

AIMS Mathematics, 7(2): 2940–2955. DOI: 10.3934/math.2022162 Received: 31 July 2021 Accepted: 15 November 2021 Published: 23 November 2021

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

Research article

Exceptional set in Waring–Goldbach problem for sums of one square and five cubes

Jinjiang Li¹, Yiyang Pan¹, Ran Song¹ and Min Zhang^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Beijing 100083, China
- ² School of Applied Science, Beijing Information Science and Technology University, Beijing 100192, China
- * Correspondence: Email: min.zhang.math@gmail.com.

Abstract: Let *N* be a sufficiently large integer. In this paper, it is proved that, with at most $O(N^{4/9+\varepsilon})$ exceptions, all even positive integers up to *N* can be represented in the form $p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^3$, where $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6$ are prime numbers.

Keywords: Waring–Goldbach problem; circle method; exceptional set **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11P05, 11P32, 11P55

1. Introduction and main result

Waring's problem of mixed powers concerns the representation of sufficiently large integer n in the form

$$n = x_1^{k_1} + x_2^{k_2} + \dots + x_s^{k_s}.$$

Among the most interesting cases of mixed powers is that of establishing the representations of sufficiently large integer as the sum of one square and *s* positive cubes for each s > 1, i.e.,

$$n = x^{2} + y_{1}^{3} + y_{2}^{3} \dots + y_{s}^{3}.$$
 (1.1)

In 1930, Stanley [7] showed that (1.1) is solvable for s > 6. Afterwards, Stanley [8] and Watson [12] solved the cases s = 6 and s = 5, respectively. It should be emphasized that Stanley [7] obtained the asymptotic formula for s > 6, while Sinnadurai [6] obtained the asymptotic formula for s = 6. But in [12], Watson only proved a quite weak lower bound for the number of representation (1.1) with s = 5. In 1986, Vaughan [9] enhanced Watson's result and derived a lower bound with the expected order of magnitude. In 2002, Wooley [13] illustrated that, although the excepted asymptotic formula of (1.1) with s = 5 can not be established by the technique currently available, the exceptional set

is extremely sparse. To be specific, let $E_1(N)$ denote the number of integer $n \le N$ which can not be represented as one square and five positive cubes with expected asymptotic formula, then Wooley [13] showed that $E_1(N) \ll N^{\varepsilon}$.

In view of the results of Vaughan [9] and Wooley [13], it is reasonable to conjecture that, for every sufficiently large even integer *N*, the following equation

$$N = p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^3$$
(1.2)

is solvable. Here and below, the letter p, with or without subscript, denotes a prime number. But this conjecture is perhaps out of reach at present. However, it is possible to replace a variable by an almost–prime. In 2014, Cai [1] proved that, for every sufficiently large even integer N, the following equation

$$N = x^2 + p_1^3 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3$$
(1.3)

is solvable with x being an almost-prime \mathcal{P}_{36} and the p_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) primes. Later, in 2018, Li and Zhang [3] enhanced the result of Cai [1] and showed that (1.3) is solvable with x being an almost-prime \mathcal{P}_6 and the p_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) primes. Recently, in 2021, Xue, Zhang and Li [15] consider the problem (1.2) with almost equal prime variables, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} n = p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^3, & n \in [N - 6U, N + 6U], \\ \left| p_1^2 - \frac{N}{6} \right| \le U, & \left| p_i^3 - \frac{N}{6} \right| \le U, & i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

where $U = N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}$ with $\delta > 0$ hoped to be as large as possible. Let E(N, U) denote the number of all positive even integers *n* satisfying

$$N - 6U \le n \le N + 6U,$$

which can not be represented as (1.4). One wants to show that there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$E(N, U) \ll U^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad U = N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}.$$
 (1.5)

In [15], they proved that $\delta \leq 8/225$.

In this paper, we shall investigate the exceptional set of the problem (1.2) and establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let E(N) denote the number of positive even integers n up to N, which can not be represented as

$$n = p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^3.$$
(1.6)

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have*

$$E(N) \ll N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon}.$$

We will establish Theorem 1.1 by using a pruning process into the Hardy–Littlewood circle method. In the treatment of the integrals over minor arcs, we will employ the methods, which is developed by Wooley in [14], combining with the new estimates for exponential sum over primes developed by Zhao [16]. For the treatment of the integrals on the major arcs, we shall prune the major arcs further and deal with them respectively. The full details will be explained in the following revelent sections.

Notation. Throughout this paper, let p, with or without subscripts, always denote a prime number; ε always denotes a sufficiently small positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurrences. As usual, we use $\varphi(n)$, d(n) and $\omega(n)$ to denote the Euler's function, Dirichlet's divisor function and the number of distinct prime factors of n, respectively. Also, we use $\chi \mod q$ to denote a Dirichlet character modulo q, and $\chi^0 \mod q$ the principal character. $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$; $f(x) \ll g(x)$ means that f(x) = O(g(x)); $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ means that $f(x) \ll g(x) \ll f(x)$. N is a sufficiently large integer and $n \in [N/2, N]$, and thus $\log N \asymp \log n$. The letter c, with or without subscripts or superscripts, always denote a positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurrences.

2. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1

Let *N* be a sufficiently large positive integer. By a splitting argument, it is sufficient to consider the even integers $n \in (N/2, N]$. For the application of the Hardy–Littlewood method, we need to define the Farey dissection. Let A > 0 be a sufficiently large fixed number, which will be determined at the end of the proof. We set

$$Q_0 = \log^A N, \quad Q_1 = N^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad Q_2 = N^{\frac{5}{6}}, \quad \mathfrak{I}_0 = \left[-\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 - \frac{1}{Q_2} \right].$$

By Dirichlet's lemma on rational approximation (for instance, see Lemma 12 on page 104 of Pan and Pan [4]), each $\alpha \in [-1/Q_2, 1 - 1/Q_2]$ can be written as the form

$$\alpha = -\frac{a}{q} + \lambda, \qquad |\lambda| \le -\frac{1}{qQ_2}, \tag{2.1}$$

for some integers a, q with $1 \le a \le q \le Q_2$ and (a, q) = 1. Define

$$\mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_1}{qN}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_1}{qN}\right], \qquad \mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le Q_1}} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(q,a),$$
$$\mathfrak{M}_0(q,a) = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}\right], \qquad \mathfrak{M}_0 = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le Q_0^{100}}} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \\ (a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}_0(q,a),$$
$$\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{I}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{M}, \qquad \mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0.$$

Then we obtain the Farey dissection

$$\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{M}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_2. \tag{2.2}$$

For k = 2, 3, we define

$$f_k(\alpha) = \sum_{X_k$$

where $X_k = (N/16)^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Let

$$\mathscr{R}(n) = \sum_{\substack{n=p_1^2+p_2^3+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^3+p_6^3\\X_3 < p_2, \dots, p_6 \leq 2X_3\\X_2 < p_1 \leq 2X_2}} 1.$$

AIMS Mathematics

From (2.2), one has

$$\mathcal{R}(n) = \int_0^1 f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{-\frac{1}{Q_2}}^{1-\frac{1}{Q_2}} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha$$
$$= \left\{ \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \right\} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In order to prove Theroem 1.1, we need the two following propositions:

Proposition 2.1. For $n \in [N/2, N]$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(n) \mathfrak{J}(n) + O\left(\frac{n^{7/6}}{\log^7 n}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is the singular series defined in (4.1), which is absolutely convergent and satisfies

$$0 < c^* \leqslant \mathfrak{S}(n) \ll 1 \tag{2.4}$$

for any integer n satisfying $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and some fixed constant $c^* > 0$; while $\mathfrak{J}(n)$ is defined by (4.5) and satisfies

$$\mathfrak{J}(n) \asymp \frac{n^{7/6}}{\log^6 n}.$$

The proof of (2.3) in Proposition 2.1 will be demonstrated in Section 4. For the property (2.4) of singular series, we shall give the proof in Section 5.

Proposition 2.2. Let Z(N) denote the number of integers $n \in [N/2, N]$ satisfying $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{j}} f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{5}(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \gg \frac{n^{7/6}}{\log^{7} n}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(N) \ll N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon}$$

The proof of Proposition 2.2 will be given in section 6. The remaining part of this section is devoted to establishing Theorem 1.1 by using Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Proposition 2.2, we deduce that, with at most $O(N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon})$ exceptions, all even integers $n \in [N/2, N]$ satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{j}} f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{5}(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \ll \frac{n^{7/6}}{\log^{7} n},$$

from which and Proposition 2.1, we conclude that, with at most $O(N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon})$ exceptions, all even integers $n \in [N/2, N]$ can be represented in the form $p_1^2 + p_2^3 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 + p_6^3$, where $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6$ are prime numbers. By a splitting argument, we get

$$E(N) \ll \sum_{0 \leq \ell \ll \log N} \mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{N}{2^{\ell}}\right) \ll \sum_{0 \leq \ell \ll \log N} \left(\frac{N}{2^{\ell}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}+\varepsilon} \ll N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

AIMS Mathematics

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that α is a real number, and that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ with (a, q) = 1. Let $\beta = \alpha - a/q$. Then we have

$$f_k(\alpha) \ll d^{\delta_k}(q) (\log x)^c \left(X_k^{1/2} \sqrt{q(1+N|\beta|)} + X_k^{4/5} + \frac{X_k}{\sqrt{q(1+N|\beta|)}} \right),$$

where $\delta_k = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log k}{\log 2}$ and *c* is a constant.

Proof. See Theorem 1.1 of Ren [5].

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that α is a real number, and that there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$(a,q) = 1,$$
 $1 \leq q \leq Q$ and $|q\alpha - a| \leq Q^{-1}.$

If $P^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Q \leq P^{\frac{5}{2}}$, then one has

$$\sum_{P$$

Proof. See Lemma 8.5 of Zhao [16].

