

AIMS Mathematics, 7(5): 8879–8890. DOI: 10.3934/math.2022495 Received: 05 December 2021 Revised: 18 February 2022 Accepted: 23 February 2022 Published: 04 March 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

# Research article

# Least energy sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff equation on bounded domains

# Xia Li, Wen Guan and Da-Bin Wang\*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730050, China

\* Correspondence: Email: wangdb96@163.com; Tel: +8613919957403.

Abstract: We deal with sign-changing solutions for the Kirchhoff equation

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dx)\Delta u = \lambda u + \mu|u|^{2}u, \ x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where a, b > 0 and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  being parameters,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Combining Nehari manifold method with the quantitative deformation lemma, we prove that there exists  $\mu^* > 0$  such that above problem has at least a least energy sign-changing (or nodal) solution if  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , where  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is the first eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta u, H_0^1(\Omega))$ . It is noticed that the nonlinearity  $\lambda u + \mu |u|^2 u$  fails to satisfy super-linear near zero and super-three-linear near infinity, respectively.

**Keywords:** Kirchhoff equation; nonlocal term; variation methods; sign-changing solutions **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J60, 35J20

### 1. Introduction and main results

In this article, we are concerned with sign-changing solutions for the Kirchhoff equation

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dx)\Delta u = \lambda u + \mu|u|^{2}u, \ x \in \Omega,\\ u = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where a, b > 0 and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  being parameters,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Problem (1.1) comes from the following general Kirchhoff equation

$$-(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dx)\Delta u = f(x,u), \qquad (1.2)$$

which is related to the following stationary analogue of the equation of Kirchhoff type

$$u_{tt} - (a+b\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = f(x,u).$$
(1.3)

It is noticed that, as a generalization of the well-known D'Alembert wave equation for free vibration of elastic strings, Kirchhoff firstly introduced Eq (1.3) in paper [13]. More backgrounds about Kirchhoff type problems, we refer the readers to [24]. Since the pioneer work of Lions [19], there are many results for Kirchhoff type problems. On the one hand, we shall recall some results about the perturbed problem. For example, He and Zou [10] considered the Kirchhoff-type problem

$$-\left(\varepsilon^{2}a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a parameter, V > 0 is a continuous function and  $f(u) \sim |u|^{p-2}u$  (4 Combining Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory and minimax methods, they proved the multiplicity of positive solutions, which concentrate on the minima of V(x) as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  while vanishing elsewhere. Later, Wang et al. [32] extended the results obtained in [10] to the critical case, i.e.,  $f(u) \sim \lambda |u|^{p-2}u + |u|^4 u$  (4 < p < 6). In [6], Figueiredo et al. considered the following Kirchhoff-type equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 M(\varepsilon^{2-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.5)

where  $N \ge 1$ , M and V are continuous functions, the authors studied the existence and concentration behaviors of positive solutions to Kirchhoff type Eq (1.5). In [15], Luo et al. considered the Kirchhoff problem

$$-\left(\varepsilon^{2}a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = u^{p}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{1.6}$$

where 1 . By Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, under some mild assumptions on the function*V* $, the authors obtained multi-peak solutions for <math>\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. On the other hand, we cit some results about the non perturbed problem. For example, Li et al. [18] considered the following Kirchhoff type equation

$$[a + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx][-\Delta u + bu] = f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.7)

where  $N \ge 3$ , and *a*, *b* are positive constants,  $\lambda \ge 0$  is a parameter. Without usual compactness conditions, they proved the existence of a positive solution to Kirchhoff type Eq (1.7). In [16], by using a monotonicity trick and a new version of global compactness lemma, Li and Ye had proved that (1.4) had a ground state solution in the case  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-1}u$  with 2 . By using variationalmethods and Schwartz symmetric arrangement, Guo [9] generalized the result obtained in [16] tothe Kirchhoff-type problem with general nonlinearity. Later, by introducing some new tricks, Tang and $Chen [29] proved that the problem (1.4) with <math>\varepsilon = 1$  had a ground state solution of Nehari-Pohozaev type and a least energy solution under some mild assumptions on V and f. In [35], Xie et al. investigated bound state solutions for small linear perturbations of Kirchhoff type problems with critical exponent. In [11], Huang et al. studied the Brezis-Nirenberg problem to a class of Kirchhoff type problem with critical Sobolev exponent on bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . In [21], using variational methods, Maia proved

the existence of a weak solution for a class of p(x)-Choquard equations with upper critical growth. Furthermore, in [21], the author also obtained a multiplicity of solutions for a class of p(x)-Choquard equations with a nonlocal and non-degenerate Kirchhoff term by using truncation arguments and Krasno-selskii's genus. Very recently, Vetro [30] considered the following nonlinear p(x)-Kirchhoff type problem

$$- \Delta_{p(x)}^{K} u(x) = f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \text{ in } \Omega, \ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$
(1.8)

where  $\triangle_{p(x)}^{K} u(x) = (a_p - b_p \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx) \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u)$ . The existence of two different notions of solutions is discussed in [30] with respect to a Galerkin approximation method, jointly with the theory of pseudomonotone operators.

