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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation. Starting with the $3 \times 3$ Lax pair related to the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation, we construct a Rieman-Hilbert problem, by which the solution of the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation is converted into the solution of the corresponding RiemanHilbert problem. Using the nonlinear steepest decent method for the Riemann-Hilbert problem, we obtain the leading-order asymptotics of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the generalized SasaSatsuma equation through several transformations of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and with the aid of the parabolic cylinder function.
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## 1. Introduction

The Sasa-Satsuma equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+6|u|^{2} u_{x}+3 u\left(|u|^{2}\right)_{x}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so-called high-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation [1], is relevant to several physical phenomena, for example, in optical fibers [2, 3], in deep water waves [4] and generally in dispersive nonlinear media [5]. Because this equation describes these important nonlinear phenomena, it has received considerable attention and extensive research. The Sasa-Satsuma equation has been discussed by means of various approaches such as the inverse scattering transform [1], the Riemann-Hilbert method [6], the Hirota bilinear method [7], the Darboux transformation [8], and others [9, 10, 11]. The initial-boundary value problem for the Sasa-Satsuma equation on a finite interval was studied by the Fokas method [12], which is also effective for the initial-boundary value problems on the half-line [35, 36, 37]. In Ref. [13], finite genus solutions of the coupled Sasa-Satsuma hierarchy are obtained
in the basis of the theory of trigonal curves, the Baker-Akhiezer function and the meromorphic functions [14, 15, 16]. In Ref. [17], the super Sasa-Satsuma hierarchy associated with the $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem was proposed, and its bi-Hamiltonian structures were derived with the aid of the super trace identity.

The nonlinear steepest descent method [18], also called Deift-Zhou method, for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems is a powerful tool to study the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution for the soliton equation, by which the long-time asymptotic behaviors for a number of integrable nonlinear evolution equations associated with $2 \times 2$ matrix spectral problems have been obtained, for example, the mKdV equation, the KdV equation, the sine-Gordon equation, the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation, the Camassa-Holm equation, the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation and so on $[19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]$. However, there is little literature on the long-time asymptotic behavior of solutions for integrable nonlinear evolution equations associated with $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problems [31, 32, 33]. Usually, it is difficult and complicated for the $3 \times 3$ case. Recently, the nonlinear steepest descent method was successfully generalized to derive the long-time asymptotics of the initial value problems for the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the Sasa-Satsuma equation with the complex potentials [33, 34]. The main differences between the $2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3$ cases is that the former corresponds to a scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem, while the latter corresponds to a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem. In general, the solution of the matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem can not be given in explicit form, but the scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem can be solved by the Plemelj formula.

The main aim of this paper is to study the long-time asymptotics of the Cauchy problem for the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation [38] via nonlinear steepest decent method,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}-6 a|u|^{2} u_{x}-6 b u^{2} u_{x}-3 a u\left(|u|^{2}\right)_{x}-3 b^{*} u^{*}\left(|u|^{2}\right)_{x}=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a$ is a real constant, $b$ is a complex constant that satisfies $a^{2} \neq|b|^{2}$, the asterisk " *" denotes the complex conjugate. It is easy to see that the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation (1.2) can be reduced to the Sasa-Satsuma equation (1.1) when $a=-1$ and $b=0$. Suppose that the initial value $u_{0}(x)$ lies in Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}): \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right|<\infty, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. The vector function $\gamma(k)$ is determined by the initial data in (2.15) and (2.19), and $\gamma(k)$ satisfies the conditions ( $P_{1}$ ) and ( $P_{2}$ ), where

$$
\left(P_{1}\right):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)+\frac{|b|^{2}}{4}\left(\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) \sigma_{3} \gamma(k)\right)^{2}<1, \\
\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)+a \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) \sigma_{3} \gamma(k)<2, \\
2 \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)+|\gamma(k)|^{2}+\left|B_{1} \gamma(k)\right|^{2}<4,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b^{*} \\
b & a
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

$\left(P_{2}\right)$ : When $\operatorname{det} B_{1}>0$ and $a>0,\left(2 a-\left|B_{1} \gamma(k)\right|^{2}\right)$ and $\left(2 a-\operatorname{det} B_{1}|\gamma(k)|^{2}\right) /\left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}(k) B_{1} \gamma(k)\right)$ are positive and bounded; otherwise, $\left(\left|B_{1} \gamma(k)\right|^{2}-2 a\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{det} B_{1}|\gamma(k)|^{2}-2 a\right) /\left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}(k) B_{1} \gamma(k)\right)$ are positive and bounded.

The main result of this paper is as following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem for the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation (1.2) with the initial value $u_{0} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that the vector function $\gamma(k)$ is defined in (2.19), the hypotheses $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P_{2}\right)$ hold. Then, for $x<0$ and $\sqrt{-\frac{x}{t}}<C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=u_{a}(x, t)+O\left(c\left(k_{0}\right) t^{-1} \log t\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a fixed constant, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{a}(x, t)=\sqrt{\frac{v}{12 t k_{0} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)}}\left(-\left|\gamma_{1}\left(-k_{0}\right)\right| e^{i\left(\phi+\arg \gamma_{1}\left(-k_{0}\right)\right)}+\left|\gamma_{2}\left(-k_{0}\right)\right| e^{-i\left(\phi+\arg \gamma_{2}\left(-k_{0}\right)\right)}\right), \\
k_{0}=\sqrt{-\frac{x}{12 t}}, \quad v=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)\right), \\
\phi=v \log \left(196 t k_{0}^{3}\right)-16 t k_{0}^{3}+\arg \Gamma(-i v)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-k_{0}}^{k_{0}} \log \left|\xi+k_{0}\right| \mathrm{d}\left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}(\xi) B_{1} \gamma(\xi)\right)-\frac{\pi}{4},
\end{gathered}
$$

$c(\cdot)$ is rapidly decreasing, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function, $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are the first and the second row of $\gamma(k)$, respectively.

Remark 1.1. The two conditions $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P_{2}\right)$ satisfied by $\gamma(k)$ are necessary. The condition $\left(P_{1}\right)$ guarantees the existence and the uniqueness of the solutions of the basic Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.16) and the Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.1). The boundedness of the function $\delta(k)$ defined in subsection 3.1 relies on the condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$.

Remark 1.2. In the case of $a=-1$ and $b=0$, the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation (1.2) can be reduced to the Sasa-Satsuma equation. Then it is obvious that the condition $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is true, and the condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$ is reduced to the case that $|\gamma(k)|$ is bounded. Therefore, the conditions $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P_{2}\right)$ in this case are equivalent to the condition related to the reflection coefficient in [34], that is, $|\gamma(k)|$ is bounded for the Sasa-Satsuma equation.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we derive a Riemann-Hilbert problem from the scattering relation. The solution of the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation is changed into the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. In section 3, we deal with the Riemann-Hilbert problem via nonlinear steepest decent method, from which the long-time asymptotics in Theorem 1.1 is obtained at the end.

