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## Abstract: In this paper, we consider the following Kirchhoff-type equation:

$$
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
$$

where $a, b>0, p \in(1,5)$. By considering a minimization problem on a special constraint set, we prove that the above problem has at least one sign-changing solution for any $p \in(1,5)$. Our results (especially $p \in(1,3])$ can be regarded as an improvement on the existing results.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of sign-changing solution to the following Kirchhoff equation by using a direct method

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b>0, p \in(1,5)$. In recent years, problem (1.1) has been extensively researched by many mathematicians. Therefore, there are a large number of results for the existence of nontrivial solutions, positive solutions, ground state solutions, sign-changing solutions, nodal solutions for problem (1.1). Please see [1-6] and the references therein. It is worth noting that Chen, Fu and Wu [4] established the existence of a positive ground state solution to problem (1.1) for any $b>0$ and $p \in(1,5)$. However, there is a question: whether problem (1.1) has sign-changing solutions for any $p \in(1,5)$ ?

Recently, Wang, Zhang and Cheng [7] established the existence results of sign-changing solutions to the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+V(x) u=f(u), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ satisfies the following crucial conditions:
(f1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{t^{4}}=\infty$, where $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$;
(f2) $\frac{f(t)}{t^{3}}$ is nondecreasing for $|t|>0$.
Obviously, when $p \in(1,3], f(t)=|t|^{p-1} t$ does not satisfy (f1) and (f2). Qian [8] researched the existence of a ground state sign-changing solution to the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=|u|^{q-2} u, & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $a$ is a positive constant, $q \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)\left(2^{*}=+\infty\right.$ for $N=1,2,2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ for $\left.N \geq 3\right), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a bounded domain and $\lambda>0$ is a parameter. They mainly obtained that problem (1.3) has at least one sign-changing solution for small enough $\lambda$, thanks to truncated technique and constraint variational method. Besides, some similar problems have also been extensively researched. For more relevant results, please refer to $[9,10]$ and the references therein.

Motivated by the above mentioned results, our result is given in the following.
Theorem 1.1 For any $a, b>0$ and $p \in(1,5)$, problem (1.1) has at least one sign-changing solution.
Remark 1.2 When $p \in(3,5)$, the existence of one sign-changing solution to (1.1) is obtained by [7]. But when $p \in(1,3]$, it is difficult to prove the existence of sign-changing solutions. The main difficulty lies in proving the functional of problem (1.1) satisfies (PS)-conditions. To overcome this difficulty, we will apply some new tricks. Moreover, $f(t) \triangleq|t|^{p-1} t$ does not satisfy (f1)-(f2) when $p \in(1,3]$. We must point out that our result holds for any $b>0$. Therefore, our result can be seen as an improvement and extension of $[7,8]$. Our result can also extent to more general $f(u)$.

In this paper, we shall work on the space

$$
E=H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \triangleq\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): u(|x|)=u(x)\right\}
$$

with the inner product and norm

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(a \nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x, \quad\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

$L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(1 \leq q<\infty)$ denotes Lebesgue space with norm $\|u\|_{q}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}$. It is well known that the weak solution of problem (1.1) corresponds to the critical point of

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(a|\nabla u|^{2} d x+|u|^{2}\right) d x+\frac{b}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(a \nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p-1} u v d x . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}, u^{-}=\min \{u, 0\}, A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)=\frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x$. To state our result, we establish the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \triangleq \inf \{I(u): u \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M} \triangleq\left\{u \in E: u^{ \pm} \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle+P\left(u^{+}\right)+A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle+P\left(u^{-}\right)+A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)=0\right\}, \\
P(u)=\frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{2} d x+\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obviously, the set $\mathcal{M}$ is a subset of the following special manifold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N} \triangleq\left\{u \in E: \frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+P(u)=0\right\} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.3 Clearly, the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ has not been used in the existing literature. The usual manifold $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ has been used in previous literature is a subset of manifold $\mathcal{N}_{1}$, where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{1}=\left\{u \in E: u^{ \pm} \neq 0,\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{+}\right\rangle=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{-}\right\rangle=0\right\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{1}=\left\{u \in E:\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