In recent years, many authors also pay their attention to find sign-changing solutions to Kirchhoff type equations. For example, in [23, 38], Zhang, Perera and Mao obtained the existence of sign-changing solution of problem (1.2) by using the method of invariant sets of descent flow. Via variational methods and invariant sets of descent flow, Mao and Luan [22] obtained existence of signed and sign-changing solutions for problem (1.2) with asymptotically 3-linear bounded nonlinearity. In [7], Figueiredo and Nascimento considered the following Kirchhoff equation

$$\begin{cases} -M(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = f(u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $M \in C^1$ . Using minimization argument together with quantitative deformation lemma, authors studied the existence of sign-changing solution for Eq (1.9). Later, Figueiredo and Santos Júnior [8] extended the results obtained in [7] to the unbounded domains. In [26], together with constraint variational methods and quantitative deformation lemma, Shuai studied the existence and asymptotic behavior of least energy sign-changing solution to problem (1.2). It is noticed that Ye [36] also obtained some results similar to paper [26]. Based on variational methods, Lu [20] obtained the ground states and least energy sign-changing solutions for problem (1.2). In [28], without the usual Nehari-type monotonicity condition on f, Tang and Cheng improved and generalized results obtained in [26].

In [4], Deng, Peng and Shuai considered the following Kirchhoff problem

$$-(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u + V(x)u = f(x,u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(1.10)

When V(x) = V(|x|) and f(x, u) = f(|x|, u), by using a Nehari manifold and gluing solution pieces together, they obtained the existence of a sign-changing solution which changes signs exactly k times for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [14], Li et al. investigated the existence and the concentration of sign-changing solutions to a class of Kirchhoff-type systems with Hartree-type nonlinearity in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . When f(x, u) =f(u), with the help of variational methods in association with the deformation lemma and Miranda's theorem, Wang et al. [33] investigated sign-changing solution to problem (1.10) where the potential V is not necessarily radially symmetric. When the potential V(x) is a nonnegative continuous function with a potential well which possesses k disjoint bounded components, Deng and Shuai [5] obtained multiple sign-changing multi-bump solutions for problem (1.10). When the nonlinearity involved a combination of concave and convex terms, Shao and Mao [25] got the existence of infinitely many high-energy solutions for a class of Kirchhoff problem by using Fountain theorem. In [17], Li et al.

also considered the existence of sign-changing solution to problem (1.10) when f(x, u) = f(u). When f(x, u) = f(u) and f is odd, combining with Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory and minimax methods, Sun et al. [27] obtained infinitely many sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff problem (1.10). In [2], Cassani et al. considered the following Kirchhoff type equation

$$[1 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 dx][-\Delta u + V(x)u] = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(1.11)

The authors obtained that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\lambda_n > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_n)$ , problem (1.11) has at least *n* pairs of radially symmetric sign-changing solutions with positive energy. In [31], the last author of this paper investigated the existence and the energy property of least energy sign-changing solution to the following Kirchhoff problem with critical growth

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}dx)\Delta u = |u|^{4}u + \lambda f(x,u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.12)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Subsequently, Zhang [37] generalized results obtained in [31] to a class of general Kirchhoff problem.

However, as far as we know, when studying least energy sign-changing solution to Kirchhoff equation, the nonlinearity always satisfies the growth conditions of super-linear near zero or super-three-linear near infinity except [3, 39]. In [3], when f satisfies asymptotically linear growth at infinity about u, Cheng and Tang obtained the existence and asymptotic behavior of least energy sign-changing solution for Eq (1.2) with bounded domain. It is notice that the results obtained in [3] still depends on the fact that f is super-linear near zero about u. Recently, Zhong and Tang [39] considered the Kirchhoff-type problem

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^2 u, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.13)

where a, b > 0,  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_1$  is the principal eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta, H_0^1(\Omega))$ , and  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , N = 1, 2, 3. By Nehari manifold argument, the authors proved that there exists  $\wedge > 0$ such that the Eq (1.13) has at least one least energy sign-changing solution  $u_b$  for all  $0 < b < \wedge$ and  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and obtained that its energy is strictly larger than twice that of ground state solutions. Furthermore, they also studied the asymptotic behavior of  $u_b$  as  $b \to 0$  and the nonexistence of signchanging solution for Eq (1.13). Obviously, the nonlinearity  $\lambda u + |u|^2 u$  fails to satisfy super-linear near zero and super-three-linear near infinity, respectively. However, since their results strongly depends on the condition  $0 < b < \wedge$ , the methods used in [39] seem not valid for all b > 0.

