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#### Abstract

Let $S_{j}(z)=\varepsilon_{j}+\left(z-\varepsilon_{j}\right) / 2$ be an iterated function system, where $\varepsilon_{j}=e^{2 j \pi i / 3}$ for $j=0,1,2$. Then, there exists a uniform self-similar measure $\mu$ supported on a compact set $K$, which is the attractor of $\left\{S_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{2}$. The Hausdorff dimension of the attractor $K$ is $\alpha=\log 3 / \log 2$. Let $F(z)=\int_{K}(z-\omega)^{-1} d \mu(\omega)$ be the Cauchy transform of $\mu$. In this paper, we consider the Hardy space and the multiplier property of $F$. We prove that $F^{\prime}$ belongs to $H^{p}$ for $0<p<1 /(2-\alpha)$ and that $F$ is a multiplier of some class of function space.
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## 1. Introduction

The Cauchy transform of a measure in the plane is a useful tool for geometric measure theory [1-3], and it has also important applications in solving integral equations [4,5]. If the measure is a self-similar measure, the Cauchy transform of it has very rich fractal behavior. Stricharz et. al. [6] initiated the study of the Cauchy transform $F(z)=\int_{K}(z-\omega)^{-1} d \mu(\omega)$ of a self-similar measure $\mu$ with compact support $K$, and they proved that $F$ has a Holder continuous extension over $K$ and showed how to compute the Laurent expansion of $F$ in the complement of a disk containing $K$. Soon afterwards, more analytic and geometric properties of $F$ were given by Dong and Lau [7-12]: for example, the asymptotic behavior of the Laurent coefficients of $F$ and the region of starlikeness of $F$. They also gave estimates for the Taylor coefficients of the Cauchy transforms of some special Hausdorff measures [13,14]. For the special case that $K$ is the Sierpinski gasket, and $\mu$ is the normalized Hausdorff measure on $K$, Dong and Lau [8-11] carried out a detailed study of the properties of the mapping of the Cauchy transform on $K$ and investigated some open problems proposed in [6]. Away from $K, F$ is well-behaved, but the image of $F$ is chaotic near the boundary of
$K$ and is difficult to catch [see $6,7,12$ ]. In this paper, we will consider the properties of the function spaces of $F(z)$ near the Sierpinski gasket.

Let $S_{j}(z)=\varepsilon_{j}+\left(z-\varepsilon_{j}\right) / 2$ be an iterated function system, where $\varepsilon_{j}=e^{2 j \pi i / 3}$ for $j=0,1,2$. The attractor $K$ of $\left\{S_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{2}$ is just the Sierpinski gasket (Figure 1). It is well known that $K$ is a compact set, $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ is a multiply connected domain, and the Hausdorff dimension of $K$ is $\alpha=\log 3 / \log 2$. We denote unbounded connected region of $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ by $\Delta_{0}$ and the triangular connected region of $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ by $\Delta_{n}(n \geq 1)$. Then, $\mathbb{C} \backslash K=\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{n}$.


Figure 1. Sierpinski gasket.

Let $\mu$ be the uniform self-similar measure on $K$, i.e., $\mu$ is the restriction of the $\alpha$-Hausdorff measure on $K$ normalized to a probability measure. With slight abusing of notation, we let $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ be the Hausdorff measure normalized on $K$. From the basic property of the Hausdorff measure [15], for $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\phi(E))=\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(E)$, where $\phi$ can be the complex conjugation or the rotation of $e^{i \theta}$. Also, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}\left(2^{n} E\right)=2^{\alpha n} \mathcal{H}(E)$. The Cauchy transform of $\mu=\left.\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}\right|_{K}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{K} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{z-\omega} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main consideration is on the dyadic points of $\partial \Delta_{0}$. With fixed $k$, for $1 \leq m \leq 2^{k}-1$, let

$$
z_{k, m}=\frac{m}{2^{k}} \varepsilon_{1}+\left(1-\frac{m}{2^{k}}\right) \varepsilon_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m-2^{k-1}}{2^{k}} \sqrt{3} i .
$$

