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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Let $r \in[0,1)$. Then the Legendre's complete elliptic integrals [1-6] of the first and second kinds are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{K} & =\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\sqrt{1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}, \quad \mathcal{K}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}\left(1^{-}\right)=+\infty,  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{E} & =\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta, \quad \mathcal{E}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{E}\left(1^{-}\right)=1, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. It is well known that the complete elliptic integrals and integral inequalities [7-20] have wide applications in mathematics and physics, including the formula of the arc length of an ellipse, the evaluation of the circumferences ratio $\pi$, the computations of electromagnetic fields and related quantities, the study of simple pendulum period, and so on. Convexity and monotonicity are the
indispensable tools in the study of inequality theory [21-28], the generalizations and variants for the convexity have attracted the attention of many researchers [29-38] in recent decades, and many inequalities have been established via the convexity and monotonicity theory [39-44].

The recent interest of the complete elliptic integrals is motivated by their applications in geometric function theory due to many conformal invariants and distortion functions in the theory of quasi-conformal mappings can be expressed by the complete elliptic integrals.

Alzer and Qiu [45] proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\pi}{2}-\log 2+\alpha\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)+\log \left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right)<\mathcal{K}(r) \\
& <\frac{\pi}{2}-\log 2+\beta\left(1-\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)+\log \left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best constant $\alpha=\pi / 4-1 / 2$ and $\beta=3 \log 2-\pi / 2$.
Wang et al. [46] proved that the function $r \mapsto r[\pi / 2-\mathcal{E}(r)] /\left[r-\left(1-r^{2}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}(r)\right]$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2-1,3 \pi / 16)$, and the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{r-\left(1-r^{2}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}(r)}{r}<\mathcal{E}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-1\right) \frac{r-\left(1-r^{2}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}(r)}{r} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. Here and in what follows we denote $\operatorname{arctanh}(\cdot)$ the inverse hyperbolic tangent function.

In 2018, Yang et al. [47] proved that the function $r \mapsto e^{\mathcal{K}(r)}-c / \sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \geq 4$, strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \leq \pi e^{\pi / 2} / 4=3.77 \cdots$, the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{4}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}<\mathcal{K}(r)<\log \left(e^{\pi / 2}-4+\frac{4}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$, and the two-sided inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(e^{\pi / 2}-s+\frac{s}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right)<\mathcal{K}(r)<\log \left(e^{\pi / 2}-t+\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes place for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $s \leq \pi e^{\pi / 2} / 4$ and $t \geq 4$.
Takeuchi [48] introduced a new form of the generalized elliptic integrals with one real parameter $p$, called the complete $p$-elliptic integrals. For $p \in(1,+\infty)$ and $r \in[0,1)$, the complete $p$-elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{p}=\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi_{p} / 2} \frac{d \theta}{\left(1-r^{p} \sin _{p}^{p} \theta\right)^{1-1 / p}}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{p}(0)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{p}\left(1^{-}\right)=+\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{p}=\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi_{p} / 2}\left(1-r^{p} \sin _{p}^{p} \theta\right)^{1 / p} d \theta, \quad \mathcal{E}_{p}(0)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{p}\left(1^{-}\right)=1, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin _{p} \theta$ is the generalized sine function, defined by the inverse function of

$$
\sin _{p}^{-1} \theta=\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1
$$

and $\pi_{p}$ is the generalized circumference ratio defined by

$$
\pi_{p}=2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}=\frac{2 \pi}{p \sin (\pi / p)}
$$

Note that $\sin _{2} \theta=\sin \theta$ and $\pi_{2}=\pi$. From (1.1), (1.2), (1.7) and (1.8) we know that $\mathcal{K}_{2}(r)=\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}(r)=\mathcal{E}(r)$.