As we all know, the manifold $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ is a commonly used manifold in the study of positive solutions. But the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ is not enough for us to prove our result when $p \in(1,3]$. Thus, we need to find an another manifold. For researching positive solutions, one can also use a special manifold $\mathcal{N}$, which is a combination of the Nehari manifold and Pohožaev manifold for power $p \in(1,5)$. In order to prove our result, we choose the manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Comparing with the 4 -superlinear condition in [7], we meet some new difficulties. We need to show that the constraint set $\mathcal{M}$ is nonempty and the minimizing sequence on $\mathcal{M}$ is a (PS)-sequence of $I$ in $E$ by using some new tricks.
Lemma 2.1 If $p \in(1,5)$, then $\mathcal{M} \neq \varnothing$.
Proof. For any $u \in E$ and $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, we set $u_{t} \triangleq t^{\frac{1}{2}} u\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$. In the following, we shall prove that there are positive constants $s_{1}$ and $t_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right), u_{s_{1}}^{+}\right\rangle+P\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}\right)+A\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}, u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right), u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right\rangle+P\left(u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right)+A\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}, u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$. Actually, equation (2.1) holds if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r(s, t) \triangleq a s^{2} \alpha\left(u^{+}\right)+s^{4}\left[2 \beta\left(u^{+}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{+}\right)\right]+2 s^{2} t^{2} A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)-\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} s^{\frac{p+7}{2}} \xi\left(u^{+}\right)=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
l(s, t) \triangleq a t^{2} \alpha\left(u^{-}\right)+t^{4}\left[2 \beta\left(u^{-}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{-}\right)\right]+2 s^{2} t^{2} A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)-\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} t^{\frac{p+7}{2}} \xi\left(u^{-}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(u) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \beta(u) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{2} d x, \gamma(u) \triangleq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}, \xi(u) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the other words, we only need to show that there exists $m \in(0, M)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r(m, t)>0, & r(M, t)<0, \\
l(s, m)>0, & l(s, M)<0, \tag{2.5}
\end{array}
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant. Since $p \in(1,5)$, then $\frac{p+7}{2}>4$. By (2.2), we can derive that $r(s, t)<0$ as $s$ enough large, $r(s, t)>0$ as $s$ enough small. And $l(s, t)<0$ as $t$ enough large, $l(s, t)>0$ as $t$ enough small. Consequently, (2.4)-(2.5) hold. Then from the Miranda's Theorem [11], there exist two positive constants $s_{1}$ and $t_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)=0, \quad l\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.1) holds, which shows that $u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$, i.e., $\mathcal{M} \neq \varnothing$. The proof is completed.
Lemma 2.2 The pair $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ with positive numbers in Lemma 2.1 is unique.
Proof. In view of Lemma 2.1, there exists a pair $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ such that $u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$ for any $u \in E$ and $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Next, we shall prove the uniqueness of $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ by two steps.

Step 1. If $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)=(1,1)$.
Since $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r(1,1) \triangleq a \alpha\left(u^{+}\right)+2 \beta\left(u^{+}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{+}\right)+2 A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)-\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} \xi\left(u^{+}\right)=0,  \tag{2.7}\\
\left.l(1,1) \triangleq a \alpha\left(u^{-}\right)+2 \beta^{( } u^{-}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{-}\right)+2 A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)-\frac{p+}{2(p+1)} \xi\left(u^{-}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume that $s_{1} \leq t_{1}$. By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{s_{1}^{2}} a \alpha\left(u^{+}\right)+2 \beta\left(u^{+}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{+}\right)+2 A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right) \leq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} s_{1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \xi\left(u^{+}\right),  \tag{2.8}\\
& \frac{1}{t_{1}^{2}} a \alpha\left(u^{-}\right)+2 \beta\left(u^{-}\right)+b \gamma\left(u^{-}\right)+2 A\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right) \geq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} t_{1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \xi\left(u^{-}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}^{2}}-1\right) a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \leq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)}\left[s_{1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s_{1}<1$, the negative right side of inequality (2.10) contradicts the positive left side. So $1 \leq s_{1} \leq t_{1}$. Moveover, combining (2.7) and (2.9), $t_{1} \leq 1$ can be also obtained. Then $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)=(1,1)$.