Lemma 3.3. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, we have

$$f_3(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{11}{36} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By Dirichlet's rational approximation (2.1), for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, one has $Q_1 \leq q \leq Q_2$. From Lemma 3.2, we get

$$f_3(\alpha) \ll X_3^{\frac{11}{12}+\varepsilon} + X_3^{1+\varepsilon} Q_1^{-\frac{1}{6}} \ll N^{\frac{11}{36}+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

For $1 \le a \le q$ with (a, q) = 1, set

$$I(q,a) = \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0}\right], \qquad I = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le Q_0 \\ (a,q)=1}} \bigcup_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}} I(q,a).$$
(3.1)

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$f_3(\alpha) \ll \frac{N^{\frac{1}{3}} \log^c N}{q^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} (1 + N|\lambda|)^{1/2}} + N^{\frac{4}{15} + \varepsilon} = V_3(\alpha) + N^{\frac{4}{15} + \varepsilon},$$
(3.2)

say. Then we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. We have

$$\int_{I} |V_{3}(\alpha)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q_{0}} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{I(q,a)} |V_{3}(\alpha)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\alpha \ll N^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{2A} N.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q_0}\sum_{\substack{a=-q\\(a,q)=1}}^{2q}\int_{I(q,a)}|V_3(\alpha)|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha\\ &\ll \sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q_0}q^{-1+\varepsilon}\sum_{\substack{a=-q\\(a,q)=1}}^{2q}\int_{|\lambda|\leqslant \frac{1}{Q_0}}\frac{N^{\frac{2}{3}}\log^c N}{1+N|\lambda|}\mathrm{d}\lambda\\ &\ll \sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q_0}q^{-1+\varepsilon}\sum_{\substack{a=-q\\(a,q)=1}}^{2q}\left(\int_{|\lambda|\leqslant \frac{1}{N}}N^{\frac{2}{3}}\log^c N\mathrm{d}\lambda + \int_{\frac{1}{N}\leqslant |\lambda|\leqslant \frac{1}{Q_0}}\frac{N^{\frac{2}{3}}\log^c N}{N|\lambda|}\mathrm{d}\lambda\right)\\ &\ll N^{-\frac{1}{3}}\log^c N\cdot\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q_0}q^{-1+\varepsilon}\varphi(q)\ll N^{-\frac{1}{3}}Q_0^{1+\varepsilon}\log^c N\ll N^{-\frac{1}{3}}\log^{2A}N. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

4. Proof of Proposition 2.1

In this section, we shall concentrate on establishing Proposition 2.1. We first introduce some notations. For a Dirichlet character $\chi \mod q$ and k = 2, 3, we define

$$C_k(\chi, a) = \sum_{h=1}^q \overline{\chi(h)} e\left(\frac{ah^k}{q}\right), \qquad C_k(q, a) = C_k(\chi^0, a),$$

where χ^0 is the principal character modulo q. Let $\chi_2, \chi_3^{(1)}, \chi_3^{(2)}, \chi_3^{(3)}, \chi_3^{(4)}, \chi_3^{(5)}$ be Dirichlet characters modulo q. Define

$$B(n,q,\chi_2,\chi_3^{(1)},\chi_3^{(2)},\chi_3^{(3)},\chi_3^{(4)},\chi_3^{(5)}) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^q \left(C_2(\chi_2,a) \prod_{i=1}^5 C_3(\chi_3^{(i)},a) \right) e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right),$$
$$B(n,q) = B(n,q,\chi^0,\chi^0,\chi^0,\chi^0,\chi^0,\chi^0,\chi^0),$$

and write

$$A(n,q) = \frac{B(n,q)}{\varphi^{6}(q)}, \qquad \mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(n,q).$$
(4.1)

Lemma 4.1. For (a, q) = 1 and any Dirichlet character $\chi \mod q$, there holds

$$|C_k(\chi, a)| \leq 2q^{1/2} d^{\beta_k}(q)$$

with $\beta_k = (\log k) / \log 2$.

Proof. See the Problem 14 of Chapter VI of Vinogradov [11].

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

Lemma 4.2. Let $C_k(q, a)$ be defined as above. Then there holds

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{\left| B(n,q) \right|}{\varphi^6(q)} \ll \log x. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$B(n,q) \ll \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left| C_2(q,a) C_3^5(q,a) \right| \ll q^3 \varphi(q) d^9(q).$$

Therefore, the left-hand side of (4.2) is

$$\ll \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{q^3 \varphi(q) d^9(q)}{\varphi^6(q)} \ll \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{(\log \log q)^5 d^9(q)}{q^2} \ll (\log \log x)^5 \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{d^9(q)}{q^2} \ll \log x.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

In order to treat the integral on the major arcs, we write $f_k(\alpha)$ as follows:

$$f_k(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{X_k$$

For the innermost sum on the right-hand side of the above equation, by Siegel-Walfisz theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{X_k$$

say. Therefore, we have

$$f_k(\alpha) = \frac{C_k(q, \alpha)}{\varphi(q)} v_k(\lambda) + O\left(X_k e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}\right),$$

and thus

$$f_2(\alpha)f_3^5(\alpha) = \frac{C_2(q,a)C_3^5(q,a)}{\varphi^6(q)}v_2(\lambda)v_3^5(\lambda) + O\left(N^{\frac{13}{6}}e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}\right).$$