## 2. Basic Riemann-Hilbert problem

We begin with the $3 \times 3$ Lax pair of the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{x}=(i k \sigma+U) \psi,  \tag{2.1a}\\
\psi_{t}=\left(4 i k^{3} \sigma+V\right) \psi, \tag{2.1b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a matrix function and $k$ is the spectral parameter, $\sigma=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1)$,

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & u  \tag{2.2}\\
0 & 0 & u^{*} \\
a u^{*}+b u & a u+b^{*} u^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=4 k^{2} U+2 i k\left(U^{2}+U_{x}\right) \sigma+\left[U_{x}, U\right]-U_{x x}+2 U^{3} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce a new eigenfunction $\mu$ through $\mu=\psi e^{-i k \sigma x-4 k^{3} \sigma t}$, where $e^{\sigma}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e, e, e^{-1}\right)$. Then (2.1a) and (2.1b) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{x}=i k[\sigma, \mu]+U \mu,  \tag{2.4a}\\
\mu_{t}=4 i k^{3}[\sigma, \mu]+V \mu, \tag{2.4b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator, $[\sigma, \mu]=\sigma \mu-\mu \sigma$. From (2.4a), the matrix Jost solution $\mu_{ \pm}$satisfy the Volterra integral equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{ \pm}(k ; x, t)=I+\int_{ \pm \infty}^{x} e^{i k \sigma(x-\xi)} U(\xi, t) \mu_{ \pm}(k ; \xi, t) e^{-i k \sigma(x-\xi)} \mathrm{d} \xi . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\mu_{ \pm L}$ represent the first two columns of $\mu_{ \pm}$, and $\mu_{ \pm R}$ denote the third column, i.e., $\mu_{ \pm}=\left(\mu_{ \pm L}, \mu_{ \pm R}\right)$. Furthermore, we can infer that $\mu_{+R}$ and $\mu_{-L}$ are analytic in the lower complex $k$-plane $\mathbb{C}_{-}, \mu_{+L}$ and $\mu_{-R}$ are analytic in the the upper complex $k$-plane $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. Then we can introduce sectionally analytic function $P_{1}(k)$ and $P_{2}(k)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}(k)=\left(\mu_{-L}(k), \mu_{+R}(k)\right), & k \in \mathbb{C}_{-}, \\
P_{2}(k)=\left(\mu_{+L}(k), \mu_{-R}(k)\right), & k \in \mathbb{C}_{+}
\end{array}
$$

One can find that $U$ is traceless from (2.2), so $\operatorname{det} \mu_{ \pm}$are independent of $x$. Besides, $\operatorname{det} \mu_{ \pm}=1$ according to the evolution of $\operatorname{det} \mu_{ \pm}$at $x= \pm \infty$. Because all the $\mu_{ \pm} e^{i k \sigma x+4 i k^{3} \sigma t}$ satisfy the differential equations (2.1a) and (2.1b), they are linear related. So there exists a scattering matrix $s(k)$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{-}=\mu_{+} e^{i k \sigma x+4 i k^{3} \sigma t} s(k) e^{-i k \sigma x-4 i k^{3} \sigma t}, \quad \operatorname{det} s(k)=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we denote a $3 \times 3$ matrix A by the block form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{11}$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix and $A_{22}$ is scalar. Let $q=\left(u, u^{*}\right)^{T}$ and we can rewrite $U$ of (2.2) as

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & q \\
q^{\dagger} B_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where " $\dagger$ " is the Hermitian conjugate. In addition, there are two symmetry properties for $U$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
B^{-1} U^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B=-U(k), \quad \tau U^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right) \tau=U(k),  \tag{2.7}\\
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B$ and $\tau$ are represented as block forms. Hence, the Jost solutions $\mu_{ \pm}$and the scattering matrix $s(k)$ also have the corresponding symmetry properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{-1} \mu_{ \pm}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B=\mu_{ \pm}^{-1}(k), \quad \tau \mu_{ \pm}^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right) \tau=\mu_{ \pm}(k) ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{-1} s^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B=s^{-1}(k), \quad \tau s^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right) \tau=s(k) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $s(k)$ as block form $\left(s_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}$ and from the symmetry properties (2.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{22}(k)=\operatorname{det}\left[s_{11}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right], \quad B_{1}^{-1} s_{21}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)=\operatorname{adj}\left[s_{11}(k)\right] s_{12}(k), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{adj} X$ denote the adjoint of matrix $X$. Then we can write $s(k)$ as

$$
s(k)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s_{11}(k) & s_{12}(k)  \tag{2.12}\\
s_{12}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) \operatorname{adj}\left[s_{11}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right] B_{1} & \operatorname{det}\left[s_{11}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} s_{11}^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right) \sigma_{1}=s_{11}(k), \quad \sigma_{1} s_{12}^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right)=s_{12}(k) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the evaluation of (2.6) at $t=0$, one infers

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(k)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-i k x \sigma} \mu_{-}(k ; x, 0) e^{i k x \sigma}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{11}(k)=I+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q(\xi, 0) \mu_{-21}(k ; \xi, 0) \mathrm{d} \xi  \tag{2.15}\\
s_{12}(k)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2 i k \xi} q(\xi, 0) \mu_{-22}(k ; \xi, 0) \mathrm{d} \xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $M(k ; x, t)$ be analytic for $k \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}(k ; x, t) J(k ; x, t), & k \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{2.16}\\ M(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{ \pm}(k ; x, t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(k \mp i \epsilon ; x, t),  \tag{2.17}\\
J(k ; x, t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} & -e^{-2 i t \theta} \gamma(k) \\
e^{2 i t \theta} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
\theta(k ; x, t)=-\frac{x}{t} k-4 k^{3}, \quad \gamma(k)=s_{11}^{-1}(k) s_{12}(k), \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\gamma(k)$ lies in Schwartz space and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} \gamma^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right)=\gamma(k) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem exists and is unique, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=\left(u(x, t), u^{*}(x, t)\right)^{T}=-2 i \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(k(M(k ; x, t))_{12}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u(x, t)$ is the solution of the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation.

Proof. The matrix $\left(J(k ; x, t)+J^{\dagger}(k ; x, t)\right) / 2$ is positive definite because of the condition $\left(P_{1}\right)$ that $\gamma(k)$ satisfies, then the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.16) is existent and unique according to the Vanishing Lemma [39]. We define $M(k ; x, t)$ by

$$
M(k ; x, t)= \begin{cases}\left(\mu_{-L}(k), \mu_{+R}(k) \operatorname{det}\left[a^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right]\right), & k \in \mathbb{C}_{-},  \tag{2.22}\\ \left(\mu_{+L}(k) a(k), \mu_{-R}(k)\right), & k \in \mathbb{C}_{+}\end{cases}
$$

Considering the scattering relation (2.6) and the construction of $M(k ; x, t)$, we can obtain the jump condition and the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.16) after tedious but straightforward algebraic manipulations. Substituting the large $k$ asymptotic expansion of $M(k ; x, t)$ into (2.4a) and compare the coefficients of $O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$, we can get (2.21).

## 3. Long-time asymptotic behavior

In this section, we compute the Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.16) by the nonlinear steepest decent method and study the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution. We make the following basic notations. (i) For any matrix $M$ define $|M|=\left(\operatorname{tr} M^{\dagger} M\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and for any matrix function $A(\cdot)$ define $\|A(\cdot)\|_{p}=$ $\|\mid A(\cdot)\| \|_{p}$. (ii) For two quantities $A$ and $B$ define $A \lesssim B$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $|A| \leqslant C B$. If $C$ depends on the parameter $\alpha$ we shall say that $A \lesssim_{\alpha} B$. (iii) For any oriented contour $\Sigma$, we say that the left side is + and the right side is - .

