

https://www.aimspress.com/journal/mine

Mathematics in Engineering, 7(5): 668–677.

DOI:10.3934/mine.2025027 Received: 25 July 2025 Revised: 08 October 2025 Accepted: 15 October 2025

Published: 20 October 2025

#### Research article

# A further remark on the density estimate for degenerate Allen-Cahn equations: $\Delta_p$ -type equations for 1 with rough coefficients

# Chilin Zhang\*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China

\* Correspondence: Email: zhangchilin@fudan.edu.cn.

**Abstract:** In this short remark on a previous paper [1], we continue the study of Allen-Cahn equations associated with Ginzburg-Landau energies

$$J(v,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ F(\nabla v, v, x) + W(v, x) \right\} dx,$$

involving a Dirichlet energy  $F(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x) \sim |\vec{\xi}|^p$  and a degenerate double-well potential  $W(\tau, x) \sim (1 - \tau^2)^m$ . In contrast to [1], we remove all regularity assumptions on the Ginzburg-Landau energy. Then, with further assumptions that  $1 and that <math>W(\tau, x)$  is monotone in  $\tau$  on both sides of 0, we establish a density estimate for the level sets of nontrivial minimizers  $|u| \le 1$ .

**Keywords:** Ginzburg-Landau theory; phase transitions; degenerate Allen-Cahn equations; density estimate; weak Harnack principle

### 1. Introduction

The Ginzburg-Landau energy was developed from the theory of Van der Waals (see [2]) by Landau, Ginzburg and Pitaevskii in [3–5] to describe phase transitions in thermodynamics (see also [6, 7]). In this paper, we study a global minimizer  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to [-1, 1]$  of the Ginzburg-Landau energy

$$J(v,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ F(\nabla v, v, x) + W(v, x) \right\} dx, \tag{1.1}$$

in which v represents the mean field of the spin of the particles. A minimizer of (1.1) is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, [-1, 1])$  is a minimizer of (1.1), if for every bounded open set  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , and any  $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega, [-1, 1])$  such that u = v in  $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$ , we have

$$J(u, \Omega') \le J(v, \Omega')$$
.

**Remark 1.1.** When  $F(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x)$  and  $W(\tau, x)$  have good regularity, in particular, when

$$F(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x) \equiv |\vec{\xi}|^p$$
,  $W(\tau, x) \equiv (1 - v^2)^m$ ,

then the Euler-Lagrange equation of a minimizer, namely the Allen-Cahn equation, is the following:

$$p\Delta_p u = p \cdot \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = W'(u) = -2m(1 - u^2)^{m-1} u. \tag{1.2}$$

However, in this paper, we avoid the use of the Euler-Lagrange equation because we do not impose any regularity assumptions. Instead, we assume that there exists some universal constant  $\lambda > 1$  such that:

(A) For every vector  $\vec{\xi}$ ,  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\lambda^{-1}|\vec{\xi}|^p \le F(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x) \le \lambda |\vec{\xi}|^p$$

where the exponent p is universal and it satisfies 1 ;

(B) For every  $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ , we have that

$$\lambda^{-1}(1-\tau^2)^m \le W(\tau, x) \le \lambda(1-\tau^2)^m$$
,

where the exponent m is universal and it satisfies m > p;

(C) For each fixed x,  $W(\tau, x)$  is increasing when  $-1 \le \tau \le 0$ , and it is decreasing when  $0 \le \tau \le 1$ .

Apart from the trivial minimizers  $u \equiv \pm 1$ , the more complicated and interesting question is to study minimizers or critical points representing phase transitions, i.e., a solution that can be sufficiently close to both 1 and -1 (two steady states), but with a phase field region  $|u| \le 1 - \varepsilon$  in between.

