

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/mine

Research article

A monotonicity approach to Pogorelov's Hessian estimates for Monge-Ampère equation^{\dagger}

Yu Yuan*

Department of Mathematics, Box 354350, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

- [†] **This contribution is part of the Special Issue:** Nonlinear PDEs and geometric analysis Guest Editors: Julie Clutterbuck; Jiakun Liu Link: www.aimspress.com/mine/article/6186/special-articles
- * Correspondence: Email: yuan@math.washington.edu.

Abstract: We present an integral approach to Pogorelov's Hessian estimates for the Monge-Ampère equation, originally obtained via a pointwise argument.

Keywords: Monge-Ampère equation

Dedicated to Neil S. Trudinger on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

In this note, we present a mean value inequality approach to Pogorelov's Hessian estimates for the Monge-Ampère equation, derived via a pointwise argument [3].

Theorem 0.1. Let u be a smooth convex solution to det $D^2 u = 1$ with Du(0) = 0 on $D_{\tau} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot u_x \le \tau^2\}$. Then

$$\left| D^{2} u(0) \right| \leq \left[2 \left| B_{1} \right| \frac{\tau^{n}}{\left| D_{\tau} \right|} \frac{\left| \partial D_{\tau} \right|}{\left| D_{\tau} \right|} \left\| D u \right\|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\tau})} \right]^{2n}.$$
(0.1)

The Hessian estimates for the (dual) potential equation of minimal Lagrangian surfaces, including the two dimensional Monge-Ampère equation det $D^2 u = 1$, obtained in recent years, originate in Trudinger's classic mean value inequality proof of the gradient estimates for the minimal hypersurface equation, by Bombieri-De Giorgi-Miranda [2].

0.1. Monotonicity on maximal surface

Taking the gradient of the both sides of the Monge-Ampère equation

$$\ln \det D^2 u = 0, \tag{0.2}$$

Mathematics in Engineering, 5(2): 1–6. DOI:10.3934/mine.2023037 Received: 21 April 2022 Revised: 25 May 2022 Accepted: 25 May 2022 Published: 02 June 2022 we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \partial_{ij} (x, Du(x)) = 0,$$
(0.3)

where (g^{ij}) is the inverse of the induced metric $g = (g_{ij}) = D^2 u$ on the Lagrangian graph $M = (x, Du(x)) \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, 2dxdy)$ (for simplicity of notation, we drop the 2 in $g = 2D^2 u$). Because of (0.2) and (0.3), the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the metric g also takes the non-divergence form $\Delta_g = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \partial_{ij}$. Denote the extrinsic distance of the position vector (x, Du) to the origin by

$$z = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \cdot Du = x \cdot u_x \stackrel{u_x(0)=0}{=} x \cdot (u_x(x) - u_x(0)) \stackrel{u \text{ convex}}{\geq} 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\nabla_{g}z\right|^{2} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij}\partial_{i}z\partial_{j}z = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} g^{ij}\left(u_{i} + x_{k}u_{ki}\right)\left(u_{j} + x_{k}u_{kj}\right) \\ &\stackrel{P}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii}\left(u_{i}^{2} + x_{i}^{2}u_{ii}^{2} + 2x_{i}u_{i}u_{ii}\right) \ge 4x \cdot u_{x}, \\ &\bigtriangleup_{g}z = x \cdot \bigtriangleup_{g}u_{x} + u_{x} \cdot \bigtriangleup_{g}x + 2\left\langle\nabla_{g}x, \nabla_{g}u_{x}\right\rangle_{g} = 2\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} g^{ij}\partial_{i}x_{k}\partial_{j}u_{k} \\ &\stackrel{P}{=} 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii}u_{ii} = 2n \le \frac{n}{2} \frac{\left|\nabla_{g}z\right|^{2}}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where at any fixed point p, we assume that D^2u is diagonalized, and we use (0.3) for \triangle_{gz} . In terms of $s = \sqrt{z}$, we have

$$\left|\nabla_{g}s\right| \ge 1 \text{ and } \Delta_{g} \ s \le (n-1)\left|\nabla_{g}s\right|^{2}/s.$$
 (0.4)