Takeuchi [48, 49] provided the derivative formulas and identity for $\mathcal{K}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}}{r r^{\prime p}}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p}-\mathcal{K}_{p}}{r}, \\
\frac{d\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)}{d r}=\frac{r^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{r^{\prime p}}, \quad \frac{d\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)}{d r}=(p-1) r^{p-1} \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{E}_{p}(r)+\mathcal{K}_{p}(r) \mathcal{E}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{K}_{p}(r) \mathcal{K}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} .
$$

where and in what follows, we denote $r^{\prime}=\sqrt[p]{1-r^{p}}$ for $r \in[0,1]$. Using (1.5), Takeuchi [48, 50] found the formulas for $\pi_{3}$ and $\pi_{4}$. Moreover, the following formulas for the complete $p$-elliptic integrals in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function can be found in the literature [48]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} F\left(1-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p} ; 1 ; r^{p}\right), \quad \mathcal{E}_{p}(r)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p} ; 1 ; r^{p}\right), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(a, b ; c ; x)={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}(|x|<1)
$$

is the Gaussian hypergeometric function [51,52] for real parameters $a, b, c$ with $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \cdots$, and $(a)_{0}=1$ for $a \neq 0$ and $(a)_{n}$ denotes the Pochhammer function $(a)_{n}=a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3) \cdots(a+n-1)$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$. If $a+b=c$, then $F(a, b ; c ; x)$ is called zero-balanced, which has the following asymptotic formula [53]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(a, b) F(a, b ; a+b ; x)+\log (1-x)=R(a, b)+O((1-x) \log (1-x)), \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(z, w)=\Gamma(z) \Gamma(w) /[\Gamma(z+w)]$ is the classical Beta function for $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(w)>0$,

$$
R(a, b)=-\psi(a)-\psi(b)-2 \gamma, \quad R(a)=R(a, 1-a)
$$

$\psi(z)=\Gamma^{\prime}(z) / \Gamma(z)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$ and $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.
The main purpose of this paper is to extend inequalities (1.4)-(1.6) to the case of the complete $p$-elliptic integrals. Our main results are the following Theorems 1.1-1.3.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in(1,+\infty), p_{0}=2.523 \cdots$ be the unique solution of the equation $2 p^{2}-p^{2} \pi_{p}+4=0$ and the function $f$ be defined on $(0,1)$ by

$$
f_{p}(r)=\frac{\pi_{p} / 2-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{1-r^{\prime p}\left[\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)\right] / r^{p / 2}} .
$$

Then the following statements are true:
(1) If $p \in(1, \sqrt{5}]$, then $f_{p}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(3 \pi_{p} /\left(4 p^{2}\right), \pi_{p} / 2-1\right)$, and the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-\frac{3 \pi_{p}}{4 p^{2}}\left[1-r^{\prime p} \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)}{r^{p / 2}}\right]<\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)<\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-\left(\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-1\right)\left[1-r^{\prime p} \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)}{r^{p / 2}}\right] \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$;
(2) If $p \in\left(\sqrt{5}, p_{0}\right)$, then there exists unique $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $f_{p}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$, and strictly decreasing on $\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$. Consequently, for $r \in(0,1)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}-\min \left\{\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-1, \frac{3 \pi_{p}}{4 p^{2}}\right\}\left[1-r^{\prime p} \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)}{r^{p / 2}}\right] ; \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) If $p \in\left[p_{0},+\infty\right)$, then $f_{p}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(\pi_{p} / 2-1,3 \pi_{p} /\left(4 p^{2}\right)\right)$, and the reverse inequality of (1.11) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in[2,+\infty), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function $F$ be defined on $(0,1)$ by

$$
F(r)=\frac{\mathcal{K}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)-\left(\pi_{p} / 2-\log 2\right)-\log (1+1 / r)}{1-r} .
$$

Then $F(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left((p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)-1 / 2, R(1 / p) / p-\left(\pi_{p} / 2-\log 2\right)\right)$, and the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-\log 2+\log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right)+\alpha\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)<\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)<\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-\log 2+\log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}\right)+\beta\left(1-r^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best possible constants $\alpha=(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)-1 / 2$ and $\beta=R(1 / p) / p-$ $\left(\pi_{p} / 2-\log 2\right)$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $p \in[2,+\infty), c \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function $G_{c}$ be defined on $(0,1)$ by

$$
G_{c}(r)=e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}-\frac{c}{r^{\prime}}, \quad r \in(0,1)
$$