It is well known that phase transitions modeled by minimizers u defined in a large ball  $B_R$  are closely related to minimal surfaces. More precisely, the rescaling of the transition region  $\frac{1}{R}\{|u| \le 1 - \varepsilon\}$  of u from  $B_R$  to the unit ball  $B_1$  is well approximated by a minimal surface in  $B_1$ . In the classical case p = m = 2 the approximation is made rigorous in three main steps:

- (1) The  $\Gamma$ -convergence result established by Modica and Mortola in [8, 9], see also [10, 11].
- (2) The density estimate obtained by Caffarelli-Córdoba in [12], see also [13–17].
- (3) The convergence of  $\{u_R = 0\}$  to  $\partial E$  in the stronger  $C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$  sense, i.e., the improvement of flatness technique, see [18–20].

The heteroclinical solution is the monotone one-dimensional solution that connects the stable phases -1 and +1 as x ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ . The rate of decay of this solution to the limits  $\pm 1$  depends on the values of m and p. Precisely, if m < p then the limits are achieved outside a finite interval, producing a free boundary of Alt-Phillips type for the region  $u \neq \pm 1$ . If m = p the rate of decay is exponential. On the other hand, the case m > p produces less stable minimal points (still at  $\pm 1$ ) for an infinitesimal potential energy  $W(v) \sim (1-v^2)^m$ , and the rate of decay is polynomial. To see this, one can multiply u'(t) on both sides of the one-dimensional version of (1.2) and integrate. It follows that the heteroclinical solution satisfies the first-order ODE

$$u'(t) = \left(\frac{(1-u^2)^m}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}.$$

The decay rate is then obtained by integrating the equation  $\frac{u'(t)}{(1-u^2)^{m/p}} \sim 1$ . Recently, the decay rate estimate for the heteroclinical solution has been extended to the nonlocal Allen-Cahn equation in De Pas et al. [21]. It is then natural to investigate whether the results of  $\Gamma$ -convergence, density estimate, and improvement of flatness mentioned above extend to these types of degenerate Ginzburg-Landau energies.

In [13], Dipierro et al. considered Q-minimizers (a relaxation of the terminology minimizer) of such degenerate energies and obtained the density estimates for a certain range of m's depending on the dimension n. Precisely, the authors considered general Ginzburg-Landau type energies

$$J(v) = \int_{\Omega} E(\nabla v, v, x) dx, \quad E(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x) \sim (|\vec{\xi}|^p + |\tau + 1|^m). \tag{1.3}$$

If  $\frac{pm}{m-p} > n$  and  $\left| \{ u \ge 0 \} \cap B_1 \right| > c$  for some positive c, then the authors showed that

$$|\{u \ge 0\} \cap B_R| \ge \delta R^n$$
, for some  $\delta > 0$  depending on  $E(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $c$ .

Notice that the energy (1.1) with assumptions (A)–(C) on  $F(\vec{\xi}, \tau, x)$  and  $W(\tau, x)$  is a special case of the energy (1.3). We also remark that the density estimates in the non-degenerate case  $0 < m \le p$  were obtained in the earlier work Farina-Valdinoci [14].

In Savin-Zhang [1], by further assuming that there exists an initial ball  $B_{\rho}$  of a fixed large radius in which the density estimate holds (see (1.4) below), the authors removed the assumption  $\frac{pm}{m-p} > n$  and obtained a new version of the density estimate in [1, Theorem 1.1]. We state its simplified version below as a lemma:

**Lemma 1.1.** Let u be a minimizer of the energy (1.3) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$  large and  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ , so that if

$$\left| \{ u \ge 0 \} \cap B_r \right| \ge \varepsilon r^n \tag{1.4}$$

for some  $r \ge r_0$ , then  $|\{u \ge 0\} \cap B_R| \ge \delta R^n$ , for all  $R \ge r$ .

As an application, the authors proved the density estimate for a class of degenerate Allen-Cahn equations with further regularity assumptions on F and W. Their strategy is to translate the origin to a specific point  $x^*$  where  $u(x^*)$  is sufficiently close to 1, and to verify the condition (1.4) there. Recently, in [22,23], Dipierro et al. have obtained a similar result in the nonlocal setting.

