

MBE, 19(3): 2985-2995. DOI: 10.3934/mbe.2022137 Received: 05 November 2021 Revised: 28 December 2021 Accepted: 29 December 2021 Published: 17 January 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/MBE

Research article

A mathematical model for imaging and killing cancer cells by using concepts of the Warburg effect in designing a Graphene system

Massimo Fioranelli¹, Hijaz Ahmad^{2,3}, Alireza Sepehri^{4,*}, Maria Grazia Roccia¹ and Faissal Aziz⁵

- ¹ Department of Human Sciences, Guglielmo Marconi University, Via Plinio 44, Rome 00193, Italy
- ² Information Technology Application and Research Center, Istanbul Ticaret University, Istanbul 34445, Turkey
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Istanbul Ticaret University, Istanbul 34445, Turkey
- ⁴ Istituto Terapie Sistemiche Integrate, Via Flaminia 449, Rome 00181, Italy.
- ⁵ Laboratory of Water, Biodiversity, and Climate Change, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, B. P. 2390, Marrakech 40000, Morocco
- * Correspondence: Email: alireza.sepehri2017@gmail.com.

Abstract: According to the Warburg effect, there are some significant differences between metabolisms, products and process of respirations of cancer cells and normal cells. For example, normal cells absorb oxygen and glucose and give water molecules, carbon dioxide, ATP molecules and some number of spinors; while cancer cells take glucose and give lactate, less number of ATP molecules and different number of spinors. Using this property, we can design a system from two graphene sheets that are connected by pairing the fourth free electrons of carbons. Then, we can break some pairs and produce some holes. The number of these holes should be equal to the number of radiated spinors by normal cells. Near a normal cell, all holes are filled and the graphene system doesn't emit any electrical current or wave. However, near a cancer cell, some extra holes or spinors remain that their motions produce some electrical currents. These currents force on cancer cell membranes and destroy them and consequently, cause the cell death. Also, these currents emit some electromagnetic waves which detectors could take them out of the human's body and consequently, they could play the main role in imaging.

Keywords: cancer; graphene; imaging; Warburg effect; quantum

1. Introduction

Around 100 years ago, Heinrich Warburg discovered a new mechanism for cancer cell respiration called the Warburg effect. The Warburg effect is a form of modified cellular metabolism found in cancer cells, which tend to favour a specialized fermentation over the aerobic respiration pathway that most other body cells prefer [1,2]. In this mechanism, the final product of glycolysis, pyruvate, is converted into lactate and compared to the normal cellular respiration, fewer numbers of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) are produced [3]. In fact, the metabolism of cancer cells is used to facilitate the uptake and incorporation of nutrients into the biomass (e.g., nucleotides, amino acids and lipids), which are needed for creating a new cancer cell [3–8]. Now, the question arises of how the Warburg effect is regulated? Some authors showed that transcription factor SIX1 directly increases the expression of many glycolytic genes, promoting the Warburg effect and tumour growth *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In addition, SIX1 regulates glycolysis through HBO1 and AIB1 histone acetyltransferases [5]. Besides this consideration, some other authors indicated that several factors could be involved in occurring Warburg effect; still, the roles of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) are highlighted in several types of human cancer. The ncRNAs, including microRNAs, long non-coding RNAs and circular RNAs can all affect metabolic enzymes and transcription factors to promote glycolysis and modulate glucose metabolism to enhance the progression of tumours [6]. These studies and many other parallel investigations could describe some aspects of the Warburg effect. However, the origins of some other aspects are unclear. In another study, it has been shown that the Warburg effect provides the best opportunities to develop therapeutic strategies to preferentially kill cancer cells by targeting the glycolytic pathway [7].

On the other hand, this effect could be the origin of the difference in radiating waves by cancer and normal cells, which could be used in imaging techniques. That's could be achieved by taking radiated waves from cancer cells by some electronic devices and comparing them with radiated waves from normal cells. If observed waves are different from what is expected, it means that some non-desired events occur. For example, a tumour may have emerged.