In this paper, inspired by above works, we consider the existence of least energy sign-changing solution to Kirchhoff Eq (1.1) for all b > 0. Our method is closely related to the works in [1,12], where authors dealt with *p*-Laplacian equation and Schrödinger-Poisson system respectively.

Let  $L^p(\Omega)$  be a Lebesgue space with the norm  $|u|_p := (\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \le p < \infty$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  be Sobolev space equipped with the inner product and norm

$$(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx, ||u|| = (u,u)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**AIMS Mathematics** 

Volume 7, Issue 5, 8879-8890.

Associated with Eq (1.1), the energy functional is defined by

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{a}{2} ||u||^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} ||u||^4 - \frac{\mu}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u|^4 dx = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) + \frac{1}{4} \Phi_{\mu}(u), u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u) = a||u||^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx$ ,  $\Phi_{\mu}(u) = b||u||^4 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u|^4 dx$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(u)$  belongs to  $C^1$ , and

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = a \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv dx + b ||u||^2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 uv dx$$

for any  $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Let  $u^+ = \max\{u(x), 0\}, u^- = \min\{u(x), 0\}$ , if  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u^{\pm} \neq 0$  is a solution of problem (1.1), then we said that *u* is a sign-changing solution of Eq (1.1).

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega), u^{\pm} \neq 0 \text{ and } \langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle = 0\}, m = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} \Phi(u) \text{ and } \lambda_1 \text{ be the first eigenvalue of } u \in \mathcal{M}$ 

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u, \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0, \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In fact,  $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_2^2}$ . We remark that if  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a sign-changing solution of Eq (1.1), then

$$\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle = \Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) + b ||u||^2 ||u^{\pm}||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^4 dx = 0.$$

Hence, it follows from  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  that  $b||u||^2||u^{\pm}||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^4 dx = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) < 0$ , that is

$$\mu > \max\left\{\frac{b||u||^2||u^+||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^+|^4 dx}, \frac{b||u||^2||u^-||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^-|^4 dx}\right\}, \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{M}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\mu < \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \max\left\{\frac{b||u||^2||u^+||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^+|^4 dx}, \frac{b||u||^2||u^-||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^-|^4 dx}\right\}$ , then, for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u^{\pm} \neq 0$ , we get

$$b||u||^2||u^+||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^+|^4 dx \ge 0 \text{ or } b||u||^2||u^-||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^-|^4 dx \ge 0.$$

So, it follows from  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) > 0$  that  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{+} \rangle \neq 0$  or  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{+} \rangle \neq 0$ , that is  $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset$ . Hence, the Eq (1.1) has no sign-changing solution.

Let

$$\mu^* = \inf_{u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \max\left\{ \frac{b ||u||^2 ||u^+||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^+|^4 dx}, \frac{b ||u||^2 ||u^-||^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u^-|^4 dx} \right\} \right\}.$$

Our result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , then the Eq (1.1) has a least energy sign-changing solution.

**Remark 1.1.** Although we obtain the existence of least energy sign-changing solution to Eq (1.1) for all b > 0, the parameter  $\mu$  needs to be larger than one positive constant to achieve our goal. So, for all b > 0 and  $\mu > 0$ , we do not know whether Eq (1.1) has a least energy sign-changing solution or not.

#### 2. Technical lemmas

For any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u^{\pm} \neq 0$ , it is easy to see that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(u) = \Phi_{\lambda}(u^{+}) + \Phi_{\lambda}(u^{-}), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\Phi_{\mu}(u) = \Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) + \Phi_{\mu}(u^{-}) + 2b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\Phi(u) = \Phi(u^{+}) + \Phi(u^{-}) + \frac{b}{2} ||u^{+}||^{2} ||u^{-}||^{2}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u^{\pm}), u^{\pm} \rangle + b ||u^{+}||^{2} ||u^{-}||^{2}.$$
 (2.4)