In this paper, the main result is the following density estimate for a class of degenerate Allen-Cahn equations, whose Ginzburg-Landau energy has little regularity.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to [-1,1]$  is a minimizer of the energy (1.1) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $F(\vec{\xi},\tau,x)$  and  $W(\tau,x)$  satisfy assumptions (A)–(C). If u(0)=0, then there exist some universal constants  $\delta, R_0 > 0$ , such that for every  $R \ge R_0$ , we have

$$|B_R \cap \{u \ge 0\}| \ge \delta R^n \text{ and } |B_R \cap \{u \le 0\}| \ge \delta R^n.$$

Similar to [1], the strategy in proving Theorem 1.1 is to translate the origin to some point  $x^*$  and to verify the assumption (1.4) in Lemma 1.1. In the first step, we prove that  $\max_{B_R} u = u(x^*)$  is sufficiently close to 1 for a uniform radius R. In the second step, we prove that the density of the positive set is large in a ball centered at  $x^*$ , thus verifying the condition (1.4). The two key steps mentioned above are derived via variants of the weak Harnack principles.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As was mentioned in the Introduction, it suffices to verify that the assumption (1.4) is satisfied in a fixed ball close to the origin. As a preliminary lemma, we prove the energy estimate of a minimizer:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let u be a minimizer to (1.1) satisfying the assumptions (A)–(C). Then there exists some universal constant C, such that  $J(u, B_R) \leq CR^{n-1}$  for all  $R \geq 1$ .

**Remark 2.1.** In fact, such an energy estimate was already proven in [13], and it holds true both not only when the Ginzburg-Landau energy (1.1) is degenerate (m > p), but also when it is non-degenerate  $(m \le p)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Let v(x) = v(|x|), such that ("med" stands for the median of the three quantities):

$$v(r) = \text{med}(-1, 1, r - R - 1).$$

Let  $\Omega = \{u \ge v\}$ , then we have  $B_R \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq B_{R+2}$ . By the minimality of u, we have

$$J(u, B_R) \le J(u, \Omega) \le J(v, \Omega) \le J(v, B_{R+2}) \le CR^{n-1}.$$

Here, in the last step of the inequality above, we have used the fact that the infinitesimal energy

$$F(\nabla v, v, x) + W(v, x) \le C$$

for some uniform constant C, and that it is supported only in the annulus  $B_{R+2} \setminus B_R$ .

Now, let us prove Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, which are the key steps to proving Theorem 1.1. During the proof, *C* denotes some universal constant, which might change from line to line.

In the first key step, we show that u is close to 1 at some  $x^* \in B_\rho$  for some sufficiently large  $\rho$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let u with u(0) = 0 be a minimizer to (1.1) satisfying the assumptions (A)–(C). Given any h < 1, then there exists some  $\rho = \rho(h)$  such that  $\max_{B_{\rho}} u \ge 1 - h$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\max_{B_R} u \le 1 - h$  for some radius  $R = 2^L$  (without loss of generality, assume that  $L \ge 2$  is an integer), then it suffices to find an upper bound of L depending on h. For the given h, we can choose a fixed  $t_\infty = t_\infty(h)$ , such that:

$$-1 < t_{\infty} < 0$$
,  $W(t_{\infty}) \le W(1 - h)$ .

For all  $k \ge 0$ , we make the following notations:

$$t_k = (1 - 2^{-k-1})t_\infty - 2^{-k-1}, \quad r_k = \frac{1 + 2^{-k}}{2}R, \quad A_k = B_{r_k} \cap \{u \ge t_k\}.$$

We consider a sequence of competitors  $\phi_k$ . When  $k \ge L - 1$ , we let

$$\phi_k(x) = \text{med}(t_k, 1, 1 + \frac{2^{k+2}}{R}(1 - t_k)(|x| - r_k)).$$

It follows that  $\phi_k \equiv 1$  outside  $B_{r_k}$  and  $\phi_k \equiv t_k$  inside  $B_{r_{k+1}}$ . Besides, as  $|\nabla \phi_k| \leq C \frac{2^k}{R}$  in the annulus  $B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}$ , we use the assumptions (A) and (B) on  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $W(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and have that

$$F(\nabla \phi_k, \phi_k, x) + W(\phi_k, x) \le \lambda \left(C\frac{2^k}{R}\right)^p + \lambda \le C\frac{2^{kp}}{R^p} \quad \text{in the annulus } B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where we have used  $\frac{2^k}{R} = \frac{2^k}{2^L} \ge \frac{1}{2}$  in the last step of the inequality above.