The differences between cancer and normal cellular respirations cause a significant difference in the production of ions, H+, charges and electrons. These differences lead to radiated waves by charges around cancer and normal cells [8,9]. The waves could be detected by nano-systems like graphene sheets [10–12]. Graphene sheets have the potential to produce free radicals [13]. These radicals may have at least one unpaired valence electron. These electrons could carry or emit some waves. Thus, they could capture cellular waves and send them to scopes out of the human's body.

For this reason, they have many applications in imaging and curing diseases [14–21]. These free electrons could act like receivers and absorb radiated waves by cancer cells, strengthen them and send them towards some special detectors out of the human's body. Thus, graphene sheets could help humans to diagnose tumours. On the other hand, one can design a graphene system that acts like a bomb or a weapon against cancer cells and destroy their membranes by shooting electrons. In this research, we propose a model for graphene systems that could be used in imaging and killing cancer cells. These systems are built from two graphene sheets which their electrons are paired. Then, we break some pairs and produce some holes. The number of these holes should be equal to the number of emitted charges by normal cells. Consequently, cancer cells emit different numbers of charges and extra electrons or holes remain. These charges produce some currents and emit some waves. These waves are taken by scopes

out of the body and show non-desired events like the emergence of cancers. Also, these currents and extra charges destroy the cancer cell membranes and kill them.

The outline of this paper is as follows: In Section II, we will propose a theoretical model for designing a graphene system by using concepts of the Warburg effect. Then, we will argue about the applications of this system in imaging. Finally, in section III, we will formulate the model and obtain direct electrical currents and magnetic fields around biological cells.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The model: The Warburg effect, cancer cell waves and graphene sheets

The Warburg effect gives us the best opportunities to diagnose cancers at initial stages and then kill them before metastasis and spreading. This effect states that the respiration process in cancer cells is different concerning respiration and the metabolism process in normal cells [22]. Normal cells absorb glucose and oxygen and give water molecules, carbon dioxide, ATP and some ions and spinors like H⁺ and electrons (Figure 1):

Normal Cell: Glucose + O_2 + \cdots ... $\rightarrow CO_2$ + H_2O + y ATP + w spinors + \cdots ... (1)



Figure 1. Metabolism and respiration of normal cells. A normal cell could take glucose, oxygen, and other matters and give carbon dioxide, water molecules, ATP, other ions, spinors and charges [1–8].

While cancer cells mostly absorb glucose and some other matters and give more lactate and some spinors, including electrons or H^+ (Figure 2):

Cancer cell: Glucose
$$+ \dots \rightarrow Pyruvate + \dots \rightarrow Lactate + x ATP + z spinors \dots$$
 (2)

Number of charges, ions and spinors (H^+ and electrons) which are radiated by cancer cells and normal ones are different :

$$n \ spinors = |[z - w]| spinors \tag{3}$$



Figure 2. Some differences in metabolisms of cancer and normal cells: Normal cells could take glucose and oxygen and give CO_2 , H_2O , spinors and ions; while cancer cells take glucose and give lactate and different numbers of spinors and ions with respect to normal ones [1-8].

This difference in the number of spinors and charges could help us to propose a new model for imaging cancer cells. Because, spins of spinors produce some magnetic fields, differences in number of spinors cause differences in magnetic fields around cancer and normal cells. To detect these waves, we should use some nanostructures. The best nanostructure could be graphene because it includes hexagonal molecules which each molecule is formed from six carbons. Each carbon has four free electrons which only three of them should be confined with electrons of three other carbons and one electron could be free (Figure 3). Forth free electrons of carbons within a sheet could be paired with the fourth free electrons in the second sheet (Figure 4). This system could be used as a detector in imaging cancer cells. To this aim:

1) At the first stage, we connect the fourth free electron of carbons in one sheet with the fourth free electron of carbons in the second sheet and make a system of two connected graphene sheets.