Then we derive that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

$$= \sum_{1 \le q \le Q_0^{100}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right) \int_{-\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}}^{\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}} \left(\frac{C_2(q,a)C_3^5(q,a)}{\varphi^6(q)}v_2(\lambda)v_3^5(\lambda) + O\left(N^{\frac{13}{6}}e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}\right)\right) e(-n\lambda) d\lambda$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le q \le Q_0^{100}} \frac{B(n,q)}{\varphi^6(q)} \int_{-\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}}^{\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}} v_2(\lambda)v_3^5(\lambda)e(-n\lambda) d\lambda + O\left(N^{\frac{7}{6}}e^{-c\sqrt{\log N}}\right).$$
(4.3)

Noting that

$$v_k(\lambda) = \int_{X_k^k}^{(2X_k)^k} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{k}-1}e(\lambda x)}{\log x} \mathrm{d}x,$$

hence the innermost integral in (4.3) can be written as

$$\int_{-\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}}^{\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}} \left(\int_{X_2^2}^{(2X_2)^2} \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}} e(\lambda x)}{\log x} dx \right) \left(\int_{X_3^3}^{(2X_3)^3} \frac{x^{-\frac{2}{3}} e(\lambda x)}{\log x} dx \right)^5 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda.$$
(4.4)

By using the elementary estimate

$$v_k(\lambda) = \int_{X_k^k}^{(2X_k)^k} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{k}-1}e(\lambda x)}{\log x} \mathrm{d}x \ll \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}-1}}{\log N} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right),$$

we know that if we extend the interval of the integral in (4.4) to [-1/2, 1/2], then the resulting error is

$$\ll \int_{\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N^{-\frac{23}{6}}}{\log^6 N} \cdot \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^6} \ll \frac{N^{-\frac{23}{6}}}{\log^6 N} \cdot \frac{q^5 N^5}{Q_0^{1000}} \ll \frac{N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{(\log N)^{500A}} \ll \frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{(\log n)^{500A}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{-\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}}^{\frac{Q_0^{200}}{qN}} v_2(\lambda) v_3^5(\lambda) e(-n\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda = \mathfrak{J}(n) + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{(\log n)^{500A}}\right),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}(n) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{X_{2}^{2}}^{(2X_{2})^{2}} \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}e(\lambda x)}{\log x} dx \right) \left(\int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \frac{x^{-\frac{2}{3}}e(\lambda x)}{\log x} dx \right)^{5} e(-n\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{X_{2}^{2}}^{(2X_{2})^{2}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{2}x_{3}x_{4}x_{5}x_{6})^{-\frac{2}{3}}}{(\log x_{1})(\log x_{2})\cdots(\log x_{6})}$$

$$\times e((x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} + x_{4} + x_{5} + x_{6} - n)\lambda) d\lambda dx_{1}\cdots dx_{6}$$

$$\approx \frac{X_{2}^{-1}X_{3}^{-10}}{(\log N)^{6}} \int_{X_{2}^{2}}^{(2X_{2})^{2}} \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \cdots \int_{X_{3}^{3}}^{(2X_{3})^{3}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e((x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{6} - n)\lambda) d\lambda dx_{1}\cdots dx_{6}$$

$$\approx \frac{X_{2}^{-1}X_{3}^{-10}}{(\log N)^{6}} N^{5} \approx \frac{N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{(\log N)^{6}} \approx \frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{(\log n)^{6}}.$$
(4.5)

Therefore, by (5.4), (4.3) becomes

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(n)\mathfrak{J}(n) + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^7 n}\right),$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

AIMS Mathematics

5. The singular series

In this section, we shall concentrate on investigating the properties of the singular series which appear in Proposition 2.1.

Lemma 5.1. Let p be a prime and $p^{\alpha} || k$. For (a, p) = 1, if $\ell \ge \gamma(p)$, we have $C_k(p^{\ell}, a) = 0$, where

$$\gamma(p) = \begin{cases} \alpha + 2, & \text{if } p \neq 2 \text{ or } p = 2, \ \alpha = 0; \\ \alpha + 3, & \text{if } p = 2, \ \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See Lemma 8.3 of Hua [2].

For $k \ge 1$, we define

$$S_k(q,a) = \sum_{m=1}^q e\left(\frac{am^k}{q}\right).$$

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that (p, a) = 1. Then

$$S_k(p,a) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{A}_k} \overline{\chi(a)} \tau(\chi),$$

where \mathscr{A}_k denotes the set of non-principal characters χ modulo p for which χ^k is principal, and $\tau(\chi)$ denotes the Gauss sum

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p} \chi(m) e\left(\frac{m}{p}\right).$$

Also, there hold $|\tau(\chi)| = p^{1/2}$ and $|\mathscr{A}_k| = (k, p - 1) - 1$.

Proof. See Lemma 4.3 of Vaughan [10].