### 3.1. The first transformation: reorientation

First of all, it is noteworthy that there are two stationary points $\pm k_{0}$, where $\pm k_{0}= \pm \sqrt{-\frac{x}{12 t}}$ satisfied $\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{d} k}\right|_{k= \pm k_{0}}=0$. The jump matrix $J(k ; x, t)$ have a lower-upper triangular factorization and a upper-lower triangular factorization. We can introduce an appropriate Rieman-Hilbert problem to unify these two forms of factorizations. In this process, we have to reorient the contour of the Riemann-Hilbert problem.

The two factorizations of the jump matrix $J$ are

$$
J=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -e^{-2 i t \theta} \gamma(k) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
e^{2 i t \theta} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
\frac{e^{2 i \theta} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & \frac{-e^{-2 i t} \gamma(k)}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We introduce a $2 \times 2$ matrix function $\delta(k)$ to make the two factorization unified, and $\delta(k)$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\delta_{+}(k) & =\delta_{-}(k)\left(I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}\right), & & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\delta_{-}(k), & & k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right), \\
\delta(k) & \rightarrow I, & & k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

which implies a scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} \delta_{+}(k) & =\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k)\left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)\right), & & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k), & & k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right), \\
\operatorname{det} \delta(k) & \rightarrow 1, & & k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The jump matrix $I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}$ of Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.1) is positive definite, so the solution $\delta(k)$ exists and is unique. The scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.2) can be solved by the Plemelj formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \delta(k)=\left(\frac{k-k_{0}}{k+k_{0}}\right)^{-i v} e^{\chi(k)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
v=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)\right) \\
\chi(k)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-k_{0}}^{k_{0}} \log \left(\frac{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(\xi^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(\xi)}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\xi-k} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have by uniqueness that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(k)=B_{1}^{-1}\left(\delta^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right)^{-1} B_{1}, \quad \delta(k)=\sigma_{1} \delta^{*}\left(-k^{*}\right) \sigma_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.4) to (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{+}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta_{+}(k)=B_{1}-B_{1} \gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\delta_{+}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta_{+}(k)\right]=2 a-\left|B_{1} \gamma(k)\right|^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, the condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$ satisfied by $\gamma(k)$ guarantee the boundedness of $\delta_{ \pm}(k)$ and we give a brief proof below. When $\operatorname{det} B_{1}>0$, we find that the Hermitian matrix $B_{1}$ can be decomposition. In other words, there exists a triangular matrix $S$ that satisfies $B_{1}=a S^{\dagger} S$. So $\operatorname{tr}\left[\delta_{+}^{\dagger} B_{1} \delta_{+}\right]=a\left|S \delta_{+}\right|^{2}$. When $\operatorname{det} B_{1}<0$ and $|a|>0$, the matrix $B_{1}$ has a decomposition $B_{1}=S^{\dagger} D S$, where $S$ is a triangular matrix and $D$ is a diagonal matrix and the diagonal elements have opposite signs. In the case of $a>0, B_{1}$ can be decomposed as below,

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a & b^{*}  \tag{3.7}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a \operatorname{det} B_{1} & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a & 0 \\
b & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

We denote $S \delta_{+}(k)$ by $\left(G_{i j}\right)_{3 \times 3}$ and $c_{1}=2 a-\left|B_{1} \gamma(k)\right|^{2}$ is negative, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \operatorname{det} B_{1}\left(\left|G_{11}\right|^{2}+\left|G_{21}\right|^{2}\right)+a\left(\left|G_{12}\right|^{2}+\left|G_{22}\right|^{2}\right)=c_{1} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that det $B_{1}<0$, we find a negative constant $c_{2}$ that satisfies $c_{2} \leqslant a \operatorname{det} B_{1}\left(c_{3}-1\right) /(1-$ $\operatorname{det} B_{1} c_{3}$ ), where $c_{3}$ is a constant and $0<c_{3}<1$, which impies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S \delta_{+}(k)\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \lesssim 1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case that $a<0$ is similar. In particular, when $a=0$, then $|b|>0$, it is easy to see that $B_{1}$ is not definite. For $|\operatorname{Re} b|>0$, we have the decomposition

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{b}{\left.|b|^{2}+b^{*}\right)^{2}} & \frac{b^{*}}{\left.|b|^{2}+b^{*}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3.10}\\
\frac{|b|^{2}+\left(b^{*}\right)^{2}}{|c|} & -\frac{1}{|b|^{2}+\left(b^{*}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{b+b^{*}} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{b+b^{*}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{b^{*}}{|b|^{*}+b^{2}} & \frac{b}{|b|^{2}+b^{2}} \\
\frac{|b|^{2}+b^{2}}{} & -\frac{b}{|b|^{2}+b^{2}}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} .
$$

For $|\operatorname{Re} b|=0$, we have

$$
B_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{i}{2} & \frac{1-i}{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
-\frac{i}{2} & \frac{1+i}{2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i b / 2 & 0 \\
0 & i b / 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{i}{2} & \frac{i}{2} \\
\frac{1+i}{2} & \frac{1-i}{2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1} .
$$

So we get the boundedness of $\left|\delta_{+}(k)\right|$. The others have the same analysis,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{-}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta_{-}(k)=\left(B_{1}-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right)^{-1}, \quad \text { as } \quad k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right) \text {, }  \tag{3.12}\\
& \left|\delta_{+}(k)\right|^{2}=\left|\delta_{-}(k)\right|^{2}=2, \quad \text { as } \quad k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right) \text {, }  \tag{3.13}\\
& \left|\operatorname{det} \delta_{+}(k)\right|= \begin{cases}1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k), & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right), \\
1, & k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right),\end{cases}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \left|\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k)\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1-\gamma^{*}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)}, & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right), \\
1, & k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right) .\end{cases} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the maximum principle, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\delta(k)| \leqslant \text { const }<\infty, \quad|\operatorname{det} \delta(k)| \leqslant \text { const }<\infty, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We define the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(k)= & \begin{cases}-\gamma(k), & k \in\left(-\infty,-k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right), \\
\frac{\gamma(k)}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)}, & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right),\end{cases}  \tag{3.17}\\
\Delta(k)= & \left.\begin{array}{cc}
\delta(k) & 0 \\
0 & (\operatorname{det} \delta(k))^{-1}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 1. The reoriented contour on $\mathbb{R}$.

We reverse the orientation for $k \in\left(-\infty, k_{0}\right) \cup\left(k_{0},+\infty\right)$ as in Figure 1, and $M^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)=M(k ; x, t) \Delta^{-1}(k)$ satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem on the reoriented contour

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}^{\Delta}(k ; x, t) J^{\Delta}(k ; x, t), & k \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{3.19}\\ M^{\Delta}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty,\end{cases}
$$

where the jump matrix $J^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)$ has a decomposition

$$
J^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)=\left(b_{-}\right)^{-1} b_{+}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0  \tag{3.20}\\
\frac{e^{2 i t \theta}(k) \rho^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta_{-}^{-1}(k)}{\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -e^{-2 i t \theta} \delta_{+}(k) \rho(k)\left[\operatorname{det} \delta_{+}(k)\right] \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