When  $0 \le k \le L - 2$ , we choose some  $N_k \in (r_{k+1}, r_k] \cap \mathbb{Z}$  (the choice of  $N_k$  will be specified later), or equivalently:

$$N_k \in \{2^{L-1} + 2^{L-k-2} + 1, 2^{L-1} + 2^{L-k-2} + 2, \cdots, 2^{L-1} + 2^{L-k-1-2} - 1, 2^{L-1} + 2^{L-k-1-2}\}.$$

With such a choice of  $N_k$ , we set

$$\phi_k(x) = \text{med}(t_k, 1, 1 + (1 - t_k)(|x| - N_k)).$$

We then have  $\phi_k \equiv 1$  outside  $B_{N_k}$ ,  $\phi_k \equiv t_k$  inside  $B_{N_{k-1}}$ . Besides, as  $|\nabla \phi_k| \leq 2$  in the annulus  $B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}$ , we use the assumptions (A) and (B) on  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $W(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and have that

$$F(\nabla \phi_k, \phi_k, x) + W(\phi_k, x) \le \lambda \cdot 2^p + \lambda \le C$$
 in the annulus  $B_{N_k} \setminus B_{N_{k-1}}$ .

Denote  $\Omega_k = \{u > \phi_k\}$  for  $k \ge 0$ , then since  $u \le 1 - h$  in  $B_R$ , we see  $\overline{\Omega_k} \subseteq B_{r_k}$  for  $k \ge L - 1$  and  $\overline{\Omega_k} \subseteq B_{N_k} \subseteq B_{r_k}$  for  $0 \le k \le L - 2$ . It then follows from the minimality of u that:

$$\lambda^{-1} \int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla u|^p dx \le J(u, \Omega_k) - \int_{\Omega_k} W(u, x) dx \le J(\phi_k, \Omega) - \int_{\Omega_k} W(u, x) dx$$
$$\le \lambda \int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla \phi_k|^p dx + \int_{\Omega_k} \Big\{ W(\phi_k, x) - W(u, x) \Big\} dx.$$

Since  $2^{1-p}|\vec{\xi} - \vec{\eta}|^p \le |\vec{\xi}|^p + |\vec{\eta}|^p$  for any two vectors, we choose  $\vec{\xi} = \nabla u$ ,  $\vec{\eta} = \nabla \phi_k$ , and conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla(u - \phi_k)|^p dx \le C \int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla \phi_k|^p dx + C \int_{\Omega_k} \left\{ W(\phi_k, x) - W(u, x) \right\} dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Since  $W(t_{\infty}) \leq W(1-h)$  and since  $u \leq 1-h$  in  $B_R$ , by the assumption (C) of  $W(\tau, x)$ , we see that  $W(\phi_k, x) \leq W(u, x)$  when  $x \in \Omega_k$  and  $\phi_k = t_k \leq t_{\infty}$ . Besides, we have  $\Omega_k \subseteq A_k$ . Then, we have:

• Case 1: If  $0 \le k \le L - 2$ , then:

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla (u - \phi_k)|^p dx \le C \int_{(B_{N_k} \setminus B_{N_k - 1}) \cap A_k} |\nabla \phi_k|^p dx + C \int_{(B_{N_k} \setminus B_{N_k - 1}) \cap A_k} W(\phi_k, x) dx$$

$$\le C|(B_{N_k} \setminus B_{N_k - 1}) \cap A_k|.$$

Moreover, if we choose  $N_k$  wisely, then we even have the following estimate:

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla (u - \phi_k)|^p dx \le C \frac{2^k}{R} |A_k|. \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, since the width of the annulus  $B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}$  equals  $2^{L-k-2} = \frac{R}{2^{k+2}}$ , and that

$$\sum_{N=r_{k+1}+1}^{r_k} |(B_N \setminus B_{N-1}) \cap A_k| = |(B_{r_k} \setminus B_{r_{k+1}}) \cap A_k| \le |A_k|,$$

we can then choose some  $N_k \in (r_{k+1}, r_k] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$|(B_{N_k}\setminus B_{N_k-1})\cap A_k|\leq \frac{2^{k+2}}{R}|A_k|.$$

• Case 2: If  $k \ge L - 1$ , using the estimate (2.1), we obtain from (2.2) that:

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla (u - \phi_k)|^p dx \le C \int_{\Omega_k} \left\{ |\nabla \phi_k|^p + W(\phi_k, x) \right\} dx \le C \frac{2^{kp}}{R^p} |A_k|. \tag{2.4}$$

Now, we first apply the Sobolev inequality, and then apply the Hölder inequality, and obtain that:

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla (u - \phi_k)|^p dx \ge C \Big\{ \int_{\Omega_k} |u - \phi_k|^{\frac{np}{n-p}} dx \Big\}^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \ge C |\Omega_k|^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int_{\Omega_k} |u - \phi_k|^p dx.$$

Recall that  $\Omega_k \subseteq A_k$  and that  $\phi_k \equiv t_k$  in  $B_{r_{k+1}}$ , then we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega_k} |\nabla (u - \phi_k)|^p dx \ge C|A_k|^{-\frac{p}{n}} \int_{\Omega_k \cap B_{r_{k+1}} \cap \{u \ge t_{k+1}\}} |u - t_k|^p dx \ge C|A_k|^{-\frac{p}{n}} \frac{|A_{k+1}|}{2^{kp}}.$$
 (2.5)

We combine (2.3)–(2.5) and get

$$|A_{k+1}| \le C \frac{2^{k(1+p)}}{R} |A_k|^{1+\frac{p}{n}}, \quad \text{if } 0 \le k \le L-2,$$

$$|A_{k+1}| \le C \frac{4^{kp}}{R^p} |A_k|^{1+\frac{p}{n}}, \quad \text{if } k \ge L-1.$$

Consequently, by using the observation that  $\frac{4^{kp}}{R^p} \ge 2^{1-p} \cdot \frac{2^{k(1+p)}}{R}$  for  $k \ge L-1$ , we have the following inductive inequality for  $|A_k|$ 's:

$$|A_{k+1}| \le C \frac{2^{k(1+p)}}{R} |A_k|^{1+\frac{p}{n}}, \quad \text{for all } k \ge 0.$$
 (2.6)

Now we divide  $R^{\frac{n}{p}}$  on both sides of (2.6), and denote  $\beta_k = R^{-\frac{n}{p}} |A_k|$ , then we have

$$\beta_{k+1} \le C 2^{k(1+p)} \beta_k^{1+\frac{p}{n}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that for all  $x \in A_0 \subseteq B_R$ ,  $u(x) \ge t_0 = \frac{t_\infty - 1}{2}$  and  $u(x) \le 1 - h$ , then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\beta_0 = R^{-\frac{n}{p}} |A_0| \le R^{-\frac{n}{p}} \frac{1}{\min\{\lambda | \frac{t_{\infty}+1}{2}|^m, \lambda h^m\}} \int_{B_R} W(u, x) dx \le R^{-\frac{n}{p}} \cdot \frac{CR^{n-1}}{c(h)}.$$