Then the following statements are true:
(1) The function $G_{c}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \leq e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)$, in this case the range of $G_{c}$ is $\left(e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c,+\infty\right)$;
(2) The function $G_{c}(r)$ is strictly decreasing $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \geq e^{R(1 / p) / p}$, in this case the range of $G_{c}$ is $\left(-\infty, e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c\right)$ if $c>e^{R(1 / p) / p}$, while the range of $G_{c}$ is $\left(0, e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c\right)$ if $c=e^{R(1 / p) / p}$. Furthermore, for all $r \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{e^{R(1 / p) / p}}{r^{\prime}}\right)<\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)<\log \left(\frac{e^{R(1 / p) / p}}{r^{\prime}}+e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-e^{R(1 / p) / p}\right) ; \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) If $e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)<c<e^{R(1 / p) / p}$, then there exists $r_{0}^{*} \in(0,1)$ such that $G_{c}(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, r_{0}^{*}\right)$ and strictly increasing on $\left(r_{0}^{*}, 1\right)$;
(4) The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(e^{\pi / 2}-s^{*}+\frac{s^{*}}{r^{\prime}}\right)<\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)<\log \left(e^{\pi / 2}-t^{*}+\frac{t^{*}}{r^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $s^{*} \leq e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)$ and $t^{*} \geq e^{R(1 / p) / p}$.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.
Lemma 2.1. (See [54, Theorem 1.25])Let $-\infty<a<b<\infty, f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and be differentiable on $(a, b)$ such that $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. Then both the functions $[f(x)-$ $f(a)] /[g(x)-g(a)]$ and $[f(x)-f(b)] /[g(x)-g(b)]$ are (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$ if $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is (strictly) increasing (decreasing) on (a,b).
Lemma 2.2. (See [55, Theorem 2.1])Suppose that the power series $f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $g(x)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ have the radius of convergence $r>0$ with $b_{n}>0$ for all $n \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$. Let $h(x)=$ $f(x) / g(x)$ and $H_{f, g}=\left(f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}\right) g-f$, then the following statements are true:
(1) If the non-constant sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing (decreasing), then $h(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, r$ );
(2) If the non-constant sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}$ is increasing (decreasing) for $0<n \leq n_{0}$ and decreasing (increasing) for $n>n_{0}$, then the function $h$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, r$ ) if and only if $H_{f, g}\left(r^{-}\right) \geq(\leq) 0$. While if $H_{f, g}\left(r^{-}\right)<(>) 0$, then there exists $\delta \in(0, r)$ such that $h(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, \delta$ ) and strictly decreasing (increasing) on ( $\delta, r$ ).

The following Lemma can be found in the literature [56, 57].
Lemma 2.3. Let $p \in(1,+\infty)$. Then we have the following five conclusions:
(1) The function $r \mapsto\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right) / r^{p}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left((p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p), 1\right)$;
(2) The function $r \mapsto \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)+\log r^{\prime}$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(R(1 / p) / p, \pi_{p} / 2\right)$;
(3) The function $r \mapsto r^{c c} \mathcal{K}_{p}$ is strictly decreasing on ( 0,1 ) if and only if $c \geq(p-1) / p$ with the range ( $0, \pi_{p} / 2$ );
(4) The function $r \mapsto r^{\prime c} \mathcal{E}_{p}$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \leq-1 / p$ with the range $\left(\pi_{p} / 2, \infty\right)$;
(5) The function $r \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{p}+\left[r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)^{2}\right] /\left(r^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p}\right)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(1, \pi_{p} / 2\right)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sin x}-\frac{1}{x}-\frac{2 x}{\pi^{2}}, \quad x \in(0,1)
$$

Then there exists unique $x_{0}=1.244 \cdots \in(0, \pi)$, such that $f(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, and $f(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(x_{0}, \pi\right)$.