Step 2. If $u \notin \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a unique $u_{1}$ such that $u_{1}^{+}+u_{1}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that there is an another pair $\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ such that $u_{s_{2}}^{+}+u_{t_{2}}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$. We set $v_{1} \triangleq u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-}$and $\nu_{2} \triangleq u_{s_{2}}^{+}+u_{t_{2}}^{-}$. By a simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[\frac{s_{2}^{7 / 2}}{s_{1}^{7 / 2}} v_{1}^{+}+\frac{t_{2}^{7 / 2}}{t_{1}^{7 / 2}} v_{1}^{-}\right] d x=s_{2}^{7 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u^{+} d x+t_{2}^{7 / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u^{-} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(v_{2}^{+}+v_{2}^{-}\right) d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to $v_{2} \in \mathcal{M}$ and step 1, we deduce that $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. The proof is completed.

Similar to [7], we can prove that $I\left(u_{s_{1}}^{+}+u_{t_{1}}^{-}\right)=\max _{s, t \geq 0} I\left(u_{s}^{+}+u_{t}^{-}\right)$. From Lemma 2.2, we consider the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathcal{M}} \triangleq \inf \{I(u): u \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma $2.3 c_{\mathcal{M}}$ is achieved.
Proof. For each $u \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $G(u) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle+P(u)=0$. Then for any $p \in(1,5)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u) & =I(u)-\frac{1}{4} G(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x  \tag{2.13}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x>0
\end{align*}
$$

That is $c_{\mathcal{M}}>0$. Letting $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\mathcal{M}}$. From (2.13), we know that $\left\{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Since $G\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x & =\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x-a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}
\end{align*}
$$

From Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{(p+1) \vartheta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{6}^{(p+1)(1-\vartheta)} \leq C\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{(p+1) \vartheta}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{(p+1)(1-\vartheta)} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p+1}=\frac{\vartheta}{2}+\frac{1-\vartheta}{6}$. Then $(p+1) \vartheta<2$. According to Young's inequality, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{\frac{2(p+1)(1-\vartheta)}{2-(p+1) \vartheta}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\varepsilon=1$, from (2.14) and (2.16), we have that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}\right\}$ is bounded. Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Then, there exists $u$ such that $u_{n}^{ \pm} \rightharpoonup u^{ \pm}$in $E$. From (2.13), we can find a constant $\theta$ such that $\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|>\theta>0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x=\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1} d x \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}<C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1} d x \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1} d x>\frac{\theta^{2}}{C_{1}}>0$. Since the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is compact for $2<q<6$, (2.18) shows that $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Combining the compactness lemma of Strauss [11] and the weak semicontinuity of norm, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{p+1} d x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{n}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d x . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.17) and (2.19)-(2.21), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), u^{ \pm}\right\rangle+p\left(u^{ \pm}\right)+A\left(u^{+}+u^{-}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\rangle+p\left(u_{n}^{ \pm}\right)+A\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{-}\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there exists $\left(s_{u}, t_{u}\right)$ such that $u_{s_{u}}^{+}+u_{t_{u}}^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $0<t_{u} \leq s_{u}$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a s_{u}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+2 s_{u}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+b s_{u}^{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{2}+b s_{u}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad \geq s_{u}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+2 s_{u}^{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+b s_{u}^{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{2}+b s_{u}^{2} t_{u}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad=\frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} s_{u}^{\frac{p+7}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.19) and (2.22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \leq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.23) and (2.24), we obtain