When  $1 , we have <math>n-1-\frac{n}{p} < 0$ . By choosing  $\rho = \rho(h)$  sufficiently large, we see that  $\max_{B_{\rho}} u \ge 1 - h$ . In fact, suppose on the contrary that  $\max_{B_{R}} u \le 1 - h$  for some large R. Then, as

$$\lim_{R\to+\infty}\frac{CR^{n-1-\frac{n}{p}}}{c(h)}=0,$$

we see that  $\beta_0$  is sufficiently small. In other words,  $\ln \beta_0$  is a negative number with a sufficiently large absolute value. We take the logarithm on both sides of the inductive inequality (2.7), and have that

$$\ln \beta_{k+1} \le (1 + \frac{p}{n}) \ln \beta_k + k \cdot \ln (2^{1+p}) + \ln C.$$

As the initial data  $\ln \beta_0$  is a sufficiently large negative number, we can inductively show that

$$\ln \beta_k \le (1 + \frac{p}{2n})^k \cdot \ln \beta_0.$$

As a result,  $\ln \beta_k \to -\infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ , or equivalently,  $\beta_k \to 0$ . In other words, we have that  $u \le t_\infty$  almost everywhere in  $B_{R/2}$ , which contradicts the assumption u(0) = 0.

In the second key step, we show that the positive set of u is large near some point  $x^* \in B_\rho$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** There exists some universal constant  $\sigma > 0$  and a function h = h(R) > 0 for all  $R \ge 1$ , such that the following holds: Assume that u is a minimizer to (1.1) satisfying the assumptions (A)–(C) and that  $u(0) \ge 1 - h$ , then  $|B_R \cap \{u \ge 0\}| \ge \sigma R^n$ .

*Proof.* As  $W(\tau, x) \le \lambda (1 - \tau^2)^m$  with m > p, we choose  $h = h(R) = \min\left\{(2^m \lambda R^p)^{-\frac{1}{m-p}}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ , then

$$W(\tau, x) \le h^p R^{-p}$$
, for all  $1 - 2h \le \tau \le 1$ .

For each  $h \le a \le 2h$ , we consider a competitor

$$\phi_a(x) = \min\{(1-a) + \frac{4h^2|x|^2}{aR^2}, 1\}.$$

We can easily verify that  $\{\phi_a(x) < 1\} \subseteq B_R$  and  $|\nabla \phi_a| \le \frac{8h}{R}$  everywhere. Moreover,  $W(\phi_a, x) \le h^p R^{-p}$  everywhere since  $\phi_a \ge 1 - 2h$ . Now let us denote

$$\Omega_a = \{u > \phi_a\}, \quad V_a = \int_{\Omega_a} (u - \phi_a) dx.$$

We clearly have  $\overline{\Omega_a} \subseteq B_R$ . Then, we deduce from the minimality of u that

$$\lambda^{-1} \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u|^p dx \le J(u, \Omega_a) \le J(\phi_a, \Omega_a) \le \lambda \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla \phi_a|^p dx + \int_{\Omega_a} W(\phi_a, x) dx.$$

Since  $2^{1-p}|\vec{\xi} - \vec{\eta}|^p \le |\vec{\xi}|^p + |\vec{\eta}|^p$  for any two vectors, we choose  $\vec{\xi} = \nabla u$ ,  $\vec{\eta} = \nabla \phi_a$ . By applying the Hölder inequality to the function  $|\nabla (u - \phi_a)|$ , we conclude that:

$$\left([u-\phi_a]_{W^{1,1}(\Omega_a)}\right)^p|\Omega_a|^{1-p}\leq \int_{\Omega_a}|\nabla(u-\phi_a)|^pdx\leq C\int_{\Omega_a}\left\{|\nabla\phi_a|^pdx+W(\phi_a,x)\right\}dx\leq Ch^pR^{-p}|\Omega_a|.$$

By the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$V_{a} \leq \|u - \phi_{a}\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(\Omega_{a})} |\Omega_{a}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq [u - \phi_{a}]_{W^{1,1}(\Omega_{a})} |\Omega_{a}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{Ch}{R} |\Omega_{a}|^{1+\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, note that  $\frac{d}{d\kappa}\Big|_{\kappa=a}\phi_{\kappa}(x) \leq -1$  for any  $x \in \Omega_a$ , we then have