Proof. Since

$$
\frac{1}{\sin x}-\frac{1}{x}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 k}-2}{(2 k)!}\left|B_{2 k}\right| x^{2 k-1}, \quad|x|<\pi
$$

where $B_{k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=-\frac{2 x}{\pi^{2}}+\left|B_{2}\right| x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 k}-2}{(2 k)!}\left|B_{2 k}\right| x^{2 k-1}=\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\right) x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 k}-2}{(2 k)!}\left|B_{2 k}\right| x^{2 k-1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $f$ leas to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 k}-2}{(2 k)!}(2 k-1)\left|B_{2 k}\right| x^{2 k-2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $f^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \pi), f^{\prime}(0)=1 / 6-2 / \pi^{2}<0$ and $f^{\prime}(\pi)=+\infty$. Hence there exists unique $x_{0}^{*}$, such that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, x_{0}^{*}\right)$ and strictly increasing on $\left(x_{0}^{*}, \pi\right)$. This, together with the limiting values

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(0^{+}\right)=0, \quad f\left(\pi^{-}\right)=+\infty, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that there exists a unique zero point $x_{0} \in(0, \pi)$, such that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=0, f(x)$ is negative on $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, and $f(x)$ is positive on $\left(x_{0}, \pi\right)$. By the mathematical software Maple 13 , we compute that $x_{0}=1.244 \cdots$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.5. Let $p \in(1,+\infty)$ and $\lambda(p)=1-\pi_{p} / 2+2 / p^{2}$. Then there exists unique $p_{0}=2.523 \cdots \in$ $(1,+\infty)$ such that $\lambda\left(p_{0}\right)=0, \lambda(p)<0$ for $p \in\left(1, p_{0}\right)$ and $\lambda(p)>0$ for $p \in\left(p_{0},+\infty\right)$.

Proof. Let $x=\pi / p \in(0, \pi)$. Then

$$
1-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}+\frac{2}{p^{2}}=1-\frac{x}{\sin x}+\frac{2 x^{2}}{\pi^{2}}=-x\left(\frac{1}{\sin x}-\frac{1}{x}-\frac{2}{\pi} x\right) .
$$

Therefore, Corollary 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.4.
Lemma 2.6. Let $p \in[2,+\infty)$. Then one has
(1) The function

$$
g(r)=\frac{(2 p+2) r^{\prime}+2 p-4}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{4} r^{\prime 2 p-2}}+\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{3}}
$$

is strictly increasing and positive on $(0,1)$.
(2) The inequality

$$
\frac{1}{4}+\frac{(1-1 / p)\left(p-5+5 / p-1 / p^{2}\right) \pi_{p}}{12}>0
$$

holds for each $p \in[2,+\infty)$.
Proof. It is clear to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(r) & =\frac{(2 p+2) r^{\prime}+2 p-4}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{4} r^{\prime 2 p-2}}+\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{3}} \\
& =\frac{2 p+2}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{4} r^{\prime 2 p-3}}+\frac{2 p-4}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{4} r^{\prime 2 p-2}}+\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Since $\pi_{p}=2 \pi /[p \sin (\pi / p)]>2$ for $p \in[2,+\infty)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(r) & =\frac{4 p-2}{16}+\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)(p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-5 p+1\right)}{24 p^{4}} \\
& >\frac{4 p-2}{16}+\frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)(p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-5 p+1\right)}{24 p^{4}} \\
& =\frac{(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{5}-4 p^{4}+4 p^{3}+6 p^{2}-6 p+1\right)}{24 p^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{(2 p-1)\left[2 p^{3}\left(p^{2}-2 p+2\right)+6 p(p-1)+1\right]}{24 p^{4}}>0
$$

Therefore, part (1) follows.
For part (2), employing inequality $\pi_{p}=2 \pi /[p \sin (\pi / p)]>2$ for $p \in[2,+\infty)$ again, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}+\frac{(1-1 / p)\left(p-5+5 / p-1 / p^{2}\right) \pi_{p}}{12}>\frac{1}{4}+\frac{(1-1 / p)\left(p-5+5 / p-1 / p^{2}\right)}{6} \\
& =\frac{(2 p-1)\left(p^{3}-4 p^{2}+8 p-2\right)}{12 p^{3}}=\frac{(2 p-1)\left[p\left(p^{2}-4 p+8\right)-2\right]}{12 p^{3}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

immediately.
Lemma 2.7. Let $p \in[2,+\infty)$. Then the function

$$
h(r)=\frac{1}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{r^{p} r^{\prime p-1} \mathcal{K}_{p}-p r^{\prime p-1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)}{r^{2 p}}
$$

is strictly increasing and convex on $(0,1)$.