These are the dyadic points on the line segment joining the two vertices $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$. The dyadic points on the other two sides of $\partial \Delta_{0}$ can be obtained by $z_{k, m}$ multiplied by $\varepsilon_{j}, j=1,2$. It suffices to consider $z_{k, m}$ since $\varepsilon_{j} F\left(\varepsilon_{j} z\right)=F(z), j=0,1,2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary results and notations. In Section 3, we give an $H^{p}$ space property of $F(1 / z)$ on $|z|<1$. In the final section, we study the multiplier property of $F(1 / z)$ on $|z|<1$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first give some necessary notations and propositions firstly. Let $T=e^{\pi i}(K-1)$ be a relocation of the Sierpinski gasket $K$. The new vertices are at $0, \sqrt{3} e^{\pi i / 6}, \sqrt{3} e^{-\pi i / 6}$. Set $S_{j} K=$
$K_{j}, j=0,1,2$. Let $T_{j}=e^{\pi i}\left(K_{j}-1\right), j=0,1,2$, denote the three triangular components of $T$ containing the respective vertices. We define the "Sierpinski cones" of $T$ (Figure 2) as $A_{0}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{n}\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)$. For $\ell=1, \cdots, 5$, let $A_{\ell}=e^{\ell \pi i / 3} A_{0}$, and

$$
H_{\ell}(z)=\int_{A_{\ell}} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)}{(z-\omega)^{2}}
$$



Figure 2. Sierpinski cones.
It is easy to check that $H_{\ell}(2 z)=2^{\alpha-2} H_{\ell}(z)$ by the scaling property of Hausdorff measure. In the sequel, we need the following propositions.

Proposition 2.1. [9] There exists some constant $C>0$ such that ,

$$
\max _{\text {dist(z, }, \text { ) } \geq t}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C t^{\alpha-2}, t>0 .
$$

Proposition 2.2. [9] For $0<\rho<1$, there exists some constant $C>0$ which depends on $\rho$ such that for $|\arg z|<5 \pi / 6$ and $0<|z| \leq \rho \sqrt{3}$,

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(1+z)+H_{3}(z)\right| \leq C .
$$

For the details of the proof of the above two propositions, we can see [10].
3. $H^{p}$ property of $F\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ on $|z|<1$

In this section, we consider the function space property of $F^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ on $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. The Hardy space $H^{p}$ consists of analytic functions $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{p}=\sup _{0 \leq r<1}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty .
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $g(z)=F\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, $g^{\prime}(z) \in H^{p}$ for $0<p<\frac{1}{2-\alpha}$ and $g^{\prime} \notin H^{p}$ for $p \geq \frac{1}{2-\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ is the Hausdorff dimension of $K$.

Remark Similarly, we may prove that $g^{(k)}(z) \in H^{p}$ for $0<p<\frac{1}{k+1-\alpha}$ and $g^{(k)}(z) \notin H^{p}$ for $p \geq \frac{1}{k+1-\alpha}$.

Proof. Note that $g(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, and $g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ exists for $\theta \notin\{0,2 \pi / 3,4 \pi / 3\}$. By Theorem 2.6 in [16, p. 21], we only need to prove $g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \in L^{p}$ for $0<p<1 /(2-\alpha)$, and $g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \notin L^{p}$ for $p \geq 1 /(2-\alpha)$.

For $-\pi / 3 \leq \theta<0$, let $z=e^{i \theta}$ and $z^{*}=\rho e^{-i \theta} \in \partial \Delta_{0}$, where $\rho>0$. By the sine rule, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(e^{-i \theta}, K\right) & =\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)\left|e^{-i \theta}-z^{*}\right| \\
& =-\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\left(\sqrt{3} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}+\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\
& \geq\left|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right| . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

From Proposition 2.1, there exists some constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C \operatorname{dist}\left(e^{-i \theta}, K\right)^{\alpha-2} \leq C|\theta|^{\alpha-2},-\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta<0 .
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ and $K$ are symmetric with respect to the real-axis. Then, $g^{\prime}(\bar{z})=\overline{g^{\prime}(z)}$, and $\int_{-\pi / 3}^{\pi / 3}\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta=2 \int_{-\pi / 3}^{0}\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta$. Hence, for $0<p<1 /(2-\alpha)$,