Following [2, p.392], set

$$\psi(s) \stackrel{\chi=\chi_{[0,1]}}{=} \int_{s}^{\infty} t\chi(t/\rho) dt = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\rho^{2} - s^{2}) & 0 \le s \le \rho \\ 0 & s > \rho \end{cases}$$

actually in the following, χ is taken as a nonnegative smooth approximation of the characteristic function of $(-\infty, 1) \subset (-\infty, \infty)$ with support in $(-\infty, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{split} \triangle_{g}\psi(s) &= \psi' \bigtriangleup_{g} s + \psi'' \left| \nabla_{g} s \right|^{2} \\ &= -s\chi\left(s/\rho\right) \bigtriangleup_{g} s - \left[\chi\left(s/\rho\right) + \frac{s}{\rho}\chi'\left(s/\rho\right)\right] \left| \nabla_{g} s \right|^{2} \\ &\geq -\left[n\chi\left(s/\rho\right) + \frac{s}{\rho}\chi'\left(s/\rho\right)\right] \left| \nabla_{g} s \right|^{2} \\ &= \rho^{n+1} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[\rho^{-n}\chi\left(s/\rho\right)\right] \left| \nabla_{g} s \right|^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we use (0.4) in the above inequality. Multiply both sides by any nonnegative superharmonic quantity $q : q \ge 0$ and $\triangle_g q \le 0$, then integrate over the whole maximal surface *M*, one has

$$0 \geq \int_{M} \psi \bigtriangleup_{g} q dv_{g} = \int_{M} q \bigtriangleup_{g} \psi dv_{g} \geq \rho^{n+1} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[\int_{M} q \rho^{-n} \chi(s/\rho) \left| \nabla_{g} s \right|^{2} dv_{g} \right].$$

Mathematics in Engineering

Note $1 \leq |\nabla_g s| \xrightarrow{x \to 0} 1$ by tedious asymptotic analysis and $dv_g = dx$, after taking limit in the smooth approximation of the characteristic function, we obtain

$$|B_1|q(0) \ge \tau^{-n} \int_{D_{\tau}} q |\nabla_g s|^2 dv_g \ge \tau^{-n} \int_{D_{\tau}} q dx.$$
(0.5)

0.2. Superharmonic quantity

Lemma 0.1. Suppose *u* is a smooth convex solution to det $D^2u = 1$. Then

$$\Delta_g \ln \det \left[I + D^2 u(x) \right] \ge \frac{1}{2n} \left| \nabla_g \ln \det \left[I + D^2 u(x) \right] \right|^2, \tag{0.6}$$

or equivalently for $q(x) = \left\{ \det \left[I + D^2 u(x) \right] \right\}^{\frac{-1}{2n}}$

$$\Delta_g q \le 0. \tag{0.7}$$

To begin the proof of Lemma 0.1, we first denote $b(x) = \ln \det \left[I + D^2 u(x)\right]$ and rewrite $\triangle_g b$ only in terms of the second and third order derivatives of u, relying on the following equations for the first and second order derivatives of u:

$$0 = \partial_{\alpha} \ln \det D^{2} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \partial_{ij} u_{\alpha} \stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\alpha}, \qquad (0.8)$$

$$0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_{\beta} \left(g^{ij} \partial_{ij} u_{\alpha} \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \partial_{ij} u_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} g^{ik} \partial_{\beta} g_{kl} g^{lj} \partial_{ij} u_{\alpha}, \qquad (0.8)$$

$$\Delta_{g} u_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \partial_{ij} u_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} g^{kk} g^{ll} u_{kl\alpha} u_{kl\beta}, \qquad (0.9)$$

where at any fixed point p, we assume that D^2u is diagonalized. The first and second derivatives of b are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\alpha}b &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (I+g)^{ij} u_{ij\alpha} \\ \partial_{\alpha\beta}b &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (I+g)^{ij} \partial_{\alpha\beta}u_{ij} - \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} (I+g)^{ik} \partial_{\beta} (\delta_{kl} + g_{kl}) (I+g)^{lj} u_{ij\alpha} \\ &\stackrel{P}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1+u_{ii})^{-1} \partial_{\alpha\beta}u_{ii} - \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} (1+u_{kk})^{-1} (1+u_{ll})^{-1} u_{kl\alpha}u_{kl\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $((I + g)^{ij}) = (I + g)^{-1}$. Coupled with (0.9), we arrive at

$$\Delta_g b = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta} b \stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n g^{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\alpha\alpha} b$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (1+u_{ii})^{-1} \Delta_g u_{ii} - \sum_{\alpha,k,l=1}^n g^{\alpha\alpha} (1+u_{kk})^{-1} (1+u_{ll})^{-1} u_{kl\alpha}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n (1+\lambda_i)^{-1} g^{kk} g^{ll} u_{kli}^2 - \sum_{\alpha,k,l=1}^n g^{\alpha\alpha} (1+\lambda_k)^{-1} (1+\lambda_l)^{-1} u_{kl\alpha}^2$$

