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#### Abstract

In this paper, we examine and provide numerical solutions to the nonlinear fractional order time-space diffusion equations with the influence of temporal delay. An effective high-order numerical scheme that mixes the so-called Alikhanov $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula side by side to the power of the Galerkin method is presented. Specifically, the time-fractional component is estimated using the uniform $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula, while the spatial fractional operator is approximated using the Legendre-Galerkin spectral approximation. In addition, Taylor's approximations are used to discretize the term of the nonlinear source function. It has been shown theoretically that the suggested scheme's numerical solution is unconditionally stable, with a second-order time-convergence and a space-convergent order of exponential rate. Furthermore, a suitable discrete fractional Grönwall inequality is then utilized to quantify error estimates for the derived solution. Finally, we provide a numerical test that closely matches the theoretical investigation to assess the efficacy of the suggested method.
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## 1. Introduction

Development an effective techniques for solving models involving fractional derivatives and temporal delays has recently attracted a lot of attention, which is encouraging. Flexible representation and the capability to accurately describe various phenomena are the main reasons why they are preferred over integer-order models. Fractional derivatives, unlike regular ones, are non-local in
nature and could be used to describe memory effects, while time delays indicate the history of a previous state. It appears that the addition of the delay term in fractional differential equations is paving the way for new possibilities and opening new vistas in many scientific fields. This kind of fractional differential equation is effectively applied in many fields, including bioengineering, control theory, population dynamics, economics, electrochemistry, physics, and many more [1-7]. As the systems become increasingly sophisticated and linked, time delays are incorporated to account that changes in one variable may affect other variables with certain lags. For example, in biological models, delays explain incubation time or the time required for a plant to reach maturity. In control theory, time delays are considered in feedback control systems to account for delayed feedback. Economic models use delays to match transportation and information transfer more closely. The literature has paid considerable attention to fractional partial differential equations involving delays. Liu in [8] combined the Crank-Nicolson approach and the Legendre spectral technique to provide a fully discrete methodology for the nonlinear delayed diffusion-reaction equations. An effective approximation approach for nonlinear delayed fractional order diffusion equations was developed and evaluated by Pimenov and Hendy in [9]. In [10], a numerical approach was described for solving a certain form of a delayed fractional model with distributed order in time. The authors in that work used the Crank-Nicholson method to obtain the numerical solution. For solving the nonlinear form of fractional diffusion equations with temporal delay, Li et al. [11] suggested a linearized compact scheme. The spatial discretization in the mentioned work was accomplished with the help of the compact finite difference approach, while the temporal discretization was made by utilizing an $L 1$ formula to the time fractional derivative and an extrapolation for the nonlinear component. For the solution of time-delayed nonlinear fractional diffusion equations, Mohebbi [12] developed a numerical method that is guaranteed to be stable under any conditions. The temporal direction was discretized using a finite difference method, while the spatial component via Chebyshev spectral collocation method. By developing a novel form of fractional Grönwall inequality in a discrete style, Hendy and Macías-Díaz [13] were capable of proving the stability and convergence of numerical solutions to the nonlinear time-fractional diffusion equations with multi-time delays.

On the other hand, substantial effort has been expended in the scientific literature to develop efficient formulas for approximating the time fractional derivatives in the Caputo sense. The $L 1$ formula is considered one of the most extensive methods used for the solution of fractional differential equations that include Caputo derivatives [14-20]. In the case of a non-uniform mesh, the $L 1$ approximation provides a decent approximation when the mesh is refined close to the point $t_{n+1}$ [21]. Even though the non-uniform mesh performs better than the uniform one, the second-order approximation will not be generated at all mesh nodes. In order to get a close approximation to the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\beta(0<\beta<1)$, Gao et al. [22] constructed a novel formulation called the $L 1-2$ formula with $3-\beta$ convergence order in temporal direction at time $t_{k}(k \geq 2)$. This formula is produced by approximating the integrated function with three points using a piecewise quadratic interpolation approximation and it is properly defined as a modification of the $L 1$ formula with some correction terms added. In [23,24], the Caputo time-fractional derivative is discretized by applying a numerical formula with 3- $\beta$ order, known as, the $L 2$ formula. This formula is generated with the use of piecewise quadratic interpolating polynomials. Through the development of a discrete energy analysis approach, a comprehensive theoretical examination of the stability and convergence of this method is performed for every $\beta \in(0,1)$. Alikhanov [25] devised a new difference scheme called the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula based
on a high-order approximation for the Caputo fractional derivatives with $3-\beta$ convergence order in temporal direction at time $t=t_{k}+\sigma$ with $\sigma=1-\frac{\beta}{2}$. It was shown in [26-28] that the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula may be extended and used to solve the multi-term, distributed, variable-order time-fractional diffusion equations. On the basis of this formula, a number of recent studies have investigated and developed high-order techniques for time fractional models in the Caputo sense. An implicit technique for solving fractional diffusion equations with time delay is shown in [29], which combines the Alikhanov formula for time approximation with the central difference method for spatial discretization. A second-order numerical approach was suggested by Nandal and Pandey in [30] for solving a nonlinear fourth-order delayed distributed fractional subdiffusion problem. They estimated the time-fractional derivative with the Alikhanov formula as well as the spatial dimensions with the compact difference operator. For the fractional order nonlinear Ginzburg-Landau equation, Zaky et al. [31] numerically developed a useful technique by discretizing time direction using the Alikhanov formula and space direction with the methodology of spectral Legendre-Galerkin. Following up on the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula, a slew of new works have appeared (see, for example, [32-36]). Without loss of generality, in this work, we numerically propose a high-order algorithm for solving the following time-delayed nonlinear fractional order reaction-diffusion equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\beta} \Theta}{\partial t^{\beta}}=\kappa \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}+F(\Theta(x, t), \Theta(x, t-s))+G(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in I, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

initialized and constrained by the conditions

$$
\begin{cases}\Theta(x, t)=\vartheta(x, t), & x \in \Omega, \quad t \in[-s, 0],  \tag{1.2}\\ \Theta(a, t)=\Theta(b, t)=0, & t \in I .\end{cases}
$$

In this case, time and space domains are represented by $I=[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, respectively. Additionally, $\beta \in(0,1)$ represents the temporal order of fractional time in which the time-fractional derivative is interpreted according to Caputo, whereas $\alpha \in(1,2)$ represents the fractional order of space. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives on its both sides for $n-1<\alpha<n$, are provided by [37]

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{-\infty} D_{x}^{\alpha} \Theta(x, t) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial x^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{x}(x-\tau)^{n-1-\alpha} \Theta(\tau, t) d \tau,  \tag{1.3}\\
{ }_{x} D_{\infty}^{\alpha} \Theta(x, t) & =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial x^{n}} \int_{x}^{\infty}(\tau-x)^{n-1-\alpha} \Theta(\tau, t) d \tau, \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ symbolizes the usual function of gamma. This allows us to give a definition for the Riesz space of fractional derivatives, which is [38]

$$
\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}=-c_{\alpha}\left({ }_{a} D_{x}^{\alpha} \Theta(x, t)+{ }_{x} D_{b}^{\alpha} \Theta(x, t)\right), \quad c_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}}, \quad \alpha \in(1,2) .
$$

The Caputo derivative $\frac{\partial^{\beta} \Theta}{\partial t^{\beta}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\beta} \Theta(x, t)}{\partial t^{\beta}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-r)^{-\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \Theta(x, r) d r, \quad \beta \in(0,1) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our primary objectives of current work are to develop and investigate an effective numerical algorithm for a nonlinear time-delayed fractional order reaction-diffusion (1.1). A combination
scheme is proposed that mixes the Alikhanov $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula with Galerkin spectral Legendre scheme. More specifically, the spatial discretization is handled by utilizing Legendre-Galerkin spectral approach, whereas the fractional derivative in the temporal direction is discretized through the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula. Additionally, a suitable version of discrete fractional Grönwall inequalities is utilized in order to assert the unconditional stability and convergence of the proposed technique. The structure of this study is as follows. In the subsequent section, we shall describe and characterize the key features of fractional derivative spaces, Sobolev spaces, as well as Jacobi polynomials. On a uniform mesh, we detail in section 3 the way to construct the fully discrete $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ Galerkin spectral scheme for the problems (1.1) and (1.2). Section 4 recalls several technical lemmas from the literature before proving that the suggested methodology is unconditionally stable and convergent. Finally, Section 5 includes a numerical test that validates the obtained scheme's convergence analysis.

