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# Non-singular solutions of $p$-Laplace problems, allowing multiple changes of sign in the nonlinearity 
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Abstract: For the $p$-Laplace Dirichlet problem (where $\varphi(t)=t|t|^{p-2}, p>1$ )

$$
\varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+f(u(x))=0 \quad \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0
$$

assume that $f^{\prime}(u)>(p-1) \frac{f(u)}{u}>0$ for $u>\gamma>0$, while $\int_{u}^{\gamma} f(t) d t<0$ for all $u \in(0, \gamma)$. Then any positive solution, with $\max _{(-1,1)} u(x)=u(0)>\gamma$, is non-singular, no matter how many times $f(u)$ changes sign on $(0, \gamma)$. The uniqueness of solution follows.
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We consider positive solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+f(u(x))=0 \quad \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(t)=t|t|^{p-2}, p>1$, so that $\varphi^{\prime}(t)=(p-1)|t|^{p-2}$. The linearized problem is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right) w^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+f^{\prime}(u(x)) w(x) & =0 \quad \text { for }-1<x<1,  \tag{2}\\
w(-1)=w(1) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that any positive solution of (1) is an even function $u(-x)=u(x)$, satisfying $x u^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x \neq 0$ so that $\max _{(-1,1)} u(x)=u(0)$, and that any non-trivial solution of (2) is of one sign, so that we may assume that $w(x)>0$ for $x \in(-1,1)$, see e.g., P. Korman [5], [6].

If $f^{\prime}(u)>(p-1) \frac{f(u)}{u}>0$ for $u>0$, it is well known that any positive solution of (1) is non-singular, i.e., the problem (2) admits only the trivial solution $w(x) \equiv 0$. Now suppose that $f^{\prime}(u)>(p-1) \frac{f(u)}{u}>0$ holds only for $u>\gamma$, for some $\gamma>0$. It turns out that positive solutions of (1), with maximum value greater than $\gamma$ are still non-singular, provided that $\int_{u}^{\gamma} f(t) d t<0$ for all $u \in(0, \gamma)$. The main result is stated next. It is customary to denote $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) d t$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $f(u) \in C^{1}\left(\bar{R}_{+}\right)$, and for some $\gamma>0$ it satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\gamma)=0, \text { and } f(u)>0 \text { on }(\gamma, \infty),  \tag{3}\\
f^{\prime}(u)>(p-1) \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad \text { for } u>\gamma,  \tag{4}\\
F(\gamma)-F(u)=\int_{u}^{\gamma} f(t) d t<0, \text { for } u \in(0, \gamma) . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then any positive solution of (1), satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)>\gamma, \text { and } u^{\prime}(1)<0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-singular, which means that the linearized problem (2) admits only the trivial solution.
In case $p=2$ this result was proved in P. Korman [7], while for general $p>1$ a weaker result, requiring that $f(u)<0$ on $(0, \gamma)$, was given in J. Cheng [3] (and before that by R. Schaaf [10] for $p=2$ case), see also P. Korman [5], [6] for a different proof, and a more detailed description of the solution curve. Other multiplicity results on $p$-Laplace equations include [1], [2], [4] and [9].

Proof: Assume, on the contrary, that the problem (2) admits a non-trivial solution $w(x)>0$. Let $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ denote the point where $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\gamma$. Define

$$
q(x)=(p-1)(1-x) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)+\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right) u(x) .
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{0}\right)<0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewrite (using that $\left.(p-1) \varphi(t)=t \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)$

$$
q(x)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\left[(1-x) u^{\prime}(x)+u(x)\right] .
$$

Since $\varphi^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \neq 0$, it suffices to show that the function $z(x) \equiv(1-x) u^{\prime}(x)+u(x)<0$ satisfies $z\left(x_{0}\right)<0$. Indeed,

$$
z\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{x_{0}}^{1}\left[u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-u^{\prime}(x)\right] d x<0
$$

which implies the desired inequality (7), provided we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-u^{\prime}(x)<0, \text { for } x \in\left(x_{0}, 1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "energy" function $E(x)=\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}+F(u(x))$ is seen by differentiation to be a constant, so that $E(x)=E\left(x_{0}\right)$, or

$$
\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}+F(u(x))=\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}+F(\gamma), \text { for all } x .
$$