Mathematics in Engineering

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \left[(1+\lambda_i)^{-1} g^{jj} g^{kk} u_{ijk}^2 - (1+\lambda_i)^{-1} (1+\lambda_k)^{-1} g^{jj} u_{ijk}^2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \lambda_i (1+\lambda_i)^{-1} (1+\lambda_k)^{-1} g^{ii} g^{jj} g^{kk} u_{ijk}^2$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \lambda_i (1+\lambda_i)^{-1} (1+\lambda_k)^{-1} h_{ijk}^2,$$

(0.10)

where we denote (the second fundamental form) $\sqrt{g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}}u_{ijk}$ by h_{ijk} . Let $\mu_i = \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i + 1} \in (-1, 1)$, and regrouping those terms h_{ijk} with three repeated indices, two repeated ones, and none, we have

$$\begin{split} & \Delta_g b = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \left(1 + \mu_i \right) \left(1 - \mu_k \right) h_{ijk}^2 \\ & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \left[\left(1 - \mu_i^2 \right) h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \left(3 - \mu_j^2 - 2\mu_i \mu_j \right) h_{ijj}^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i > j > k} \left(3 - \mu_i \mu_j - \mu_j \mu_k - \mu_k \mu_i \right) h_{ijk}^2 \end{array} \right\} \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Accordingly at *p*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla_g b \right|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha b \partial_\beta b \stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n g^{\alpha\alpha} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \lambda_j \right)^{-1} u_{jj\alpha} \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \lambda_j \right)^{-1} \lambda_j g^{jj} \sqrt{g^{\alpha\alpha}} u_{jj\alpha} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \mu_j \right) h_{ijj} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \mu_j \right) h_{ijj} \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (0.8) or $\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{ijj} = 0$, and the corresponding expressions with $(1 + \mu_j)$ and $(1 - \mu_j)$ for each $\mu_i < 0$ and $\mu_i \ge 0$ respectively are used to justify the Jacobi inequality (0.6) in the following.

For each fixed *i*, case $\mu_i \ge 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \mu_j \right) h_{ijj} \right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mu_i \right)^2 h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mu_j \right)^2 h_{ijj}^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + \mu_i \right) \left(1 - \mu_i \right) h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \left[1 - \mu_j^2 + 2 \left(1 - \mu_i \mu_j \right) \right] h_{ijj}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mu_j \right)^2 \le \begin{cases} 1 - \mu_j^2 & \text{for } \mu_j \in [0, 1) \\ 2 \left(1 - \mu_i \mu_j \right) & \text{for } \mu_j \in (-1, 0) \text{ and } \mu_i \ge 0 \end{cases};$$

case $\mu_i \in (-1, 0)$: Symmetrically we have

$$\frac{1}{2n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + \mu_j \right) h_{ijj} \right)^2 \le (1 + \mu_i) \left(1 - \mu_i \right) h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \left[1 - \mu_j^2 + 2 \left(1 - \mu_i \mu_j \right) \right] h_{ijj}^2.$$

We have proved the Jacobi inequality (0.6) in Lemma 0.1.

Mathematics in Engineering

0.3. Divergence of $\triangle u$

Plug in the superharmonic quantity from (0.7) to (0.5), we get

$$\left\{\det\left[I+D^{2}u(0)\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2n}} = q^{-1}(0) \le |B_{1}|\tau^{n}\frac{1}{\int_{D_{\tau}}qdx}.$$

From

$$|D_{\tau}|^{2} = \left(\int_{D_{\tau}} q^{1/2} q^{-1/2} dx\right)^{2} \le \int_{D_{\tau}} q dx \int_{D_{\tau}} q^{-1} dx$$
$$\frac{1}{\int_{D_{\tau}} q dx} \le \frac{1}{|D_{\tau}|^{2}} \int_{D_{\tau}} q^{-1} dx.$$

Now

we have

$$\int_{D_{\tau}} q^{-1} dx = \int_{D_{\tau}} \left[(1 + \lambda_1) \cdots (1 + \lambda_n) \right]^{\frac{1}{2n}} dx < \int_{D_{\tau}} (1 + \lambda_{\max}) dx \qquad (0.11)$$

$$\stackrel{1 \le \lambda_{\max}}{\le} \int_{D_{\tau}} 2\lambda_{\max} dx \le 2 \int_{D_{\tau}} \Delta u dx = 2 \int_{\partial D_{\tau}} u_{\gamma} dA \le 2 \left| \partial D_{\tau} \right| \left\| Du \right\|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\tau})}.$$

Therefore, we arrive at the claimed estimate in Theorem 0.1.