## 2. Basic concepts

Here, we briefly review some fundamental concepts in fractional derivative spaces as well as the essential elements of their properties, see [39] for further details. Then, Jacobi polynomials' primary features are mentioned. Assume that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0, \Omega}$ refer the standard inner product related to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ space with the usual $L^{2}$ norm and the maximum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. Define the space $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ consists of all smooth functions that have compact support in $\Omega$. Consider that $H^{r}(\Omega)$ and $H_{0}^{r}(\Omega)$ are the standard Sobolev spaces, and their associated norms and seminorms, respectively, are $\|\cdot\|_{r}$ and $|\cdot|_{r}$. To further clarify, we characterize the approximation space $\mathcal{W}_{N}^{0}$ as:

$$
\mathcal{W}_{N}^{0}=\mathcal{P}_{N}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),
$$

where in $\mathcal{P}_{N}(\Omega)$ stands for the set of all polynomials defined on the domain $\Omega$ that have a degree no greater than $N$. The interpolation operator of type Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto depicted by the symbol $I_{N}: C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{N}$, can be defined as follows

$$
\Theta\left(x_{i}\right)=I_{N} \Theta\left(x_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{N}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, N .
$$

Definition 1. The semi-norm and norm related to the space of left fractional derivatives are specified for a given $\varepsilon>0$, respectively, as follows:

$$
|\Theta|_{J_{L}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left\|a D_{x}^{\varepsilon} \Theta\right\|_{0, \Omega}, \quad\|\Theta\|_{J_{L}^{\delta}(\Omega)}=\left(|\Theta|_{J_{L}^{\varepsilon}}^{2}(\Omega)+\|\Theta\|_{0, \Omega}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

also, $J_{L}^{\varepsilon}$ and $J_{L, 0}^{\varepsilon}$ are defined to be the closures of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, in relation to $\|\cdot\|_{J_{L}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$.
Definition 2. The semi-norm and norm related to the space of right fractional derivatives are specified for a given $\varepsilon>0$, respectively, as follows:

$$
|\Theta|_{J_{R}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\| \|_{x} D_{b}^{\varepsilon} \Theta\left\|_{0, \Omega}, \quad\right\| \Theta \|_{J_{R}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left(|\Theta|_{J_{R}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\Theta\|_{0, \Omega}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

also, $J_{R}^{\varepsilon}$ and $J_{R, 0}^{\varepsilon}$ are defined to be the closures of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, in relation to $\|\cdot\|_{J_{R}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$.

Definition 3. The semi-norm and norm related to the space of symmetric fractional derivatives are specified for a given $\varepsilon>0$, respectively, as follows:

$$
|\Theta|_{J_{s}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left|\left({ }_{a} D_{x}^{\varepsilon} \Theta,{ }_{x} D_{b}^{\varepsilon} \Theta\right)_{0, \Omega}\right|^{1 / 2}, \quad\|\Theta\|_{J_{s}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left(|\Theta|_{J_{s}^{\varepsilon}}^{2}(\Omega)+\|\Theta\|_{0, \Omega}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

also, $J_{s}^{\varepsilon}$ and $J_{s, 0}^{\varepsilon}$ are defined to be the closures of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, in relation to $\|\cdot\|_{J_{s}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$.
Definition 4. Assuming that $\varepsilon>0$, then the fractional Sobolev space $H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ is defined as follows:

$$
H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)=\left\{\left.\Theta \in L^{2}(\Omega)| | \omega\right|^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta}) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

with respect to following semi-norm and norm

$$
|\Theta|_{H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left\||\omega|^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta})\right\|_{0, \mathbb{R}}, \quad\|\Theta\|_{H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}=\left(|\Theta|_{H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\Theta\|_{0, \Omega}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

wherein $\mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta})$ stands for the Fourier transform of function $\hat{\Theta}$, denoting the zero extension of function $\Theta$ beyond the spatial domain $\Omega$. Also, we define $H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and $H_{0}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ as the closures of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, with consideration to $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$.

Remark 2.1. If $\varepsilon \neq n-\frac{1}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then according to the above definitions, fractional derivative spaces $J_{L}^{\varepsilon}, J_{R}^{\varepsilon}, J_{s}^{\varepsilon}$ and $H^{\varepsilon}$ are identical, with equivalent semi-norms and norms.

The adjoint property, which we will revisit below, will play a crucial part in the study that follows.
Lemma 2.1. For a given $\varepsilon>0$, such that $1<\varepsilon<2$, then for any two functions $\Theta \in H_{0}^{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and $v \in H_{0}^{\varepsilon / 2}(\Omega)$, the following relation is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{a} D_{x}^{\varepsilon} \Theta, v\right)_{0, \Omega}=\left({ }_{a} D_{x}^{\varepsilon / 2} \Theta,{ }_{x} D_{b}^{\varepsilon / 2} v\right)_{0, \Omega}, \quad\left({ }_{x} D_{b}^{\varepsilon} \Theta, v\right)_{0, \Omega}=\left({ }_{x} D_{b}^{\varepsilon / 2} \Theta,{ }_{a} D_{x}^{\varepsilon / 2} v\right)_{0, \Omega} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A brief overview of the basics of Jacobi polynomials follows. We recommend reading [40,41] for more information on orthogonal polynomials, and [42-49] for applications of spectral methods to these type of polynomials. For $p, q>-1$ and $x \in(-1,1)$, the hypergeometric functions make it possible to write the Jacobian polynomials as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}^{p, q}(x)=\frac{(p+1) i}{i!}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-i, p+q+i+1 ; p+1 ; \frac{1-x}{2}\right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot)_{i}$ signifies the symbol of Pochhammer. Assuming that $N$ is a positive integer, then the following three-term recurrence relations hold for $\left\{\gamma_{i}^{p, q}(x)\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$, as they hold for all classical orthogonal polynomials

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{0}^{p, q}(x)=1  \tag{2.8}\\
\gamma_{1}^{p, q}(x)=\frac{1}{2}(2+p+q) x+\frac{1}{2}(p-q) \\
\gamma_{i+1}^{p, q}(x)=\left(A_{i}^{p, q} x-B_{i}^{p, q}\right) \gamma_{i}^{p, q}(x)-C_{i}^{p, q} \gamma_{i-1}^{p, q}(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where the coefficients of recursion are provided by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
A_{i}^{p, q} & =\frac{(2 i+p+q+1)(2 i+p+q+2)}{2(i+1)(i+p+q+1)},  \tag{2.9}\\
B_{i}^{p, q} & =\frac{(2 i+p+q+1)\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right)}{2(i+1)(i+p+q+1)(2 i+p+q)} \\
C_{i}^{p, q} & =\frac{(2 i+p+q+2)(i+p)(i+q)}{(i+1)(i+p+q+1)(2 i+p+q)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The existence of orthogonality in the set of Jacobi polynomials is due to a weight function, which is represented by $\omega^{p, q}(x)=(1-x)^{p}(1+x)^{q}$, more precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \gamma_{i}^{p, q}(x) \gamma_{j}^{p, q}(x) \omega^{p, q}(t) d x=\iota_{i}^{p, q} \delta_{i, j} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i, j}$ represents the function of the Kronecker delta, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{i}^{p, q}=\frac{2^{(p+q+1)} \Gamma(i+p+1) \Gamma(i+q+1)}{(2 i+p+q+1) i!\Gamma(i+p+q+1)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In specifically, the Legendre polynomial is a subclass of the Jacobi polynomial, which it can be stated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}(x)=\gamma_{i}^{0,0}(x)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-i, i+1 ; 1 ; \frac{1-x}{2}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The numerical scheme

Here, in this section, we will focus on developing a high-order numerical approximation for the problems (1.1) and (1.2) based on combining Alikhanov $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula and the spectral method of Legendre-Galerkin in order to discretize the temporal and space-fractional derivatives, respectively. We begin with temporal discretization following that, we detail the suggested scheme's spatial discretization.