### 3.2. Extend to the augmented contour

For the convenience of discussion, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\left\{k_{0}+\alpha k_{0} e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}}:-\infty<\alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\right\} \cup\left\{-k_{0}+\alpha k_{0} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}:-\infty<\alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\right\}, \\
L_{\epsilon}=\left\{k_{0}+\alpha k_{0} e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}}:-\epsilon<\alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\right\} \cup\left\{-k_{0}+\alpha k_{0} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}:-\epsilon<\alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1. The vector function $\rho(k)$ has a decomposition

$$
\rho(k)=h_{1}(k)+h_{2}(k)+R(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $R(k)$ is a piecewise-rational function and $h_{2}(k)$ has a analytic continuation to L. Besides, they admit the following estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta(k)} h_{1}(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) t^{l}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{3.21}\\
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta(k)} h_{2}(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) t l}, \quad k \in L,  \tag{3.22}\\
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta(k)} R(k)\right| \lesssim e^{-16 \epsilon^{2} k_{0}^{3} t}, \quad k \in L_{\epsilon}, \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

for an arbitrary positive integer l. Considering the Schwartz conjugate

$$
\rho^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)=R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)+h_{1}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)+h_{2}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right),
$$

we can obtain the same estimate for $e^{2 i t \theta(k)} h_{1}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right), e^{2 i t \theta(k)} h_{2}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)$ and $e^{2 i t \theta(k)} R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R} \cup L^{*}$.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.2 in [18].
A direct calculation shows that $b_{ \pm}$of (3.20) can be decomposed further

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{+} & =b_{+}^{o} b_{+}^{a}=\left(I_{3 \times 3}+\omega_{+}^{o}\right)\left(I_{3 \times 3}+\omega_{+}^{a}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2 \times 2} & -e^{-2 i t \theta}\left[\operatorname{det} \delta_{+}(k)\right] \delta_{+}(k) h_{1}(k) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2 \times 2} & -e^{-2 i t \theta}\left[\operatorname{det} \delta_{+}(k)\right] \delta_{+}(k)\left[h_{2}(k)+R(k)\right] \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
b_{-} & =b_{-}^{o} b_{-}^{a}=\left(I_{3 \times 3}-\omega_{-}^{o}\right)\left(I_{3 \times 3}-\omega_{-}^{a}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\
-\frac{e^{2 i t \theta} h_{1}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta_{-}^{-1}(k)}{\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\
-\frac{e^{2 i t}\left[h_{2}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)+R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right] B_{1} \delta_{-}^{-1}(k)}{\operatorname{det} \delta_{-}(k)} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. The contour $\Sigma$.

Define the oriented contour $\Sigma$ by $\Sigma=L \cup L^{*}$ as in Figure 2. Let

$$
M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)= \begin{cases}M^{\Delta}(k ; x, t), & k \in \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2},  \tag{3.24}\\ M^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)\left(b_{+}^{a}\right)^{-1}, & k \in \Omega_{3} \cup \Omega_{4} \cup \Omega_{5}, \\ M^{\Delta}(k ; x, t)\left(b_{-}^{a}\right)^{-1}, & k \in \Omega_{6} \cup \Omega_{7} \cup \Omega_{8} .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3.1. $M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)$ is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}^{\sharp}(k ; x, t) J^{\sharp}(k ; x, t), & k \in \Sigma,  \tag{3.25}\\ M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty,\end{cases}
$$

where the jump matrix $J^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)$ satisfies

$$
J^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)=\left(b_{-}^{\sharp}\right)^{-1} b_{+}^{\sharp}= \begin{cases}I^{-1} b_{+}^{a}, & k \in L,  \tag{3.26}\\ \left(b_{-}^{a}\right)^{-1} I, & k \in L^{*}, \\ \left(b_{-}^{o}\right)^{-1} b_{+}^{o}, & k \in \mathbb{R} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We can construct the Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.25) based on the Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.19) and the decomposition of $b_{ \pm}$. In the meantime, the asymptotics of $M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)$ is derived from the convergence of $b_{ \pm}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For fixed $x$ and $t$, we pay attention to the domain $\Omega_{3}$. Noticing the boundedness of $\delta(k)$ and $\operatorname{det} \delta(k)$ in (3.16), we arrive at

$$
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta}[\operatorname{det} \delta(k)]\left[h_{2}(k)+R(k)\right] \delta(k)\right| \lesssim\left|e^{-2 i t \theta} h_{2}(k)\right|+\left|e^{-2 i t \theta} R(k)\right| .
$$

Consider the definition of $R(k)$ in this domain,

$$
\left|e^{-2 i t t} h_{2}(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{|k+i|^{2}}, \quad\left|e^{-2 i t \theta} R(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{\left|\sum_{i=0}^{m} \mu_{i}\left(k-k_{0}\right)^{i}\right|}{\left|(k+i)^{m+5}\right|} \lesssim \frac{1}{|k+i|^{5}},
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer and $\mu_{i}$ is the coefficient of the Taylor series around $k_{0}$. Combining with the boundedness of $h_{2}(k)$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain that $M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I$ when $k \in \Omega_{3}$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$. The others are similar to this domain.

The above Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.25) can be solved as follows. Set

$$
\omega_{ \pm}^{\sharp}= \pm\left(b_{ \pm}^{\sharp}-I\right), \quad \omega^{\sharp}=\omega_{+}^{\sharp}+\omega_{-}^{\sharp} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{ \pm} f\right)(k)=\int_{\Sigma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi-k_{ \pm}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i}, \quad f \in \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the Cauchy operator, where $C_{+} f\left(C_{-} f\right)$ denotes the left (right) boundary value for the oriented contour $\Sigma$ in Figure 2. Define the operator $C_{\omega^{\sharp}}: \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)+\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\omega^{\sharp}} f=C_{+}\left(f \omega_{-}^{\sharp}\right)+C_{-}\left(f \omega_{+}^{\sharp}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $3 \times 3$ matrix function $f$.

Lemma 3.2 (Beals-Coifman). If $\mu^{\sharp}(k ; x, t) \in \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)+\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ is the solution of the singular integral equation

$$
\mu^{\sharp}=I+C_{\omega^{\sharp}}^{\sharp} \mu^{\sharp} .
$$

Then

$$
M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)=I+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mu^{\sharp}(\xi ; x, t) \omega^{\sharp}(\xi ; x, t)}{\xi-k} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{2 \pi i}
$$

is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem (3.25).
Proof. See [18], P. 322 and [40].
Theorem 3.2. The expression of the solution $q(x, t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=\left(u(x, t), u^{*}(x, t)\right)^{T}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\Sigma}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\sharp}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.21), (3.24) and Lemma 3.2, the solution $q(x, t)$ of the generalized Sasa-Satsuma equation is expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(x, t) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}-2 i\left[k\left(M^{\sharp}(k ; x, t)\right)_{12}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\Sigma} \mu^{\sharp}(\xi ; x, t) \omega^{\sharp}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\Sigma}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\sharp}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3. Contour truncation