By the assumption (5), it follows that

$$
\frac{p-1}{p}\left[\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}-\left|u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}\right]=F(\gamma)-F(u(x))<0, \text { for } x \in\left(x_{0}, 1\right),
$$

justifying (8), and then giving (7).
Next, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) w\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies, in particular, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by a direct computation, using (1) and (2),

$$
\left[(p-1) w(x) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)-u(x) w^{\prime}(x) \varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\right]^{\prime}=\left[f^{\prime}(u)-(p-1) \frac{f(u)}{u}\right] u w .
$$

The quantity on the right is positive on $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, in view of our condition (4). Integration over $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$, gives (9).

We have for all $x \in[-1,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\left(u^{\prime} w^{\prime}-u^{\prime \prime} w\right)=\text { constant }=\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(1)\right) u^{\prime}(1) w^{\prime}(1)>0, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows by differentiation, and using the assumption $u^{\prime}(1)<0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(x) w^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime \prime}(x) w(x)>0, \text { for } x \in\left(x_{0}, 1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0$, it follows from Eq (1) that $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Then (11) implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}(1)\right) u^{\prime}(1) w^{\prime}(1)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{13}\\
=(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

We need the following function, motivated by M. Tang [11] (which was introduced in P. Korman [5], and used in Y. An et al. [2])

$$
T(x)=x\left[(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right) w^{\prime}(x)+f(u(x)) w(x)\right]-(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right) w(x) .
$$

One verifies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}(x)=p f(u(x)) w(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (14) over ( $x_{0}, 1$ ), and using (5) and (12), obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(1)-T\left(x_{0}\right)=p \int_{x_{0}}^{1} f(u(x)) w(x) d x \\
=p \int_{x_{0}}^{1}[F(u(x))-F(\gamma)]^{\prime} \frac{w(x)}{u^{\prime}(x)} d x \\
=-p \int_{x_{0}}^{1}[F(u(x))-F(\gamma)] \frac{w^{\prime}(x) u^{\prime}(x)-w(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x)}{u^{\prime 2}(x)} d x<0,
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that

$$
L \equiv(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(1)\right) w^{\prime}(1)-(p-1) x_{0} \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w\left(x_{0}\right)<0 .
$$

On the other hand, using (13), then (9), followed by (10) and (7), we estimate the same quantity as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
L>(p-1) \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)- & (p-1) x_{0} \varphi\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+u\left(x_{0}\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =w^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) q\left(x_{0}\right)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
We remark that in case $f(0)<0$ it is possible to have a singular positive solution with $u^{\prime}(1)=0$, so that the assumption $u^{\prime}(1)<0$ is necessary.

We now consider the problem (where $\varphi(t)=t|t|^{p-2}, p>1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda f(u(x))=0 \quad \text { for }-1<x<1, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on a positive parameter $\lambda$. The following result follows the same way as the Theorem 3.1 in [5].
Theorem 2. Assume that $f(u) \in C^{1}\left(\bar{R}_{+}\right)$, and the conditions (3), (4) and (5) hold. Then there exists $0<\lambda_{0} \leq \infty$ so that the problem (15) has a unique positive solution for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$. All positive solutions, satisfying $u(0)>\gamma$, lie on a continuous solution curve that is decreasing in the $(\lambda, u(0))$ plane (see Figure 1). In case $f(0)<0$, one has $\lambda_{0}<\infty$, and at $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ a positive solution with $u^{\prime}( \pm 1)=0$ exists, and no positive solutions exist for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. In case $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)<0$, we have $\lambda_{0}=\infty$.


Figure 1. The curve of positive solutions for the problem (15), in case $p=3$ and $f(u)=$ $u(u-1)(u-2)(u-4)$.

Example In Figure 1 we present the solution curve of the problem (15) in case $p=3$ and $f(u)=$ $u(u-1)(u-2)(u-4)$. Here $\gamma=4$, and one verifies that the Theorem 2 applies. The Mathematica program to perform numerical computations for this problem is explained in detail in [8] (it uses the shoot-and-scale method). The solution curve in Figure 1 exhausts the set of all positive solutions (since $\int_{0}^{2} f(u) d u<0$, there are no solutions with $\left.u(0)=\max _{(-1,1)} u(x) \in(1,2)\right)$.
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