$$
a\left(\frac{1}{s_{u}^{2}}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \geq \frac{p+7}{2(p+1)}\left(s_{u}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x,
$$

which shows $s_{u} \leq 1$. Then $0<t_{u} \leq s_{u} \leq 1$. Setting $\bar{u}=u_{s_{u}}^{+}+u_{t_{u}}^{-}$. Therefore, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\mathcal{M}} \leq & I(\bar{u})-\frac{1}{4} G(\bar{u}) \\
= & \frac{1}{4} a s_{u}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} s_{u}^{p+7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x+\frac{1}{4} a t_{u}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} t_{u}^{\frac{p+7}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p+1} d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x+\frac{1}{4} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{p-1}{8(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p+1} d x  \tag{2.25}\\
= & I(u)-\frac{1}{4} G(u) \\
\leq & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{4} G\left(u_{n}\right)\right)=c_{\mathcal{M}} .
\end{align*}
$$

(2.25) implies that $s_{u}=t_{u}=1$. That is $u=\bar{u}$ and $I(u)=c_{\mathcal{M}}$. The proof is completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.1. Assume $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ attained in $\mathcal{M}$, then $u$ is a critical point of $I$.
Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{M}, u^{ \pm} \neq 0$. Then for any fixed $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $(u+w v)^{ \pm} \neq 0$ for all $w \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Arguing by a contradiction, there is a sequence $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{i}=0, \quad u+w_{i} v=0 \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^{3} .
$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u=0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Which is a contradiction with $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$.
From Lemma 2.1, there exists a unique pair $(s(w), t(w))$ such that $s(w)(u+w v)^{+}+t(w)(u+w v)^{-} \in \mathcal{M}$. Next, we prove some standard properties of $(s(w), t(w))$ as Nehari manifold. For our purpose, we consider the function

$$
\varphi(s, t, w)=G\left((u+w v)_{s}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t}^{-}\right)
$$

defined for $(s, t, w) \in(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty) \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\varphi(1,1,0)=0$. Moveover, $\varphi$ is a $C^{1}$ function and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial \varphi(s, t, w)}{\partial s}\right|_{(s, t, w)=(1,1,0)} \\
= & -\frac{p^{2}+6 p-7}{4(p+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x-2 a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can deduce that $\left.\frac{\partial \varphi(s, t, w)}{\partial t}\right|_{(s, t, w)=(1,1,0)} \leq 0$. From the Implicit Function Theorem, the functions $s(w), t(w)$ are $C^{1}$. And $t(0)=s(0)=1$. Moveover, $s(w), t(w) \neq 0$ near 0 . We define

$$
\Upsilon(w)=I\left((u+w v)_{s(w)}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t(w)}^{-}\right)
$$

Then we obtain that $\Upsilon$ is differentiable for small $w$ and attains its minimum at $w=0$. Hence, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \Upsilon^{\prime}(0)=\left.\frac{d I\left((u+w v)_{s(w)}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t(w)}^{-}\right)}{d w}\right|_{w=0} \\
= & \left.\left.\frac{\partial I\left((u+w v)_{s(w)}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t(w)}^{-}\right)}{\partial s}\right|_{(s, t w)=(1,1,0)} \frac{d s}{d w}\right|_{w=0}+\left.\frac{d I\left((u+w v)_{s(w)}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t(w)}^{-}\right)}{d w}\right|_{(s, t, w)=(1,1,0)} \\
& +\left.\left.\frac{\partial I\left((u+w v)_{s(w)}^{+}+(u+w v)_{t(w)}^{-}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(s, t, w)=(1,1,0)} \frac{d t}{d w}\right|_{w=0} \\
= & r(1,1) s^{\prime}(0)+l(1,1) t^{\prime}(0)+\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v \in E$ is arbitrary, we have that $I^{\prime}(u)=0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemma 2.3 and 3.1, there is a $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $I(u)=c_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $I^{\prime}(u)=0$. Then problem (1.1) has at least one sign-changing solution. The proof is completed.
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