$\Sigma^{\prime}$

Figure 3. The contour $\Sigma^{\prime}$
Set $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma \backslash\left(\mathbb{R} \cup L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{\epsilon}^{*}\right)$ oriented as in Figure 3. We will convert the Riemann-Hilbert problem on the contour $\Sigma$ to a Riemann-Hilbert problem on the contour $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and estimate the errors between the two Riemann-Hilbert problems. Let $\omega^{\sharp}=\omega^{e}+\omega^{\prime}=\omega^{a}+\omega^{b}+\omega^{c}+\omega^{\prime}$, where $\omega^{a}=\left.\omega^{\sharp}\right|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is supported on $\mathbb{R}$ and is composed of terms of type $h_{1}(k)$ and $h_{1}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) ; \omega^{b}$ is supported on $L \cup L^{*}$ and is composed of contribution to $\omega^{\sharp}$ from terms of type $h_{2}(k)$ and $h_{2}^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) ; \omega^{c}$ is supported on $L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{\epsilon}^{*}$ and is composed of contribution to $\omega^{\sharp}$ from terms of type $R(k)$ and $R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. For arbitrary positive integer $l$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{a}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim t^{-l} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\omega^{b}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}\left(L U L^{*}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(L U L^{*}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(L U L^{*}\right)} \lesssim t^{-l},  \tag{3.31}\\
\left\|\omega^{c}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}\left(L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{\epsilon}^{*}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{\epsilon}^{*}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{\epsilon}^{*}\right)} \lesssim e^{-16 \epsilon^{2} k_{0}^{3} t},  \tag{3.32}\\
\left\|\omega^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \lesssim\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad\left\|\omega^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\Sigma)} \lesssim\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The proof of estimates (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) follows from Theorem 3.1. Afterwards, we consider the definition of $R(k)$ on the contour $\left\{\left.k=k_{0}+\alpha k_{0} e^{\frac{-3 \pi i}{4}} \right\rvert\,-\infty<\alpha<\epsilon\right\}$,

$$
|R(k)| \lesssim\left(1+|k|^{5}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Resorting to $\operatorname{Re}(i \theta) \geqslant 8 \alpha^{2} k_{0}^{3}$ and the boundedness of $\delta(k)$ and $\operatorname{det} \delta(k)$ in (3.16), we can obtain

$$
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta}[\operatorname{det} \delta(k)] R(k) \delta(k)\right| \lesssim e^{-16 t t_{0}^{3} \alpha^{2}}\left(1+|k|^{5}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Then we obtain (3.33) by simple computations.
Lemma 3.4. As $t \rightarrow \infty,\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}: \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)$ exists and is uniformly bounded:

$$
\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \lesssim 1 .
$$

Furthermore, $\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \lesssim 1$.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.23 and Corollary 2.25 in [18].
Lemma 3.5. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\sharp}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\Sigma}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi+O\left(\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l}\right) . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A simple computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1} I\right) \omega^{\sharp}= & \left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right) \omega^{\prime}+\omega^{e}+\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\omega^{e}} I\right)\right) \omega^{\sharp}  \tag{3.35}\\
& +\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\omega^{\prime}} I\right)\right) \omega^{e}+\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} C_{\omega^{e}}\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)\right)\left(C_{\omega^{\sharp}} I\right) \omega^{\sharp} .
\end{align*}
$$

After a series of tedious computations and utilizing the consequence of Lemma 3.4, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\mathcal{L})} \leqslant\left\|\omega^{a}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|\omega^{b}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}\left(L U L^{*}\right)}+\left\|\omega^{c}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}\left(L_{\epsilon} \cup L_{E}^{*}\right)} \lesssim\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l}, \\
& \left\|\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\omega^{e}} I\right)\right) \omega^{\sharp}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\Sigma)} \leqslant\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|C_{\omega^{e}} I\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|\omega^{\sharp}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|\omega^{\sharp}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \lesssim\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l-\frac{1}{4}}, \\
& \left\|\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\omega^{\prime}} I\right)\right) \omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\Sigma)} \leqslant\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathcal{\Sigma})}\left\|C_{\omega^{\prime}} I\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathcal{L})}\left\|\omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\omega^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|\omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \lesssim\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l-\frac{1}{4}}, \\
& \left\|\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} C_{\omega^{e}}\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)\right)\left(C_{\omega^{\sharp}} I\right) \omega^{\sharp}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}(\Sigma)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathcal{\Sigma})}\left\|\left(1-C_{\omega^{\sharp}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|C_{\omega^{e}}\right\|\left\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}\right\| C_{\omega^{\sharp}} I\left\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\mathcal{\Sigma})}\right\| \omega^{\sharp} \|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)} \\
& \Sigma\left\|\omega^{e}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Sigma)}\left\|\omega^{\sharp}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2} \leqslant\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l-\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the proof is accomplished as long as we substitute the estimates above into (3.35).

Notice that $\omega^{\prime}(k)=0$ when $k \in \Sigma \mid \Sigma^{\prime}$, let $C_{\omega^{\prime}} \mid \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ denote the restriction of $C_{\omega^{\prime}}$ to $\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$. For simplicity, we write $C_{\omega^{\prime}} \mid \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as $C_{\omega^{\prime}}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Sigma}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

Lemma 3.6. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=\left(u(x, t), u^{*}(x, t)\right)^{T}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12}+O\left(\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-l}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.29) and (3.34), we can obtain the result directly.
Let $L^{\prime}=L \backslash L_{\epsilon}$ and $\mu^{\prime}=\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I$. Then

$$
M^{\prime}(k ; x, t)=I+\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \frac{\mu^{\prime}(k ; x, t) \omega^{\prime}(k ; x, t)}{\xi-k} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{2 \pi i}
$$

solves the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}^{\prime}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}^{\prime}(k ; x, t) J^{\prime}(k ; x, t), & k \in \Sigma^{\prime}, \\ M^{\prime}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J^{\prime}=\left(b_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} b_{+}^{\prime}=\left(I-\omega_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(I+\omega_{+}^{\prime}\right), \\
\omega^{\prime}=\omega_{+}^{\prime}+\omega_{-}^{\prime}, \\
b_{+}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & -e^{-2 i t \theta}[\operatorname{det} \delta(k)] \delta(k) R(k) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad b_{-}^{\prime}=I, \quad \text { on } L^{\prime}, \\
b_{+}^{\prime}=I, \quad b_{-}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-\frac{e^{2 i t \theta} R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta^{-1}(k)}{\operatorname{det} \delta(k)} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { on }\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 3.4. Noninteraction of disconnected contour components

Let the contour $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma_{A}^{\prime} \cup \Sigma_{B}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{ \pm}^{\prime}=\omega_{A \pm}^{\prime}+\omega_{B \pm}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\omega_{A \pm}^{\prime}(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{ \pm}^{\prime}(k), & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{\prime},  \tag{3.37}\\
0, & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{\prime},
\end{array} \quad \omega_{B \pm}^{\prime}(k)= \begin{cases}0, & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{\prime}, \\
\omega_{ \pm}^{\prime}(k), & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{\prime} .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Define the operators $C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}$ and $C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}: \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+\mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ as in definition (3.28).
Lemma 3.7.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)}=\left\|C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)} \lesssim_{k_{0}}\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\left\|C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)},\left\|C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)} \lesssim_{k_{0}}\left(t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.5 in [18].

Lemma 3.8. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi= & \int_{\Sigma_{A}^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& +\int_{\Sigma_{B}^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}{ }^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{B}^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right)}{t}\right) .\right. \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)\left(1+C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}+C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-C_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}=1 & +C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}+C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \\
& +\left[1+C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}+C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}\left[C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}+C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}} C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on Lemma (3.7) and Lemma (3.4), we arrive at (3.38).