Denote

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), u^{\pm} \neq 0 : \Phi_{\mu}(u^{\pm}) + b ||u^{+}||^2 ||u^{-}||^2 < 0 \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), u^{\pm} \neq 0 : b ||u||^2 ||u^{\pm}||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^4 dx < 0 \right\}.$$
(2.5)

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , then  $N \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu > \mu^*$ , it follows from the definition of  $\mu^*$  that there exists  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $v^{\pm} \neq 0$  such that

$$\mu > \max\left\{\frac{b\|v\|^2\|v^+\|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |v^+|^4 dx}, \frac{b\|v\|^2\|v^-\|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |v^-|^4 dx}\right\} \ge \mu^*.$$

So,  $b||v||^2||v^{\pm}||^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} |v^{\pm}|^4 dx < 0$ , that is,  $v \in \mathcal{N}$ . Hence we obtain  $\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ .

In the following, we prove  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ . For any  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , then we have that

$$b||u||^{2}||u^{\pm}||^{2} - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^{4} dx = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}).$$
(2.6)

Thanks to  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$ , we get  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) > 0$ . Then, from (2.6), we conclude that  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , that is  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$  hold, then for any  $u \in N$ , there is a unique pair  $(s_u, t_u)$  with  $s_u, t_u > 0$  such that  $s_u u^+ + t_u u^- \in M$  and  $\Phi(s_u u^+ + t_u u^-) = \max_{s,t>0} \Phi(su^+ + tu^-)$ . Furthermore, if  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle \leq 0$ , then we have  $0 < s_u, t_u \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u \in N$ , it follows from (2.4) that  $su^+ + tu^- \in M$  if and only if the positive pair (s, t) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \langle \Phi'(su^+ + tu^-), su^+ \rangle = s^2 \Phi_{\lambda}(u^+) + s^4 \Phi_{\mu}(u^+) + bs^2 t^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = 0, \\ \langle \Phi'(tu^+ + tu^-), tu^- \rangle = t^2 \Phi_{\lambda}(u^-) + t^4 \Phi_{\mu}(u^-) + bs^2 t^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

That is

$$\begin{cases} s^{2} \Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) + bt^{2} ||u^{+}||^{2} ||u^{-}||^{2} = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{+}), \\ t^{2} \Phi_{\mu}(u^{-}) + bs^{2} ||u^{+}||^{2} ||u^{-}||^{2} = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{-}), \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 5, 8879-8890.

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) & b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2} \\ b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2} & \Phi_{\mu}(u^{-}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^{2} \\ t^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{+}) \\ -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{-}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, since  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , one has

$$\left|\begin{array}{cc} \Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) & b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2} \\ b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2} & \Phi_{\mu}(u^{-}) \end{array}\right| = \Phi_{\mu}(u^{+})\Phi_{\mu}(u^{-}) - b^{2}||u^{+}||^{4}||u^{-}||^{4} > 0.$$

Hence, thanks to  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) > 0$ , Eq (2.7) has a unique solution  $(s_u, t_u)$  such that  $s_u, t_u > 0$ .

Fixed  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , define  $\phi_u : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\phi_u(s, t) = \Phi(su^+ + tu^-)$ . Now we prove  $\phi_u(s_u, t_u) = \Phi(s_uu^+ + t_uu^-) = \max_{s,t>0} \phi_u(s, t)$ , where  $(s_u, t_u)$  is the unique solution of Eq (2.7). It follows that

$$\nabla \phi_u(s,t) = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_u}{\partial s}(s,t), \frac{\partial \phi_u}{\partial t}(s,t)\right)$$
  
=  $\left(\langle \Phi'(su^+ + tu^-), u^+ \rangle, \langle \Phi'(su^+ + tu^-), u^- \rangle\right)$   
=  $\left(\frac{1}{s}\langle \Phi'(su^+ + tu^-), su^+ \rangle, \frac{1}{t}\langle \Phi'(su^+ + tu^-), tu^- \rangle\right),$ 

which shows that a positive pair (s, t) is a critical point of  $\phi_u$  if and only if  $su^+ + tu^- \in \mathcal{M}$ . So, since  $(s_u, t_u)$  is the unique solution of Eq (2.7), we deduce that  $(s_u, t_u)$  is a unique critical point of the function  $\phi_u$ .