### 3.1. Temporal discretization

We choose a time step given by $\tau=\frac{s}{N_{s}}$, where $N_{s}$ is a positive integer, in order to uniformly divide the temporal domain $I$. This defines a class of uniform partitions denote by $t_{k}=k \tau$, for each $-N_{s} \leq k \leq M$, where $M=\left\lceil\frac{T}{\tau}\right\rceil$. Denote $t_{k+\sigma}=(k+\sigma) \tau=\sigma t_{k+1}+(1-\sigma) t_{k}$, for $k=0,1, \ldots, M$. Take $\Theta^{k+\sigma}=\Theta^{k+\sigma}(\cdot)=\Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+\sigma}\right)$. For the Caputo derivative (1.5), we recall the Alikhanov $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula [25].

Definition 5. The following coefficients are defined for any value of the parameter $\sigma=1-\frac{\beta}{2}, 0<$ $\beta<1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}_{l}^{(\beta, \sigma)}= \begin{cases}\sigma^{1-\beta}, & l=0, \\
(l+\sigma)^{1-\beta}-(l-1+\sigma)^{1-\beta}, & l \geq 1,\end{cases}  \tag{3.13}\\
\mathcal{B}_{l}^{(\beta, \sigma)}=\frac{1}{2-\beta}\left[(l+\sigma)^{2-\beta}-(l-1+\sigma)^{2-\beta}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[(l+\sigma)^{1-\beta}+(l-1+\sigma)^{1-\beta}\right], l \geq 1, \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
C_{l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(\beta, \sigma)}, & l=k=0,  \tag{3.15}\\ \mathcal{A}_{0}^{(\beta, \sigma)}+\mathcal{B}_{1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}, & l=0, k \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{A}_{l}^{(\beta, \sigma)}+\mathcal{B}_{l+\sigma)}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-\mathcal{B}_{l}^{(\beta, \sigma)}, & 1 \leq l \leq k-1, \\ \mathcal{A}_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-\mathcal{B}_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}, & 1 \leq l=k .\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula which applied in this investigation can be formulated in light of the lemma below.

Lemma 3.1. Under the premise that $\Theta(t) \in C^{3}\left[0, t_{k+1}\right], 0 \leq k \leq M-1$, the high order $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula reads as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} D_{t_{k+\sigma}}^{\beta} \Theta=\frac{\tau^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{l=0}^{k} C_{k-l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)} \delta_{t} \Theta^{l}+O\left(\tau^{3-\beta}\right), \quad 0<\beta<1, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{t} \Theta^{l}=\Theta^{l+1}-\Theta^{l}$. For the sake of theoretical clarity, we rewrite (3.16) in an equivalent form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} D_{t_{k+\sigma}}^{\beta} \Theta=\frac{\tau^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \mathcal{D}_{l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)} \Theta^{l}+O\left(\tau^{3-\beta}\right), \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{(0, \beta, \sigma)}=-\mathcal{D}_{0}^{(0, \beta, \sigma)}=\sigma^{1-\beta}$, for $k=0$, and for $k \geq 1$,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}= \begin{cases}-C_{k}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}, & l=0,  \tag{3.18}\\ C_{k-k, \sigma)}^{(k,+)}-C_{k-l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}, & 1 \leq l \leq k, \\ C_{0}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}, & l=k+1\end{cases}
$$

Definition 6. For the temporal Caputo fractional derivative, the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ approximation formula at node $t_{k+\sigma}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{[0, M-1]}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}=\frac{\tau^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \mathcal{D}_{l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)} \Theta^{l}, \quad 0<\beta<1 . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of Taylor's theorem, it is observed that the following lemma is valid.
Lemma 3.2. For a given function $\Theta(t) \in C^{2}[0, T]$, the following identities hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+\sigma}\right)=\sigma \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+1}\right)+(1-\sigma) \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k}\right)+O\left(\tau^{2}\right), \\
& \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+\sigma}\right)=(\sigma+1) \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k}\right)-\sigma \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k-1}\right)+O\left(\tau^{2}\right), \\
& \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)=\sigma \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k+1-N_{s}}\right)+(1-\sigma) \Theta\left(\cdot, t_{k-N_{s}}\right)+O\left(\tau^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following that, at each specified time $t_{k+\sigma}$, we shall present a semi-discretized version of the system (1.1). To that end, the uniform $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ formula (3.19) is used to estimate the time-fractional component, and Taylor's approximations are used to discretize the nonlinear source term. Thus, the resulting discrete-time system is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}=\kappa \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta^{k+\sigma}}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}+F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)+G^{k+\sigma}(x), \quad x \in \Omega . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also take into account initial-boundary approximations as the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta_{i}^{k}=\vartheta\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right), \quad-N_{s} \leq k \leq 0, x \in \Omega  \tag{3.21}\\
\Theta_{0}^{k}=\Theta_{M}^{k}(x)=0, \quad-N_{s} \leq k \leq 0, x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to Lemmas (3.1) and (3.2), this semi-scheme is technically accurate to the second order. Later in this context, a comprehensive study of the convergence rate for the full-discrete scheme will be provided. Next, we introduce the following two parameters:

Then, this permits the recasting of the semi-scheme (3.20) into the equivalent form given below

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta^{k+1}-\kappa \sigma \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta^{k+1}}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}=\kappa(1-\sigma) \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta^{k}}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{j, l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)} \Theta^{j} \\
& \quad+\lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)+G^{k+1} . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Spatial discretization