For the sake of convenience, we write the restriction $\left.C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right|_{\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{A}^{\prime}\right)}$ as $C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}$, similar for $C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}$. From the consequences of Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x, t)= & -\left(\int_{\Sigma_{A}^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}^{\prime}(\xi) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\pi}\right)_{12} \\
& -\left(\int_{\Sigma_{B}^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{B}^{\prime}(\xi) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\pi}\right)_{12}+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right)}{t}\right) . \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.5. Rescaling and further reduction of the Riemann-Hilbert problems

Extend the contours $\Sigma_{A}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{B}^{\prime}$ to the contours

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}=\left\{k=-k_{0}+k_{0} \alpha e^{ \pm \frac{\pi i}{4}}: \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\right\},  \tag{3.40}\\
& \hat{\Sigma}_{B}^{\prime}=\left\{k=k_{0}+k_{0} \alpha e^{ \pm \frac{3 \pi i}{4}}: \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. We introduce $\hat{\omega}_{A}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{B}^{\prime}$ on $\hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_{B}^{\prime}$, respectively, by

$$
\hat{\omega}_{A \pm}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{A \pm}^{\prime}(k), & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{\prime},  \tag{3.42}\\
0, & k \in \hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime} \backslash \Sigma_{A}^{\prime},
\end{array} \quad \hat{\omega}_{B \pm}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\omega_{B \pm}^{\prime}(k), & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{\prime}, \\
0, & k \in \hat{\Sigma}_{B}^{\prime} \backslash \Sigma_{B}^{\prime} .\end{cases}\right.
$$



Figure 4. The contour $\Sigma_{A}\left(\Sigma_{B}\right)$.
Let $\Sigma_{A}$ and $\Sigma_{B}$ denote the contours $\left\{k=k_{0} \alpha e^{ \pm \frac{\pi i}{4}}: \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ oriented inward as in $\Sigma_{A}^{\prime}, \hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}$, and outward as in $\Sigma_{B}^{\prime}, \hat{\Sigma}_{B}^{\prime}$, respectively. Define the scaling operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{A}: \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right), \\
& f(k) \rightarrow\left(N_{A} f\right)(k)=f\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}-k_{0}\right),  \tag{3.43}\\
& N_{B}: \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{B}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{B}\right), \\
& f(k) \rightarrow\left(N_{B} f\right)(k)=f\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}+k_{0}\right), \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

and set

$$
\omega_{A}=N_{A} \hat{\omega}_{A}^{\prime}, \quad \omega_{B}=N_{B} \hat{\omega}_{B}^{\prime}
$$

A simple change-of-variable arguments shows that

$$
C_{\hat{\omega}_{A}^{\prime}}=N_{A}^{-1} C_{\omega_{A}} N_{A}, \quad C_{\hat{\omega}_{B}^{\prime}}=N_{B}^{-1} C_{\omega_{B}} N_{B},
$$

where the operator $C_{\omega_{A}}\left(C_{\omega_{B}}\right)$ is a bounded map from $\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)\left(\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{B}\right)\right)$ into $\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)\left(\mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{B}\right)\right)$. On the part

$$
L_{A}=\left\{k=\alpha k_{0} \sqrt{48 t k_{0}} e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}}:-\epsilon<\alpha<+\infty\right\}
$$

of $\Sigma_{A}$, we have

$$
\omega_{A}=\omega_{A+}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(N_{A} s_{1}\right)(k) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

on $L_{A}^{*}$ we have

$$
\omega_{A}=\omega_{A-}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\left(N_{A} s_{2}\right)(k) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
s_{1}(k)=-e^{-2 i t \theta(k)}[\operatorname{det} \delta(k)] \delta(k) R(k), \quad s_{2}(k)=\frac{e^{2 i t \theta} R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \delta^{-1}(k)}{\operatorname{det} \delta(k)} .
$$

Lemma 3.9. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $k \in L_{A}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(N_{A} \tilde{\delta}\right)(k)\right| \lesssim t^{-l}, \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\delta}(k)=e^{-2 i t \theta(k)}[\delta(k) R(k)-(\operatorname{det} \delta(k)) R(k)]$.
Proof. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that $\tilde{\delta}$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\tilde{\delta}_{+}(k)=\tilde{\delta}_{-}(k)\left(1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k)\right)+e^{-2 i t \theta} f(k), & k \in\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right),  \tag{3.46}\\ \tilde{\delta}(k) \rightarrow 0, & k \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

where $f(k)=\delta_{-}(k)\left[\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k) I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}\right] R(k)$. The solution for the above Riemann-Hilbert problem can be expressed by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\delta}(k)=X(k) \int_{k_{0}}^{-k_{0}} \frac{e^{-2 i t \theta(\xi)} f(\xi)}{X_{+}(\xi)(\xi-k)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i}, \\
X(k)=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{k_{0}}^{-k_{0}} \frac{\log \left(1-|\gamma(\xi)|^{2}\right)}{\xi-k} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k) I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}\right) R(k) & =\left(\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1} \gamma(k) I-\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}\right)(R(k)-\rho(k)) \\
& =\operatorname{adj}\left[B_{1}\right] \operatorname{adj}\left[\gamma(k) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right)\right]\left(h_{1}(k)+h_{2}(k)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain $f(k)=O\left(\left(k^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right)^{l}\right)$. Similar to the Lemma 3.1, $f(k)$ can be decomposed into two parts: $f(k)=f_{1}(k)+f_{2}(k)$, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta(k)} f_{1}(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) t^{l}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\left|e^{-2 i t \theta(k)} f_{2}(k)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1+|k|^{2}\right) t^{l}}, \quad k \in L_{t}, \tag{3.48}
\end{array}
$$

where $f_{2}(k)$ has an analytic continuation to $L_{t}, l$ is a positive integer and $l \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{t}= & \left\{k=k_{0}+k_{0} \alpha e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}}: 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 t}\right)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{k=\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}+k_{0} \alpha e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}}: 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \sqrt{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 t}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

(see Figure 5).


Figure 5. The contour $L_{t}$.

As $k \in L_{A}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(N_{A} \tilde{\delta}\right)(k)= X\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}-k_{0}\right) \int_{\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}}^{-k_{0}} \frac{e^{-2 i t \theta(\xi)} f(\xi)}{X_{+}(\xi)\left(\xi+k_{0}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i} \\
&+X\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}-k_{0}\right) \int_{k_{0}}^{\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}} \frac{e^{-2 i t \theta(\xi)} f_{1}(\xi)}{X_{+}(\xi)\left(\xi+k_{0}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i} \\
&+X\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}-k_{0}\right) \int_{k_{0}}^{\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}} \frac{e^{-2 i t \theta(\xi)} f_{2}(\xi)}{X_{+}(\xi)\left(\xi+k_{0}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i} \\
&= I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} . \\
&\left|I_{1}\right| \lesssim \int_{-k_{0}}^{\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{\left\lvert\, \xi+k_{0}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\right.} \mathrm{~d} \xi \lesssim t^{-l-1}, \\
&\left|I_{2}\right| \lesssim \int_{\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}}^{k_{0}} \frac{\left|e^{-2 i t(\xi)} f_{1}(\xi)\right|}{\left|\xi+k_{0}-\frac{k}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\right|} \mathrm{d} \xi \leqslant t^{-l} \frac{\sqrt{2} t}{k_{0}}\left(2 k_{0}-\frac{k_{0}}{t}\right) \lesssim t^{-l+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of Cauchy's Theorem, we can evaluate $I_{3}$ along the contour $L_{t}$ instead of the interval $\left(\frac{k_{0}}{t}-k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ and obtain $\left|I_{3}\right| \lesssim t^{-l+1}$. Therefore, (3.45) holds.