## Proof. Differentiating $h$ gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
h^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{2 r^{p-1}}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{3} r^{\prime p-1}}+\frac{r^{p-1}}{r^{\prime}} \frac{\left[-\left(p^{2}+2 p+1\right) r^{p}+2 r^{2 p}+2 p^{2}\right] \mathcal{K}_{p}-\left[2 p^{2}-\left(p^{2}+1\right) r^{p}\right] \mathcal{E}_{p}}{r^{3 p}} \\
& =\frac{r^{p-1}}{r^{\prime}}\left\{\frac{2}{r^{3 p}}\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{3} r^{\prime p-2}\right.
\end{array} \frac{\left[-\left(p^{2}+2 p+1\right) r^{p}+2 r^{2 p}+2 p^{2}\right] \mathcal{K}_{p}-\left[2 p^{2}-\left(p^{2}+1\right) r^{p}\right] \mathcal{E}_{p}}{}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(r)=\frac{2}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{3} r^{\prime p-2}}+\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{4}} r^{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}(r)= & \frac{\left[-\left(p^{2}+2 p+1\right) r^{p}+2 r^{2 p}+2 p^{2}\right] \mathcal{K}_{p}-\left[2 p^{2}-\left(p^{2}+1\right) r^{p}\right] \mathcal{E}_{p}}{r^{3 p}} \\
& -\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{4}} r^{p} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Simple computations lead to

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} h_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{4}, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} h_{1}(r)=\infty
$$

and

$$
h_{1}^{\prime}(r)=2 \frac{-\left[(p-2)\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{3} r^{\prime p-3}+3\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{2} r^{\prime p-2}\right]}{\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{6} r^{\prime 2 p-4}}\left(-\frac{r^{p-1}}{r^{\prime p-1}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right)(2 p-1)\left(2 p^{2}-7 p+6-1 / p\right)}{24 p^{3}} r^{p-1} \\
= & r^{p-1} g(r),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.6(1). By Lemma 2.6(1) we conclude that $h_{1}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1 / 4,+\infty)$.

Expanding the right side of (2.6) into power series, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}(r)= & \frac{\pi_{p}}{2}\left\{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-1 / p, n+1)(1 / p, n+1)\left[(p-1)^{2} n+p^{2}-5 p+5-1 / p\right]}{n!(n+3)!} r^{p n}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \frac{(1-1 / p)\left(p-5+5 / p-1 / p^{2}\right)}{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{(1-1 / p, n+1)(1 / p, n+1)\left[(p-1)^{2} n+p^{2}-5 p+5-1 / p\right]}{n!(n+3)!}>0
$$

for $n \geq 2, h_{2}$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$, and the range is $\left((1-1 / p)\left(p-5+5 / p-1 / p^{2}\right) \pi_{p} / 12, \infty\right)$.
Combining Lemma 2.6(2) and monotonicity properties together with the ranges of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, we know that the sum function $h_{1}(r)+h_{2}(r)$ is strictly increasing and positive on $(0,1)$,