2) At the second stage, we break some connections between the free electrons of two sheets and produce some holes. The number of these holes should be equal to the number of radiated spinors by normal cells:

Number of holes between coupled graphene sheets = Number of spinors around normal cells (4)

2988

3) We put this graphene system near a cell in the third stage. In normal cells, holes are filled by spinors around normal cells and radiated currents become zero. We have:

$$J_{in} = Q_0 V \tag{5}$$

 J_{in} is the electrical current produced by spinors around normal cells and before the graphene system, the Q_0 charge of spinors and V is their velocity.

Spinors could be electrons or H^+ . These spinors have different charges. Electrons could fill Holes; however, H^+ couldn't be used directly in filling holes. Instead, these spinors could interact with other ions and free some electrons. These new free electrons may fill holes.

On the other hand, a H^+ that moves in one direction could act like an electron that moves in a reverse direction. Then, maybe, some (H^+)s interact with some free electrons within the graphene sheet, cancel their charges and spins and remove holes. Thus, we can write:

 $I_c = 0$

Figure 3. A graphene sheet. This sheet is built from hexagonal molecules. Each molecule has six atoms of carbon. Each carbon has four electrons, forming covalent chemical bonds by four electrons of other atoms. Sometimes, some of these electrons could be paired with atoms like oxygen or OH and make graphene oxide [23]. In our model, some of the electrons in one sheet are paired by someones in other sheets. Consequently, two sheets could be connected.



(6)



Figure 4. Two connected graphene sheets.

4) Around a cancer cell, the number of spinors is changed and some extra electrons or $H^+(H^+)$ s have emerged. Thus, concerning the normal state, two new states may have emerged (Figure 5):

a) All holes within the graphene system are filled, and some extra charges remain. These charges interact with electrons and break pairs. Consequently, charges move, and some electrical currents are produced.

$$J_f = Q'V'$$

$$Q' = Q_0 - Q_0 + q_{extra}$$
(7)

b) Some holes within the graphene system remains. Charges around cells fill these holes. This causes that charges move and some currents have emerged.

$$J_f = Q^{"}V^{"}$$

 $Q^{"} = Q - Q_0$ (8)

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering

Volume 19, Issue 3, 2985–2995.

5) Currents that are produced by graphene holes have two effects:

a): Currents move, force into cancer cells and kill them.

b): Currents emit some magnetic waves, which detectors may detect out of the human's body (Figure 5).



Figure 5. A mechanism for killing cancer cells using graphene systems and the Warburg effect.

3. Results

This section considers the model mathematically and calculates forces and magnetic fields. To begin, we remember a relation between a magnetic field and spin:

$$B_e \sim S_e \tag{9}$$

where B_e is the magnetic field of an electron and S_e is it's spin. Above equation shows that there is a direct relation between spins of spinors like electrons and atoms and their emitted magnetic fields. For a pair of electrons with up and down spins, magnetic fields become approximately zero:

$$B_{pair} \sim S_{pair} \sim S_{e,up} - S_{e,down} \sim 0 \tag{10}$$

However, by adding a third free electron to this system, the magnetic field finds a relation with a spin of extra particles:

$$B_{tri} \sim S_{tri} \sim S_{free} + S_{e,up} - S_{e,down} \sim S_{free}$$
(11)

Thus, the total magnetic of a graphene sheet could be obtained by summing over spins of free electrons. If we suppose that all spins are in one direction, then we can write:

$$B_{Graphene} \sim n B_{tri} \sim n S_{tri} \sim n [S_{free} + S_{e,up} - S_{e,down}] \sim n S_{free}$$
(12)

where n is the number of free electrons within a graphene sheet and could depend on the grahene system sizes and quantum parameters (n {graphene sheet sizes and quantum parameters}). When the number of spins increases, their related magnetic fields grow. Using the above magnetic field, we can obtain the energy density of a graphene sheet

$$\mathbf{U}_{\text{Graphene}} \to \frac{[B_{Graphene}]^2}{2\,\mu_0} \to \frac{[n\,S_{free}]^2}{2\,\mu_0} \tag{13}$$