$$\left| D^{2}u(0) \right| < \det \left[I + D^{2}u(0) \right] \le \left[2 \left| B_{1} \right| \frac{\tau^{n}}{\left| D_{\tau} \right|} \frac{\left| \partial D_{\tau} \right|}{\left| D_{\tau} \right|} \left\| Du \right\|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\tau})} \right]^{2n}.$$

Remark 0.1. Relying on a "rougher" superharmonic quantity $q = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/(n-1)}$ satisfying $\Delta_g q \leq 0$, repeat the above arguments, in particular, with $(1 + \lambda_{\max})$ in (0.11) replaced by λ_{\max} , we have a sharper estimate

$$\left| D^{2} u(0) \right| = \lambda_{\max}(0) \leq \left[|B_{1}| \frac{\tau^{n}}{|D_{\tau}|} \frac{|\partial D_{\tau}|}{|D_{\tau}|} \|Du\|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\tau})} \right]^{n-1}.$$
 (0.12)

Remark 0.2. In addition to the conditions in Theorem 0.1, assuming u(0) = 0, and the solution u(x) exists on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) \le \tau^2\}$, then we have

$$\Gamma_{\tau} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : u(x) \le \varepsilon(n) \tau^{2} \right\} \subset D_{\tau} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x \cdot u_{x} \le \tau^{2} \right\} \subset \Gamma_{\tau/\sqrt{\varepsilon(n)}}$$

for a small dimensional constant $\varepsilon(n)$, where the second inclusion follows from $0 \le u_r = (ru_r)_r - ru_{rr} \le (ru_r)_r$ for the convex function u; and the first inclusion follows from the fact that the gradient Du is small at low enough level set of u, which can be derived from the "separation" Corollary 1 in [1, p.40], of lower level set of the convex solution u from the boundary of the upper level set of u, combined with the invariance of the "extrinsic distance" $x \cdot u_x(x)$ and the equation det $D^2u(x) = 1$ under affine transform v(x) = u(Ax) with det $A = 1 : x \cdot v_x(x) = Ax \cdot u_x(Ax)$, det $D^2v(x) = 1$, and the invariance of the equation det $D^2u(x)$ under scaling $v(x) = u(\tau x)/\tau^2$: det $D^2v(x) = 1$.

We claim

$$\left|D^{2}u(0)\right| = \lambda_{\max}(0) \leq \left[C(n)\frac{\left|\partial\Gamma_{\tau}\right|}{\left|\Gamma_{\tau}\right|}\left\|Du\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{\tau})}\right]^{n-1},\tag{0.13}$$

Mathematics in Engineering

or a weaker estimate

$$\left| D^{2}u(0) \right| \leq \left[C(n) \frac{\left| \partial \Gamma_{\tau} \right|}{\left| \Gamma_{\tau} \right|} \left\| Du \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{\tau})} \right]^{2n}.$$

$$(0.14)$$

In fact, going with the sharper superharmonic quantity $q = \lambda_{\max}^{-1/(n-1)}$, (0.5) becomes

$$|B_1|q(0) \ge \tau^{-n} \int_{D_\tau} q dx \ge \tau^{-n} \int_{\Gamma_\tau} q dx.$$

Repeating Step 0.3 Divergence of $\triangle u$, with D_{τ} replaced by Γ_{τ} , we have

$$\left| D^2 u(0) \right| = \lambda_{\max}(0) \le \left[|B_1| \frac{\tau^n}{|\Gamma_{\tau}|} \frac{|\partial \Gamma_{\tau}|}{|\Gamma_{\tau}|} \|Du\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma_{\tau})} \right]^{n-1}$$

By John's lemma, there exists an ellipsoid *E* such that the convex set Γ_{τ} satisfies $E \subset \Gamma_{\tau} \subset nE$. Alexandrov estimate and simple barrier argument combined with the equation det $D^2 u = 1$ on Γ_{τ} and *E* respectively, lead to $c(n) \tau^n \leq |\Gamma_{\tau}| \leq C(n) \tau^n$.

Consequently, we arrive at the sharper Hessian estimate (0.13) in terms of the level set of solution u.

For the weaker Hessian estimate (0.14) in terms of the level set *u*, just repeat the above argument with the weaker superharmonic quantity $q = \left\{ \det \left[I + D^2 u \right] \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2n}}$.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by an NSF grant.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- L. A. Caffarelli, A priori estimates and the geometry of the Monge Ampère equation, In: *Nonlinear partial differential equations in differential geometry*, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1996, 5–63.
- 2. D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2001. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61798-0
- A. V. Pogorelov, *The Minkowski multidimensional problem*, New York-Toronto-London: Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], 1978.



© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

Mathematics in Engineering