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}\Big|_{\kappa=a}V_{\kappa} = -\int_{\Omega_{a}} \frac{d}{d\kappa}\Big|_{\kappa=a} \phi_{\kappa}(x) dx \ge |\Omega_{a}| \ge c(\frac{R}{h} \cdot V_{a})^{\frac{n}{n+1}}, \tag{2.9}$$

where we have used (2.8) in the last step. Recall that the assumption  $u(0) \ge 1 - h$  implies that  $V_a > 0$  for all a > h, we can divide  $V_a^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$  on both sides of (2.9) when a > h, and obtain the following:

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}\Big|_{\kappa=a}V_{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ge c(\frac{R}{h})^{\frac{n}{n+1}}, \quad \text{for all } h < a \le 2h,$$

which implies that  $V_{2h} \ge cR^nh$ . Notice that  $u - \phi_{2h} \le 2h$  and  $u \ge 0$  in  $\Omega_{2h}$ , we then have

$$\left|B_R \cap \{u \geq 0\}\right| \geq |\Omega_{2h}| \geq \frac{V_{2h}}{2h} \geq cR^n.$$

This proves the existence of the desired uniform constant  $\sigma > 0$ .

With Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we now prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us choose the density  $\varepsilon$  in Lemma 1.1 as the universal constant  $\sigma$  in Lemma 2.3. Using the two functions  $r_0(\cdot)$  and  $\delta(\cdot)$  obtained in Lemma 1.1, we set  $\widetilde{r} = \max\{r_0(\sigma), 1\}$  and  $\widetilde{\delta} = \delta(\sigma)$ . Using the function  $h(\cdot)$  obtained in Lemma 2.3, we set  $\widetilde{h} = h(\widetilde{r})$ . Using the function  $\rho(\cdot)$  obtained in Lemma 2.2, we set  $\widetilde{\rho} = \rho(\widetilde{h})$ . Note that as the constant  $\sigma$  from Lemma 2.3 is universal, all other constants  $\widetilde{r}, \widetilde{\delta}, \widetilde{h}, \widetilde{\rho}$  above are also universal.

By Lemma 2.2, there exists some  $x^* \in B_{\widetilde{\rho}}$  such that  $u(x^*) = 1 - \widetilde{h}$ . Then, we apply Lemma 2.3 to the translated function  $u(x - x^*)$ , and conclude that

$$|B_{\widetilde{r}}(x^*) \cap \{u \ge 0\}| \ge \sigma \widetilde{r}^n.$$

As  $\widetilde{r} \ge r_0(\sigma)$ , we apply Lemma 1.1 to  $u(x - x^*)$ , and obtain the following:

$$\left| B_r(x^*) \cap \{u \ge 0\} \right| \ge \widetilde{\delta}r^n \quad \text{for all } r \ge \widetilde{r}.$$

Finally, we choose the universal constants  $\delta$  and  $R_0$  in Theorem 1.1. In fact, we set

$$R_0 = 2(\widetilde{\rho} + \widetilde{r}), \quad \delta = \frac{\sigma}{2^n}.$$

It then follows that for all  $R \ge R_0$ , we have  $B_{R/2}(x^*) \subseteq B_R$  and  $R/2 \ge \widetilde{r}$ . Then,

$$\left|B_R \cap \{u \ge 0\}\right| \ge \left|B_{R/2}(x^*) \cap \{u \ge 0\}\right| \ge \sigma(\frac{R}{2})^n = \delta R^n.$$

The other inequality  $|B_R \cap \{u \leq 0\}| \geq \delta R^n$  can be argued similarly. Therefore, we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### 3. Conclusions

In this paper, we have obtained the density estimate for a class of degenerate  $\Delta_p$ -type Allen-Cahn equations for 1 with very little regularity assumption on the coefficients. The idea is to apply a previous result in [1] by verifying its assumptions for equations with rough coefficients. To achieve this, we derive two weak Harnack principles, and then prove the existence of a point near the origin such that the density of the positive set of <math>u near that point is sufficiently large.