Since  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial s^2}(s_u, t_u) = \Phi_{\lambda}(u^+) + 3s_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^+) + bt_u^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = 2s_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^+) < 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial t^2}(s_u, t_u) = \Phi_{\lambda}(u^-) + 3t_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^-) + bs_u^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = 2t_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^-) < 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial s \partial t}(s_u, t_u) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial t \partial s}(s_u, t_u) = 2bs_u t_u ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2. \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

Then we get

$$\left|\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial s^2}(s_u, t_u) & \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial s \partial t}(s_u, t_u) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial t \partial s}(s_u, t_u) & \frac{\partial^2 \phi_u}{\partial t^2}(s_u, t_u) \end{array}\right| = 4s_u^2 t_u^2 [\Phi_\mu(u^+)\Phi_\mu(u^-) - b^2 ||u^+||^4 ||u^-||^4] > 0.$$

That is, the Hessian matrix of  $\phi_u$  is negative definite at  $(s_u, t_u)$ . So, we get  $\phi_u(s_u, t_u) = \max_{s,t>0} \phi_u(s, t)$ . Suppose  $s_u \ge t_u > 0$ . By  $s_u u^+ + t_u u^- \in \mathcal{M}$ , one has

$$\begin{cases} s_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^+) + bt_u^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^+), \\ t_u^2 \Phi_{\mu}(u^-) + bs_u^2 ||u^+||^2 ||u^-||^2 = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^-). \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

On the other hand, by  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle \leq 0$ , one has

$$b||u||^{2}||u^{\pm}||^{2} - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^{4} dx \le -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) < 0.$$
(2.10)

According to (2.9) and (2.10), we have that

$$-s_{u}^{2}\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{+}) \geq s_{u}^{2}[b||u||^{2}||u^{+}||^{2} - \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{+}|^{4}dx] = s_{u}^{2}[\Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) + b||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2}]$$
$$\geq s_{u}^{2}\Phi_{\mu}(u^{+}) + bt_{u}^{2}||u^{+}||^{2}||u^{-}||^{2} = -\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{+}).$$

Thanks to  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u^+) > 0$ , we conclude that  $s_u \le 1$ . Thus, we have that  $0 < s_u, t_u \le 1$ .

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 5, 8879-8890.

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , then there is  $\tau > 0$  satisfying  $||u^{\pm}|| \ge \tau$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ . *Proof.* For any  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , by Sobolev inequalities we have

$$\begin{split} (a - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}) ||u^{\pm}||^2 &\leq a ||u^{\pm}||^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^2 dx \leq \Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) + b ||u||^2 ||u^{\pm}||^2 \\ &= \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^4 dx \leq \mu \alpha_4^4 ||u^{\pm}||^4, \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha_4$  is positive constant such that  $|u|_4 \le \alpha_4 ||u||, \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . So,  $||u^{\pm}|| \ge \sqrt{\frac{(a-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1})}{\mu \alpha_4^4}} := \tau > 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $\lambda < a\lambda_1$  and  $\mu > \mu^*$ , then  $m = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}} \Phi(u) > 0$  is achieved.

*Proof.* Firstly, we assert that m > 0. In fact, for any  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\Phi(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \ge \frac{1}{4} (a - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}) ||u||^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} (a - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}) \tau^2 > 0,$$

which implies m > 0.

In the following, we prove that *m* is achieved. Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi(u_n) = m$ . It is easy to see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then, there is  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ . Furthermore, for all  $p \in [1, 6)$ , one has

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), u_n(x) \to u(x) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$
$$u_n^{\pm} \to u^{\pm} \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega), u_n^{\pm} \to u^{\pm} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), u_n^{\pm}(x) \to u^{\pm}(x) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$
(2.11)

By  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$a||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2} \leq a||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2} + b||u_{n}||^{2}||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2} = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}^{\pm}|^{2}dx + \mu \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}^{\pm}|^{4}dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2} + \mu \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}^{\pm}|^{4}dx.$$

So,  $0 < (a - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1})\mu^{-1}||u_n^{\pm}||^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_n^{\pm}|^4 dx$ . It follows from (2.11) and Lemma 2.3 that  $\int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^4 dx \geq (a - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1})\mu^{-1}\tau^2 > 0$ . Hence, we conclude that  $u^{\pm} \neq 0$ .

On the other hand, since  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , it follows from weakly lower semicontinuity of norm that

$$a||u^{\pm}||^{2} + b||u||^{2}||u^{\pm}||^{2} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} [a||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2} + b||u_{n}||^{2}||u_{n}^{\pm}||^{2}] = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^{2} dx + \mu \int_{\Omega} |u^{\pm}|^{4} dx,$$

that is,  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle \leq 0$ . So, it follows from (2.10) that  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ .

According to Lemma 2.2, there exists  $0 < s_u, t_u \le 1$  such that  $\overline{u} := s_u u^+ + t_u u^- \in \mathcal{M}$ . Thanks to (2.11) and the norm in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is lower semicontinuous, we have that

$$\begin{split} m &\leq \Phi(s_u u^+ + t_u u^-) = \Phi(s_u u^+ + t_u u^-) - \frac{1}{4} \langle \Phi(s_u u^+ + t_u u^-), s_u u^+ + t_u u^- \rangle \\ &= \frac{s_u^2}{4} \Phi_\lambda(u^+) + \frac{t_u^2}{4} \Phi_\lambda(u^-) \leq \frac{1}{4} \Phi_\lambda(u^+) + \frac{1}{4} \Phi_\lambda(u^-) = \frac{a}{4} ||u||^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} |u|_2^2 \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} [\frac{a}{4} ||u_n||^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} |u_n|_2^2] \\ &= \liminf_{n \to \infty} [\Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{4} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle] = m. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $s_u = t_u = 1$ , and *m* is achieved by  $\overline{u} = u \in \mathcal{M}$ .

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 5, 8879-8890.

#### 3. The proof of main results

According to Lemma 2.4, we only prove that the minimizer *u* for *m* satisfies  $\Phi'(u) = 0$ .

#### **Proof of Theorem 1.1.**

*Proof.* Thanks to  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\langle \Phi'(u), u^{\pm} \rangle = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that, for  $(s, t) \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+) \setminus (1, 1)$ ,

$$\Phi(su^{+} + tu^{-}) < \Phi(u^{+} + u^{-}) = m.$$
(3.1)

Arguing indirectly, we suppose  $\Phi'(u) \neq 0$ . Then, it follows from  $\Phi \in C^1$  that there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$  such that  $||\Phi'(v)|| \ge \theta$ , for all  $||v - u|| \le 3\delta$ .

Since  $\Phi_{\lambda}(u^{\pm}) > 0$ , there exists  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  small enough such that  $\min_{t \in [1-\sigma, 1+\sigma]} \Phi_{\lambda}(tu^{\pm}) > 0$ . Denote  $\Pi := (1 - \sigma, 1 + \sigma) \times (1 - \sigma, 1 + \sigma)$  and  $\psi(s, t) = su^{+} + tu^{-}$ ,  $(s, t) \in \Pi$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$m_0 := \max_{\partial \Pi} \Phi \circ \psi < m. \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $\varepsilon := \min\{(m - m_0)/3, \theta \delta/8\}$  and  $S_{\delta} := B(u, \delta)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 in [34] that there is  $\gamma \in C([0, 1] \times H_0^1(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega))$  satisfying

- (a)  $\gamma(\alpha, v) = v$  if  $\alpha = 0$  or  $v \notin \Phi^{-1}([m 2\varepsilon, m + 2\varepsilon] \cap S_{2\delta})$ ;
- (*b*)  $\Phi(\gamma(\alpha, v)) < m$  for all  $v \in S_{\delta}$  with  $\Phi(v) \le m$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ;
- (c)  $\Phi(\gamma(\alpha, v)) \le \Phi(v)$  for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . We claim that

$$\max_{(s,t)\in\Pi} \Phi(\gamma(\alpha,\psi(s,t))) < m, \forall \alpha \in (0,1].$$
(3.3)

Thanks to (*b*), we have that  $\max_{\{(s,t)\in\Pi:\psi(s,t)\in S_{\delta}\}} \Phi(\gamma(\alpha,\psi(s,t))) < m, \forall \alpha \in (0,1]$ . On the other hand, it follows from (*c*) that

$$\max_{\{(s,t)\in\Pi:\psi(s,t)\notin S_{\delta}\}} \Phi(\gamma(\alpha,\psi(s,t))) \leq \max_{\{(s,t)\in\Pi:\psi(s,t)\notin S_{\delta}\}} \Phi(\psi(s,t)) < m, \forall \alpha \in [0,1].$$

So, (3.3) can be concluded.

Since  $\min_{t \in [1-\sigma, 1+\sigma]} \Phi_{\lambda}(tu^{\pm}) > 0$ , it follows from the continuity of  $\gamma$  and  $\Phi_{\lambda}$  that there is  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(\gamma^{\pm}(\alpha_{0},\psi(s,t))) > 0, \forall (s,t) \in \Pi.$$
(3.4)

Next, we prove that  $\gamma(\alpha_0, \psi(\Pi)) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\chi(s, t) := \gamma(\alpha_0, \psi(s, t))$  and

$$\Psi_0(s,t) := (\langle \Phi'(\psi(s,t)), su^+ \rangle, \langle \Phi'(\psi(s,t)), tu^- \rangle) := (\varphi_u^1(s,t), \varphi_u^2(s,t)),$$