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta=6 \int_{-\pi / 3}^{0}\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leq C \int_{0}^{\pi / 3} \theta^{p(\alpha-2)} d \theta<+\infty .
$$

The above inequality gives $g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \in L^{p}$ for $0<p<1 /(2-\alpha)$.
Next, we will prove $g^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \notin L^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}$. For $0<t \leq \sqrt{3} / 2$ and $|\theta|<5 \pi / 6$, from Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{\prime}\left(1+t e^{i \theta}\right)+2^{(2-\alpha) N} H_{3}\left(2^{N} t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq C_{1}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive integer $N$ satisfies $1 / 2 \leq 2^{N} t<1$. For $0<t<1$, let $1+t e^{i \theta}=e^{i \varphi}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\varphi(t)=\arctan \frac{t \sqrt{1-t^{2} / 4}}{1-t^{2} / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta=\theta(t)=\frac{\pi}{2}+\arcsin \frac{t}{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F^{\prime}\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)=-e^{-2 i \varphi} g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)$ and $H_{3}(2 z)=2^{\alpha-2} H_{3}(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-2 i \varphi} g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)-2^{(2-\alpha) N} H_{3}\left(2^{N} t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq C_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using (3.2). Define $\beta=\arcsin (t / 2)$ and $b=b(t):=2^{N} t$. Noting that $b=b(t) \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $i\left(e^{i \beta}-1\right)=-2 \sin (\beta / 2) e^{i \beta / 2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{3}\left(b i e^{i \beta}\right) & =\int_{A_{3}} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{\left(b i-w+b i\left(e^{i \beta}-1\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& =\int_{A_{3}} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{(b i-w)^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \int_{A_{3}} \frac{\left(2 b \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right)^{k} e^{\frac{k i i}{2}} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{(b i-w)^{k+2}} \\
& :=H_{3}(b i)+\varepsilon(t) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate $\varepsilon(t)$, we set $E_{1}=-T_{1}, E_{2}=-T_{2}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varepsilon(t)| \leq & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \int_{A_{3}} \frac{(2 b \sin (\beta / 2))^{k} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{|b i-w|^{k+2}} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 3^{n} \int_{E_{1} \cup E_{2}} \frac{(2 b \sin (\beta / 2))^{k} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{\left|b i-2^{n} w\right|^{k+2}} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^{n} \int_{E_{1} \cup E_{2}} \frac{(2 b \sin (\beta / 2))^{k} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{\left|2^{-n} b i-w\right|^{k+2}} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n} \int_{E_{1} \cup E_{2}} \frac{(2 b \sin (\beta / 2))^{k} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(w)}{\left|b i-2^{-n} w\right|^{k+2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With consideration of geometric factors, for $b \in[1 / 2,1), n \geq 1$ and $w \in E_{1} \cup E_{2}$, the two inequalities $\left|w-2^{-n} b i\right| \geq 3 / 4$ and $\left|b i-2^{-n} w\right| \geq \sqrt{3} b / 2$ hold. Hence,

$$
|\varepsilon(t)| \leq \frac{16}{15} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1)\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{k+2}\left(2 b \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right)^{k}+\frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1)\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{3 b}\right)^{k+2}\left(2 b \sin \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right)^{k} .
$$

