ash from palm oil mills could be used for CO₂ adsorption from biogas.
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