We first present the space function below to give suitable base functions that precisely meet the boundary requirements specified in spectral techniques for space fractional order equations in order to linearize the space-fractional components [50,51]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{N}^{0}=\mathcal{P}_{N}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_{n}(x): n=0,1, \ldots, N-2\right\}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{n}$ symbolizes the base functions, which are represented by the Legendre polynomial as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(x)=L_{n}(\hat{x})-L_{n+2}(\hat{x})=\frac{2 n+3}{2(n+1)}\left(1-\hat{x}^{2}\right) \gamma_{n}^{1,1}(\hat{x}), \quad \forall \hat{x} \in[-1,1], \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\frac{1}{2}((b-a) \hat{x}+a+b) \in[a, b]$. Therefore, the fully discrete $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ Galerkin spectral scheme for (3.22) can be expressed as follows: find $\Theta^{k+1} \in \mathcal{W}_{N}^{0}, k \geq 0$ such that satisfying the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Theta^{k+1}, v\right)-\kappa \sigma \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta^{k+1}}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}, v\right)=\kappa(1-\sigma) \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta^{k}}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}, v\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{j, l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)}\left(\Theta^{j}, v\right) \\
\quad+\lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}\left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right), v\right)+\left(I_{N} G^{k+1}(x), v\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
\quad k \geq 0, \forall v \in W_{N}^{0}, \\
\Theta_{N}^{0}=\pi_{N}^{1,0} \vartheta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\pi_{N}^{1,0}$ is a suitable projection operator in this case. Following this, we could further generalize the approximation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{N}^{k+1}=\sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \hat{\Theta}_{i}^{k+1} \psi_{i}(x), \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\Theta}_{i}^{k+1}$ are an undetermined expansion coefficients. The uniform full discrete scheme for the problems (1.1) and (1.2) can be expressed as a linear system in a matrix form using (3.26), lemma 2.1 and allowing $v=\psi_{k}$, for each $0 \leq k \leq N-2$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{M}-\kappa \sigma \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}\left(S+S^{T}\right)\right) U^{k+1}=R^{k}+\lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)} H^{k}+G^{k+1}, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i j}=\int_{\Omega}{ }_{a} D_{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi_{i}(x)_{x} D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi_{j}(x) d x, \quad S=\left(s_{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{N-2}, \\
& m_{i j}=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{i}(x) \psi_{j}(x) d x, \quad \bar{M}=\left(m_{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{N-2}, \\
& h_{i}^{k}=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{i}(x) I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right) d x, \\
& g_{i}^{k+1}=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{i}(x) I_{N} g^{k+1} d x, \quad G^{k+1}=\left(g_{0}^{k+1}, g_{1}^{k+1}, \ldots, g_{N-2}^{k+1}\right)^{\top}, \quad H^{k}=\left(h_{0}^{k}, h_{1}^{k}, \ldots, h_{N-2}^{k}\right)^{\top}, \\
& U^{k+1}=\left(\hat{\Theta}_{0}^{k+1}, \hat{\Theta}_{1}^{k+1}, \ldots, \hat{\Theta}_{N-2}^{k+1}\right)^{\top}, \quad R^{k}=\kappa(1-\sigma) \lambda_{k}^{(\beta, \sigma)}\left(S+S^{T}\right) \Theta^{k}-\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{l}^{(k, \beta, \sigma)} \tilde{M} \Theta^{k} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The elements of the stiffness matrix $S$ and the mass matrix $\tilde{M}$ can be easily handled using the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. [50, 51] The following relation can be used to manipulate the components that make up the stiffness matrix $S$, namely,

$$
s_{i j}=a_{i}^{j}-a_{i}^{j+2}-a_{i+2}^{j}+a_{i+2}^{j+2}, \quad i, j=0,1, \ldots, N-2,
$$

the formula where the coefficients $a_{i}^{j}$ may be determined reads

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i}^{j}= & \int_{\Omega}{ }_{a} D_{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_{i}(\hat{x})_{x} D_{b}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} L_{j}(\hat{x}) d x \\
= & \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(i+1) \Gamma(j+1)}{\Gamma\left(i-\frac{\alpha}{2}+1\right) \Gamma\left(j-\frac{\alpha}{2}+1\right)} .  \tag{3.29}\\
& \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{N} \varpi_{r}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}} J_{i}^{\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(x_{r}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) J_{j}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(x_{r}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{\varpi_{r}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, x_{r}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ are Jacobi-Gauss collection points and their corresponding weights related to the weight function $\omega^{-\frac{-\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

Lemma 3.4. [50,51] The nonzero components of the symmetric mass matrix $\bar{M}$ are given by

$$
m_{i j}=m_{j i}= \begin{cases}\frac{b-a}{2 j+1}+\frac{b-a}{2 j+5}, & \forall i=j,  \tag{3.30}\\ -\frac{b-a}{2 j+5}, & \forall i=j+2\end{cases}
$$

## 4. Theoretical analysis

This section aims to verify how effectively the numerical solution of the suggested approach for the problems (1.1) and (1.2). We start in the first part with a review of certain technical lemmas that will be important later on. In the second subsection, we conduct stability and convergence studies of the suggested method. We assume that the Lipschitz condition below holds for the function $F$, which is necessary for the theoretical analysis, i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(\Theta_{1}, v_{1}\right)-F\left(\Theta_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right| \leq L\left(\left|\Theta_{1}-\Theta_{2}\right|+\left|v_{1}-v_{2}\right|\right), \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a positive constant.

### 4.1. Technical Lemmas

Here, we recall some lemmas that will be used in our investigation. To avoid tying these definitions to specific values for $N, n$, and $\tau$, we will refer to $C$ and $C_{\Theta}$ in the following as arbitrary positive constants that can shift depending on the circumstances. Additionally, we accept on the convention $\mathbb{Z}_{[a, b]}=\mathbb{Z} \cap[a, b]$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of all positive integers. For the rest of this discussion, we'll be using the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\Theta, w)=\kappa c_{\alpha}\left[\left({ }_{a} D_{x}^{\alpha / 2} \Theta,{ }_{x} D_{b}^{\alpha / 2} w\right)+\left({ }_{x} D_{b}^{\alpha / 2} \Theta,{ }_{a} D_{x}^{\alpha / 2} w\right)\right], \quad w \in \mathcal{W}_{N}^{0} . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthogonal projection operator, denoted by $\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0}: H_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{N}^{0}$, will have the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\Theta-\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta, w\right)=0, \quad \forall \Theta \in H_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega), \quad w \in \mathcal{W}_{N}^{0} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We provide the following semi-norm and norm to facilitate theoretical analysis.

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\Theta|_{\alpha / 2}:=A(\Theta, \Theta)^{1 / 2}  \tag{4.34}\\
\|\Theta\|_{\alpha / 2}:=\left(\|\Theta\|^{2}+|\Theta|_{\alpha / 2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

The three lemmas below are all mentioned in [50].
Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $\alpha$ and s are two real integers such that $\alpha \neq 1 / 2, \quad 0<\alpha<1, \alpha<s$. Then, for every function $\Theta \in H_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega) \cap H^{s}(\Omega)$, the approximation that follows valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Theta-\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta\right|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq C N^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-s}\|\Theta\|_{s}, \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant $C$ independent of $N$.
Lemma 4.2. We assume that $\Theta \in H_{0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega)$ and that $\Omega=(a, b)$. Then, there are two positive, independent constants $C_{1}<1$ and $C_{2}$ with respect to $\Theta$, such that the following remains true

$$
C_{1}\|\Theta\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq|\Theta|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq\|\Theta\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq C_{2}|\Theta|_{H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\Omega)}} .
$$

Lemma 4.3. The inverse inequality that follows holds true for every given set of values for $\Theta \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(\Omega)$

$$
\|\Theta\|_{\infty} \leq C N\|\Theta\|,
$$

where $C$ is a constant that is positive and independent of $\Theta$ and $N$.

The properties of the interpolation operator $I_{N}$ are summarized in the following lemma and remark.
Lemma 4.4. [41] Assume that $\Theta \in H^{s}(\Omega)$, then for $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq l \leq 1$, the following relation is valid

$$
\left\|\Theta-I_{N} \Theta\right\|_{l} \leq C N^{l-s}\|\Theta\|_{s},
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $N$.
Remark 4.1. The smoothness of the solution to a fractional differential equation does not imply the smoothness of the source term. Consequently, the solution $\Theta$ has a different regularity order sthan the regularity order $r$ for the source term $G$, which means that

$$
\left\|I_{N} G-G\right\| \leq C N^{-r}\|\Theta\|_{r}, \forall G \in H^{r}(\Omega),
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $N, \Theta$ and $g$.
Lemma 4.5. [52] All absolutely continuous functions $\Theta(t)$ on $[0, T]$ satisfy the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} \Theta(t), \Theta(t)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}}\|\Theta(t)\|^{2} . \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.6. [25] The following inequality holds for any $\Theta(t)$ identified on the interval $\Omega$ and $\beta \in$ $(0,1)$. If $\Theta^{k+\sigma}=\sigma \Theta^{k+1}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k}$, then

$$
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta}, \Theta^{k+\sigma}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau}^{\beta}\left\|\Theta^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} .
$$

It's worth noting that a significant amount of consideration has been paid to developing fractional Grönwall inequalities in their continuous form in recent years. However, their discrete form has received less attention, and a few recent studies [11,53-55] have attempted to close the gap. In what follows, we present a developed discrete version of Grönwall inequality that agrees with the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference schemes and plays an important part in demonstrating the stability and convergence of our suggested approach.