By $\sin \beta=t / 2$, it is easy to check that $\sin (\beta / 2)=\sqrt{1-\sqrt{1-t^{2} / 4}} / \sqrt{2}<t / 3$ for small $t>0$. This shows that we can find constants $C_{2}>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varepsilon(t)| \leq C_{2} t, \quad 0<t \leq \delta \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4)-(3.6), we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right| & \geq 2^{(2-\alpha) N}\left(\left|H_{3}(b i)\right|-C_{2} t\right)-C_{1} \\
& \geq 2^{(2-\alpha) N}\left|H_{3}(b i)\right|-C,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant. This implies that, for $0<t \leq \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C+\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} \geq 2^{N}\left|H_{3}(b i)\right|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the positive integer $N_{0}$ satisfy $2^{-N} \leq \delta$ for all $N \geq N_{0}$. Note that $\varphi^{\prime}(t) \geq c_{1}>0$ for $0<t \leq \delta$. According to (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varphi\left(2^{-N-1}\right)}^{\varphi\left(2^{-N}\right)}\left(C+\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d \varphi & \geq 2^{N} \int_{\varphi\left(2^{-N-1}\right)}^{\varphi\left(2^{-N}\right)}\left|H_{3}(b i)\right|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d \varphi \\
& \geq c_{1} 2^{N} \int_{2^{-N-1}}^{2^{-N}}\left|H_{3}\left(2^{N} t i\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d t \\
& =c_{1} \int_{1 / 2}^{1}\left|H_{3}(x i)\right|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d x \\
& :=c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can check that $H_{3}(z)$ is non-constant analytic in $|\arg z|<5 \pi / 6$. This gives $c_{2}>0$. Noting that $\varphi\left(2^{-N-1}\right) \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\varphi\left(2^{-N_{0}}\right)}\left(C+\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d \varphi=\sum_{N=N_{0}}^{+\infty} \int_{\varphi\left(2^{-N-1}\right)}^{\varphi\left(2^{-N}\right)}\left(C+\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d \varphi=+\infty .
$$

By using $(a+b)^{p} \leq 2^{p}\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)$ for $a>0, b>0$ and $p>0$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\varphi\left(2^{-N_{0}}\right)}\left|g^{\prime}\left(e^{-i \varphi}\right)\right|^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} d \varphi=+\infty
$$

which implies that $g^{\prime}(z) \notin H^{p}$ for $p \geq 1 /(2-\alpha)$.

## 4. Multiplier property of $F\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ on $|z|<1$

In this section, we consider the multiplier property of $g(z)$. Let $\Lambda$ denote the set of complex-valued Borel measures on $\mathbb{T}=\{z:|z|=1\}$, let $k_{\lambda}(z)=(1-z)^{-\lambda}$ for $\lambda>0$, and $k_{\lambda}(z)=\log \frac{1}{1-z}+1$ for $\lambda=0$. Here, we choose the branch of $k_{\lambda}(z)$ which equals 1 when $z=0$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ denote the family of functions $h$ for which there exists $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} k_{\lambda}(\zeta z) d \mu(\zeta),|z|<1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm defined by

$$
\|h\|_{\widetilde{\gamma}_{\lambda}}=\inf \{\|\mu\|: \mu \in \Lambda \text { such that (4.1) holds }\},
$$

where $\|\mu\|$ denotes the total variation of the measure $\mu$. The spaces $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ were introduced in [17,18], and some roperties of functions in $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ were obtained in [19,20].

An analytic function $v(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is called a multiplier of $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ provided that $v(z) h(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ denote the set of all multipliers of $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{\lambda}$. $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm defined by

$$
\|v\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}}=\sup \left\{\|v h\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{F}}_{1}}: h \in \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda},\|h\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

The family $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ has been studied in [19-21]. In this section, we will consider the multiplier property of $g(z)=F(1 / z)$ with respect to $\tilde{F}_{\lambda}$.
Theorem 4.1. For each $\beta \geq 0, g(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$. For any small $\varepsilon>0, g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha+\varepsilon}$ and $g^{\prime}(z) \notin \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha-\varepsilon}$, where $\alpha$ is the Hausdorff dimension of $K$.

Proof. Since $g^{\prime} \in H^{p}$ for some $p>1$, we have $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$ for each $\beta \geq 0$ by Theorem 3.1 in [21, p. 621]. It follows from the remark of Theorem 3.1 that $g^{\prime \prime}(z) \in H^{1 /(3-\alpha)} \subset H^{1 /(3-\alpha+\varepsilon)}$. Together with Theorem 3 in [17, p. 116], we see that $H^{1 / p} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{p}$ for $p \geq 1$. Hence, $g^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{F}_{3-\alpha+\varepsilon}$. Note that $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ if and only if $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}_{\lambda+1}$ [17, p. 112]. Consequently $g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathscr{F}_{2-\alpha+\varepsilon}$ follows. From [7, p. 70], we obtain that $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{3 n+1} z^{3 n+1}$ for $|z|<1$, and $c_{1} n^{-\alpha} \leq a_{3 n+1} \leq c_{2} n^{-\alpha}$ for $n \geq 1$, with constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$. Assume that $g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha-\varepsilon}$. Since every complex measure on $\mathbb{T}$ is of bounded variation, it follows easily that there exists some constant $c>0$ such that $\left|(3 n+1) a_{3 n+1}\right| \leq c n^{1-\alpha-\varepsilon}, n \geq 1$. This is a contradiction. Then, the result follows.