Finally, according to equations (2.4)-(2.6) we obtain that $h(r)$ is strictly increasing and convex on $(0,1)$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $p \in[2,+\infty)$. Then the function

$$
\varphi(r)=\frac{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{\left[\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)\right] \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(2 /\left[(p-1) \pi_{p}\right], 1\right)$.
Proof. Let $\varphi_{1}(r)=\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)$ and $\varphi_{2}(r)=\left[\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)\right] \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)$. Then $\varphi(r)=\varphi_{1}(r) / \varphi_{2}(r)$, $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(r)} & =\frac{r^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p}}{(p-1) r^{p} r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}^{2}+\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}}=\frac{r^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p}}{(p-2) r^{p} r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}^{2}+r^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p}^{2}+r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(p-2)\left(r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}^{2} / \mathcal{E}_{p}\right)+\left[\mathcal{E}_{p}+r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)^{2} /\left(r^{p} \mathcal{E}_{p}\right)\right]} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p \geq 2$, the function $r \mapsto r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}{ }^{2} / \mathcal{E}_{p}$ is strictly decreasing on from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(0, \pi_{p} / 2\right)$. This together with Lemma 2.3(5) leads to the conclusion that the function $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(r) / \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(2 /\left[(p-1) \pi_{p}\right], 1\right)$. Applying Lemma 2.1 , we obtain that $\varphi(r)$ is also strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Moreover, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \varphi(r)=1$, and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varphi(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\varphi_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{2}{(p-1) \pi_{p}} .
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $p \in[2,+\infty)$. Then the function

$$
\phi(r)=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-(p-1) r^{\prime p}\left[\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)\right]}{\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left((p+1) /\left[(p-1) \pi_{p}\right], 1\right)$.
Proof. Let $\phi_{1}(r)=\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-(p-1) r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}(r)=\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}$. Then $\phi(r)=$ $\phi_{1}(r) / \phi_{2}(r), \phi_{1}(0)=\phi_{2}(0=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\phi_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{\left(p^{2}-1\right) r^{p-1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)}{2(p-1) r^{p-1} \mathcal{K}_{p}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)}=\frac{(p+1)\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}_{p}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq (2.8) and Lemma 2.8 show that $\phi_{1}^{\prime}(r) / \phi_{2}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. By application of Lemma 2.1, the monotonicity of $\phi(r)$ follows. Clearly $\phi\left(1^{-}\right)=1$, and by l'Hôpital's rule we get

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \phi(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{\phi_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{p+1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{(p-1) \pi_{p}}=\frac{p+1}{(p-1) \pi_{p}}
$$

Lemma 2.10. Let $p \in[2,+\infty)$. Then the function

$$
\omega(r)=e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)} \frac{r^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)}{r^{p}}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p), e^{R(1 / p) / p}\right)$.
Proof. By differentiation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega^{\prime}(r)= & e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)} \frac{\left[\left(-r^{p-1} / r^{\prime p-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)+(p-1) r^{\prime} r^{p-1} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right] r^{p}-r^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right) p r^{p-1}}{r^{2 p}} \\
& +e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}}{r r^{\prime p}}\right) \frac{r^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)}{r^{p}} \\
= & \frac{e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}}{r^{\prime p-1} r^{p+1}}\left[-r^{p}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)+(p-1) r^{p} r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}-p r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)+\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}}{r^{\prime p-1} r r^{p+1}}\left\{\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}-\left[\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)-(p-1) r^{\prime p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)^{2}}{r^{\prime p-1} r^{p+1}}[1-\phi(r)], \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.9.
The monotonicity of $\omega(r)$ on $(0,1)$ directly follows from (2.9) and Lemma 2.9. By Lemma 2.3(1) and (3), one has $\omega\left(0^{+}\right)=e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)$ and $\omega\left(1^{-}\right)=e^{R(1 / p) / p}$.