Lemma 4.7. [13,28] Assume that $\left\{Q^{i}\right\}_{i=-N_{s}}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\zeta^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are both non-negative sequences. Suppose that $\mu_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{[1,6]}$ are independent positive constants with respect to $\tau$, such that the sequences satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{i} \geq 0 \forall i \geq 0, \quad Q^{0} \quad \text { is recognized and } Q^{i}=0 \forall i<0, \\
& { }_{0} D_{t_{k+\sigma}}^{\beta} Q^{k} \leq \mu_{1} Q^{k}+\zeta^{k}, \quad \forall k \leq N_{s}, \\
& { }_{0} D_{t_{k+\sigma}}^{\beta} Q^{k} \leq \mu_{1} Q^{k}+\mu_{2} Q^{k-1}+\mu_{3} Q^{k-2}+\mu_{4} Q^{k-3}+\mu_{5} Q^{k+1-N_{s}}+\mu_{6} Q^{k-N_{s}}+\zeta^{k}, \quad \forall k \leq N_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In that case, there is a positive constant $\tau \leq \tau^{*}=\sqrt[\beta]{1 /\left(2 \Gamma(2-\beta) \mu_{1}\right)}$, which causes

$$
Q^{k+1} \leq 2 E_{\beta}\left(2 \mu t_{k}^{\beta}\right)\left(Q^{0}+\frac{t_{k}^{\beta}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \max _{0 \leq k_{0} \leq k} \zeta^{k_{0}}\right),
$$

where $E_{\beta}(z)$ represent the Mittag-Leffler function and

$$
\mu=\mu_{1}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{b_{0}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{\mu_{3}}{b_{1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{2}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{\mu_{4}}{b_{2}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{3}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{\mu_{5}}{b_{N_{s}-2}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{\mu_{6}}{b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}}^{(\beta, \sigma)}} .
$$

### 4.2. Stability analysis

The variational formulation of the proposed scheme can be obtained by means of (3.17), (3.20) side by side to Lemma 3.2. More specifically, we need to find $\left\{\Theta_{N}^{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{M} \in \mathcal{P}_{N}$, such that satisfying the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\Theta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) & =\left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \Theta_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v\right)  \tag{4.38}\\
& +\left(I_{N} G^{k+\sigma}, v\right), \forall v_{N} \in \mathcal{P}_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\Theta_{N}^{k}=\pi_{N}^{1,0} \psi^{k},-N_{s} \leq k \leq 0 .
$$

Due to the linear iterative nature of the method, a solution to an algebraic equation system is all that is required at each iteration. The suggested scheme's well-posedness, meaning it is uniquely solvable and continues to rely on its initial boundary conditions which is sufficient to hold the Lax-Milgram lemma's assumptions [56]. In particular, it can be seen from Eq (4.38) that the bilinear shape $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous as well as coercive related to $H_{0}^{\alpha / 2} \times H_{0}^{\alpha / 2}$. We further presume that $\left\{\tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{M}$ is the solution of the following variational form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) & =\left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}-\sigma \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v\right)  \tag{4.39}\\
& +\left(I_{N} \tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right), \forall v_{N} \in \mathcal{P}_{N},
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}=\pi_{N}^{1,0} \psi^{k}, \quad-N_{\mathbf{s}} \leq k \leq 0
$$

Now, we are ready to offer the stability theorem in the context of the subsequent discussion.
Theorem 4.1. The suggested method (4.38) in this sense, is said to be unconditionally stable, which means it holds the following for $\tau>0$,

$$
\left\|\Theta_{N}^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq C \max _{1 \leq k \leq M}\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} .
$$

where $C$ is a generic positive constant independent of $N$ and $\tau$.
Proof. Subtracting (4.39) from (4.38) and by taking $\Theta_{N}^{k}-\tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}:=\eta_{N}^{k}$, then the error equation holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)= & \left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \Theta_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}-\sigma \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \widetilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \widetilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right)  \tag{4.40}\\
+ & \left(I_{N} G^{k+\sigma}-I_{N} \tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Lipschitz condition (4.31) and using Hölder inequality side by side to Young inequality, we derive the following for the first term of the right-hand side

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \Theta_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k}-\sigma \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \tilde{\Theta}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leq C L\left(\left\|(\sigma+1) \eta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|\sigma \eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}-(1-\sigma) \eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{N}\right\|$
$\leq \epsilon C L^{2}\left\|(\sigma+1) \eta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+\epsilon C L^{2}\left\|\sigma \eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}-(1-\sigma) \eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}$
$\leq 2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}$.
Using the Hölder inequality, the Young inequality, as well as the interpolation operator property, we obtain the second term as

$$
\left(I_{N} G^{k+\sigma}-I_{N} \tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence, (4.40) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \quad+\frac{\epsilon}{2} C\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $v_{N}=\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}$ and using lemma 4.6 and (4.34), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} D_{\tau}^{\beta}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\left|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right|_{\alpha / 2}^{2} \leq & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} C\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

following the omission of the second term in the left hand side, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\tau}^{\beta}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq & \frac{2}{\epsilon}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\epsilon C\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(1+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\left\|\eta_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{4}{\epsilon} \sigma^{2}\left(1+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\eta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\epsilon C\left\|G^{k+\sigma}-\tilde{G}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct application of the Grönwall inequality (see Lemma 4.7), we find that for $\epsilon>0$, there exists a positive independent constant $\tau^{*}=\sqrt[\beta]{1 /\left(2 \Gamma(2-\beta) \frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(1+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\right)}$, such that when $\tau<\tau^{*}$, the following is hold

$$
\left\|\eta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \epsilon C t_{k}^{\beta}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} E_{\beta}\left(2 \mu t_{k}^{\beta}\right) \max _{1 \leq k \leq M}\left\|G^{k}-\tilde{G}^{k}\right\|^{2},
$$

with

$$
\mu=\frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(1+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)+\frac{4}{\epsilon} \frac{\sigma^{2}\left(1+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)}{b_{0}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}}{b_{N_{s}-2}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}}{b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}}^{(\beta, \sigma)}} .
$$

Therefore, the proposed method is guaranteed to be unconditionally stable.