In view of Theorem 4.1, an interesting question is to determine if $g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha}$, which is equivalent to ([17, p. 115]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{d_{n}(2-\alpha)} \int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) z^{n} \in \mathfrak{F}_{1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{n}(\lambda)=\frac{\Gamma(n+\lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\lambda)}$ is defined by $(1-x)^{-\lambda}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}(\lambda) x^{n}$. It follows from Stirling's formula that $d_{n}(\lambda)(n+1)^{1-\lambda}=\Gamma(\lambda)^{-1}+c(\lambda)(n+1)^{-1}+O\left((n+1)^{-2}\right)$. Then,

$$
\frac{n+1}{d_{n}(2-\alpha) d_{n}(\alpha+1)}=\frac{(n+1)^{1-\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}}{d_{n}(2-\alpha) d_{n}(\alpha+1)}=c_{0}+\frac{c_{1}}{n+1}+c_{n}
$$

where $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq C(n+1)^{-2}$. If we substitute this into (4.2), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z)= & c_{0} \int_{K} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)}{(1-z \omega)^{\alpha+1}}+c_{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{n}(\alpha+1)}{n+1} \int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) z^{n}+ \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} d_{n}(\alpha+1) \int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) z^{n} \\
:= & c_{0} h_{1}(z)+c_{1} h_{2}(z)+h_{3}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|d_{n}(\alpha+1) \int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)\right| \leq C$ by [7], it follows that $h_{2}(z) \in H^{2}$ and $h_{3}(z) \in H^{\infty}$, which imply $c_{1} h_{2}(z)+h_{3}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{1}$ as $H^{\infty} \subset H^{2} \subset H^{1} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{1}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow h_{1}(z)=\int_{K} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)}{(1-z \omega)^{\alpha+1}} \in \mathfrak{F}_{1} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.3), by [18], we know that $g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathscr{F}_{2-\alpha}$ if and only if $\int_{0}^{z} h_{1}(t) d t \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$. This leads us to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varepsilon}(z)=\int_{K} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)}{(1-\omega z)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}},|z|<1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. $f_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$ for each $\beta \geq 0$ if $\varepsilon>0$, and $f_{0}(z) \notin \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$ for each $\beta \geq 0$.
Proof. For the first assertion, we only need to show $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z) \in H^{p}$ for some $p>1$ by Theorem 3.1 in [21, p. 621]. Noting that $(1-x)^{-\lambda}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}(\lambda) x^{n}$ for $|x|<1$, it follows easily by the Hölder inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\int_{K} \frac{|\omega|}{\left.\left|1-r e^{i \theta} \omega\right|\right|^{(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)}} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)\right)^{p} d \theta \\
& \leq C \int_{K} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|1-r e^{i \theta} \omega\right|^{p(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)}} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) \\
& =C \int_{K} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}\left(\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)\right) r^{n} e^{i n \theta} \omega^{n}\right|^{2} d \theta d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) \\
& =C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{p}{2}(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)\right) \int_{K}|\omega|^{2 n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) r^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Proposition 4.2 in [7] that $\int_{K}|\omega|^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) \leq C n^{-\alpha}$. Combining this with $d_{n}(\lambda) \sim$ $\Gamma(\lambda)^{-1} n^{\lambda-1}(n \rightarrow \infty)$, we get that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)-2-\alpha} r^{2 n}
$$

Notice that $p(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)-2-\alpha \rightarrow-\varepsilon-1$ as $p \rightarrow 1$, we can choose $p>1$ such that $p(\alpha+1-\varepsilon)-2-\alpha<$ $-1-\varepsilon / 2$. Hence, $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in H^{p}$ for $p>1$.