Corollary 3.1. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $k \in L_{A}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(N_{A} \hat{\delta}\right)(k)\right| \lesssim t^{-l}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \in L_{A}^{*}, \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\delta}(k)=e^{2 i t \theta(k)} R^{\dagger}\left(k^{*}\right) B_{1}\left[\delta^{-1}(k)-(\operatorname{det} \delta(k))^{-1} I\right]$.
Let $J^{A^{0}}=\left(I-\omega_{A^{0}-}\right)^{-1}\left(I+\omega_{A^{0}+}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{A^{0}}=\omega_{A^{0}+}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{2}(-k)^{2 i v} e^{-\frac{i k^{2}}{2}} \frac{\gamma\left(-k_{0}\right)}{1-\gamma^{\top}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(-k_{0}\right)} \\
0 & 0 \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{2}(-k)^{2 i v} e^{-\frac{i k^{2}}{2}} \gamma\left(-k_{0}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{1},
\end{array}\right. \\
\omega_{A^{0}}=\omega_{A^{0}-}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{A}^{0}=\left(196 t k_{0}^{3}\right)^{-\frac{i v}{2}} e^{8 i k_{0}^{3}} e^{\chi\left(-k_{0}\right)}
\end{array}\right. \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-2}(-k)^{-2 i v} e^{\frac{i k^{2}}{2}} \frac{\gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1}}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(-k_{0}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{2}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-2}(-k)^{-2 i v} e^{\frac{i k^{2}}{2}} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{A}^{4} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.78) in [18] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{A}-\omega_{A^{0}}\right\|_{\mathscr{L}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{2}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)} \leqslant_{k_{0}} \frac{\log t}{\sqrt{t k_{0}^{3}}} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are similar consequences for $k \in \Sigma_{B}$. Let $J^{B^{0}}=\left(I-\omega_{B^{0}-}\right)^{-1}\left(I+\omega_{B^{0}+}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{B^{0}}=\omega_{B^{0}+}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(\delta_{B}^{0}\right)^{2} k^{-2 i v} e^{\frac{i^{2}}{2}} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{2}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\left(\delta_{B}^{0}\right)^{2} k^{-2 i v} e^{\frac{i{ }^{2}}{2}}
\end{array}\right)_{\gamma\left(k_{0}\right)}^{1-\gamma^{2}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Sigma_{B}^{4}, ~  \tag{3.54}\\
& \delta_{B}^{0}=\left(196 t k_{0}^{3} \frac{i \frac{i}{2}}{} e^{-8 i k_{0}^{3}} e^{\chi\left(k_{0}\right)}\right.  \tag{3.55}\\
& \omega_{B^{0}}=\omega_{B^{0}-}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\left(\delta_{B}^{0}\right)^{-2} k^{2 i v} e^{-\frac{i i^{2}}{2}} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{1}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\left(\delta_{B}^{0}\right)^{-2} k^{2 i v} e^{-\frac{i k^{2}}{2}} \frac{\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1}}{1-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(k_{0}\right) B_{1} \gamma\left(k_{0}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Sigma_{B}^{3} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x, t)= & \left(u(x, t), u^{*}(x, t)\right)^{T} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A^{0}}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12}  \tag{3.57}\\
& +\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_{B}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{B^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{B^{0}}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12}+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right) \log t}{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right) \omega_{A}= & \left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right) \omega_{A^{0}}+\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right)\left(\omega_{A}-\omega_{A^{0}}\right) \\
& +\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\omega_{A}}-C_{\omega_{A} 0}\right)\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right) I \omega_{A^{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing the triangle inequality and the boundedness in (3.53), we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi=\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A^{0}}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi+O\left(\frac{\log t}{\sqrt{t}}\right) .
$$

According to (3.5) and a simple change-of-variable argument, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}}\left(N_{A}^{-1}\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_{A}^{\prime}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right)\left(\left(\xi+k_{0}\right) \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}\right)\left(N_{A} \omega_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(\xi+k_{0}\right) \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A} 0}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A^{0}}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)_{12}+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right) \log t}{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are similar computations for the other case. Together with (3.39), one can obtain (3.57).

For $k \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Sigma_{A}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t)=I+\int_{\Sigma_{A}} \frac{\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A^{0}}(\xi)}{\xi-k} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{2 \pi i} . \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t)$ is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t) J^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t), & k \in \Sigma_{A},  \tag{3.59}\\ M^{A^{0}}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty .\end{cases}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{A^{0}}(k)=I+\frac{M_{1}^{A^{0}}}{k}+O\left(k^{-2}\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}^{A^{0}}=-\int_{\Sigma_{A}}\left(\left(1-C_{\omega_{A^{0}}}\right)^{-1} I\right)(\xi) \omega_{A^{0}}(\xi) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{2 \pi i} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a analogous Riemann-Hilbert problem on $\Sigma_{B}$,

$$
\begin{cases}M_{+}^{B^{0}}(k ; x, t)=M_{-}^{B^{0}}(k ; x, t) J^{B^{0}}(k ; x, t), & k \in \Sigma_{B},  \tag{3.62}\\ M^{B^{0}}(k ; x, t) \rightarrow I, & k \rightarrow \infty,\end{cases}
$$

where $J^{B^{0}}(k ; x, t)$ is defined in (3.54) and (3.56). In the meantime, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{B^{0}}(k)=I+\frac{M_{1}^{B^{0}}}{k}+O\left(k^{-2}\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider the relation between $M_{1}^{A^{0}}$ and $M_{1}^{B^{0}}$. From the expression (3.50), (3.52), (3.54) and (3.56), we have the symmetry relation

$$
J^{A^{0}}(k)=\tau\left(J^{B^{0}}\left(-k^{*}\right)\right)^{*} \tau .
$$

By the uniqueness of the Riemann-Hilbert problem,

$$
M^{A^{0}}(k)=\tau\left(M^{B^{0}}\left(-k^{*}\right)\right)^{*} \tau
$$

Combining with the expansion (3.60) and (3.63), one can verify that

$$
M_{1}^{A^{0}}=-\tau\left(M_{1}^{B^{0}}\right)^{*} \tau, \quad\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right)_{12}=-\sigma_{1}\left(M_{1}^{B^{0}}\right)_{12}^{*} .
$$

Therefore, from (3.57) and (3.61), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x, t) & =\left(u(x, t), u^{*}(x, t)\right)^{T} \\
& =\frac{-2 i}{\sqrt{48 t k_{0}}}\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}+M_{1}^{B^{0}}\right)_{12}+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right) \log t}{t}\right)  \tag{3.64}\\
& =-\frac{i}{\sqrt{12 t k_{0}}}\left(\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right)_{12}-\sigma_{1}\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right)_{12}^{*}\right)+O\left(\frac{c\left(k_{0}\right) \log t}{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.6. Solving the model problem