 $\Psi_1(s,t) := (\langle \Phi'(\chi(s,t)), (\chi(s,t))^* \rangle, \langle \Phi'(\chi(s,t)), (\chi(s,t))^- \rangle).$ Let

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \varphi_u^1(s,t)}{\partial s} |_{(1,1)} & \frac{\partial \varphi_u^2(s,t)}{\partial s} |_{(1,1)} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_u^1(s,t)}{\partial t} |_{(1,1)} & \frac{\partial \varphi_u^2(s,t)}{\partial t} |_{(1,1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**AIMS Mathematics** 

Volume 7, Issue 5, 8879-8890.

From  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , we can obtain that det Q > 0. Since  $\Psi_0(s, t)$  is a  $C^1$  function and (1, 1) is the unique isolated zero point of  $\Psi_0$ , by using the degree theory, we deduce that deg $(\Psi_0, \Pi, 0) = 1$ . By  $m_0 < m - 2\varepsilon$  and (a), we have that  $\gamma(\alpha, \psi(s, t)) = \psi(s, t), \forall (s, t) \in \partial \Pi, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ . So, we conclude that  $\Psi_0(s, t) = \Psi_1(s, t)$  on  $\partial \Pi$ . By degree theory, we have deg $(\Psi_1, \Pi, 0) = 1$ , which shows that  $\Psi_1(s_0, t_0) = 0$  for some  $(s_0, t_0) \in \Pi$ . According to (3.4), we obtain  $\chi(s_0, t_0) = \gamma(\alpha_0, \psi(s_0, t_0)) \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is, we obtain that  $\gamma(\alpha_0, \psi(\Pi)) \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ . Thanks to (3.3), we conclude a contradiction. So, we obtain desire result.  $\Box$ 

# 4. Conclusions

In this paper, by the minimization argument on the nodal Nehari manifold and the quantitative deformation lemma, we discussed the existence of least energy sign-changing solution for a class of Kirchhoff equation on bounded domains. Our result is complementary to the results by Zhong and Tang obtained in [39].

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11961043, 11561043).

#### **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

# References

- 1. V. Bobkov, Least energy nodal solutions for elliptic equations with indefinite nonlinearity, *Electron*. *J. Qual. Theo.*, **2014** (2014), 1–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2014.1.56
- 2. D. Cassani, Z. Liu, C. Tarsi, J. Zhang, Multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff-type equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **186** (2019), 145–161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2019.01.025
- 3. B. Cheng, X. H. Tang, Ground state sign-changing solutions for asymptotically 3linear Kirchhoff-type problems, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **62** (2017), 1093–1116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2016.1270272
- Y. B. Deng, S. J. Peng, W. Shuai, Existence and asymptotic behavior of nodal solutions for the Kirchhoff-type problems in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, J. Funct. Anal., 269 (2015), 3500–3527. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2015.09.012
- 5. Y. B. Deng, W. Shuai, Sign-changing multi-bump solutions for Kirchhoff-type equations in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, *Discrete Cont. Dyn.-A*, **38** (2018), 3139–3168. http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2018137
- G. M. Figueiredo, N. Ikoma, J. R. Santos Júnior, Existence and concentration result for the Kirchhoff type equations with general nonlinearities, *Arch. Ration. Mech. An.*, 213 (2014), 931– 979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00205-014-0747-8
- 7. G. M. Figueiredo, R. G. Nascimento, Existence of a nodal solution with minimal energy for a Kirchhoff equation, *Math. Nachr.*, **288** (2015), 48–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mana.201300195