### 4.3. Convergence

Here, we present the proof of the convergence theorem for the suggested scheme (4.38) using discrete error estimates.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\left\{\Theta^{k}\right\}_{k=-N_{s}}^{M}$ and $\left\{\Theta_{N}^{k}\right\}_{k=-N_{s}}^{M}$, be the exact and the approximate solutions of problem (1.1) and the proposed method (4.38), respectively. Assume that $\Theta \in C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\Omega)\right)$. Then for an arbitrary constant $C$ independent of $N$ and $\tau$, the following statement is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Theta^{k+\sigma}-\Theta_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right|_{\alpha / 2} \leq C\left(\tau^{2}+N^{-r}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq M, \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the source term's regularity order.
Proof. Take $\Theta^{k}-\Theta_{N}^{k}=\xi_{N}^{k}=\left(\Theta^{k}-\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k}\right)+\left(\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k}-\Theta_{N}^{k}\right) \triangleq \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k}+\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}$. In addition, (1.1) has the following weak formulation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)=\left(F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right)+\left(G^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) . \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting (4.38) from (4.42), and using the notion of orthogonal projection, then the error equation satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) \triangleq \rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)}+\rho_{2}^{(k, \sigma)}+\rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)}+\rho_{4}^{(k, \sigma)} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)}=\left(I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \Theta_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right), \\
& \rho_{2}^{(k, \sigma)}=\left(F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right), \\
& \rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)}=\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right), \\
& \rho_{4}^{(k, \sigma)}=\left(G^{k+\sigma}-I_{N} G^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To proceed, we make an estimate of the terms $\rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)}, \rho_{2}^{(k, \sigma)}, \rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)}$ and $\rho_{4}^{(k, \sigma)}$ on the right-hand side. Regarding the first term $\rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)}= & \left(I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right) \\
& +\left(I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta_{N}^{k}-\sigma \Theta_{N}^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right), v_{N}\right) \\
\triangleq & \rho_{11}^{(k, \sigma)}+\rho_{12}^{(k, \sigma)} . \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Invoking Taylor expansion holds

$$
F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)=f\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1+\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)+\tilde{c}_{\Theta} \tau^{2}
$$

In addition, we use Hölder's and Young's inequalities to

$$
\rho_{11}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq\left\|I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)\right\|\left\|v_{N}\right\|
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C\left\|F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-F\left((\sigma+1) \Theta^{k}-\sigma \Theta^{k-1}, \sigma \Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right)\right\|\left\|v_{N}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C \tilde{c}_{\Theta} \tau^{4}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.45}
\end{align*}
$$

By plugging into the Lipschitz condition (4.31) and using Hölder inequality side by side to Young inequality, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{12}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq & L C\left(\left\|(\sigma+1) \xi_{N}^{k}-\sigma \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|\sigma \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \xi_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{N}\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{\epsilon}{2} C L^{2}\left(\left\|(\sigma+1) \hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}-\sigma \hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|\sigma \hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}+(1-\sigma) \hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|+\left\|(\sigma+1) \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k}-\sigma \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\sigma \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}-(1-\sigma) \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & 2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, considering the Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|\Theta^{k}\right\|_{s}^{2}, \quad\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|\Theta^{k-1}\right\|_{s}^{2} \\
& \left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|\Theta^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|_{s}^{2}, \quad\left\|\tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|\Theta^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

then (4.46) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{12}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq & 2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.47}\\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{C} N^{\alpha-2 s}\|\Theta\|_{s}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.45) and (4.47) into (4.44), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq & \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{C} N^{\alpha-2 s}\|\Theta\|_{s}^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tilde{c}_{\Theta} \tau^{4} . \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality, and Lemma 4.4 allow us to deduce the following for the second term $\rho_{2}^{(k, \sigma)}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{2}^{(k, \sigma)} & \leq\left\|F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)\right\|\left\|v_{N}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left\|F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)-I_{N} F\left(\Theta^{k+\sigma}, \Theta^{k+\sigma-N_{s}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} C N^{-2 r}\|\Theta\|_{s}^{2} . \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

For the third term $\rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)}$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)} & =\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \pi_{N}^{\frac{\beta}{2}, 0} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) \\
& =\left(\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0}\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} \Theta^{k+\sigma}\right), v_{N}\right)-\left({ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) \\
& \triangleq \rho_{31}^{(k, \sigma)}+\rho_{32}^{(k, \sigma)}, \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

combining 3.1 with Hölder inequality and Young inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{31}^{(k, \sigma)} & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left\|\pi_{N}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0}\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}\right)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C\left\|D_{\tau}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C \Theta \tau^{9-2 \beta}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

furthermore, by means of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{32}^{(k, \sigma)} & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta^{k+\sigma}\right\|_{s}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta\right\|_{s}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (4.50) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{3}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} C N^{\alpha-2 s}\left\|_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta\right\|_{s}^{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2} C_{\Theta} \tau^{9-2 \beta} . \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the aid of Remark 4.1, we obtain the following for the fourth term $\rho_{4}^{(k, \sigma)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{4}^{(k, \sigma)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} C N^{\alpha-2 r}\|\Theta\|_{r}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.48), (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52) into (4.43), we can infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{\tau}^{\beta} \dot{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right)+A\left(\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}, v_{N}\right) \leq & \frac{3}{\epsilon}\left\|v_{N}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|+\tilde{R} \tag{4.53}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{R}=\epsilon \tilde{C} N^{\alpha-2 s}\left(\|\Theta\|_{s}^{2}+\| \|_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\beta} \Theta \|_{s}^{2}\right)+\epsilon \tilde{C} N^{-2 r}\|\Theta\|_{r}^{2}+\epsilon \tilde{C}_{\Theta}\left(\tau^{4}+\tau^{9-2 \beta}\right)
$$

Taking $v_{N}=\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}$ in (4.53) and applying Lemma 4.6, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} D_{\tau}^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+\left|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right|_{\alpha / 2}^{2} \leq & \frac{3}{\epsilon}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+2 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|+\tilde{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, after omitting the second term on the left side of the above equation, we get

$$
D_{\tau}^{\beta}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{6}{\epsilon}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|+R \\
\leq & \frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(3+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\left\|\hat{\xi}^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{4}{\epsilon} \sigma^{2}\left(3+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\left\|\hat{\xi}^{k-1}\right\|^{2}+4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+1-N_{s}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k-N_{s}}\right\|+R, \tag{4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

with $R=2 \tilde{R}$. By means of Lemma 4.7 we find that for $\epsilon>0$, there is some positive independent constant $\tau^{*}=\sqrt[\beta]{1 /\left(2 \Gamma(2-\beta) \frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(3+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)\right)}$, when $\tau<\tau^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\|\hat{\xi}_{N}^{k+\sigma}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 R C t_{k}^{\beta}}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} E_{\beta}\left(2 \mu t_{k}^{\beta}\right)
$$

with

$$
\mu=\frac{4}{\epsilon}(\sigma+1)^{2}\left(3+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)+\frac{4}{\epsilon} \frac{\sigma^{2}\left(3+C \epsilon^{2} L^{2}\right)}{b_{0}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{4 \epsilon C L^{2} \sigma^{2}}{b_{N_{s}-2}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}}+\frac{4 \epsilon C L^{2}(1-\sigma)^{2}}{b_{N_{s}-1}^{(\beta, \sigma)}-b_{N_{s}}^{(\beta, \sigma)}} .
$$

Consequently, the scheme converges regardless of circumstances. The triangle inequality and (4.36) were then combined to complete the (4.41) proof.

## 5. Numerical experiments

As such, we perform a test example to further characterize the suggested system's temporal and spatial convergence orders. We also show how the dynamics of the solution to systems of fractional diffusion equations with delay are affected by fractional orders in the temporal and spatial directions. In order to investigate both temporal and spatial convergence orders independently, we will determine the orders of convergence in both using the $L 2$-Error norms, which are described as follows:

$$
\text { Order }=\frac{\ln \left(\left\|\operatorname{Error}\left(N, M_{1}\right)\right\| /\left\|\operatorname{Error}\left(N, M_{2}\right)\right\|\right)}{\ln \left(M_{1} / M_{2}\right)},
$$

where $M_{1} \neq M_{2}$.
Example 1. Consider the following nonlinear delayed diffusion problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\beta} \Theta}{\partial t^{\beta}}(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{\alpha} \Theta}{\partial|x|^{\alpha}}(x, t)-2 \Theta(x, t)+\frac{\Theta(x, t-0.1)}{1+\Theta^{2}(x, t-0.1)}+G(x, t), \quad x \in(0,1), \quad t \in(0,1], \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that problem (5.55) admits an exact solution $\frac{t^{2}}{\Gamma(3)} x^{2}(1-x)^{2}$ with respect to a given function $G(x, t)$.
As shown in Table 1, a comparison between the L2-errors and their accompanying convergence orders for different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $N=100$ for both $L 1$ and $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ schemes are listed. It is shown that $2-\beta$ temporal accuracy has been reached for the $L^{2}$-errors in the case of $L 1$ scheme, (see our previous work [48]), while a high order of second temporal accuracy has been reached for the $L^{2}$-errors in case $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ scheme which accords with the temporal order of convergence provided by Theorem 4.2. Orders of spatial convergence are shown for various values of $\alpha$ values at $\tau=1 / 500$ in Figure 1. In addition, when the $L^{2}$ errors diminish exponentially, spatial-spectral accuracy increases for a smooth solution. The convergence findings coincide completely with the theoretical ones. At each level of convergence, we see full concordance between theoretical and experimental results.