For the second assertion, it is sufficient to prove that $f_{0}(z)$ is unbounded in $\mathbb{D}$. By Theorem 5.2 in [7], we get that $\int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)=0$ for $n \neq 3 k$, and there exists some constant $c_{1}>0$ such that $\int_{K} \omega^{3 k} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) \geq c_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Note that $d_{n}(\alpha) \geq c_{2} n^{\alpha-1}$ for some constant $c_{2}>0$ and all $n \geq 1$. It follows that there exists some constant $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}(x) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n}(\alpha) \int_{K} \omega^{n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) x^{n} \\
& =1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{3 n}(\alpha) \int_{K} \omega^{3 n} d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega) x^{3 n} \\
& \geq c_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{3 n}}{n} \rightarrow \infty, x \rightarrow 1^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Although we can not prove $f_{0}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} h_{1}(t) d t \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}\left(\right.$ or $\left.g^{\prime}(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2-\alpha}\right)$, yet we can prove $f_{0}(z) \in$ BMOA, which consists of all functions $f \in H^{1}$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}}=\sup _{I \subset \mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|f(\zeta)-f_{I} \| d \zeta\right|<\infty,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\operatorname{arcs} I \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $|I|=\int_{I}|d \zeta|$ and $f_{I}=|I|^{-1} \int_{I} f(\zeta)|d \zeta|$. It should be noted that $\mathfrak{F}_{0} \subset \mathrm{BMOA} \subset H^{p}$ for all $p>0$ [21, p. 617].

Theorem 4.3. $f_{0}(z) \in$ BMOA.
Proof. We first prove that there exists some positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\left|1-z^{3}\right|},|z|<1 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is equivalent to prove that $p(z):=\left(1-z^{3}\right) f_{0}^{\prime}(z)$ is bounded for $|z|<1$. It is easy check that $p(z)$ is continue on $\{z:|z| \leq 1 / 2\}$. Hence, $\max _{|z| 1 / 2 / 2}|p(z)|<\infty$. Next, we prove $p(z)$ is bounded for $1 / 2<$ $|z|<1$. Let $\Omega=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1 / 2<r<1,-\pi / 3 \leq \theta \leq 0\right\}$. For $z \in \Omega$, let $d=\operatorname{dist}\left(z^{-1}, K\right)$. Obviously, $d>0$ as $1<|z|^{-1}<2$. Noting that $p\left(e^{2 \pi i / 3} z\right)=p(z),|p(\bar{z})|=|p(z)|$, and we can check that there exists some positive constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C_{1} \int_{K} \frac{d \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(\omega)}{\left|\frac{1}{z}-\omega\right|^{\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{C_{1}}{d}
$$

With consideration of geometry, we find that there exists some constant $C_{2}>0$ such that $d=\operatorname{dist}\left(z^{-1}, K\right) \geq C_{2}|1-z|$ for $z \in \Omega$. Hence,

$$
|p(z)| \leq C_{1} C_{2}^{-1}\left|1-z^{3} \| 1-z\right|^{-1} \leq C_{3}, z \in \Omega .
$$

Note that $\left|p\left(e^{2 \pi i / 3} z\right)\right|=|p(z)|,|p(\bar{z})|=|p(z)|$. We obtain that $p(z)$ is bounded for $1 / 2<|z|<1$, and (4.5) follows.

It is known that an analytic function $\psi(z)$ on $\mathbb{D}$ belongs to BMOA if and only if $\left|\psi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d x d y / \pi$ is a Carleson measure [22, p. 240]. By using (4.5), we have $\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C\left|1-z^{3}\right|^{-1}$. Hence, for any small sector $S_{h}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1-h \leq r<1,\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right| \leq h\right\}$,

$$
\sup _{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{S_{h}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{d x d y}{\pi} \leq C \sup _{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{S_{h}(0)} \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{\left|1-z^{3}\right|^{2}} d x d y \leq C^{\prime} .
$$

This shows that $\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d x d y / \pi$ is a Carleson measure, and the result follows.
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