Lemma 5.3. *For* (p, n) = 1*, we have*

$$\left|\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \frac{S_2(p,a) S_3^5(p,a)}{p^6} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right)\right| \le 32p^{-\frac{5}{2}}.$$
(5.1)

Proof. We denote by S the left-hand side of (5.1). By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$S = \frac{1}{p^6} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{\chi_2 \in \mathscr{A}_2} \overline{\chi_2(a)} \tau(\chi_2) \right) \left(\sum_{\chi_3 \in \mathscr{A}_3} \overline{\chi_3(a)} \tau(\chi_3) \right)^5 e \left(-\frac{an}{p} \right).$$

If $|\mathcal{A}_k| = 0$ for some $k \in \{2, 3\}$, then $\mathcal{S} = 0$. If this is not the case, then

$$S = \frac{1}{p^6} \sum_{\chi_2 \in \mathscr{A}_2} \sum_{\chi_3^{(1)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(2)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(3)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(4)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(5)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(5)} \in \mathscr{A}_3} \sum_{\chi_3^{(1)} \in \mathscr{A}_3, \chi_3^{(2)} \in$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

From Lemma 5.2, the sextuple outer sums have not more than $((2, p - 1) - 1) \times ((3, p - 1) - 1)^5 \le 1 \times 2^5 = 32$ terms. In each of these terms, we have

$$\left|\tau(\chi_2)\tau(\chi_3^{(1)})\tau(\chi_3^{(2)})\tau(\chi_3^{(3)})\tau(\chi_3^{(4)})\tau(\chi_3^{(5)})\right| = p^3.$$

Since in any one of these terms

$$\overline{\chi_2(a)\chi_3^{(1)}(a)\chi_3^{(2)}(a)\chi_3^{(3)}(a)\chi_3^{(4)}(a)\chi_3^{(5)}(a)}$$

is a Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod{p}$, the inner sum is

$$\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) = \overline{\chi(-n)} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(-an) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) = \overline{\chi(-n)} \tau(\chi).$$

From the fact that $\tau(\chi^0) = -1$ for principal character $\chi^0 \mod p$, we have

$$\left|\overline{\chi(-n)}\tau(\chi)\right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the above arguments, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{S}| \leq \frac{1}{p^6} \cdot 32 \cdot p^3 \cdot p^{\frac{1}{2}} = 32p^{-\frac{5}{2}}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\mathcal{L}(p, n)$ denote the number of solutions of the congruence

$$x_1^2 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3 + x_6^3 \equiv n \pmod{p}, \qquad 1 \le x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6 \le p - 1.$$

Then, for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we have $\mathcal{L}(p, n) > 0$.

Proof. We have

$$p \cdot \mathcal{L}(p,n) = \sum_{a=1}^{p} C_2(p,a) C_3^5(p,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) = (p-1)^6 + E_p,$$

where

$$E_p = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} C_2(p,a) C_3^5(p,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right).$$

By Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$|E_p| \le (p-1)(\sqrt{p}+1)(2\sqrt{p}+1)^5.$$

It is easy to check that $|E_p| < (p-1)^6$ for $p \ge 13$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(p,n) > 0$ for $p \ge 13$. For p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, we can check $\mathcal{L}(p,n) > 0$ directly.

Lemma 5.5. A(n,q) is multiplicative in q.

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

Proof. By the definition of A(n, q) in (4.1), we only need to show that B(n, q) is multiplicative in q. Suppose $q = q_1q_2$ with $(q_1, q_2) = 1$. Then we have

$$B(n,q_1q_2) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q_1q_2)=1}}^{q_1q_2} C_2(q_1q_2,a)C_3^5(q_1q_2,a)e\left(-\frac{an}{q_1q_2}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{a_1=1\\(a_1,q_1)=1}}^{q_1} \sum_{\substack{a_2=1\\(a_2,q_2)=1}}^{q_2} C_2(q_1q_2,a_1q_2+a_2q_1)C_3^5(q_1q_2,a_1q_2+a_2q_1)e\left(-\frac{a_1n}{q_1}\right)e\left(-\frac{a_2n}{q_2}\right).$$
(5.2)

For $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ and $k \in \{2, 3\}$, there holds

$$C_{k}(q_{1}q_{2}, a_{1}q_{2} + a_{2}q_{1}) = \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,q_{1}q_{2})=1}}^{q_{1}q_{2}} e\left(\frac{(a_{1}q_{2} + a_{2}q_{1})m^{k}}{q_{1}q_{2}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m_{1}=1\\(m_{1},q_{1})=1}}^{q_{1}} \sum_{\substack{m_{2}=1\\(m_{2},q_{2})=1}}^{q_{2}} e\left(\frac{(a_{1}q_{2} + a_{2}q_{1})(m_{1}q_{2} + m_{2}q_{1})^{k}}{q_{1}q_{2}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m_{1}=1\\(m_{1},q_{1})=1}}^{q_{1}} e\left(\frac{a_{1}(m_{1}q_{2})^{k}}{q_{1}}\right) \sum_{\substack{m_{2}=1\\(m_{2},q_{2})=1}}^{q_{2}} e\left(\frac{a_{2}(m_{2}q_{1})^{k}}{q_{2}}\right)$$

$$= C_{k}(q_{1}, a_{1})C_{k}(q_{2}, a_{2}).$$
(5.3)