Multiplying above energy density by graphene molecular volume, one can obtain the below energy:

$$E_{\text{Graphene}} = \mathbf{U}_{\text{Graphene}} \quad V_{\text{Graphene}} \tag{14}$$

where

$$V_{\text{Graphene}} \approx \frac{n}{2} R_{\text{Hexagon}} \left[R_{\text{Hexagon}} + x \right] \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) \left[L_{\text{Graphene}} + x \right]$$
(15)

 $R_{Hexagon}$ is the radius of the hexagonal molecule, $L_{Graphene}$ (x) is the separation distance between sheets and x is the oscillation parameter. In fact, graphene sheets interact with each other and open or close with the value of x. Substituting results of Eqs (13) and (15) in Eq (14) gives:

$$E_{\text{Graphene}} \approx \frac{[n S_{free}]^2}{2 \mu_0} \frac{n}{2} R_{\text{Hexagon}} [R_{\text{Hexagon}} + x] \cos{\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)} [L_{\text{Graphene}} + x]$$
(16)

where $L_{Graphene}$ could be a function of x ($L_{Graphene}$ (x) = $l_0 + g$ (xⁿ)). The above energy depends on graphene sheet sizes, number and length of spins. Taking derivative respect to x from energy gives the below force approximately:

$$F_{\text{Graphene}} = \frac{d E_{Graphene}}{X} \approx K_{\text{Graphene}} x + \text{constant}$$
 (17)

where

$$K_{\text{Graphene}} \approx \frac{[n S_{free}]^2}{2 \mu_0} \frac{n}{2} [R_{\text{Hexagon}}] \cos(\frac{\pi}{6})$$
(18)

The above force is related to oscillations of graphene sheets and depends on the number of spins and graphene sheet sizes. Using the above equation, we can obtain the below frequency and time period:

$$\nu_{e} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{K_{\text{Graphene}}}{M_{e}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\frac{|n S_{free}|^{2} n}{2 \mu_{0} 2} [R_{Hexagon}] \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)}{M_{e}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow T_{e} \sim \frac{1}{\nu_{e}} = 2\pi \left[\frac{K_{\text{Graphene}}}{M_{e}} \right]^{\frac{-1}{2}} = 2\pi \left[\frac{\frac{|n S_{free}|^{2} n}{2 \mu_{0} 2} [R_{Hexagon}] \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)}{M_{e}} \right]^{\frac{-1}{2}}$$
(19)

where M_e is the electron mass, using the above time, we can obtain the velocity of electrons between two sheets:

$$V_e \sim \frac{2L_{Graphene}}{T_e} = \frac{2L_{Graphene}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\frac{\left[n \, S_{free}\right]^2 n}{2 \, \mu_0 - 2} \left[R_{Hexagon}\right] \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right]}{M_e} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{20}$$

This velocity depends on graphene sheet sizes and the number of spinors. Motions of charges produce a current which has the below relation with velocity and charge (Q)

$$J_{e,1} \sim Q \ V_e \sim Q \ \frac{2L_{Graphene}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\left[\frac{n \ S_{free}\right]^2 n}{2 \ \mu_0 \ 2} \ \left[R_{Hexagon}\right] \ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right]}{M_e} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(21)

If the number of holes are bigger or less than emitted spinors by cells, this current becomes free and collides with cells. This collision produces a force that depends on a number of spinors (n), their masses (M_e) and velocity (V_e) :

$$F_{e,1} \sim n \, M_e \, V_e \sim n \, M_e \, \frac{2L_{Graphene}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\frac{[n \, S_{free}]^2 n}{2 \, \mu_0 \, 2} \, [R_{Hexagon}] \, \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)}{M_e} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{22}$$

The above force depends on graphene sheet sizes and the number of spinors. In addition to this force, electrical currents could produce an extra magnetic field so:

$$B_{e,2} \sim \frac{\mu}{2\pi R_{Graphene}} J_{e,1} \sim \frac{\mu}{2\pi R_{Graphene}} Q \frac{2L_{Graphene}}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\left[\frac{(n S_{free})^2 n}{2 \mu_0 2} \left[R_{Hexagon}\right] \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right]}{M_e}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(23)