## 3. Proofs of theorems 1.1-1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $A(r)=\pi_{p} / 2-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)$ and $B(r)=1-r^{p} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right) / r^{p / 2}$. Then using the series expansion (1.9) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(r)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 / p, n)(-1 / p, n)}{(1, n)} \frac{r^{p n}}{n!}=\frac{\pi_{p}}{2 p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 / p, n)(1-1 / p, n)}{\left.(n!)^{2}\right)} r^{p n}, \\
B(r)=1-\left(1-r^{p}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 n+1} r^{p n}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 n^{2}-1} r^{p n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p}(r)=\frac{A(r)}{B(r)}=\frac{\pi_{p}}{4 p} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{n} r^{p n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n} r^{p n}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=\frac{(1 / p, n)(1-1 / p, n)}{\left.(n!)^{2}\right)}, \quad S_{n}=\frac{1}{4 n^{2}-1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{n}=R_{n} / S_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{T_{n+1}}{T_{n}}-1 & =\frac{(n-1 / p)(n+1 / p)\left[4(n+1)^{2}-1\right]}{(n+1)^{2}\left(4 n^{2}-1\right)}-1 \\
& =\frac{(2 n+3)\left(n^{2}-1 / p^{2}\right)}{(n+1)^{2}(2 n-1)}-1=\frac{(2 n+3) / p^{2}-1}{(n+1)^{2}(2 n-1)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $p \in(1, \sqrt{5}]$. Then from (3.3) we obtain that $T_{n+1} / T_{n} \leq 1$ for $n \geq 1$, and thereby $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is decreasing with respect to $n$. With an application of Lemma 2.2 and Eqs (3.1) and (3.2), the monotonicity of $f_{p}$ on $(0,1)$ in this case follows. Moreover, clearly $f_{p}\left(1^{-}\right)=\pi_{p} / 2-1$, and by l'Hospital's rule, one has

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} f_{p}(r)=\frac{\pi_{p}}{4 p} \frac{R_{1}}{S_{1}}=\frac{3 \pi_{p}}{4 p^{2}} .
$$

Therefore, inequality (1.11) takes place.
Case 2. $p \in(\sqrt{5},+\infty)$. Then $\operatorname{Eq}(3.3)$ implies that there exists $n_{0}>1$ such that sequence $\left\{R_{n} / S_{n}\right\}$ is increasing for $1<n \leq n_{0}$ and decreasing for $n>n_{0}$. For the limiting value of $H_{A, B}(r)$ at 1 , by differentiation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{r}, \\
& B^{\prime}(r)=-\frac{\left[-p r^{p-1} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)+p r^{p / 2-1} / 2\right]-p r^{p / 2-1} r^{\prime p} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right) / 2}{r^{p}} \\
&= \frac{p}{2 r}\left(r^{p / 2}+r^{-p / 2}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)-\frac{p}{2 r},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
H_{A, B}(r)=\frac{A^{\prime}(r)}{B^{\prime}(r)} B(r)-A(r)=\mathcal{E}_{p}-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}+\frac{2}{p} \frac{\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)\left[r^{p / 2}-r^{\prime p} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)\right]}{\left(1+r^{p}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)-r^{p / 2}} .
$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left[r^{p / 2}-r^{\prime p} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)\right]=1, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{r^{p-1} / r^{p}}{p r^{p / 2-1} /\left(2 r^{\prime p}\right)}=\frac{2}{p}, \\
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{p}-E_{p}}{\log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{r^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_{p} / r^{\prime p}}{r^{p-1} / r^{\prime p}}=1,
\end{gathered}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{A, B}\left(1^{-}\right) & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left[\mathcal{E}_{p}-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}+\frac{2}{p} \frac{\left(\mathcal{K}_{p}-\mathcal{E}_{p}\right)\left[r^{p / 2}-r^{\prime p} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)\right]}{\left(1+r^{p}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)-r^{p / 2}}\right] \\
& =1-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}+\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{2}{p} \frac{\log \left(1 / r^{\prime}\right)}{\left(1+r^{p}\right) \operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)-r^{p / 2}} \\
& =1-\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}+\frac{2}{p^{2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.4) and Corollary 2.4 that $H_{A, B}\left(1^{-}\right)<0$ for $p \in\left(\sqrt{5}, p_{0}\right)$, and $H_{A, B}\left(1^{-}\right) \geq 0$ for $p \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right)$. Applying Lemma 2.2(2), $f_{p}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(\pi_{p} / 2-1,3 \pi_{p} /\left(4 p^{2}\right)\right)$ if and only if $p \geq p_{0}$, so that the reverse inequality of (1.11) holds. While $p \in\left(\sqrt{5}, p_{0}\right), f_{p}(r)$ is piecewise monotone on $(0,1)$, and therefore the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi_{p} / 2-\mathcal{E}_{p}(r)}{1-r^{\prime p}\left[\operatorname{arctanh}\left(r^{p / 2}\right)\right] / r^{p / 2}}>\min \left\{\frac{\pi_{p}}{2}-1, \frac{3 \pi_{p}}{4 p^{2}}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes place for each $r \in(0,1)$. Finally, by exchanging the terms of inequality (3.5), we obtain (1.12).
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}(r)=\mathcal{K}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)-\left(\pi_{p} / 2-\log 2\right)-\log (1+1 / r), \quad F_{2}(r)=1-r, \\
F_{3}(r)=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)-r^{p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{r^{\prime p}}-\frac{1}{1+r}, \quad F_{4}(r)=r .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $F\left(1^{-}\right)=F_{2}\left(1^{-}\right)=0, F_{3}\left(0^{+}\right)=F_{4}\left(0^{+}\right)=0$, and