Putting (5.3) into (5.2), we deduce that

$$B(n, q_1q_2) = \sum_{\substack{a_1=1\\(a_1,q_1)=1}}^{q_1} C_2(q_1, a_1) C_3^5(q_1, a_1) e\left(-\frac{a_1n}{q_1}\right) \sum_{\substack{a_2=1\\(a_2,q_2)=1}}^{q_2} C_2(q_2, a_2) C_3^5(q_2, a_2) e\left(-\frac{a_2n}{q_2}\right) = B(n, q_1) B(n, q_2).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.6. *Let A*(*n*, *q*) *be as defined in* (4.1)*. Then* (i) *We have*

$$\sum_{q>Z} A(n,q) \ll Z^{-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} d(n).$$
(5.4)

(ii) There exists an absolute positive constant $c^* > 0$, such that, for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, there holds

 $0 < c^* \leq \mathfrak{S}(n) \ll 1.$

Proof. From Lemma 5.5, we know that B(n, q) is multiplicative in q. Therefore, there holds

$$B(n,q) = \prod_{p' \parallel q} B(n,p^t) = \prod_{p' \parallel q} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,p)=1}}^{p'} C_2(p^t,a) C_3^5(p^t,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p^t}\right).$$
(5.5)

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

From (5.5) and Lemma 5.1, we deduce that $B(n, q) = \prod_{p \parallel q} B(n, p)$ or 0 according to whether *q* is square–free or not. Thus, one has

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(n,q) = \sum_{\substack{q=1\\q \text{ square-free}}}^{\infty} A(n,q).$$
(5.6)

Write

$$\mathcal{R}(p,a) := C_2(p,a)C_3^5(p,a) - S_2(p,a)S_3^5(p,a).$$

Then

$$A(n,p) = \frac{1}{(p-1)^6} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S_2(p,a) S_3^5(p,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{(p-1)^6} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{R}(p,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right).$$
(5.7)

Applying Lemma 4.1 and noticing that $S_k(p,a) = C_k(p,a) + 1$, we get $S_k(p,a) \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and thus $\mathcal{R}(p,a) \ll p^{\frac{5}{2}}$. Therefore, the second term in (5.7) is $\leq c_1 p^{-\frac{5}{2}}$. On the other hand, from Lemma 5.3, we can see that the first term in (5.7) is $\leq 2^6 \cdot 32p^{-\frac{5}{2}} = 2048p^{-\frac{5}{2}}$. Let $c_2 = c_1 + 2048$. Then we have proved that, for $p \nmid n$, there holds

$$|A(n,p)| \le c_2 p^{-\frac{5}{2}}.$$
(5.8)

Moreover, if we use Lemma 4.1 directly, it follows that

$$|B(n,p)| = \left|\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} C_2(p,a) C_3^5(p,a) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right)\right| \le \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left|C_2(p,a) C_3^5(p,a)\right|$$
$$\le (p-1) \cdot 2^6 \cdot p^3 \cdot 486 = 31104 p^3(p-1),$$

and therefore

$$\left|A(n,p)\right| = \frac{|B(n,p)|}{\varphi^6(p)} \leqslant \frac{31104p^3}{(p-1)^5} \leqslant \frac{2^5 \cdot 31104p^3}{p^5} = \frac{995328}{p^2}.$$
(5.9)

Let $c_3 = \max(c_2, 995328)$. Then for square–free q, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| A(n,q) \right| &= \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid n}} \left| A(n,p) \right| \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \mid n}} \left| A(n,p) \right| \right) \leqslant \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid n}} (c_3 p^{-\frac{5}{2}}) \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \mid n}} (c_3 p^{-2}) \right) \\ &= c_3^{\omega(q)} \left(\prod_{p \mid q} p^{-\frac{5}{2}} \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid (n,q)}} p^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \ll q^{-\frac{5}{2} + \varepsilon} (n,q)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, by (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{q>Z} |A(n,q)| &\ll \sum_{q>Z} q^{-\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon} (n,q)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{q>\frac{Z}{d}} (dq)^{-\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon} d^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{d|n} d^{-2+\varepsilon} \sum_{q>\frac{Z}{d}} q^{-\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon} \\ &\ll \sum_{d|n} d^{-2+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{Z}{d}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} = Z^{-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \sum_{d|n} d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ll Z^{-\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} d(n), \end{split}$$

AIMS Mathematics

which proves (5.4), and hence gives the absolutely convergence of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. In order to prove (ii) of Lemma 5.6, by Lemma 5.5, we first note that

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} A(n, p^{t}) \right) = \prod_{p} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{p \leq c_{3}} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p > c_{3} \\ p \nmid n}} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p > c_{3} \\ p \mid n}} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p > c_{3} \\ p \mid n}} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \right) \right) (5.10) \end{split}$$

From (5.8), we have

$$\prod_{\substack{p > c_3 \\ p \nmid n}} \left(1 + A(n, p) \right) \ge \prod_{p > c_3} \left(1 - \frac{c_3}{p^{5/2}} \right) \ge c_4 > 0.$$
(5.11)