Table 1. The rate of convergence and the associate errors for $\Theta \operatorname{versus} N$ and $\tau$ with $N=100$ for example 1.

| $\tau$ | $\alpha-1=\beta=0.1$ |  | $\alpha-1=\beta=0.5$ |  | $\alpha-1=\beta=0.9$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Error | Order | Error | Order | Error | Order |
| L1-scheme | $0.1 / 5$ | $2.612 \times 10^{-7}$ | -- | $4.076 \times 10^{-6}$ | -- | $2.449 \times 10^{-5}$ | -- |
|  | $0.1 / 10$ | $7.466 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.807 | $1.454 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.487 | $1.143 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.099 |
|  | $0.1 / 15$ | $3.588 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.807 | $7.943 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.491 | $7.319 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.100 |
|  | $0.1 / 20$ | $2.156 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.771 | $5.168 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.494 | $5.334 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.100 |
|  | $0.1 / 25$ | $1.481 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.682 | $3.702 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.495 | $4.173 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.100 |
|  | $0.1 / 5$ | $2.341 \times 10^{-7}$ | -- | $1.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | -- | $1.909 \times 10^{-6}$ | -- |
|  | $0.1 / 10$ | $5.893 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.990 | $2.572 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.001 | $4.773 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.999 |
|  | $0.1 / 15$ | $2.622 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.997 | $1.143 \times 10^{-7}$ | 2.000 | $2.122 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.999 |
|  | $0.1 / 20$ | $1.473 \times 10^{-8}$ | 2.004 | $6.435 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.997 | $1.194 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.997 |
|  | $0.1 / 25$ | $9.405 \times 10^{-9}$ | 2.010 | $4.123 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.995 | $7.652 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.995 |



Figure 1. Rate of convergence in space direction for different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at $\tau=1 / 500$.

## 6. Conclusions and remarks

In this study, we introduced an effective spectral Galerkin approach to handle the nonlinear fractional order reaction-diffusion equations with a fixed delay. This approach is accomplished by constructing a numerical algorithm that integrates the efficacy of $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ type approximation side by side to the effectiveness of the Galerkin spectral Legendre technique. In other words, on a uniform mesh, we used the $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ difference formula and the Legendre-Galerkin spectral technique for time and space discretizations, respectively. According to the literature overview, the majority of earlier research provided error estimates only in a limited (local) time period or when the numerical solution declines in time. However, we presented a theoretical analysis to obtain the optimal error estimates for the suggested scheme with no constraints compared to earlier studies, using the developed $L 2-1_{\sigma}$
fractional Grönwall type inequality in a discrete version. In the case of smooth solutions, the suggested scheme's convergence analysis was established, and it was demonstrated that the scheme under consideration is effective with second-order precision in time and spectral accuracy in space. In the situation of a non-smooth solution in time, a high-order graded $L 2-1_{\sigma}$ scheme can be dealt with using a non-uniform Alikhanov scheme [55,57] to preserve the second order. Additionally, a more generic investigation for problem (1.1) is possible by replacing the fixed delay with a distributed one. These preparations are meant to serve as a road map for future study. Finally, a numerical test is offered to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme and show that is consistent with theoretical results.

## Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the handling editor and the anonymous referees for their constructive feedback and helpful suggestions, which highly improved the paper. V.G. Pimenov wishes to acknowledge the support of the RSF grant, project 22-21-00075.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. S. Bhalekar, V. Daftardar-Gejji, D. Baleanu, R. Magin, Generalized fractional order Bloch equation with extended delay, Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos, 22 (2012), 1250071. https://doi.org/10.1142/S021812741250071X
2. M. Benchohra, S. Litimein, G. N'Guérékata, On fractional integro-differential inclusions with state-dependent delay in Banach spaces, Appl. Anal., 92 (2013), 335-350. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2011.616496
3. E. Fridman, L. Fridman, E. Shustin, Steady modes in relay control systems with time delay and periodic disturbances, J. Dyn. Sys. Meas. Control, 122 (2000), 732-737. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1320443
4. J. J. Batzel, F. Kappel, Time delay in physiological systems: Analyzing and modeling its impact, Math. Biosci., 234 (2011), 61-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2011.08.006
5. P. P. Liu, Periodic solutions in an epidemic model with diffusion and delay, Appl. Math. Comput., 265 (2015), 275-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.05.028
6. R. V. Culshaw, S. Ruan, G. Webb, A mathematical model of cell-to-cell spread of HIV-1 that includes a time delay, J. Math. Bio., 46 (2003), 425-444. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-002-0191-5
7. C. Beta, M. Bertram, A. S. Mikhailov, H. H. Rotermund, G. Ertl, Controlling turbulence in a surface chemical reaction by time-delay autosynchronization, Phys. Rev. E, 67 (2003), 046224. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.046224
8. B. Liu, C. Zhang, A spectral Galerkin method for nonlinear delay convection-diffusion-reaction equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 69 (2015), 709-724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2015.02.027
9. V. G. Pimenov, A. S. Hendy, A numerical solution for a class of time fractional diffusion equations with delay, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 27 (2017), 477-488. https://doi.org/10.1515/amcs-2017-0033
10. V. G. Pimenov, A. S. Hendy, R. H. De Staelen, On a class of non-linear delay distributed order fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 318 (2017), 433-443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2016.02.039
11. L. Li, B. Zhou, X. Chen, Z. Wang, Convergence and stability of compact finite difference method for nonlinear time fractional reaction-diffusion equations with delay, Appl. Math. Comput., 337 (2018), 144-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.04.057
12. A. Mohebbi, Finite difference and spectral collocation methods for the solution of semilinear time fractional convection-reaction-diffusion equations with time delay, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 61 (2019), 635-656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-019-01267-w
13. A. S. Hendy, J. E. Macías-Díaz, A novel discrete Grönwall inequality in the analysis of difference schemes for time-fractional multi-delayed diffusion equations, Commun. Nonlinear Sci., 73 (2019), 110-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.02.005
14. Z. Sun, X. Wu, A fully discrete difference scheme for a diffusion-wave system, Appl. Numer. Math., 56 (2006), 193-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2005.03.003
15. Y. Lin, C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), 1533-1552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2007.02.001
16. A. A. Alikhanov, Numerical methods of solutions of boundary value problems for the multiterm variable-distributed order diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 268 (2015), 12-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.06.045
17. C. Chen, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical schemes with high spatial accuracy for a variable-order anomalous subdiffusion equation, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 32 (2010), 1740-1760. https://doi.org/10.1137/090771715
18. A. A. Alikhanov, Boundary value problems for the diffusion equation of the variable order in differential and difference settings, Appl. Math. Comput., 219 (2012), 3938-3946. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.10.029
19. A. Delić, B. S. Jovanović, Numerical approximation of an interface problem for fractional in time diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 229 (2014), 467-479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.12.060
20. A. S. Hendy, M. A. Zaky, R. H. De Staelen, A general framework for the numerical analysis of high-order finite difference solvers for nonlinear multi-term time-space fractional partial differential equations with time delay, Appl. Numer. Math., 169 (2021), 108-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2021.06.010
21. Y. Zhang, Z. Sun, H. Liao, Finite difference methods for the time fractional diffusion equation on non-uniform meshes, J. Comput. Phys., 265 (2014), 195-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.02.008
22. G. Gao, Z. Sun, H. Zhang, A new fractional numerical differentiation formula to approximate the Caputo fractional derivative and its applications, J. Comput. Phys., 259 (2014), 33-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2013.11.017
23. C. Lv, C. Xu, Error analysis of a high order method for time-fractional diffusion equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 38 (2016), 2699-2724. https://doi.org/10.1137/15M102664X
24. Y. Wang, L. Ren, A high-order L2-compact difference method for Caputo-type time-fractional sub-diffusion equations with variable coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput., 342 (2019), 71-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.09.007
25. A. A. Alikhanov, A new difference scheme for the time fractional diffusion equation, J. Comput. Phys., 280 (2015), 424-438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.09.031
26. G. Gao, A. A. Alikhanov, Z. Sun, The temporal second order difference schemes based on the interpolation approximation for solving the time multi-term and distributed-order fractional sub-diffusion equations, J. Sci. Comput., 73 (2017), 93-121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-017-0407-x
27. R. Du, A. A. Alikhanov, Z. Sun, Temporal second order difference schemes for the multidimensional variable-order time fractional sub-diffusion equations, Comput. Math. Appl., 79 (2020), 2952-2972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2020.01.003
28. M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, A. A. Alikhanov, V. G. Pimenov, Numerical analysis of multi-term time-fractional nonlinear subdiffusion equations with time delay: What could possibly go wrong? Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat., 96 (2021), 105672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2020.105672
29. Y. Zhao, P. Zhu, W. Luo, A fast second-order implicit scheme for non-linear time-space fractional diffusion equation with time delay and drift term, Appl. Math. Comput. 336 (2018), 231-248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.05.004
30. S. Nandal, D. N. Pandey, Numerical treatment of non-linear fourth-order distributed fractional sub-diffusion equation with time-delay, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat., 83 (2020), 105146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.105146
31. M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, J. E. Macías-Díaz, High-order finite difference/spectral-Galerkin approximations for the nonlinear time-space fractional Ginzburg-Landau equation, Numer. Meth. Part. D. E., 83 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/num. 22630
32. A. S. Hendy, J. E. Macías-Díaz, A discrete Grönwall inequality and energy estimates in the analysis of a discrete model for a nonlinear time-fractional heat equation, Mathematics, $\mathbf{8}$ (2020), 1539. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8091539
33. M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, R. H. De Staelen, Alikhanov Legendre-Galerkin spectral method for the coupled nonlinear time-space fractional Ginzburg-Landau complex system, Mathematics, 9 (2021), 183. https://doi.org/10.3390/math9020183
34. Y. Wang, F. Liu, L. Mei, V. V. Anh, A novel alternating-direction implicit spectral Galerkin method for a multi-term time-space fractional diffusion equation in three dimensions, Numer. Algor., 86 (2021), 1443-1474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-020-00940-7
35. H. Liu, S. Lü, A high-order numerical scheme for solving nonlinear time fractional reaction-diffusion equations with initial singularity, Appl. Numer. Math., 169 (2021), 32-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2021.06.013
36. Y. Wang, G. Wang, L. Bu, L. Mei, Two second-order and linear numerical schemes for the multidimensional nonlinear time-fractional Schrödinger equation, Numer. Algor., 88 (2021), 419-451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-020-01044-y
37. I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Math. Sci. Eng., 198 (1998), 340.
38. D. Wang, A. Xiao, W. Yang, Crank-Nicolson difference scheme for the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the Riesz space fractional derivative, J. Comput. Phys., 242 (2013), 670-681. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2013.02.037
39. V. J. Ervin, J. P. Roop, Variational solution of fractional advection dispersion equations on bounded domains in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$, Numer. Meth. Part. D. E., 23 (2007), 256-281. https://doi.org/10.1002/num. 20169
40. F. Marcellán, W. Van Assche, Orthogonal polynomials and special functions: computation and applications, Berlin: Springer, 2006.
41. J. Shen, T. Tang, L. Wang, Spectral methods: algorithms, analysis and applications, Berlin: Springer, 2011.
42. A. H. Bhrawy, M. A. Zaky, A method based on the Jacobi tau approximation for solving multiterm time-space fractional partial differential equations, J. Comput. Phys., 281 (2015), 876-895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.10.060
43. A. H. Bhrawy, M. A. Zaky, Numerical simulation for two-dimensional variableorder fractional nonlinear cable equation, Nonlinear Dynam., 80 (2015), 101-116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-014-1854-7
44. M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, An efficient dissipation-preserving Legendre-Galerkin spectral method for the Higgs boson equation in the de Sitter spacetime universe, Appl. Numer. Math., 160 (2021), 281-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2020.10.013
45. M. A. Zaky, An accurate spectral collocation method for nonlinear systems of fractional differential equations and related integral equations with nonsmooth solutions, Appl. Numer. Math., 154 (2020), 205-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2020.04.002
46. M. A. Zaky, I. G. Ameen, A priori error estimates of a Jacobi spectral method for nonlinear systems of fractional boundary value problems and related Volterra-Fredholm integral equations with smooth solutions, Numer. Algor., 84 (2020), 63-89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-019-00743-5
47. A. K. Omran, M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, V. G. Pimenov, An efficient hybrid numerical scheme for nonlinear multiterm Caputo time and riesz space fractional-order diffusion equations with delay, J. Funct. Space., 2021 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5922853
48. A. K. Omran, M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, V. G. Pimenov, An easy to implement linearized numerical scheme for fractional reaction-diffusion equations with a prehistorical nonlinear source function, Math. Comput. Simulat., 200 (2022), 218-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2022.04.014
49. A. K. Omran, M. A. Zaky, A. S. Hendy, V. G. Pimenov, Numerical algorithm for a generalized form of Schnakenberg reaction-diffusion model with gene expression time delay, Appl. Numer. Math., 185 (2023), 295-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2022.11.024
50. F. Zeng, F. Liu, C. Li, K. Burrage, I. Turner, V. Anh, A Crank-Nicolson ADI spectral method for a two-dimensional Riesz space fractional nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), 2599-2622. https://doi.org/10.1137/130934192
51. J. Shen, Efficient spectral-Galerkin method I. Direct solvers of second-and fourth-order equations using Legendre polynomials, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 15 (1994), 1489-1505. https://doi.org/10.1137/0915089
52. A. A. Alikhanov, A priori estimates for solutions of boundary value problems for fractional-order equations, Diff. Equat., 46 (2010), 660-666. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0012266110050058
53. D. Li, H. Liao, W. Sun, J. Wang, J. Zhang, Analysis of L1-Galerkin FEMs for timefractional nonlinear parabolic problems, Commun. Comput. Phys,, 24 (2018), 86-103. https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.OA-2017-0080
54. H. Liao, D. Li, J. Zhang, Sharp error estimate of the nonuniform L1 formula for linear reaction-subdiffusion equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 56 (2018), 1112-1133. https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1131829
55. H. Liao, W. McLean, J. Zhang, A discrete Grönwall inequality with applications to numerical schemes for subdiffusion problems, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 57 (2019), 218-237. https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1175742
56. G. N. Gatica, A simple introduction to the mixed finite element method: theory and applications, Berlin: Springer, 2014.
57. B. Zhou, X. Chen, D. Li, Nonuniform Alikhanov linearized Galerkin finite element methods for nonlinear time-fractional parabolic equations, J. Sci. Comput., 85 (2020), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-020-01350-6

AIMS Press
© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