$$
\frac{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{F_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{F_{3}(r)}{F_{4}(r)}, \quad \frac{F_{3}^{\prime}(r)}{F_{4}^{\prime}(r)}=h\left(r^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $h(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.7, is a increasing function on $(0,1)$. Applying Lemma 2.1 twice, the monotonicity of $F$ follows. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3(2) and Lemma 2.7 we have $F\left(0^{+}\right)=R(1 / p) / p-\left(\pi_{p} / 2-\log 2\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} F(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{F_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{F_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{F_{3}(r)}{F_{4}(r)}=\frac{(p-1) \pi_{p}}{2 p}-\frac{1}{2}
$$

Inequality (1.13) can be derived from the monotonicity of $F(r)$ on $(0,1)$ and the above limiting values immediately. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{c}\left(0^{+}\right)=e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $1-1 / p$ and $1 / p$ respectively for $a$ and $b$ in (1.10), we get

$$
\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)=\frac{R(1 / p)}{p}+\log \frac{1}{r^{\prime}}+O\left(\left(1-r^{p}\right) \log \left(1-r^{p}\right)\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1 .
$$

Thus

$$
G_{c}\left(1^{-}\right)= \begin{cases}+\infty, & c<e^{R(1 / p) / p}  \tag{3.7}\\ 0, & c=e^{R(1 / p) / p}, \\ -\infty, & e^{R(1 / p) / p}\end{cases}
$$

Differentiating $G_{c}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{c}^{\prime}(r)=e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)} \frac{\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}-r^{\prime p} \mathcal{K}_{p}\right)}{r r^{\prime p}}-\frac{c r^{p-1}}{r^{\prime p+1}}=\frac{r^{p-1}}{r^{\prime p+1}}[\omega(r)-c], \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.10. According to Lemma 2.10 and (3.8), the assertion of the monotonicity of $G_{c}(r)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ follows. Combining with (3.6) and (3.7), parts (1)-(3) hold.

For part (4), it follows from parts (1)-(3) that inequality $G_{c}(r)>G_{c}\left(0^{+}\right)=e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c$ holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $c \leq e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)$, and $G_{c}(r)<G_{c}\left(0^{+}\right)=e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-c$ holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $c \geq e^{R(1 / p) / p}$. Therefore, the inequality

$$
e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-s^{*}+\frac{s^{*}}{r^{\prime}}<e^{\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)}<e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-t^{*}+\frac{t^{*}}{r^{\prime}},
$$

namely,

$$
\log \left(e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-s^{*}+\frac{s^{*}}{r^{\prime}}\right)<\mathcal{K}_{p}(r)<\log \left(e^{\pi_{p} / 2}-t^{*}+\frac{t^{*}}{r^{\prime}}\right),
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $s^{*} \leq e^{\pi_{p} / 2}(p-1) \pi_{p} /(2 p)$ and $t^{*} \geq e^{R(1 / p) / p}$.

## 4. Conclusions

In the article, we have found some new monotonicity properties for the functions involving the complete $p$-elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds, and provided several optimal upper and lower bounds for the $p$-elliptic integrals. Our ideas and approach may lead to a lot of follow-up research.
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