By (5.9), we obtain

$$\prod_{\substack{p > c_3 \\ p \mid n}} (1 + A(n, p)) \ge \prod_{p > c_3} \left(1 - \frac{c_3}{p^2} \right) \ge c_5 > 0.$$
(5.12)

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$1 + A(n, p) = \frac{p \cdot \mathcal{L}(p, n)}{\varphi^6(p)}.$$

By Lemma 5.4, we know that $\mathcal{L}(p,n) > 0$ for all p with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and thus 1 + A(n,p) > 0. Therefore, there holds

$$\prod_{p \le c_3} (1 + A(n, p)) \ge c_6 > 0.$$
(5.13)

Combining the estimates (5.10)–(5.13), and taking $c^* = c_4 c_5 c_6 > 0$, we derive that

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) \ge c^* > 0.$$

Moreover, by (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) \leq \prod_{p \nmid n} \left(1 + \frac{c_3}{p^{5/2}} \right) \cdot \prod_{p \mid n} \left(1 + \frac{c_3}{p^2} \right) \ll 1.$$

This completes the proof Lemma 5.6.

6. Proof of Proposition 2.2

In this section, we shall give the proof of Proposition 2.2. We denote by $Z_j(N)$ the set of integers *n* satisfying $n \in [N/2, N]$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for which the following estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{j}} f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{5}(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \gg \frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^{7} n}$$
(6.1)

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 2, 2940–2955.

holds. For convenience, we use Z_j to denote the cardinality of $Z_j(N)$ for abbreviation. Also, we define the complex number $\xi_j(n)$ by taking $\xi_j(n) = 0$ for $n \notin Z_j(N)$, and when $n \in Z_j(N)$ by means of the equation

$$\left|\int_{\mathfrak{m}_{j}} f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{5}(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha\right| = \xi_{j}(n) \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{j}} f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{5}(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$
(6.2)

Plainly, one has $|\xi_j(n)| = 1$ whenever $\xi_j(n)$ is nonzero. Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_j(N)} \xi_j(n) \int_{\mathfrak{m}_j} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_j} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_j(\alpha) d\alpha,$$
(6.3)

where the exponential sum $\mathcal{K}_i(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_j(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_j(N)} \xi_j(n) e(-n\alpha).$$

For j = 1, 2, set

$$I_j = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_j} f_2(\alpha) f_3^5(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_j(\alpha) \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

From (6.1)–(6.3), we derive that

$$I_j \gg \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_j(N)} \frac{n^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^7 n} \gg \frac{\mathcal{Z}_j N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^7 N}, \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

$$(6.4)$$

By Lemma 2.1 of Wooley [14] with k = 2, we know that, for j = 1, 2, there holds

$$\int_0^1 \left| f_2(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_j(\alpha) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\alpha \ll N^{\varepsilon} \Big(\mathcal{Z}_j N^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{Z}_j^2 \Big).$$
(6.5)

It follows from Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 2.5 of Vaughan [10], Lemma 3.3 and (6.5) that

$$I_{1} \ll \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}} \left| f_{3}(\alpha) \right| \right) \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| f_{3}(\alpha) \right|^{8} d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_{1}(\alpha) \right|^{2} d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll N^{\frac{11}{36} + \varepsilon} \cdot \left(N^{\frac{5}{3} + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(N^{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{Z}_{1} N^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{Z}_{1}^{2}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll N^{\frac{41}{36} + \varepsilon} (\mathcal{Z}_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathcal{Z}_{1}) \ll \mathcal{Z}_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{25}{18} + \varepsilon} + \mathcal{Z}_{1} N^{\frac{41}{36} + \varepsilon}.$$
(6.6)

Combining (6.4) and (6.6), we get

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 N^{\frac{7}{6}} \log^{-7} N \ll I_1 \ll \mathcal{Z}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{25}{18}+\varepsilon} + \mathcal{Z}_1 N^{\frac{41}{36}+\varepsilon},$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{Z}_1 \ll N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon}.\tag{6.7}$$

Next, we give the upper bound for Z_2 . By (3.2), we obtain

$$I_{2} \ll \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{4}(\alpha) V_{3}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_{2}(\alpha) \right| d\alpha + N^{\frac{4}{15} + \varepsilon} \times \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) f_{3}^{4}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_{2}(\alpha) \right| d\alpha = I_{21} + I_{22}, \tag{6.8}$$

AIMS Mathematics

say. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, then either one has $Q_0^{100} < q \leq Q_1$ or $Q_0^{100} < N|q\alpha - a| \leq NQ_2^{-1} = Q_1$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_{2}} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) \right| \ll X_{2}^{\frac{4}{5}+\varepsilon} + \frac{X_{2}(\log N)^{c}}{(q(1+N|\alpha-a/q|))^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \ll \frac{X_{2}(\log N)^{c}}{Q_{0}^{50-\varepsilon}} \ll \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log^{40A} N}.$$
(6.9)