This new magnetic field depends on graphene sheet sizes and the number of spinors or holes. In addition, this field interacts with charges and force on them:

$$F_{e,2} \sim Q \ V_e B_{e,2} \sim \frac{\mu Q V_e}{2\pi R_{Graphene}} J_{e,1}$$

$$\sim \frac{\mu Q^2}{2\pi R_{Graphene}} \left[\frac{\frac{[n \ S_{free}]^2 n}{2 \mu_0 - 2} \left[\frac{R_{Hexagon}}{M_e} - \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) \right]}{M_e} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2$$
(24)

The above force depends on electrical charge, graphene sheet sizes and the number of spinors or holes. This force and the previous one put pressure on the structure of cancer cells and destroy their membranes. We can build a neutral graphene system in front of normal cells while fully spinning against cancer cells and acting like a bomb for them. On the other hand, this graphene system produces several currents near cancer cells that emit magnetic waves. It is clear that by increasing graphene sheet sizes, the number of holes or extra spinors increases and stronger currents and fields have emerged. Some detectors could detect these fields out of the human's body.

4. Conclusions

The Warburg effect shows that cancer cells have different products and metabolisms concerning normal cells. Both types of cells produce H^+ , electrons and other charges and spinors. However, the number of spinors radiated from cancer cells differs from the number of spinors around normal cells. We have used this property and theoretically designed a system for diagnosing cancer cells and their kill. In this system, we have used two graphene sheets. Each carbon has four free electrons in each sheet,

three of which are paired with three electrons of three other carbons and one free electron is paired with a free electron of carbons in the second sheet. Thus, two graphene sheets are coupled by pairing free electrons. Then, we break some pairs and produce some holes. The number of holes should be equal to the number of spinors around normal cells. Consequently, when this graphene system becomes close to a normal cell, all holes are filled and no current emerges. However, some extra holes or spinors remain near a cancer cell, move and produce currents. These currents kill cancer cells and send signals that observers take out of a human's body. We have formulated the model and obtained the electrical currents, forces and emitted magnetic fields in terms of the number of holes and charges.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest for this paper.

References

- 1. M. V. Liberti, J. W. Locasale, The Warburg effect: how does it benefit cancer cells?, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, **41** (2016), 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2015.12.001
- 2. K. O. Alfarouk, Tumor metabolism, cancer cell transporters, and microenvironmental resistance, *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.*, **31** (2016), 859–66. https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2016.1140753
- M. G. V. Heiden, L. C. Cantley, C. B. Thompson, Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation, *Science*, **324** (2009), 1029–1033. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160809
- 4. C. Yu, J. Xue, W. Zhu, Y. Jiao, S. Zhang, J. Cao, Warburg meets non-coding RNAs: the emerging role of ncRNA in regulating the glucose metabolism of cancer cells, *Tumour Biol.*, **36** (2015), 81–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-014-2875-z
- L. Li, Y. Liang, L. Kang, Y. Liu, S. Gao, S. Chen, et al., Transcriptional regulation of the Warburg effect in cancer by SIX1, *Cancer Cell*, 33 (2018), 368–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.01.010
- H. Mirzaei, M. R. Hamblin, Regulation of glycolysis by non-coding RNAs in cancer: switching on the Warburg effect, *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics*, **19** (2020), 218–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omto.2020.10.003.
- Z. Chen, W. Lu, C. Garcia-Prieto, P. Huang, The Warburg effect and its cancer therapeutic implications, J. Bioenerg. Biomembr., 39 (2007), 267–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10863-007-9086-x
- 8. J. Pokorný, J. Pokorný, F. Borodavka, Warburg effect-damping of electromagnetic oscillations, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.*, **36** (2017), 270–278. https://doi.org/10.1080/15368378.2017.1326933
- 9. A. R Liboff, The Warburg hypothesis and weak ELF biointeractions, *Electromagn. Biol. Med.*, **39** (2020), 45–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/15368378.2020.1737810
- 10. T. A. Tabish, R. J. Narayan, Mitochondria-targeted graphene for advanced cancer therapeutics, *Acta Biomater.*, **129** (2021), 43–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2021.04.054
- J. Frontiñan-Rubio, M. V. Gomez, V. J. González, M. Durán-Prado, E. Vázquez, Sublethal exposure of small few-layer graphene promotes metabolic alterations in human skin cells. *Sci. Rep.*, 10 (2020), 18407. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75448-0