In this subsection, we compute $\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right)_{12}$ explicitly. It is important to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(k)=H(k)(-k)^{i v \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{4} k^{2} \sigma}, \quad H(k)=\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-\sigma} M^{A^{0}}(k)\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{\sigma} . \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from (3.59) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{+}(k)=\Psi_{-}(k) v\left(-k_{0}\right), \quad v=e^{\frac{1}{4} i k^{2} \sigma}(-k)^{-i v \sigma}\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-\sigma} J^{A^{0}}(k)\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{\sigma}(-k)^{i v \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{4} i k^{2} \sigma} . \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The jump matrix is the constant one on the four rays $\Sigma_{A}^{1}, \Sigma_{A}^{2}, \Sigma_{A}^{3}, \Sigma_{A}^{4}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi_{+}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi_{-}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k} v\left(-k_{0}\right) . \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows that $(\mathrm{d} \Psi / \mathrm{d} k+i k \sigma \Psi) \Psi^{-1}$ has no jump discontinuity along any of the four rays. Besides, from the relation between $\Psi(k)$ and $H(k)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi(k)}{\mathrm{d} k} \Psi^{-1}(k) & =\frac{\mathrm{d} H(k)}{\mathrm{d} k} H^{-1}(k)-\frac{i k}{2} H(k) \sigma H^{-1}(k)+\frac{i v}{k} H(k) \sigma H^{-1}(k) \\
& =O\left(k^{-1}\right)-\frac{i k \sigma}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{\sigma}\left[\sigma, M_{1}^{\mathrm{A}^{0}}\right]\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows by the Liouville's Theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi(k)}{\mathrm{d} k}+\frac{i k}{2} \sigma \Psi(k)=\beta \Psi(k), \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\frac{i}{2}\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{\sigma}\left[\sigma, M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right]\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \beta_{12} \\
\beta_{21} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1}^{A^{0}}\right)_{12}=-i\left(\delta_{A}^{0}\right)^{-2} \beta_{12} . \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\Psi(k)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi_{11}(k) & \Psi_{12}(k) \\
\Psi_{21}(k) & \Psi_{22}(k)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From (3.68) and its differential, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k^{2}}+\left(\frac{i}{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{4}-\beta_{21} \beta_{12}\right) \beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)=0 \\
\Psi_{21}(k)=\frac{1}{\beta_{21} \beta_{12}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k}+\frac{i k}{2} \beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \Psi_{22}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k^{2}}+\left(-\frac{i}{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{4}-\beta_{21} \beta_{12}\right) \Psi_{22}(k)=0 \\
\beta_{21} \Psi_{12}(k)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \Psi_{22}(k)}{\mathrm{d} k}-\frac{i k}{2} \Psi_{22}(k)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

As is well known, the Weber's equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} g(\zeta)}{\mathrm{d} \zeta^{2}}+\left(\varrho+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}\right) g(\zeta)=0
$$

has the solution

$$
g(\zeta)=c_{1} D_{\varrho}(\zeta)+c_{2} D_{\varrho}(-\zeta)
$$

where $D_{\varrho}(\cdot)$ denotes the standard parabolic-cylinder function, and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. The paraboliccylinder function satisfies [41]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} D_{\varrho}(\zeta)}{\mathrm{d} \zeta}+\frac{\zeta}{2} D_{\varrho}(\zeta)-\varrho D_{\varrho-1}(\zeta)=0  \tag{3.70}\\
D_{\varrho}( \pm \zeta)=\frac{\Gamma(\varrho+1) e^{\frac{i \pi \varrho}{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} D_{-\varrho-1}( \pm i \zeta)+\frac{\Gamma(\varrho+1) e^{-\frac{i \pi \varrho}{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} D_{-\varrho-1}(\mp i \zeta) . \tag{3.71}
\end{gather*}
$$

As $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, from [42], we have

$$
D_{\varrho}(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\zeta^{\varrho} e^{-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}}\left(1+O\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)\right), & |\arg \zeta|<\frac{3 \pi}{4}  \tag{3.72}\\ \zeta^{\varrho} e^{-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}}\left(1+O\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)\right)-\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(-\varrho} e^{\varrho \pi i+\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}} \zeta^{-\varrho-1}\left(1+O\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)\right), & \frac{\pi}{4}<\arg \zeta<\frac{5 \pi}{4} \\ \zeta^{\varrho} e^{-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}}\left(1+O\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)\right)-\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{\Gamma(-\varrho)} e^{-\varrho \pi i+\frac{\zeta^{2}}{4}} \zeta^{-\varrho-1}\left(1+O\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)\right), & -\frac{5 \pi}{4}<\arg \zeta<-\frac{\pi}{4}\end{cases}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. Set $\varrho=i \beta_{21} \beta_{12}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)=c_{1} D_{\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} k\right)+c_{2} D_{\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{-3 \pi i}{4}} k\right),  \tag{3.73}\\
& \Psi_{22}(k)=c_{3} D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}} k\right)+c_{4} D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right), \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ are constants. As $\arg k \in\left(-\pi,-\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right) \cup\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \pi\right)$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$
\Psi_{11}(k)(-k)^{-i v} e^{\frac{i k^{2}}{4}} \rightarrow I, \quad \Psi_{22}(k)(-k)^{i v} e^{-\frac{i k^{2}}{4}} \rightarrow 1
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k)=\beta_{21} e^{\frac{\pi v}{4}} D_{\varrho}\left(e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right), \quad v=\beta_{21} \beta_{12}, \\
\Psi_{22}(k)=e^{\frac{\pi v}{4}} D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{21}(k)=\beta_{21} e^{\frac{\pi v}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4} k} k\right), \\
\beta_{21} \Psi_{12}(k)=\varrho e^{\frac{\pi v}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} D_{-\varrho-1}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\arg k \in\left(-\frac{3 \pi}{4},-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\Psi_{11}(k)(-k)^{-i v} e^{\frac{i 2^{2}}{4}} \rightarrow I, \quad \Psi_{22}(k)(-k)^{i v} e^{-\frac{i 2^{2}}{4}} \rightarrow 1,
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{21} \Psi_{11}(k) & =\beta_{21} e^{-\frac{3 \pi v}{4}} D_{\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} k\right), \\
\Psi_{22}(k) & =e^{\frac{\pi v}{4}} D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{3 i}{4}} k\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{21}(k)=\beta_{21} e^{-\frac{3 \pi v}{4}} e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} k} k,\right. \\
\beta_{21} \Psi_{12}(k)=\varrho e^{\frac{\pi \pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} D_{-\varrho-1}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Along the ray $\arg k=-\frac{3 \pi}{4}$,

$$
\Psi_{+}(k)=\Psi_{-}(k)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0  \tag{3.75}\\
-\gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Notice the $(2,1)$ entry of the Riemann-Hilbert problem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{21} e^{\frac{\pi(v-i)}{4}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right) \\
= & \beta_{21} e^{\frac{\pi(3 i-3 v}{4}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} k\right)-e^{\frac{\pi \psi}{4}} D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right) \gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (3.71) that

$$
D_{-\varrho}\left(e^{\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right)=\frac{\Gamma(-\varrho+1) e^{\frac{\pi v}{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} k\right)+\frac{\Gamma(-\varrho+1) e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} D_{\varrho-1}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} k\right) .
$$

Then we separate the coefficients of the two independent functions and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{21}=e^{-\frac{3 \pi i}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi \Gamma}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho+1)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \gamma^{\dagger}\left(-k_{0}\right) B_{1} . \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $B^{-1}\left(J^{A^{0}}\left(k^{*}\right)\right)^{\dagger} B=\left(J^{A^{0}}(k)\right)^{-1}$, we have $\beta_{12}=-B_{1}^{-1} \beta_{21}^{\dagger}$, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{12}=-B_{1}^{-1} B_{1}^{\dagger} \gamma\left(-k_{0}\right) e^{\frac{3 \pi}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi v}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(-\varrho+1)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}=e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi v}{2}} v \frac{\Gamma(-i v)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \gamma\left(-k_{0}\right) . \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we can obtain (1.4) from (3.64), (3.69) and (3.77).
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