In view of the fact that $\mathfrak{m}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is defined by (3.1), Cauchy's inequality, the trivial estimate $\mathcal{K}_2(\alpha) \ll \mathcal{Z}_2$, Theorem 4 of Hua (See [2], P 19), Lemma 3.4 and (6.9), we obtain

$$I_{21} \ll \mathcal{Z}_{2} \cdot \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_{2}} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) \right| \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| f_{3}(\alpha) \right|^{8} \mathrm{d}\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{I}} \left| V_{3}(\alpha) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll \mathcal{Z}_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log^{40A} N} \right) \cdot \left(N^{\frac{5}{3}} \log^{c} N \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(N^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{2A} N \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{2} N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^{20A} N},$$
(6.10)

where the parameter A is chosen sufficiently large for above bound to work. Moreover, it follows from Cauchy's inequality, (6.5) and Theorem 4 of Hua (See [2], P 19) that

$$I_{22} \ll N^{\frac{4}{15}+\varepsilon} \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| f_{3}(\alpha) \right|^{8} d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| f_{2}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}_{2}(\alpha) \right|^{2} d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll N^{\frac{4}{15}+\varepsilon} \cdot \left(N^{\frac{5}{3}+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(N^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{Z}_{2}^{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \ll N^{\frac{11}{10}+\varepsilon} \cdot \left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} + \mathcal{Z}_{2} \right) \ll \mathcal{Z}_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{27}{20}+\varepsilon} + \mathcal{Z}_{2} N^{\frac{11}{10}+\varepsilon}.$$
(6.11)

Combining (6.4), (6.8), (6.10) and (6.11), we deduce that

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}_2 N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^7 N} \ll I_2 = I_{21} + I_{22} \ll \frac{\mathcal{Z}_2 N^{\frac{7}{6}}}{\log^{20A} N} + \mathcal{Z}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{27}{20} + \varepsilon} + \mathcal{Z}_2 N^{\frac{11}{10} + \varepsilon},$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{Z}_2 \ll N^{\frac{11}{30}+\varepsilon}.\tag{6.12}$$

From (6.7) and (6.12), we have

 $\mathcal{Z}(N) \ll \mathcal{Z}_1 + \mathcal{Z}_2 \ll N^{\frac{4}{9}+\varepsilon}.$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express the most sincere gratitude to the referee for his/her patience in refereeing this paper. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11901566, 12001047, 11971476, 12071238), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2021YQLX02), the National Training Program of Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Undergraduates (Grant No. 202107010), the Undergraduate Education and Teaching Reform and Research Project for China University of Mining and Technology (Beijing) (Grant No. J210703), and the Scientific Research Funds of Beijing Information Science and Technology University (Grant No. 2025035).

AIMS Mathematics

Conclict of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists in this manuscript.

References

- 1. Y. C. Cai, The Waring–Goldbach problem: One square and five cubes, *Ramanujan J.*, **34** (2014), 57–72. doi: 10.1007/s11139-013-9486-y.
- 2. L. K. Hua, Additive theory of prime numbers, Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1965.
- 3. J. J. Li, M. Zhang, On the Waring–Goldbach problem for one square and five cubes, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **14** (2018), 2425–2440. doi: 10.1142/S1793042118501476.
- 4. C. D. Pan, C. B. Pan, Goldbach conjecture, Beijing: Science Press, 1981.
- 5. X. M. Ren, On exponential sums over primes and application in Waring–Goldbach problem, *Sci. China Ser. A-Math.*, **48** (2005), 785–797. doi: 10.1360/03ys0341.
- 6. J. S. C. Sinnadurai, Representation of integers as sums of six cubes and one square, *Q. J. Math.*, **16** (1965), 289–296.
- 7. G. K. Stanley, The representation of a number as the sum of one square and a number of *k*-th powers, *P. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **31** (1930), 512–553. doi: 10.1112/plms/s2-31.1.512.
- 8. G. K. Stanley, The representation of a number as a sum of squares and cubes, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **6** (1931), 194–197. doi: 10.1112/jlms/s1-6.3.194.
- 9. R. C. Vaughan, On Waring's problem: One square and five cubes, *Q. J. Math.*, **37** (1986), 117–127. doi: 10.1093/qmath/37.1.117.
- 10. R. C. Vaughan, The Hardy-Littlewood method, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- 11. I. M. Vinogradov, *Elements of number theory*, New York: Dover Publications, 1954.
- 12. G. L. Watson, On sums of a square and five cubes, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **5** (1972), 215–218. doi: 10.1112/jlms/s2-5.2.215.
- 13. T. D. Wooley, Slim exceptional sets in Waring's problem: One square and five cubes, Q. J. Math., 53 (2002), 111–118. doi: 10.1093/qjmath/53.1.111.
- 14. T. D. Wooley, Slim exceptional sets and the asymptotic formula in Waring's problem, *Math. Proc. Cambridge*, **134** (2003), 193–206. doi: 10.1017/S030500410200628X.
- 15. F. Xue, M. Zhang, J. J. Li, On the Waring–Goldbach problem for one square and five cubes in short intervals, *Czech. Math. J.*, **71** (2021), 563–589. doi: 10.21136/CMJ.2020.0013-20.
- L. l. Zhao, On the Waring–Goldbach problem for fourth and sixth powers, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 108 (2014), 1593–1622. doi: 10.1112/plms/pdt072.



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

AIMS Mathematics