# Use of Generative-AI tools declaration

The author declares that he has not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

# Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the editor(s) and the anonymous reviewer(s) for providing precious suggestions to help him improve the exposition of this paper.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. O. Savin, C. Zhang, Density estimates for Ginzburg-Landau energies with degenerate double-well potentials, *arXiv*, 2025. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.17000
- 2. J. S. Rowlinson, Translation of J. D. van der Waals' "The thermodynamic theory ofcapillarity under the hypothesis of a continuous variation of density", *J. Stat. Phys.*, **20** (1979), 200–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01011513
- 3. V. L. Ginzburg, L. P. Pitaevskii, On the theory of superfluidity, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **34** (1958), 858–861.
- 4. L. D. Landau, On the theory of phase transitions, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 7 (1937), 19–32.
- 5. L. D. Landau, *Collected papers of L.D. Landau*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1967.
- 6. S. M. Allen, J. W. Cahn, Ground state structures in ordered binary alloys with second neighbor interactions, *Acta Metall.*, **20** (1972), 423–433. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(72)90037-5
- 7. J. W. Cahn, J. E. Hilliard, Free energy of a nonuniform system. I. interfacial free energy, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **28** (1958), 258–267. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1744102
- 8. L. Modica,  $\Gamma$ -convergence to minimal surfaces problem and global solutions of  $\Delta u = 2(u^3 u)$ , *Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis (Rome, 1978)*, Pitagora, Bologna, 1979, 223–244.

- 9. L. Modica, S. Mortola, Un esempio di  $\Gamma$ --convergenza, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, **14** (1977), 285–299.
- 10. G. Bouchitté, Singular perturbations of variational problems arising from a two-phase transition model, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **21** (1990), 289–314. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01445167
- 11. N. C. Owen, P. Sternberg, Nonconvex variational problems with anisotropic perturbations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **16** (1991), 705–719. https://doi.org/10.1016/0362-546X(91)90177-3
- 12. L. A. Caffarelli, A. Córdoba, Uniform convergence of a singular perturbation problem, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **48** (1995), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160480101
- 13. S. Dipierro, A. Farina, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for degenerate double-well potentials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **50** (2018), 6333–6347. https://doi.org/10.1137/17M114933X
- 14. A. Farina, E. Valdinoci, Geometry of quasiminimal phase transitions, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **33** (2008), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-007-0146-1
- 15. A. Petrosyan, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for a degenerate/singular phase-transition model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **36** (2005), 1057–1079. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036141003437678
- 16. A. Petrosyan, E. Valdinoci, Geometric properties of Bernoulli-type minimizers, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **7** (2005), 55–77. https://doi.org/10.4171/ifb/113
- 17. E. Valdinoci, Plane-like minimizers in periodic media: jet flows and Ginzburg-Landau-type functionals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **574** (2004), 147–185. https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2004.068
- 18. O. Savin, Regularity of flat level sets in phase transitions, *Ann. Math.*, **169** (2009), 41–78. https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2009.169.41
- 19. B. Sciunzi, E. Valdinoci, Mean curvature properties for *p*-Laplace phase transitions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **7** (2005), 319–359. https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/31
- 20. E. Valdinoci, B. Sciunzi, V. O. Savin, *Flat level set regularity of p-Laplace phase transitions*, Vol. 182, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/0858
- 21. F. De Pas, S. Dipierro, M. Piccinini, E. Valdinoci, Heteroclinic connections for fractional Allen-Cahn equations with degenerate potentials, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Super.-Cl. Sci.*, 2025. https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.202502\_001
- 22. S. Dipierro, A. Farina, G. Giacomin, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for a nonlocal variational model with a degenerate double-well potential via the Sobolev inequality, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **57** (2025), 5628–5682. https://doi.org/10.1137/25M1726005
- 23. S. Dipierro, A. Farina, G. Giacomin, E. Valdinoci, Density estimates for a (non)local variational model with degenerate double-well potential, *arXiv*, 2025. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.22193



© 2025 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)