- C. Martelli, A. King, T. Simon, G. Giamas, Graphene-induced transdifferentiation of cancer stem cells as a therapeutic strategy against glioblastoma, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 6 (2020), 3258–3269. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00197
- I. S. Donskyi, Y. Chen, P. Nickl, G. Guday, H. Qiao, K. Achazi, et al., Self-degrading graphene sheets for tumor therapy, *Nanoscale*, **12** (2020), 14222–14229. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0NR02159H
- T. A. Tabish, C. J. Scotton, D. C. J. Ferguson, L. Lin, A. V. der Veen, S. Lowry, et al., Biocompatibility and toxicity of graphene quantum dots for potential application in photodynamic therapy, *Nanomedicine (Lond)*, **13** (2018), 1923–1937. https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm-2018-0018
- 15. V. Palmieri, M. Papi, Can graphene take part in the fight against COVID-19?, *Nano Today*, 33 (2020), 100883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2020.100883
- P. K. Raghav, S. Mohanty, Are graphene and graphene-derived products capable of preventing COVID-19 infection?, *Med. Hypotheses*, 144 (2020), 110031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110031
- J. Sengupta, C. M. Hussain, Graphene-based field-effect transistor biosensors for the rapid detection and analysis of viruses: A perspective in view of COVID-19, *Carbon Trends*, 2 (2021), 100011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cartre.2020.100011
- I. Maqbool, F. Rehman, F. Soomro, Z. Bhatti, U. Ali, A. H. Jatoi, et al., Graphene-based materials for fighting coronavirus disease 2019: challenges and opportunities. CBEN, 8 (2021), 67–77. https://doi.org/10.1002/cben.202000039
- A. Rhazouani, H. Gamrani, M. E. Achaby, K. Aziz, L. Gebrati, M. S. Uddin, et al., Synthesis and toxicity of graphene oxide nanoparticles: A literature review of in vitro and in vivo studies, *Biomed. Res. Int.*, **2021** (2021), 5518999. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5518999
- D. Samanta, M. P. Karthikeyan, A. Banerjee, H. Inokawa, Tunable graphene nanopatch antenna design for on-chip integrated terahertz detector arrays with potential application in cancer imaging, *Nanomedicine*, 16 (2021), 1035–1047. https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm-2020-0386
- 21. S. F. Adil, M. R. Shaik, F. A. Nasr, A. S. Alqahtani, M. Z. Ahmed, W. Qamar, et al., Enhanced apoptosis by functionalized highly reduced graphene oxide and gold nanocomposites in MCF-7 breast cancer cells, *ACS Omega*, **6** (2021), 15147–15155. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c01377
- 22. N. Anjum, J. He, Nonlinear dynamic analysis of vibratory behavior of a graphene nano/microelectromechanical system, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **2020** (2020), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6699
- T. A. Tabish, M. R. Hamblin, Mitochondria-targeted nanoparticles (mitoNANO): An emerging therapeutic shortcut for cancer, *Biomater. Biosyst.*, 3 (2021), 100023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbiosy.2021.100023
- 24. T. A. Tabish, S. Zhang, P. G. Winyard, Developing the next generation of graphene-based platforms for cancer therapeutics: The potential role of reactive oxygen species, *Redox. Biol.*, **2018** (2018), 34–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2017.11.018



©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)