- G. M. Figueiredo, J. R. Santos Júnior, Existence of a least energy nodal solution for a Schrödinger-Kirchhoff equation with potential vanishing at infinity, *J. Math. Phys.*, 56 (2015), 051506. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4921639
- 9. Z. Guo, Ground state for Kirchhoff equations without compact condition, *J. Differ. Equations*, **259** (2015), 2884–2902. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.04.005
- 10. X. M. He, W. M. Zou, Existence and concentration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *J. Differ. Equations*, **252** (2012), 1813–1834. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2011.08.035
- 11. Y. S. Huang, Z. Liu, Y. Wu, On Kirchhoff type equations with critical Sobolev exponent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **462** (2018), 483–504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.02.023
- 12. S. Khoutir, Least energy sign-changing solutions for a class of Schrödinger-Poisson system on bounded domains, *J. Math. Phys.*, **62** (2021), 031509. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0040741
- 13. G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner: Leipzig, 1883.
- 14. F. Y. Li, C. Gao, X. Zhu, Existence and concentration of sign-changing solutions to Kirchhoff-type system with Hartree-type nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 448 (2017), 60–80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.10.069
- 15. G. B. Li, P. Luo, S. J. Peng, C. H. Wang, C. L. Xiang, A singularly perturbed Kirchhoff problem revisited, *J. Differ. Equations*, **268** (2020), 541–589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2019.08.016
- 16. G. B. Li, H. Y. Ye, Existence of positive ground state solutions for the nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *J. Differ. Equations*, **257** (2014), 566–600. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.04.011
- Q. Li, X. Du, Z. Zhao, Existence of sign-changing solutions for nonlocal Kirchhoff-Schrödinger-type equations in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 477 (2019), 174–186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.04.025
- 18. Y. H. Li, F. Y. Li, J. P. Shi, Existence of a positive solution to Kirchhoff type problems without compactness conditions, J. Differ. Equations, 253 (2012), 2285–2294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.017
- 19. J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, **30** (1978), 284–346. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0304-0208(08)70870-3
- 20. S. Lu, Signed and sign-changing solutions for a Kirchhoff-type equation in bounded domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **432** (2015), 965–982. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.07.033
- 21. B. B. V. Maia, On a class of p(x)-Choquard equations with sign-changing potential and upper critical growth, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.*, **70** (2021), 1175–1199. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12215-020-00553-y
- 22. A. M. Mao, S. X. Luan, Sign-changing solutions of a class of nonlocal quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **383** (2011), 239–243. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.05.021
- 23. A. M. Mao, Z. T. Zhang, Sign-changing and multiple solutions of Kirchhoff type problems without the *P.S.* condition, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **70** (2009), 1275–1287. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.na.2008.02.011
- 24. D. Oplinger, Frequency response of a nonlinear stretched string, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer., **32** (1960), 1529–1538. http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.1907948

- 25. M. Shao, A. Mao, Signed and sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems, *J. Fix. Point Theory A.*, **20** (2018), 1–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0486-9
- 26. W. Shuai, Sign-changing solutions for a class of Kirchhoff-type problem in bounded domains, *J. Differ. Equations*, **259** (2015), 1256–1274. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.02.040
- 27. J. Sun, L. Li, M. Cencelj, B. Gabrovšek, Infinitely many sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff type problems in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **186** (2019), 33–54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2018.10.007
- 28. X. H. Tang, B. Cheng, Ground state sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff type problems in bounded domains, *J. Differ. Equations*, **261** (2016), 2384–2402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.04.032
- X. H. Tang, S. Chen, Ground state solutions of Nehari-Pohozaev type for Kirchhoff-type problems with general potentials, *Calc. Var. Partial Dif.*, 56 (2017), 1–25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00526-017-1214-9
- 30. C. Vetro, Variable exponent p(x)-Kirchhoff type problem with convection, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **506** (2022), 125721. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.JMAA.2021.125721
- 31. D. B. Wang, Least energy sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff-type equation with critical growth, *J. Math. Phys.*, **61** (2020), 011501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5074163
- 32. J. Wang, L. X. Tian, J. X. Xu, F. B. Zhang, Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem with critical growth, *J. Differ. Equations*, 253 (2012), 2314–2351. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.023
- 33. L. Wang, B. L. Zhang, K. Cheng, Ground state sign-changing solutions for the Schrödinger-Kirchhoff equation in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **466** (2018), 1545–1569. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.06.071
- 34. M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Bosten: Birkhäuser, 1996.
- 35. Q. L. Xie, S. W. Ma, X. Zhang, Bound state solutions of Kirchhoff type problems with critical exponent, *J. Differ. Equations*, **261** (2016), 890–924. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.03.028
- 36. H. Ye, The existence of least energy nodal solutions for some class of Kirchhoff equations and Choquard equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **431** (2015), 935–954. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.06.012
- 37. H. Zhang, Ground state and nodal solutions for critical Schrödinger-Kirchhoff-type Laplacian problems, *J. Fix. Point Theory A.*, **23** (2021), 1–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11784-021-00870-4
- Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff 38. Z. T. Zhang, K. Perera, type problems via invariant sets of descentow, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 317 (2006),456-463. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.06.102
- 39. X. Zhong, C. L. Tang, The existence and nonexistence results of ground state nodal solutions for a Kirchhoff type problem, *Commun. Pur. Appl. Anal.*, **16** (2017), 611–628. http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/CPAA.2017030



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)